1455 Market Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94103 415.522.4800 FAX 415.522.4829 info@sfcta.org www.sfcta.org



Memorandum

Date: February 21, 2019

To: Citizens Advisory Committee

From: Anna LaForte – Deputy Director for Policy and Programming

Subject: 03/12/2019 Board Meeting: Amend the Prop AA Strategic Plan

RECOMMENDATION Information Action

• Amend the 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan

SUMMARY

At its May 2017 meeting, the Transportation Authority Board adopted the 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan, which programmed \$20.8 million to 12 projects over the five-year period covering Fiscal Years (FYs) 2017/18 to 2021/22. The Strategic Plan also left \$2,397,128 on reserve for a future call for projects to be conducted prior to FY 2019/20 with priority for projects in the street repair and reconstruction category. As described in the voter-approved expenditure plan, Prop AA places a strong emphasis on timely use of funds to ensure that projects result in nearterm, tangible benefits to the public. Prior to releasing a call for projects, we are proposing Strategic Plan amendments to delay programming and make other changes to six projects that have not or do not anticipate being able to allocate funds in the year they are programmed, specifically FYs 2017/18 and 2018/19 (Attachment 2). If the Board does not wish to approve some or any of the programming revisions, these funds, totaling \$7,281,186 would be reprogrammed through the upcoming call for projects. As part of the Strategic Plan amendment, we are also proposing to add one new criterion to prioritize projects that directly benefit disadvantaged populations to the Screening and Prioritization Criteria used to evaluate project applications (see Attachment 5). Following Board approval of the Strategic Plan amendment, we plan to release a call for projects with an estimated \$3.55 million available for projects, comprised of the aforementioned reserve, higher revenues than anticipated, interest earnings, and a one-time release of unused program administration funds.

☐ Fund Allocation ⊠ Fund Programming ☐ Policy/Legislation ☐ Plan/Study ☐ Capital Project Oversight/Delivery ☐ Budget/Finance ☐ Contract/Agreement ☐ Procurement ☐ Other:

DISCUSSION

Background. On November 2010, San Francisco voters approved Prop AA, authorizing the Transportation Authority to collect an additional \$10 vehicle registration fee on motor vehicles registered in San Francisco to fund transportation improvements in the following three categories, with revenues split as indicated by the percentages: Street Repair and Reconstruction – 50%,

Agenda Item 8

Pedestrian Safety – 25%, and Transit Reliability and Mobility Improvements – 25%. Given its small size – less than \$5 million in annual revenues – one of Prop AA's guiding principles is to focus on small, high-impact projects that will provide tangible benefits to the public in the short-term. Thus, Prop AA only funds design and construction phases of projects and places a strong emphasis on timely use of funds.

The Prop AA Expenditure Plan requires development of a Strategic Plan to guide the implementation of the program, and specifies that the Strategic Plan include a detailed 5-year prioritized program of projects (5YPP) for each of the Expenditure Plan categories as a prerequisite for allocation of funds. The intent of the 5YPP requirement is to provide the Board, the public, and Prop AA project sponsors with a clear understanding of how projects are prioritized for funding.

Timely-use-of-funds Policy: The Prop AA Strategic Plan spells out timely-use-of funds that are applied to all Prop AA allocations to help avoid situations where Prop AA funds sit unused for prolonged periods of time given Prop AA's focus on quickly delivering tangible benefits to the public. Any project programmed in the Strategic Plan that does not request allocation of funds in the year of programming may, at the discretion of the Transportation Authority Board, have its funding deobligated and reprogrammed to other projects through a competitive call for projects. Sponsors have the opportunity to reapply for funds through these competitive calls, but will not be guaranteed any priority if other eligible, ready-to-go project applications are received.

Project Delivery Update. Attachment 1 shows the current status of all Prop AA funded projects, with Table 2 showing projects that are open for use and Table 3 showing projects that are still underway, with their anticipated open for use date.

Since its inception, we have allocated \$30.3 million in Prop AA funds to 25 projects, with 18 projects open for use by the public. Six additional projects are underway, and one project is nearing completion of the design phase. Prop AA has funded projects all throughout the city, from pedestrian safety improvements in Park Presidio, Hunters Point, and the Western Addition, to street resurfacing of 28th Avenue, McAllister Street and Dolores Street, to a bike station in Civic Center BART/Muni station. Prop AA has also played a key role in providing local match to federal funds such as the One Bay Area Grant program for the Chinatown Broadway Streetscape Improvements and the Mansell Corridor Improvements projects.

While Prop AA has delivered significant benefits, in recent years we have observed a slower pace of allocations and expenditures. These delays can be explained in part by the need for coordinating Prop AA funded improvements with larger, multi-agency projects such as Geary Bus Rapid Transit, Haight Street Streetscape (Pedestrian Lighting), and Brannan Street Pavement Renovation and Sewer Replacement.

Strategic Plan Amendment. The proposed Strategic Plan Amendment is comprised of programming revisions and one addition to the Screening and Prioritization Criteria. These changes are briefly described below.

<u>Programming Revisions.</u> Consistent with the Prop AA timely-use-of-funds policy, we have been working with the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) and San Francisco Public Works (SFPW) to review the status of the six projects shown in Attachment 2, that have not or do not anticipate being able to request allocation of Prop AA funds programmed in FY 2017/18 and FY 2018/19. Attachment 2 shows the proposed programming revisions, primarily the fiscal year of

Agenda Item 8

programming, and provides a brief summary of the reasons the project has been delayed, if relevant, and the proposed revised completion date.

Two of the projects, SFPW's Geary Boulevard Pavement Renovation and SFPW's (formerly SFMTA's) Western Addition Transportation Plan Implementation (Pedestrian Lighting), have funding gaps of \$990,000 and \$660,000 respectively. The sponsors intend to seek funds through the Prop AA call for projects to help close the funding gap. They will need to have a fully funded scope of work prior to seeking allocation of Prop AA funds.

We have received updated project information forms (Attachment 3) which show the latest proposed scope, schedule, cost and funding plan for the six projects with recommended programming changes.

Attachment 4 shows what the amended 2017 Prop K Strategic Plan Programming and Allocations would look like if the recommended programming revisions are made.

<u>New Screening and Prioritization Criteria.</u> Upon receiving an application, candidate Prop AA projects first undergo an eligibility screening. If projects meet the eligibility requirements, they are then prioritized for funding using the adopted prioritization criteria. The proposed revised Screening and Prioritization Criteria are provided in Attachment 5.

We are recommending the addition of one new criterion to prioritize projects that directly benefit disadvantaged communities. This is consistent with criteria used to select projects for the Transportation Authority's Lifeline Transportation Program and the Transportation Fund for Clean Air. The new prioritization criterion would apply to all candidate Prop AA projects:

• Benefits Communities of Concern: Priority will be given to projects that directly benefit disadvantaged populations, whether the project is directly located in a Community of Concern or can demonstrate benefits to disadvantaged populations.

2019 Call for Projects. As shown in Table 1, there is approximately \$3.55 million in Prop AA funds available for new projects. This amount would be increased if the Board doesn't approve any or a portion of the proposed programming revisions described above.

| | , | |
|---|----|-----------|
| Reserved funds (priority for Street Repair and Reconstruction projects) | \$ | 2,397,128 |
| Deobligated funds (from projects completed under budget) | \$ | 67,237 |
| Higher than anticipated revenues | \$ | 294,733 |
| Interest earnings | \$ | 22,629 |
| Release of unused administrative allowance | \$ | 768,345 |
| Total Available: | \$ | 3,550,072 |

Table 1. Funds Available for 2019 Prop AA Call for Projects

Reserved funds. As noted above, \$2,397,128 is available for this call for projects, with priority for the Street Repair and Reconstruction category. The Board placed these funds on reserve as part of the 2017 Strategic Plan since the only project submitted for the Street Repair and Reconstruction category in FY 2019/20, the Port of San Francisco's Cargo Way and Amador Street Improvement project, assumed \$18 million in federal discretionary grant funds that were too uncertain to demonstrate a

Agenda Item 8

reasonable expectation of a full funding plan. This reserve provided a future opportunity for the Port to apply for these funds should it be able to secure a full funding plan for the project.

Higher than anticipated revenues. Prop AA revenue is based on the number of vehicles registered in San Francisco – a number which was expected to remain relatively flat in the 2017 Strategic Plan. However, there has been a slight upward trend in Prop AA revenues received through FY 2017/18. We are including the increased revenues, totaling \$294,733, in the call for projects.

Release of administrative allowance. Administration expenses for the Prop AA program are capped at 5% by State statute. Because of administrative overlap between our two voter-approved grant programs – Prop AA and Prop K, the Prop K program has been able to absorb a portion of the Prop AA program administration expenses to date (e.g. development and upgrade of the grants portal). In order to maximize funds available for projects for the small, pay-as-you-go Prop AA program, we are recommending a one-time release of \$768,345 in unspent program administration funds and making them available for capital projects. Going forward, we expect to fully spend the administration allowance, and will continue to conduct periodic true-ups of revenues and expenditures.

Next Steps. Following Board approval of the 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan amendment, we will release the Prop AA call for projects, anticipated on March 20, 2019. After reviewing and evaluating project applications, we will present a recommended program of projects to the Citizens Advisory Committee in May and the Board in June for approval. Attachment 6 details the proposed schedule for the call for projects.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

There are no impacts to the Transportation Authority's adopted FY 2018/19 budget associated with the recommended action. Allocations of Prop AA funds are the subject of separate Board actions.

