



SFCTA Clerk <clerk@sfcta.org>

TIMMA Jun 24 meeting public comment

1 message

Elena Ufimtseva <ufimtseva@gmail.com>
To: Clerk <clerk@sfcta.org>

Mon, Jun 24, 2024 at 9:17 AM

Dear Board Members

Please accept the following comments for the Jun 24 2024 meeting agenda in regard to the base toll policy to/off the Treasure Island.

UNLIKE COMMUTING, RECREATION IS A PROTECTED ACTIVITY

1. As a member of SFBA and a member of the large windsurfing/sup paddling community of the Bay Area, I would like to bring to your attention that the Project and mitigation of the traffic is meant for the new residents/businesses. We are recreational users that are not a part of the Project and some of us have used Treasure Island for decades as a water recreation destination. When the proposed Mitigations are meant for the projected increase in the vehicular traffic, the current users are not part of the Project.

2. The name for the toll policy suggests traffic mitigation. But this is to mislead the public.
Throughout the Project documents, the purpose of tolling is stated as the funding of the TI transportation.
So it should be called exactly that - TI toll to fund the ferry.

3. The recreational travel has a special status under the project entitlements, as Final EIR describes. The presented changes that TIMMA tries to push without proper supplemental EIR process. For some reason, the staff clumped together existing recreational traffic (1.8 % projected off peak) with other non-residential travels and now claims that recreational travel is projected to be 50% of the traffic. These substantial changes without explanation why and how this was done, cannot be approved without going through a supplemental EIR process with public review included.

4. The fact that TIMMA can change any time it wants the toll and hours on its own accord is just unacceptable.

Thank you!
Truly Yours.
Will join tomorrow.

Elena

--

Elena