CAC POSITION

The CAC will be briefed on this item at its February 27, 2019, meeting.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

Attachment 1 – Prop AA Project Delivery Report

Attachment 2 - Proposed 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan Amendment - Programming Revisions

- Attachment 3 Project Information Forms (6)
- Attachment 4 Proposed Prop AA Strategic Plan Amendment Programming and Allocations

Attachment 5 - Prop AA Screening and Prioritization Criteria - Proposed Revisions

Attachment 6 - Proposed Call for Projects Schedule

Attachment 1 Prop AA Project Delivery Report

Table 1. Prop AA Funds Allocated

| Strategic Plan Period | Programmed (Available for Allocation) | | T | otal Allocated as of 2/15/2019 | % Allocated | |
|---|---|------------|----|-----------------------------------|-------------|--|
| 2012 Strategic Plan (FY2012/13 - FY2016/17) | \$ | 25,079,810 | \$ | 25,079,810 | 100% | |
| 2017 Strategic Plan (FY2017/18 - FY2021/22) | \$ | 22,815,778 | \$ | 5,172,316 | 23% | |
| Total | \$ | 47,895,588 | \$ | 30,252,126 | | |

Table 2. Completed Projects

Projects are sorted by Expenditure Plan category, then allocation year, then sponsor, then project name

| Sponsor ¹ | Fiscal Year of Allocation | Project Name | Phase(s) Funded | Total Allocated (as of 02/15/2019) | Open for Use ² | |
|----------------------|------------------------------|---|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Street Repair | and Reconstru | ction | | | • | |
| SFPW | 2012/13 | 28th Ave Pavement Renovation | Construction | \$ 1,169,843 | 2014 | |
| SFPW | 2012/13 | 9th Street Pavement Renovation | Construction | \$ 2,101,136 | 2015 | |
| SFMTA | 2013/14 | Mansell Corridor Improvement Project | Design | \$ 199,997 | 2017 | |
| SFPW | 2013/14 | Chinatown Broadway Streetscape Improvements | Design | \$ 650,000 | 2018 | |
| SFPW | 2013/14 | McAllister St Pavement Renovation | Construction | \$ 1,995,132 | 2015 | |
| SFMTA | 2014/15 | Mansell Corridor Improvement Project | Construction | \$ 2,325,624 | 2017 | |
| SFPW | 2014/15 | Dolores St Pavement Renovation | Construction | \$ 2,145,024 | 2016 | |
| Pedestrian Sa | afety | | | | • | |
| SFMTA | 2012/13 | Pedestrian Countdown Signals (PCS) #1 | Construction | \$ 1,380,307 | 2014 | |
| Presidio | 2013/14 | Arguello Gap Closure | Construction | \$ 350,000 | 2014 | |
| SFMTA | 2013/14 | Franklin and Divisadero Signal Upgrades | Design | \$ 260,270 | 2017 | |
| SFMTA | 2013/14 | Mid-Block Crossing on Natoma/8th | Design | \$ 54,578 | 2016 | |
| UC Hastings | 2013/14 | McAllister St Campus Streetscape | Design | \$ 83,000 | 2015 | |
| SFMTA | 2014/15 | Franklin and Divisadero Signal Upgrades | Construction | \$ 636,480 | 2017 | |
| SFMTA | 2014/15 | Mid-Block Crossing on Natoma/8th | Construction | \$ 310,000 | 2016 | |
| SFMTA | 2014/15 | Webster Street Pedestrian Countdown Signals | Design | \$ 260,000 | 2018 | |
| UC Hastings | 2014/15 | McAllister St Campus Streetscape | Construction | \$ 1,619,035 | 2015 | |
| SFMTA | 2015/16 | Mansell Corridor Improvement Project | Construction | \$ 163,358 | 2017 | |
| SFMTA | 2015/16 | Gough Corridor Signal Upgrade | Design | \$ 300,000 | Design completed 2018 Construction anticipated 2019 | |
| SFPW | 2015/16 | Chinatown Broadway Streetscape Improvements | Construction | \$ 1,029,839 | 2018 | |
| SFMTA | 2016/17 | Webster Street Pedestrian Countdown Signals | Construction | \$ 141,794 | 2018 | |

Attachment 1 Prop AA Project Delivery Report

Table 2. Completed Projects - continued

| Sponsor ¹ | Fiscal Year of Allocation | Project Name | Phase(s) Funded | Total Allocated (as of 02/15/2019) | Open for Use ² |
|----------------------|------------------------------|--|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Transit Relia | bility and Mobi | lity Improvements | | | |
| BART | 2012/13 | 24th Street Mission BART SW Plaza and Pedestrian Improvements | Construction | \$ 713,831 | 2014 |
| BART | 2013/14 | Civic Center BART/Muni Bike Station | Construction | \$ 248,000 | 2015 |
| MOHCD | 2013/14 | Hunters View Transit Connection | Construction | \$ 1,844,994 | 2017 |
| SFMTA | 2013/14 | City College Pedestrian Connector | Design | \$ 42,000 | 2016 |
| SFMTA | 2014/15 | City College Pedestrian Connector | Construction | \$ 891,000 | 2016 |
| BART | 2015/16 | Muni Bus Layover Area at BART Daly City Station | Construction | \$ 507,980 | 2017 |

¹ Sponsor abbreviations include: Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART), Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD), San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), San Francisco Public Works (SFPW), The Presidio Trust (Presidio), University of California Hastings College of the Law (UC Hastings)

² Open for use refers to the year the construction phase of the project was completed.

Attachment 1 Prop AA Project Delivery Report

Table 3. Projects Underway

Projects are sorted by Expenditure Plan category, then allocation year, then sponsor, then project name

| Sponsor ¹ | Fiscal Year of Allocation | Project Name | Phase(s) Funded |) (as of | | s) (as of | | Funded (as of | | Phase(s) (as | | Phase(s) Funded (as of | | % Complete (as of 2/15/2019) | Open for Use ² (at time of allocation) | Open for Use ² (anticipated) |
|----------------------|------------------------------|---|--------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------------|--|--------------|--|---------------------------|--|------------------------------------|---|--|
| Street Repair | and Reconstru | uction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SFPW | 2016/17 | Brannan Street Pavement Renovation | Construction | \$ | 2,540,359 | 8% | Mar-2019 | Jun-2019 | | | | | | | | |
| Pedestrian Sa | ifety | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SFMTA | 2013/14 | Ellis/Eddy Traffic Calming Improvement ³ | Design | \$ | 337,450 | 100% | Sep-2016 | Sep-2019 | | | | | | | | |
| SFMTA | 2015/16 | Bulb-outs at WalkFirst Locations | Design | \$ | 491,757 | 90% | Jun-2020 | Jun-2020 | | | | | | | | |
| SFMTA | 2017/18 | Arguello Boulevard Traffic Signal Upgrade | Construction | \$ | 655,000 | 10% | Dec-2019 | Dec-2019 | | | | | | | | |
| SFPW | 2017/18 | Haight Street Resurfacing and Pedestrian Lighting | Construction | \$ | 2,052,000 | 1% | Mar-2020 | Mar-2020 | | | | | | | | |
| Transit Relia | bility and Mob | vility Improvements | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SFMTA | 2015/16 | Elevator Safety and Reliability Upgrades | Construction | \$ | 287,000 | 22% | Mar-2020 | Jun-2020 | | | | | | | | |
| SFMTA | 2017/18 | Muni Metro Station Enhancements Phase 1 | Construction | \$ | 2,465,316 | 1% | Mar-2019 | Mar-2020 | | | | | | | | |

¹ Sponsor abbreviations include: San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), San Francisco Public Works (SFPW)

² Open for use refers to the year the construction phase of the project would be completed.

³ Design phase for the Ellis/Eddy Traffic Calming project is complete and new signals were activated in 2017. Project completion is delayed due to coordination on the twoway conversion of both Ellis and Eddy streets.

Attachment 2 Proposed 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan Amendment - Programming Revisions

| District | Project Name | Phase | Sponsor ¹ | Fiscal Year Programmed | Amount | Recommendation |
|------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------|---|
| Street Rep | air and Reconstruction | | | - | - | |
| 2, 5 | Geary Boulevard Pavement Renovation | Construction | SFPW | 2017/18 2019/20 | \$ 2,397,1 | Proposed amendment to delay programming of funds to Fiscal Year 2019/20. This pavement renovation project is part of the much larger Geary Bus Rapid Transit Phase 1 (Geary Rapid) project, and its schedule is closely coordinated with transit, sewer, and pedestrian safety improvements. The design phase of the project is nearly complete, with the construction contract anticipated to be advertised in early FY 2019/20. SFPW intends to apply for additional Prop AA street repair funds in the upcoming call for projects to help cover a \$620,000 cost increase from updating construction cost estimates, and a \$370,000 funding gap from shifting funds to other projects. Project is anticipated to be open for use by June 2021, 12 months after initial open for use date. |
| 8,9,10 | 23rd St, Dolores St, York St and Hampshire St Pavement Renovation | Construction | SFPW | 2018/19 2019/20 | \$ 2,397,1 | Proposed amendment to delay programming of funds to Fiscal Year 2019/20. Project leverages Prop K funds, which are programmed in Fiscal Year 2019/20. Project has been delayed due to coordination with the Potrero Roadway Improvement project as this project serves as the second phase of the paving work around Potrero Avenue. Project is anticipated to be open for use by March 2021, 9 months after initial open for use date. |
| Pedestriar | n Safety | | | · | | |
| 10 | Potrero Gateway Loop (Pedestrian Safety Improvements) | Design, Construction | SFPW | 2017/18 2018/19 | \$ 300,0 | Proposed amendment to delay programming of funds to Fiscal Year 2018/19 and 2019/20. Project is delayed due to prolonged negotiations with design contractor and coordination with multiple agencies. SFPW may request design funds (\$80,000) by June 2019 and plans to request construction funds (\$220,000) in Fiscal Year 2019/20. Project is anticipated to be open for use by June 2021, 24 months after initial open for use date. |
| 6 | Vision Zero Coordinated Pedestrian Safety Improvements (Bulbs & Basements) | Construction | SFPW | 2017/18 2018/19 | \$ 700,0 | Proposed amendment to delay programming of funds to Fiscal Year 2018/19. Project is delayed due to coordination with the SFMTA for the bulbouts at Taylor and Turk, which will now be constructed as part of the SFMTA's Safer Taylor project. Project is anticipated to be open for use by December 2020, 24 months after initial open for use date. |
| TBD | Bulb-outs at WalkFirst Locations | Design Construction | SFMTA | 2018/19 | \$ 500,0 | Proposed amendment to change phase to construction. While initially intended to fund design of additional bulb-outs, SFMTA requests using these funds for the construction phase of bulb-outs designed with a previous Prop AA grant. Potential locations are within Districts 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10 and 11 (see Attachment 4 - project information form). We are pending information from SFMTA on why the \$500,000 is needed since the construction of these locations were originally anticipated to be funded by Prop A General Obligation Bond. We are also waiting for updated cost and funding information before the CAC meeting. |

Attachment 2 Proposed 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan Amendment - Programming Revisions

| District | Project Name | Phase | Sponsor ¹ | Fiscal Year Programmed | Amount | Recommendation |
|----------|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--|
| 5 | Western Addition Transportation Plan Implementation (Pedestrian Lighting) | Design, Construction | SFMTA <u>SFPW</u> | 2018/19 2019/20 | \$ 986,928 | Proposed amendment to delay programming of funds to Fiscal Year 2019/20, change project sponsor to SFPW, and add design as an eligible phase. Project is delayed due to SFMTA focusing on other recommendations from the Western Addition Transportation plan and identifying the appropriate implementing agency for this project. SFPW will be the implementing agency and is requesting a portion of the funds be made available for design (\$100,000) in FY 2019/20. The remaining funds will be used for construction. SFPW will consider applying for additional Prop AA funds in the upcoming call for projects to cover a \$660,000 funding gap to install lighting on three blocks, due to higher construction cost estimates. Project is anticipated to be open for use by June 2021, 15 months earlier than initial open for use date. |

¹ Sponsor abbreviations include: San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) and San Francisco Public Works (SFPW).

Project Information Forms





| Project Name: | Geary Boulevard Pavement Renovation |
|--|---|
| Implementing Agency: | SFPW |
| Project Location: | Geary Boulevard from Van Ness Avenue to Masonic Avenue |
| Supervisorial District(s): | District 2 and District 5 |
| Project Manager: | Paul Barradas |
| Phone Number: | 415-554-8249 |
| Email: | paul.barradas@sfdpw.org |
| Brief Project Description for MyStreetSF (50 words max): | This project includes demolition, pavement renovation, new sidewalk construction, curb ramp construction and retrofit, traffic control, and all related and incidental work along Geary Blvd, from Van Ness Ave to Masonic Ave. The average Pavement Condition Index (PCI) score within the project limits is low 50's. |
| Detailed Scope (may attach Word document): Please describe the project scope, benefits, coordination with other projects in the area (e.g. paving, MuniForward, Vision Zero), and how the project would meet the Prop AA screening and prioritization criteria as well as other program goals (e.g., short- term project delivery to bring tangible benefits to the public quickly). Please describe how this project was prioritized. Please attach maps, drawings, photos of current conditions, etc. to support understanding of the project. | The paving scope is planning to join the SFMTA Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) improvements along this corridor. Geary Boulevard is one of the busiest bus corridors west of the Mississippi. Over 52,000 people rely on the 38-Geary local, rapid, and express routes to get where they need to go. However, uneven wait times, overcrowded buses, and inconsistent travel times are a daily reality. These issues persist despite increased service frequency provided by longer 60-foot buses scheduled to run every 2.5 minutes during rush hour and near-term upgrades to bus lanes implemented recently under Muni Forward. To break the cycle and manage crowding, wait times, and traffic congestion, the Geary Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) project proposes upgrades to street design, more accessible bus stops with boarding islands, sidewalk extensions, and traffic signals to make travelling for everyone on the corridor more efficient, safe, and vibrant. There will also be upgrades to water and sewer infrastructure. The requested Prop AA grant will fund the paving scope of work which includes demolition, pavement renovation of 28 blocks, new sidewalk construction, curb ramp construction and retrofit, traffic control, and all related and incidental work along Geary Boulevard from Van Ness Avenue to Masonic Avenue. All candidates shown are subject to substitution and schedule changes pending , visual confirmation, utility clearances and coordination with other agencies. Unforeseen challenges such as increased work scope, changing priorities, cost increases or declining revenue may arise causing the candidates to be postponed. |
| Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.). | City agencies have engaged residents, community leaders, advocates and merchants all along the corridor throughout design. The Geary BRT Citizens Advisory Committee (GCAC) typically met every two to three months to advise the Transportation Authority throughout the environmental analysis. The GCAC consists of thirteen members, representing corridor and at-large interests. It provides input on refining BRT alternatives, considers project benefits and tradeoffs for all users of the corridor, and has helped to identify a preferred project alternative. As the project moves closer to implementation, the Transportation Authority and SF Municipal Transportation Agency are partnering with the Office of Economic and Workforce Development on five key construction strategies: Pre-construction survey; Business and community advisory committees; Accessibility, way-finding and advertisement; Notifications and project resources; Business technical assistance and support. |
| Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency. | San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA): Colin Dentel-Post San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA): Daniel Mackowski San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) Water: Napoleon Calimlim San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) Sewer: Carol Huang |
| Type of Environmental Clearance Required: | Categorically Exempt |



| Project Delivery Milestones | Status | Work | Start | Date | End Date | | |
|---|------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|--|
| Phase* | % Complete | In-house, Contracted, or Both | Quarter | Calendar Year | Quarter | Calendar Year | |
| Planning/Conceptual Engineering (typically 30% design) | | | | | | | |
| Environmental Studies (PA&ED) | | | | | | | |
| Design Engineering (PS&E) | 30% | In-House | Oct-Dec | 2015 | <u>Apr-Jun</u> | <u>2019</u> | |
| Right-of-way | | | | | | | |
| Advertise Construction | 0% | N/A | <u>Jul-Sep</u> | <u>2019</u> | N/A | N/A | |
| Start Construction (e.g. Award Contract) | 0% | Contracted | Oct-Dec | <u>2019</u> | N/A | N/A | |
| Open for Use | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | <u>Apr-Jun</u> | <u>2021</u> | |

*Only design engineering (PS&E) and construction (including related procurement) phases are eligible for Prop AA funds.

Comments



| Project Name: | I | Geary Boulevard Pavement Renovation | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| PROJECT COST ESTIMATE | | | Fund | ing Source by | y Phase | | | | | |
| Phase | Cost | Prop AA | Prop K | Other | Source of Cost Estimate | | | | | |
| Planning/Conceptual Engineering | \$0 | N/A | | | | | | | | |
| Environmental Studies (PA&ED) | \$0 | N/A | | | | | | | | |
| Design Engineering (PS&E) | \$360,300 | | | \$360,300 | Engineer's Estimate | | | | | |
| Right-of-way | \$ 0 | N/A | | | | | | | | |
| Construction | <u>\$6,300,000</u> | \$2,397,129 | | <u>\$3,902,871</u> | Engineer's Estimate | | | | | |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST | \$6,660,300 | \$2,397,129 | | \$4,263,171 | | | | | | |
| Percent of Total | | 36% | | 64% | | | | | | |

PROP AA EXPENDITURES BY FISCAL YEAR (CASH FLOW)*

| | 17/18 | 18/19 | 19/20 | 20/21 | 21/22 | 22/23 | 23/24 | Total |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|------------------|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|
| Design Engineering (PS&E) | | | | | | | | \$O |
| Construction | | | <u>\$719,139</u> | <u>\$1,677,990</u> | | | | \$2,397,129 |
| TOTAL BY FISCAL YEAR | \$0 | \$0 | \$719,139 | \$1,677,990 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,397,129 |

*The 2017 Strategic Plan will program funds in FYs 2017/18 to 2021/22. Cash flow can extend beyond this period.

FUNDING PLAN FOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION PHASES - ALL SOURCES

| Funding Source | Planned | Programmed | Allocated | TOTAL |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Prop AA | | \$2,397,129 | | \$2,397,129 |
| General Fund | <u>\$2,913,268</u> | | \$360,300 | <u>\$3,273,568</u> |
| TBD (e.g. Prop AA) | <u>\$989,603</u> | | | <u>\$989,603</u> |
| TOTAL | \$3,902,871 | \$2,397,129 | \$360,300 | \$6,660,300 |

| Desired Prop AA Programming Year | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Fiscal Year 2019/20 | |

Comments/Concerns

Costs are only for the street resurfacing component of the larger BRT project. In order to fully fund the project, SFPW intends to apply for additional Prop AA funds in the upcoming call for projects.



| Project Name: | 23rd St, Dolores St, York St, and Hampshire St Pavement Renovation | |
|--|--|--|
| Implementing Agency: | San Francisco Public Works | |
| Project Location: | On 22nd St from Potrero Ave to Harrison St On 23rd St from Folsom St to Capp St On Cesar Chavez on Ramp from 25th St to Potrero Ave to Hampshire St On Dolores St from Cesar Chavez St to 29th St On Hampshire St from 17th St to Cesar Chavez on Ramp On York St from Mariposa St to 26th St | |
| Supervisorial District(s): | 8, 9, 10 | |
| Project Manager: | Ramon Kong | |
| Phone Number: | 415-554-8249 | |
| Email: | ramon.kong@sfdpw.org | |
| Brief Project Description for MyStreetSF (50 words max): | This street resurfacing project includes demolition, pavement renovation of 37 blocks, constructions, curb ramp construction, traffic control, and all related and incidental wor Pavement Condition Index (PCI) score within the project limits is in the mid 50's. | |
| Detailed Scope (may attach Word document): Please describe the project scope, benefits, coordination with other projects in the area (e.g. paving, MuniForward, Vision Zero), and how the project would meet the Prop AA screening and prioritization criteria as well as other program goals (e.g., short- term project delivery to bring tangible benefits to the public quickly). Please describe how this project was prioritized. Please attach maps, drawings, photos of current conditions, etc. to support understanding of the project. | Public Works requests a Prop AA grant in Fiscal Year 2018/2019 2019/20 to fund con Dolores St, Hampshire St, 23rd St, and York St Pavement Renovation. The proposed p On 22nd St from Potrero Ave to Harrison St On 23rd St from Folsom St to Capp St On Cesar Chavez on Ramp from 25th St to Potrero Ave to Hampshire St On Dolores St from Cesar Chavez St to 29th St On Hampshire St from 17th St to Cesar Chavez on Ramp On York St from Mariposa St to 26th St This project was coordinated and set to be completed after the multi-agency Potrero St project. This is phase II of the street resurfacing around the Potrero area. The paving sedemolition, pavement renovation of 37 blocks, new sidewalk constructions, curb ramp traffic control, and all related and incidental work. All candidates shown are subject to substitution and schedule changes pending available confirmation, utility clearances and coordination with other agencies. Unforeseen challe increased work scope, changing priorities, cost increases or declining revenue may arise candidates to be postponed. | reetscape cope includes construction, <u>e funding, visual</u> :nges such as |
| Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.). | This project was coordinated and set to be completed after the multi-agency Potrero St project, <u>which was completed in May 2018</u> . This is phase II of the street resurfacing arc area. | |
| Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency. | San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) Sewer: Johnny Wong (415.554.152 San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA): Rob Malone (415.701.2430) | |
| Type of Environmental Clearance Required: | Categorically Exempt | |



| Project Delivery Milestones | Status | Work | Start | t Date | End Date | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------|--|
| Phase* | % Complete | In-house, Contracted, or Both | Quarter Calendar Year | | Quarter | Calendar Year | |
| Planning/Conceptual Engineering | | | | | | | |
| (typically 30% design) | | | | | | | |
| Environmental Studies (PA&ED) | | | | | | | |
| Design Engineering (PS&E) | 30% | In-house | Oct-Dec | 2017 | <u>Apr-Jun</u> | <u>2019</u> | |
| Right-of-way | | | | | | | |
| Advertise Construction | 0% | N/A | <u>Jul-Sep</u> | <u>2019</u> | N/A | N/A | |
| Start Construction (e.g. Award Contract) | 0% | Contracted | Oct-Dec | <u>2019</u> | N/A | N/A | |
| Open for Use | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | <u>Jan-Mar</u> | <u>2021</u> | |
| *Only design engineering (PS&E) and co | nstruction (inclue | ling related procur | rement) phases a | re eligible for Prop | AA funds. | | |

Comments



| Project Name: 23rd St, Dolores St, York St, and Hampshire St Pavemer | nt Renovation |
|--|---------------|
|--|---------------|

| PROJECT COST ESTIMATE | | Funding Source by Phase | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---|--|--|
| Phase | Cost | Prop AA | Prop K | Other | Source of Cost Estimate | | |
| Planning/Conceptual Engineering | \$0 | N/A | | | | | |
| Environmental Studies (PA&ED) | \$0 | N/A | | | | | |
| Design Engineering (PS&E) | <u>\$464,530</u> | | | <u>\$464,530</u> | Actual cost and cost to complete | | |
| Right-of-way | \$0 | N/A | | | | | |
| Construction | <u>\$5,397,129</u> | \$2,397,129 | <u>\$3,000,000</u> | <u>\$1 602 871</u> | Early Planning Magnitude of Order Estimate | | |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST | \$5,861,659 | \$2,397,129 | \$3,000,000 | \$464,530 | | | |
| Percent of Total | | 41% | 51% | 8% | • | | |

PROP AA EXPENDITURES BY FISCAL YEAR (CASH FLOW)*

| | 17/18 | 18/19 | 19/20 | 20/21 | 21/22 | Total |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|------------------|--------------------|-------|-------------|
| Design Engineering (PS&E) | | | | | | \$ 0 |
| Construction | | | <u>\$750,000</u> | <u>\$1,647,129</u> | | \$2,397,129 |
| TOTAL BY FISCAL YEAR | \$0 | \$0 | \$750,000 | \$1,647,129 | \$0 | \$2,397,129 |

*The 2017 Strategic Plan will program funds in FYs 2017/18 to 2021/22. Cash flow can extend beyond this period.

FUNDING PLAN FOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION PHASES - ALL SOURCES

| Funding Source | Planned | Programmed | Allocated | TOTAL |
|----------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Prop AA | | \$2,397,129 | | \$2,397,129 |
| Prop K | | <u>\$3,000,000</u> | | <u>\$3,000,000</u> |
| General Fund | \$2,002,871 | | \$464,530 | \$464,53 0 |
| TOTAL | \$0 | \$5,397,129 | \$464,530 | \$5,861,659 |

| Desired Prop AA Programming Year |
|----------------------------------|
| <u>Fiscal Year 2019/20</u> |

Comments/Concerns



| Project Name: | I | Potrero Gateway L | oop (Pedestrian Safety Improve | ements) | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Implementing Agency: | San Francisco Pul | olic Works | | | | | | | |
| Project Location: | 17th St, Vermont | St, San Bruno Ave | . adjacent to the 101 freeway | | | | | | |
| Supervisorial District(s): | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| Project Manager: | Kelli Rudnick | | | | | | | | |
| Phone Number: | 415.558.4489 | | | | | | | | |
| Email: | kelli.rudnick@sfd | pw.org | | | | | | | |
| Brief Project Description for MyStreetSF (50 words max): | A collection of continuous open spaces along the 101-freeway on Potrero Hill between 17th and 18th Stree project goals include improving pedestrian and bicycle circulation between neighborhoods, below, and around the freeway; promoting public health, safety, and welfare through creation of open spaces, accessibility improvements, and freeway-adjacent maintenance. Prop AA will fund pedestrian safety improvements at 17th Street & Vermont Street, which is a high-injury location. | | | | | | | | |
| Detailed Scope (may attach Word document): Please describe the project scope, benefits, coordination with other projects in the area, and how the project would meet the Prop AA screening and prioritization criteria as well as other program goals. Please describe how this project was prioritized. Please attach | See word docume | See word document attached. | | | | | | | |
| Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.). | The proposal was initiated by the Potrero Gateway Loop Steering Committee who engaged a landscape architecture firm to lead a 6-month community planning process. In 2013, the neighborhood formed a committee to create a park out of public right-of-way land. After putting out an RFP and interviewing landscape architects, the committee chose Bionic Landscape to work with the community and design the park. The neighborhood church opened its auditorium so that the neighborhood could hold four design meetings in 2014, attended by over 100 people. After conceptual design was completed in 2015, the community held a fundraiser, the proceeds of which were used to hire firm to provide a construction cost estimate; contacted the D10 Supervisor; and received a Program Manager from Public Works to assist the steering committee. Project sponsors have met five times with Caltrans engineers to provide a high-level review of the concept design and determine which parts of the project would be approved by Caltrans. The landscape team, Steering Committee, Public Works and Mayor's Office for Housing and Community Development collaborated to obtain funding from the Affordable Housing Sustainable Communities (\$750,000) and the Eastern Neighborhoods Public Benefit Fund (\$1.75M). | | | | | | | | |
| Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency. | Caltrans: Al Lee (a | al.b.lee@dot.ca.gov | Chan (yoyo.chan@sfgov.gov); '); munity Development: Stephen | Ford (stephen.ford@sfgov | .org) | | | | |
| Type of Environmental Clearance Required: | Community Plan | Exemption under | n existing Mitigated Negative I | Declaration | | | | | |
| Project Delivery Milestones | Status | Work | Start Date | End Da | ite | | | | |
| | Clarao | In house | | 2 | | | | | |

| Project Delivery Milestones | Status | Work | Start Date | | End | Date |
|--|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Phase* | % Complete | In-house, Contracted, or Both | Quarter Calendar Year | | Quarter | Calendar Year |
| Planning/Conceptual Engineering (typically 30% design) | 100% | n/a | | | | |
| Environmental Studies (PA&ED) | <u>100%</u> | in-house | Jan-Mar | 2017 | Jan-Mar | 2017 |
| Design Engineering (PS&E) | 30% | contracted | <u>Apr-Jun</u> | <u>2019</u> | <u>Apr-Jun</u> | <u>2020</u> |
| Right-of-way | | | | | | |
| Advertise Construction | 0% | N/A | <u>Apr-Jun</u> | <u>2020</u> | N/A | N/A |
| Start Construction (e.g. Award Contract) | 0% | contracted | Oct-Dec | <u>2020</u> | N/A | N/A |
| Open for Use | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | <u>Apr-Jun</u> | <u>2021</u> |
| *Only design anging ming (DS & E) and construct | tion (including role | tod ano annonant) | hagon are clicible. | for Drop AA funds | | |

*Only design engineering (PS&E) and construction (including related procurement) phases are eligible for Prop AA funds.

Comments



Potrero Gateway Loop (Pedestrian Safety Improvements)

| PROJECT COST ESTIMATE | | | | Funding Sour | ce by Phase |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|--------------------|---|
| Phase | Cost | Prop AA | Prop K | Other | Source of Cost Estimate |
| Planning/Conceptual Engineering | \$ 0 | N/A | | | |
| Environmental Studies (PA&ED) | \$10,000 | N/A | | \$10,000 | Public Works & SF Planning |
| Design Engineering (PS&E) | \$640,000 | \$80,000 | | \$560,000 | Christine Kiesling Cost Estimating, reviewed by San Francisco Public Works |
| Right-of-way | \$ 0 | N/A | | | |
| Construction | <u>\$2,230,000</u> | \$220,000 | | <u>\$2,010,000</u> | Christine Kiesling Cost Estimating, reviewed by San Francisco Public Works |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST | \$2,880,000 | \$300,000 | \$0 | \$2,580,000 | |
| Percent of Total | | 10% | 0% | 90% | - |

PROP AA EXPENDITURES BY FISCAL YEAR (CASH FLOW)*

| | 17/18 | 18/19 | 19/20 | 20/21 | 21/22 | 22/23 | 23/24 | Total |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|-----------------|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|
| Design Engineering (PS&E) | | | <u>\$80,000</u> | | | | | \$80,000 |
| Construction | | | | <u>\$220,000</u> | | | | \$220,000 |
| TOTAL BY FISCAL YEAR | \$0 | \$0 | \$80,000 | \$220,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$300,000 |

*The 2017 Strategic Plan will program funds in FYs 2017/18 to 2021/22. Cash flow can extend beyond this period.

FUNDING PLAN FOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION PHASES - ALL SOURCES

| Funding Source | Planned | Programmed | Allocated | TOTAL |
|--|---------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Prop AA | | \$300,000 | | \$300,000 |
| Eastern Neighborhoods Impact Fees | | \$1,450,000 | \$300,000 | \$1,750,000 |
| Affordable Housing Sustainable_ Communities Grant | | <u>\$750,000</u> | | <u>\$750,000</u> |
| General Fund D10 addback | | | <u>\$80,000</u> | <u>\$80,000</u> |
| TOTAL | \$0 | \$2,500,000 | \$380,000 | \$2,880,000 |

| Desired Prop AA Programming Year |
|----------------------------------|
| <u>Fiscal Year 2018/19</u> |

Comments/Concerns

Project Name:

Project Description Potrero Gateway Loop: Pedestrian Safety Enhancements Phase I Scope

Public Works seeks Prop AA funds to widen sidewalks on Vermont Ave, leveraging improvements to be funded by other sources. The six components of the overall project scope are described below.

A. <u>San Bruno</u>

San Bruno Avenue from 17th Street to Mariposa. The eastern sidewalk only goes half the length of the street while the distance from the sidewalk to the freeway shortens as you travel southward. The right-of-way originally contained many trees which are now gone because of fires and lack of tree maintenance. Once opened, this area can provide additional pathways to the Loop. Elements include:

Landscape:

- Living fence separating sidewalk and freeway
- Planted terraces
- Flat terrace plaza at the corner of San Bruno and 17th Street
- Street trees

Hardscape:

- Bulbouts at San Bruno
- Widen sidewalk
- New sidewalk
- Associated parking changes
- Maintenance path

B. Beneath the Freeway/17th

In an effort to reconnect the neighborhood that was separated by 101 Freeway, and to provide an attractive, safe passageway under a currently dark freeway underpass, the Loop project will widen the sidewalks, remove parking and enhance the bicycle lanes. Additionally the project will add an art program and lighting. The elements of this area are:

Landscape:

- Street trees
- Planted seating area

Hardscape:

- New fence
- •
- Bulb-outs at San Bruno and Vermont streets
- Sidewalk widening and associated parking removal

Project Description Potrero Gateway Loop: Pedestrian Safety Enhancements Phase I Scope

- 17th Street striped bike land/Green Connector/SFBC route
- Widened sidewalk
- Box out space between existing columns, paint and create terrace
- Stadium steps, terrace
- ADA accessible path
- Iconic stair to high point
- Maintenance storage shed
- Art program
- New lighting

C. Vermont

The Vermont street right-of-way is separated from the freeway by a sound wall that reduces sound in lower area considerably, due to its being on top of a hill. This area, with great views of the city, offers significant open space. The project will also install bulbouts and sidewalk widening to increase safety and the intersection of Vermont and 17th streets, a high collision intersection. Project elements are:

Landscape:

- New street trees
- Grassland meadow
- California wildflowers
- Sensory Art Installation
- Flat terrace

Hardscape:

- ADA accessible path
- Informal hiking trail
- Widened sidewalk along Vermont
- Corner bulbouts
- New fence between freeway and park
- Trail benches
- Steps to terrace



| Project Name: | Vision Zero Coordinated Pedestrian Safety Improvements: Bulbs & Basements |
|--|--|
| Implementing Agency: | San Francisco Public Works |
| Project Location: | Jones and Ellis, 8th and Minna |
| Supervisorial District(s): | 6 |
| Project Manager: | Marci Camacho |
| Phone Number: | 415-558-4015 |
| Email: | marcia.camacho@sfdpw.org |
| Brief Project Description for MyStreetSF (50 words max): | Adding curb ramps on or adjacent to sub-sidewalk basements using bulbouts as a method to mitigate the costly sub-sidewalk basement conflicts. Includes intersections in District 6: Jones and Ellis (2 bulbouts), and 8th and Minna (1 raised crosswalk). Bulbouts at Taylor and Turk (3 bulbouts) would be added pending coordination with the Safer Taylor Street project (non Prop AA funded). |
| Detailed Scope (may attach Word document): Please describe the project scope, benefits, coordination with other projects in the area (e.g. paving, MuniForward, Vision Zero), and how the project would meet the Prop AA screening and prioritization criteria as well as other program goals (e.g., short- term project delivery to bring tangible benefits to the public quickly). Please describe how this project was prioritized. Please attach maps, drawings, photos of current conditions, etc. to support understanding of the project. | Accessibility improvements coordinated with planned construction projects in the right-of-way to maximize efficiency and minimize disturbances to neighborhoods. Emphasis on improvements on the high-injury Vision Zero network. Locations will be at corners with sub-sidewalk basements with requests from people with disabilities as listed in the Transition Plan Prioritization. Supervisor Kim is in strong support of this work. Bulbouts are a method to shorten pedestrian crossing distances and enable the installation of curb ramps without touching costly sub-sidewalk basements. A raised crosswalk is another method to slow traffic for pedestrians, used in lieu of a curb ramp, and also enables construction without touching a sub-sidewalk basement. Sub-sidewalk basements occur all over the city and structural conditions vary greatly. Additionally, some roofs of a subsidewalk basement may double as the sidewalk. This means curb ramp installation on a sub-sidewalk basement may necessitate expensive structural work, waterproofing, and unknown expenses related to the basements' being private property. This project achieves two important citywide goals: it improves accessibility at locations with requests from people with disabilities and reduces the likelihood of additional pedestrian collisions along the Vision Zero high-injury network. Without the bulbout and crosswalk solution, curb ramps alone may be cost prohibitive at these intersections. Public Works has been making great strides towards reaching full saturation of accessible, up-to-date curb ramps citywide. However, as more ramps are constructed throughout the City, the more difficult locations remain, which increases the average cost. |
| Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.). | Met with Tenderloin neighborhood group, Central City SRO Collaborative at 48 Turk Street, and Supervisor Kim in 2015 to identify locations. This project will also fall within the City and County of San Francisco's Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Transition Plan for Curb Ramps and Sidewalks, the goal of which is to ensure that the City creates accessible paths of travel in the public right of way for people with disabilities. The City & County of San Francisco has made a significant and long-term commitment to improving the accessibility of the public right of way. The Department of Public Works has been the primary leader in these efforts, with collaboration and funding from the Mayor's Office on Disability (MOD) in prioritizing and funding curb ramp construction under the ADA Transition Plan for Curb Ramps and Sidewalks. This Transition Plan describes CCSF's existing policies and programs to enhance accessibility in the public right of way. There is a yearly prioritizing process which reviews requests for curb ramps. In FY 2016/17, the list primarily included locations identified through citizen complaints and requests, locations identified during Federal Transit Administration audits of Muni Key stations, and other locations vital to transit access identified by Muni. |
| Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency. | San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA): Damon Curtis; San Francisco Mayor's Office on Disability (MOD): Arfaraz Khambatta |
| Type of Environmental Clearance Required: | Categorical Exclusion (CE). |



| Project Delivery Milestones | Status | Work | Start | t Date | End Date | | |
|---|------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|--|
| Phase* | % Complete | In-house, Contracted, or Both | Quarter | Calendar Year | Quarter | Calendar Year | |
| Planning/Conceptual Engineering (typically 30% design) | 100% | In-house | Jan-Mar | 2015 | Apr-Jun | 2016 | |
| Environmental Studies (PA&ED) | 25% | In-house | Apr-Jun | 2016 | <u>Apr-Jun</u> | <u>2019</u> | |
| Design Engineering (PS&E) | <u>95%</u> | In-house | Apr-Jun | 2016 | <u>Apr-Jun</u> | <u>2019</u> | |
| Right-of-way | 0% | N/A | | N/A | | N/A | |
| Advertise Construction | 0% | In-house | <u>Apr-Jun</u> | <u>2019</u> | | | |
| Start Construction (e.g. Award Contract) | 0% | Contracted | Oct-Dec | <u>2019</u> | N/A | N/A | |
| Open for Use | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | Oct-Dec | <u>2020</u> | |

*Only design engineering (PS&E) and construction (including related procurement) phases are eligible for Prop AA funds.

Comments



| Project Name: | Vision Zero (| Coordinated Pede | strian Safety Im | provements: B | Sulbs & Base | ments | | |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| PROJECT COST ESTIMATE | | | Func | ling Source b | y Phase | | | |
| Phase | Cost | Prop AA | Prop K | Other | Source | of Cost Est | timate | |
| Planning/Conceptual Engineering | \$50,000 | N/A | | \$50,000 | Engineer's | estimate | | |
| Environmental Studies (PA&ED) | \$20,000 | N/A | | \$20,000 | Regulatory | Affairs estim | ate | |
| Design Engineering (PS&E) | \$300,000 | \$0 | | \$300,000 | Engineer's o | estimate | | |
| Right-of-way | \$0 | N/A | | | | | | |
| Construction | <u>\$1,632,000</u> | \$700,000 | | <u>\$932,000</u> | Engineer's | estimate | | |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST | <u>\$2,002,000</u> | \$700,000 | \$ 0 | <u>\$1,302,000</u> | | | | |
| Percent of Total | | 35% | 0% | 65% | | | | |
| PROP AA EXPENDITURES BY FI | SCAL YEAF | R (CASH FLOW |)* | | | | | |
| | 17/18 | 18/19 | 19/20 | 20/21 | 21/22 | 22/23 | 23/24 | Total |
| Design Engineering (PS&E) | | | | | | | | \$0 |
| Construction | | | <u>\$500,000</u> | <u>\$200,000</u> | | | | \$700,000 |
| TOTAL BY FISCAL YEAR | \$0 | \$0 | \$500,000 | \$200,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$700,000 |
| *The 2017 Strategic Plan will program funds in | FYs 2017/18 to | 2021/22. Cash flow | v can extend beyon | d this period. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| FUNDING PLAN FOR DESIGN A | ND CONST | RUCTION PH | ASES - ALL S | OURCES | | | | |
| FUNDING PLAN FOR DESIGN A Funding Source | ND CONST | RUCTION PH Programmed | ASES - ALL S | TOTAL | | Desired Pro | op AA Progr | camming Yea |
| | | | | | | | op AA Progr scal Year 201 | amming Yea |

\$900,000

\$0

TOTAL

Comments/Concerns

TBD (See below)

The Transportation Authority will work with SFMTA and SFPW to fully fund the Taylor and Turk bulbs with Prop K or other SFMTA controlledfunding sources when they are ready to advance.

\$370,000

\$1,632,000

\$900,000

\$2,002,000



| Project Name: | | Bulb-ou | ts at WalkFirst I | Bulb-outs at WalkFirst Locations | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Implementing Agency: | SFMTA | | | | | | | | |
| Project Location: | Citywide | | | | | | | | |
| | Multiple. (see at | tached list of poter | ntial intersection | ns) | | | | | |
| Project Manager: | Chava Kronenbe | | | | | | | | |
| Phone Number: | 701-4451 | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Email: | chava.kronenber | a @sfmta.com | | | | | | | |
| Eman: | | 00 | | | | | | | |
| Brief Project Description for MyStreetSF (50 words max): | safety zones) o | This project will continue to construct full bulb-outs on existing temporary curb extensions (painted safety zones) on the City's Vision Zero network - the highest need streets prioritized for pedestrian safety improvements. | | | | | | | |
| Detailed Scope (may attach Word document): Please describe the project scope, benefits, coordination with other projects in the area (e.g. paving, MuniForward, Vision Zero), and how the project would meet the Prop AA screening and prioritization criteria as well as other program goals (e.g., short- term project delivery to bring tangible benefits to the public quickly). Please describe how this project was prioritized. Please attach maps, drawings, photos of current conditions, etc. to support understanding of the project. | improvements safety improve 25 painted safe with the highe upgrade. These bulb-ou providing incr crosswalks. Al is a data-driver for 60 percent the WalkFirst inexpensive, au installation of Zero goal. Thi | As additional high injury corridors and communities are considered for pedestrian safety improvements, the SFMTA anticipates additional painted safety zones to be installed as tempoary safety improvements. This project would provide funding for detailed design construction of up to 25 painted safety zones for upgrade to permanent bulb-outs (see attached list). Painted safety zones with the highest priority collision patterns that warrant permanent bulb-outs will be considered for upgrade. These bulb-outs will improve pedestrian safety at intersections by reducing the crossing distance, providing increased visibility for pedestrians, and reducing the speed of turning vehicles through crosswalks. All of the potential bulb-outs emerged out of the WalkFirst planning process. WalkFirst is a data-driven planning process that identified the six percent of San Francisco's streets that account for 60 percent of pedestrian collisions. To improve pedestrian safety on these high injury corridors, the WalkFirst Investment Strategy identified a suite of countermeasures that comprise quick, inexpensive, and effective tools, including the countermeasures proposed in this project. The installation of these improvements will also work toward City and County of San Francisco's Vision Zero goal. This project also supports Plan Bay Area's Goal 3 to reduce adverse health impacts associated with air quality, road safety, and physical activity. | | | | | | | |
| Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.). | sidewalk exten will be designe Western Addit community ou <u>At its May 9, 2</u> Locations pro | asions. Examples o ed in this phase inc tion Community B attreach to ensure the 2017 meeting, the ject to require that | f types of proje dude the 2016 S ased Transport he bulb is a con <u>Transportation</u> the San Francis | hat will determine t acts that may lead to FCTA-led Vision Z ation Plan. Each pro- text sensitive solution Authority Board am aco Municipal Trans o seeking allocation | temporary curb Zero ramps study oject should hav on in the neighb ended the Bulb- portation Agene | o extension that y or the 2016 e robust orhood. -outs at WalkFirst cy obtain_ | | | |
| Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency. | None identified to date. | | | | | | | | |
| | Existing painted | cafaty zonac likaly | | | | | | | |
| Required: | | sis pending final d | | r environmental rev permanent bulbout. | If required, the | type would likely | | | |
| Required: | a case-by-case ba | sis pending final d | esign for each f | | If required, the | | | | |
| Required: | a case-by-case ba be Categorical Ez | sis pending final d xemption. | esign for each f | permanent bulbout. | If required, the | type would likely | | | |
| Required: Project Delivery Milestones | a case-by-case ba be Categorical Ez Status | sis pending final d xemption. Work In-house, Contracted, or | esign for each p Star | permanent bulbout. rt Date | If required, the | type would likely I Date | | | |
| Required: Project Delivery Milestones Phase* Planning/Conceptual Engineering | a case-by-case ba be Categorical E: Status % Complete | sis pending final d xemption. Work In-house, Contracted, or Both | esign for each p Star | permanent bulbout. rt Date | If required, the | type would likely I Date | | | |
| Required: Project Delivery Milestones Phase* Planning/Conceptual Engineering (typically 30% design) | a case-by-case ba be Categorical E2 Status % Complete 100% | sis pending final d xemption. Work In-house, Contracted, or Both In-house | esign for each p Star | permanent bulbout. rt Date | If required, the | type would likely 1 Date | | | |
| Required: Project Delivery Milestones Phase* Planning/Conceptual Engineering (typically 30% design) Environmental Studies (PA&ED) | a case-by-case ba be Categorical E2 Status % Complete 100% 100% | sis pending final d xemption. Work In-house, Contracted, or Both In-house In-house | esign for each p Star | permanent bulbout. rt Date | If required, the | type would likely 1 Date | | | |
| Required: Project Delivery Milestones Phase* Planning/Conceptual Engineering (typically 30% design) Environmental Studies (PA&ED) Design Engineering (PS&E) | a case-by-case ba be Categorical E2 Status % Complete 100% 100% | sis pending final d xemption. Work In-house, Contracted, or Both In-house In-house | esign for each f Star Quarter | rt Date Calendar Year | If required, the Enc Quarter | type would likely 1 Date Calendar Year | | | |
| Required: Project Delivery Milestones Phase* Planning/Conceptual Engineering (typically 30% design) Environmental Studies (PA&ED) Design Engineering (PS&E) Right-of-way | a case-by-case ba be Categorical E2 Status % Complete 100% 100% <u>90%</u> | sis pending final d xemption. Un-house, Contracted, or Both In-house In-house In-house | esign for each f Star Quarter | permanent bulbout. rt Date | If required, the Enc Quarter | type would likely I Date Calendar Year | | | |

*Only design engineering (PS&E) and construction (including related procurement) phases are eligible for Prop AA funds. Comments



| Project Name: | | Bulb-outs at WalkFirst Locations | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| PROJECT COST ESTIMATE | [| | | Funding So | ource by Phase | | |
| Phase | Cost | Prop AA | Prop K | Other | Source of Cost Estimate | | |
| Planning/Conceptual Engineering | \$ 0 | N/A | \$0 | \$ 0 | Actual | | |
| Environmental Studies (PA&ED) | \$10,000 | N/A | \$0 | \$10,000 | Actual | | |
| Design Engineering (PS&E) | \$491,757 | \$491,757 | \$ 0 | | • | | |
| Right-of-way | \$ 0 | N/A | \$0 | - | | | |
| Construction | #VALUE! | \$500,000 | \$0 | <u>Revise</u> | Revised cost estimates pending confirmation. | | |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST | #VALUE! | \$991,757 | \$0 | \$10,000 | | | |
| Percent of Total | | #VALUE! | #VALUE! | #VALUE! | | | |

PROP AA EXPENDITURES BY FISCAL YEAR (CASH FLOW)*

| | 17/18 | 18/19 | 19/20 | 20/21 | 21/22 | 22/23 | 23/24 | Total |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|-------|-------|-------------|
| Design Engineering (PS&E) | | | | | | | | \$ 0 |
| Construction | | | <u>Cashflov</u> | v pending confi | rmation. | | | \$500,000 |
| TOTAL BY FISCAL YEAR | \$0 | \$0 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$500,000 |

*The 2017 Strategic Plan will program funds in FYs 2017/18 to 2021/22. Cash flow can extend beyond this period.

FUNDING PLAN FOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION PHASES - ALL SOURCES

| Funding Source | Planned | Programmed | Allocated | TOTAL |
|----------------|--|------------|-----------|-------------|
| Prop AA | | \$500,000 | \$491,757 | \$991,757 |
| Prop B | | | \$10,000 | \$10,000 |
| Prop A GO Bond | Revised cost estimates pending confirmation. | | | |
| TOTAL | \$0 | \$500,000 | \$501,757 | \$1,001,757 |

| Desired Prop AA Programming Year | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Fiscal Year 2018/19 | |
| | |

Comments/Concerns

Permanent Painted Safety Zones

Painted Safety Zone Conversion List of Potential Intersections, February 2019

| Intersection | PSZ Locations | District |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------|
| 1027 Jones and O'Farrell | NE | 6 |
| 1030 Mission and Virginia | NE | 9 |
| 1030 Mission and Virginia | NW | 9 |
| 1030 Mission and Virginia | SE | 9 |
| 1034 Mission and Santa Rosa | SW | 11 |
| 1042 3rd St and Van Dyke | SW | 10 |
| 1044 San Jose and Plymouth | S | 11 |
| 1059 Eddy and Mason | NE | 3, 6 |
| 1092 17th St and South Van Ness | NE | 9 |
| 1092 17th St and South Van Ness | SW | 9 |
| 1093 Post and Webster | All corners | 5 |
| 1105 Bush and Hyde | NE | 3 |
| 1105 Bush and Hyde | SW | 3 |
| 1110 Eddy and Leavenworth | SE | 6 |
| 1114 Golden Gate and Larkin | NW | 6 |
| 1118 Larkin and Sutter | NE | 3 |
| 1118 Larkin and Sutter | SW | 3 |
| 1130 3rd and Harrison | SE | 6 |
| 1131 Bush and Franklin | NW | 2 |
| 1134 Hyde and Sutter | SE | 3 |
| 1167 Leavenworth and Turk | SW | 6 |



Typical Before – Painted Safety Zone

Typical After – Bulb-out





| Project Name: | Western Addition Transportation Plan Implementation (Pedestrian Lighting) |
|--|---|
| Implementing Agency: | SFMTA SFPW |
| Project Location: | May include Webster, McAllister, Eddy, Golden Gate and Laguna streets in the Western Addition. |
| Supervisorial District(s): | District 5 |
| Project Manager: | Chava Kronenberg Edmund Lee |
| Phone Number: | 7 01_4451_(415)_554-8258 |
| Email: | chava.kronenberg@sfmta.com |
| Brief Project Description for MyStreetSF (50 words max): | This project will improve pedestrian safety, enhance community connections to recreational spaces and the overall walkability of community-identified priority streets in the Western Addition. Project improvements include pedestrian lighting to promote greater walking and biking in the Western Addition. |
| Detailed Scope (may attach Word document): Please describe the project scope, benefits, coordination with other projects in the area (e.g. paving, MuniForward, Vision Zero), and how the project would meet the Prop AA screening and prioritization criteria as well as other program goals (e.g., short- term project delivery to bring tangible benefits to the public quickly). Please describe how this project was prioritized. Please attach maps, drawings, photos of current conditions, etc. to support understanding of the project. | This project proposes pedestrian safety and walkability improvements to community-identified priority streets in the Western Addition neighborhood. Beyond the scope of nearer-term improvements, the Western Addition Community-Based Transportation Plan (CBTP) specifically calls out to pedestrian lighting to address the community's pedestrian safety and security concerns as well as provide a decorative, human-scale element in the streetscape, fostering neighborhood identity and improving neighborhood aesthetics. Pedestrian lighting will promote greater walking and biking throughout the Western Addition. The network was developed using pedestrian path of travel results from community outreach, reported pedestrian collisions, crime data and Muni routes. This network will connect community members to major community destinations like Safeway, Ella Hill Hutch Community Center and the Fillmore Street commercial district. The pedestrian lighting network will facilitate safe connections to Muni service provided by the 5/5R-Fulton/Rapid, 22-Fillmore, 24- Divisadero, 31-Balboa, 38/38R-Geary Rapid, 47-Van Ness and 49-Mission. Proposed network locations are: • Laguna, between Eddy and McAllister • Webster Street between O'Farrell and Grove • McAllister Street between Fillmore and Gough • Eddy Street between Fillmore and Gough • Eddy Street between Scott and Webster Street • Golden Gate Avenue between Fillmore and Gough Prop AA funds will be used to implement pedestrian lighting along one or more of these corridors. Corridors will be prioritized based on feasibility, community input, and availability of funding. The proposal excludes walking connections proposed under the Buchanan Mall Community Connections projects. |
| Prior Community Engagement/Support (may attach Word document): Please reference any community outreach that has occurred and whether the project is included in any plans (e.g. neighborhood transportation plan, corridor improvement study, station area plans, etc.). | This project is recommended as part of the Western Addition CBTP (funded in part with District 5 Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Program (NTIP) planning funds), and was developed based on the plan's year-long community outreach process. Ten community meetings were conducted by the SFMTA and community-based organization, Mo'MAGIC. As part of the outreach process, community members developed transportation goals, identified issue locations and assessed streetscape designs. |
| Partner Agencies: Please list partner agencies and identify a staff contact at each agency. | SF Public Utilities Commission, SF Recreation and Parks Department (RPD) |
| Type of Environmental Clearance Required: | CEQA |



| Project Delivery Milestones | Status | Work | End Date | | | | |
|---|------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|--|
| Phase* | % Complete | In-house, Contracted, or Both | Quarter | Calendar Year | Quarter | Calendar Year | |
| Planning/Conceptual Engineering (typically 30% design) | 95% | In-house | Oct-Dec | 2014 | Jan-Mar | 2017 | |
| Environmental Studies (PA&ED) | 0% | In-house | Apr-Jun | 2017 | Apr-Jun | 2018 | |
| Design Engineering (PS&E) | 0% | In-house | <u>Jan-Mar</u> | <u>2020</u> | <u>Apr-Jun</u> | <u>2020</u> | |
| Right-of-way | | | | | | | |
| Advertise Construction | | N/A | Jul-Sep | <u>2020</u> | N/A | N/A | |
| Start Construction (e.g. Award Contract) | 0% | Contracted | Oct-Dec | <u>2020</u> | N/A | N/A | |
| Open for Use | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | Jul-Sep | 2021 | |

*Only design engineering (PS&E) and construction (including related procurement) phases are eligible for Prop AA funds.

Comments

SFMTA will coordinate closely with the SFPUC to determine the most appropriate agency to implement this project (i.e., SFMTA or SFPUC).



| Project Name: | Western Addition Transportation Plan Implementation (Pedestrian Lighting) | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-------------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| PROJECT COST ESTIMATE | | by Phase | | | | | | | | |
| Phase | Cost | Prop AA | Prop K | Other | Source of Cost Estimate | | | | | |
| Planning/Conceptual Engineering | \$ 300,000 | N/A | \$ 240,000 | \$ 60,000 | Actual | | | | | |
| Environmental Studies (PA&ED) | \$ - | N/A | \$- | \$ - | | | | | | |
| Design Engineering (PS&E) | \$ 100,000 | <u>\$ 100,000</u> | \$- | \$ - | Engineer's estimate | | | | | |
| Right-of-way | \$ - | N/A | \$ - | \$ - | | | | | | |
| Construction | \$ 1,550,000 | \$ 886,928 | \$- | \$ 663,072 | Engineer's estimate | | | | | |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST | \$ 1,950,000 | \$ 986,928 | \$ 240,000 | \$ 723,072 | | | | | | |
| Percent of Total | | 51% | 12% | 37% | | | | | | |

A 1 11.1 /TT

PROP AA EXPENDITURES BY FISCAL YEAR (CASH FLOW)*

....

| | 17/18 | 18/19 | 19/20 | 20/21 | 21/22 | 22/23 | 23/24 | Total |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|------------------|
| Design Engineering (PS&E) | | | <u>\$ 100,000</u> | | | | | <u>\$100,000</u> |
| Construction | | | | \$ <u>886,928</u> | | | | <u>\$886,928</u> |
| TOTAL BY FISCAL YEAR | \$- | \$- | \$ 100,000 | \$ 886,928 | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$986,928 |

*The 2017 Strategic Plan will program funds in FYs 2017/18 to 2021/22. Cash flow can extend beyond this period.

FUNDING PLAN FOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION PHASES - ALL SOURCES

| Funding Source | F | Planned Programm | | | A | llocated | TOTAL | | |
|----------------|----|------------------|----|---------|----|----------|-------|---------|--|
| Prop AA | | | \$ | 240,000 | | | \$ | 240,000 | |
| TBD | \$ | 663,072 | | | | | | | |
| MTC CBTP | | | | | \$ | 60,000 | \$ | 60,000 | |
| Prop K | | | | | \$ | 240,000 | \$ | 240,000 | |
| TOTAL | \$ | 663,072 | \$ | 240,000 | \$ | 300,000 | \$ | 540,000 | |

| Desired Prop AA Programming Year |
|-------------------------------------|
| Fiscal Year 2019/20 |

Comments/Concerns

D ·

N T

SFPW will finalize the budget for the design and construction phases upon final selection of the project corridor and the corresponding number of pedestrian lights to be installed. The projected shortfall is \$663,072 which would fully fund the cost of installing lighting on three blocks. SFPW will reduce scope if additional funding sources are not secured.

Proposed 2017 Prop AA Strategic Plan Amendment

Programming and Allocations

As Proposed, pending 03/19/2019

| Project Name | Phase | Sponsor | F | iscal Year 2017/18 | iscal Year 2018/19 | | iscal Year 2019/20 | F | iscal Year 2020/21 | F | iscal Year 2021/22 | 5- | Year Total |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----|--------------------------------|----|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|---------------------------|
| Street Repair and Reconstruction | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Targ | et Funds Availa | ble in Category | \$ | 3,294,247 | \$ 2,189,097 | \$ | 2,189,097 | \$ | 2,189,097 | \$ | 2,189,097 | \$ | 12,050,63 |
| Geary Boulevard Pavement Renovation ¹ | Construction | SFPW | | | | \$ | 2,397,129 | | | | | \$ | 2,397,12 |
| 23rd St, Dolores St, York St and Hampshire St Pavement Renovation ¹ | Construction | SFPW | | | | \$ | 2,397,129 | | | | | \$ | 2,397,12 |
| Mission Street Transit and Pavement Improvement | Construction | SFPW | | | | | | \$ | 2,397,129 | | | \$ | 2,397,12 |
| Fillmore Street Pavement Renovation | Construction | SFPW | | | | | | | | \$ | 2,397,129 | \$ | 2,397,12 |
| Subtotal Programmed to Category (% all time) | 47.4% | | \$ | | \$ - | \$ | 4,794,258 | \$ | 2,397,129 | \$ | 2,397,129 | \$ | 9,588,51 |
| Cumulative Remaining Capacity | | | \$ | 3,294,24 7 | \$ 5,483,344 | \$ | 2,878,183 | \$ | 2,670,151 | \$ | 2,462,119 | \$ | 2,462,11 |
| Pedestrian Safety | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | et Funds Availa | ble in Category | \$ | 1,414,490 | \$ 939,958 | \$ | 939,958 | \$ | 939,958 | \$ | 939,958 | \$ | 5,174,324 |
| Haight Street Streetscape (Pedestrian Lighting) | Construction | SFPW | \$ | 2,052,000 | , | | , | | , | | , | \$ | 2,052,00 |
| Potrero Gateway Loop (Pedestrian Safety Improvements) ¹ | Design, Construction | SFPW | | | \$ 300,000 | | | | | | | \$ | 300,00 |
| Vision Zero Coordinated Pedestrian Safety Improvements (Bulbs & Basements) ¹ | Construction | SFPW | | | \$ 700,000 | | | | | | | \$ | 700,00 |
| Arguello Boulevard Traffic Signal Upgrade | Construction | SFMTA | | | \$ 655,000 | | | | | | | \$ | 655,00 |
| Bulb-outs at WalkFirst Locations ¹ | Construction | SFMTA | | | \$ 500,000 | | | | | | | \$ | 500,00 |
| Western Addition Transportation Plan Implementation (Pedestrian Lighting) ¹ | Construction | SFPW | | | | \$ | 986,928 | | | | | \$ | 986,92 |
| Subtotal Programmed to Category (% all time) Cumulative Remaining Capacity | 26.3% | | \$ \$ | 2,052,000 <i>(637,510</i>) | 2,155,000 <i>(1,852,552</i>) | | 986,928 <i>(1,899,521</i>) | | - (959,563) | \$ \$ | - (19,604) | \$ \$ | 5,193,92 <i>(19,60</i> |
| Transit Reliability and Mobility Improvements | | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | et Funds Availa | ble in Category | \$ | 2,202,022 | \$ 1,463,291 | \$ | 1,463,291 | \$ | 1,463,291 | \$ | 1,463,291 | \$ | 8,055,18 |
| Muni Metro Station Enhancements - Phase 1 | Construction | SFMTA | \$ | 2,465,316 | , , , , | | , , , , | | , , , , | | , , , , | \$ | 2,465,31 |
| Muni Metro Station Enhancements - Phase 2 | Construction | SFMTA | | | | \$ | 3,503,099 | | | 1 | | \$ | 3,503,09 |
| Transit Stop Enhancement Program | Design, Construction | SFMTA | | | | | | \$ | 2,064,919 | | | \$ | 2,064,91 |
| Subtotal Programmed to Category (% all time) | 26.2% | | \$ | 2,465,316 | \$ - | \$ | 3,503,099 | \$ | 2,064,919 | \$ | - | \$ | 8,033,33 |
| Cumulative Remaining Capacity | | | \$ | (263,294) | 1,199,997 | \$ | (839,811) | | (1,441,440) | | 21,851 | \$ | 21,85 |
| Total Available Funds | | | \$ | 6,910,759 | \$ 4,592,346 | \$ | 4,592,346 | \$ | 4,592,346 | \$ | 4,592,346 | \$ | 25,280,14 |
| Total Programmed | | | \$ | 4,517,316 | 2,155,000 | | 9,284,285 | - | 4,462,048 | | 2,397,129 | | 22,815,77 |
| Cumulative Remaining Capacity | | | \$ | 2,393,443 | \$ 4,830,789 | \$ | 138,850 | | 269,148 | | 2,464,365 | Ŧ | |
| Allocated | | Pen | din | g allocation | | 1 | | | | | | | |

Notes

¹ Comprehensive 2017 Strategic Plan Amendment (Reso XX, approved MMDDYY).

Prop AA Vehicle Registration Fee Strategic Plan Screening and Prioritization Criteria – Proposed Revisions¹

The Prop AA Expenditure Plan requires that the Strategic Plan include a prioritization mechanism to rank projects within each of the three programmatic categories. The intent of this requirement is to provide the Transportation Authority Board, the public, and Prop AA project sponsors with a clear understanding of how projects are prioritized for funding within program. Having a transparent and well-documented prioritization methodology in place allows for an open, inclusive and predictable project development process, intended to result in a steady stream of projects that are ready to compete for Prop AA, Prop K, and other discretionary (i.e., competitive) fund sources for implementation. In addition, a robust prioritization methodology helps to ensure that projects programmed for Prop AA funds can deliver near-term, tangible benefits to the public as intended by the Expenditure Plan. Finally, it allows projects funded by Prop AA and other funding sources that should result in efficiencies and minimize disruption caused by construction activities.

I. SCREENING

Projects must meet all screening criteria in order to be considered further for Prop AA funding. The screening criteria focus on meeting the eligibility requirements for Prop AA funds and include, but are not limited to, the following factors:

- Project sponsor is an eligible administering agency per the Prop AA Expenditure Plan guidelines.
- Project is eligible for funding from one or more of Prop AA's three programmatic categories.
- Project is seeking Prop AA funds for design or construction phases only.
- Project is consistent with the regional transportation plan.
- Project is consistent with agency adopted plans; existing and planned land uses; and adopted standards for urban design and for the provision of pedestrian amenities; and supportive of planned growth in transit friendly housing, employment and services.

II. GENERAL PRIORITIZATION

Projects that meet all of the Prop AA screening criteria will be prioritized for Prop AA funding based on, but not limited to the factors listed below. Neither the general prioritization criteria listed below nor category-specific criteria listed in Section III are in any particular order nor are they weighted. In general, the more criteria a project satisfies and the better it meets them, the higher a project will be ranked.

- **Project Readiness:** Priority shall be given to projects that can implement the funded phase(s) within twelve months of allocation. Implementation includes issuance of a purchase order to secure project components, awarding a contract, or encumbrance of staff labor charges by project sponsor.
- **Time Sensitivity:** Priority shall be given to projects that are trying to take advantage of time sensitive construction coordination opportunities and whether the project would leverage other funding sources with timely use of funds requirements.

• **Community Engagement/Support:** Priority shall be given to projects with clear and ¹ Proposed revisions are shown in track changes.

diverse community support and/or developed out of a community-based planning process (e.g., community based transportation plan, the Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Program, corridor improvement study, campus master plan, station area plans, etc.).

- Benefits Communities of Concern: Priority will be given to projects that directly benefit disadvantaged populations, whether the project is directly located in a Community of Concern or can demonstrate benefits to disadvantaged populations.
- **Fund Leveraging:** Priority shall be given to projects that can demonstrate leveraging of Prop AA funds, or that can justify why they are ineligible, have very limited eligibility, or compete poorly to receive Prop K or other discretionary funds.
- **Geographic Equity:** Prop AA programming will reflect fair geographic distribution that takes into account the various needs of San Francisco's neighborhoods. This factor will be applied program-wide and to individual projects, as appropriate.
- **Project Sponsor Priority:** For project sponsors that submit multiple Prop AA applications, the Transportation Authority will consider the project sponsor's relative priority for its applications.
- **Project Delivery Track Record:** The Transportation Authority will consider the project sponsor(s)' past project delivery track record of prior Prop AA and other Transportation Authority-programmed funds when prioritizing potential Prop AA projects. For sponsors that have not previously received Transportation Authority-funds, the Transportation Authority will consider the sponsors' project delivery track record for capital projects funded by other means.

III. PROGRAMMATIC CATEGORY PRIORITIZATION

In addition to the general prioritization criteria detailed in Section II, listed below are prioritization criteria specific to each programmatic category.

Street Repair and Reconstruction

- Priority will be given to projects based on an industry-standard pavement management system designed to inform cost effective roadway maintenance.
- Priority will be given to streets located on San Francisco's bicycle and transit networks.
- Priority will be given to projects that include complete streets elements. Specifically, priority will be given to projects that include at least a minimal level of enhancement over previous conditions and that directly benefit multiple system users regardless of fund source (e.g. Street Repair and Reconstruction category, other Prop AA category or non-Prop AA fund source). Enhancements include complete streets elements for pedestrians, cyclists, or transit passengers that are improvements above and beyond those triggered by the street repair and reconstruction work (e.g. ADA compliant curb ramps required because of the street repair and reconstruction work).

Pedestrian Safety

• Priority will be given to projects that shorten crossing distances, minimize conflicts with other modes, and reduce pedestrian hazards.

- Priority will be given to projects on corridors that are identified through or are consistent with WalkFirst, Vision Zero, or successor efforts (e.g. pedestrian master plan).
- Priority will be given to infrastructure projects that improve access to transit and/or schools.

Transit Reliability and Mobility Improvements

- Priority will be given to projects that support existing or proposed rapid transit, including projects identified in transit performance plans or programs such as the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's Muni Forward program and Rapid Network initiative.
- Priority will be given to projects that increase transit accessibility, reliability, and connectivity (e.g. stop improvements, transit stop consolidation and relocation, transit signal priority, traffic signal upgrades, travel information improvements, wayfinding signs, bicycle parking, and improved connections to regional transit).
- Priority will be given to travel demand management projects that aim to reduce congestion and transit crowding and are aligned with San Francisco's citywide travel demand management goals.
- Priority will be given to projects that address documented safety issues.

| Wednesday, March 20, 2019 | Transportation Authority Issues Prop AA Call for Projects |
|------------------------------|---|
| April 2019 | Transportation Authority Technical Working Group Workshop for potential applicants |
| Friday, April 26, 2019, 5 pm | Prop AA Applications Due to the Transportation Authority |
| Thursday, May 16, 2019 | Transportation Authority Technical Working Group Prop AA staff recommendations |
| Wednesday, May 22, 2019 | Citizens Advisory Committee – ACTION Prop AA staff recommendations |
| Tuesday, June 11, 2019 | Transportation Authority Board – PRELIMINARY ACTION Prop AA staff recommendations |
| Tuesday, June 25, 2019 | Transportation Authority Board – FINAL ACTION Prop AA staff recommendations |

Proposed Call for Projects Schedule

* Meeting dates are subject to change. Please check the Transportation Authority's website for the most up-to-date schedule (www.sfcta.org/agendas).