# SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY Meeting Notice 

## DATE:

LOCATION:

Tuesday, July 23, 2024, 10:00 a.m.
Legislative Chamber, Room 250, City Hall
Watch SF Cable Channel 26 or 99
(depending on your provider)
Watch www.sfgovtv.org

PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN: 1-415-655-0001; Access Code: 2660486 0021\# \#
To make public comment on an item, when the item is called, dial '*3' to be added to the queue to speak. Do not press *3 again or you will be removed from the queue. When the system says your line is unmuted, the live operator will advise that you will be allowed 2 minutes to speak. When your 2 minutes are up, we will move on to the next caller. Calls will be taken in the order in which they are received.
COMMISSIONERS: Mandelman (Chair), Melgar (Vice Chair), Chan, Dorsey, Engardio, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safaí, Stefani, and Walton

## CLERK: Amy Saeyang

## Remote Participation

Members of the public may attend the meeting to observe and provide public comment at the physical meeting location listed above or may watch SF Cable Channel 26 or 99 (depending on your provider) or may visit the SFGovTV website (www.sfgovtv.org) to stream the live meeting or may watch them on demand.

Members of the public may comment on the meeting during public comment periods in person or remotely. In-person public comment will be taken first; remote public comment will be taken after.

Written public comment may be submitted prior to the meeting by emailing the Clerk of the Transportation Authority at clerk@sfcta.org or sending written comments to Clerk of the Transportation Authority, 1455 Market Street, 22nd Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103. Written comments received by 5 p.m. on the day before the meeting will be distributed to Board members before the meeting begins.

1. Roll Call
2. Chair's Report - INFORMATION
3. Executive Director's Report - INFORMATION
4. Approve the Minutes of July 9, 2024 Meeting - ACTION*

## Consent Agenda

5. [Final Approval] Reappoint Sara Barz as the District 7 Representative to the Community Advisory Committee - ACTION*
6. [Final Approval] Adopt the 2023 Prop L 5-Year Prioritization Programs for Next
Generation Transit Investments, Equity Priority Transportation Program, Development
Oriented Transportation, and Citywide/Modal Planning and Amend the Prop L Strategic
Plan Baseline - ACTION*
7. [Final Approval] Allocate $\$ 15,006,000$ and Appropriate $\$ 800,000$ in Prop L Funds, with
Conditions, for Five Requests - ACTION*

Projects: SFMTA: Paratransit ( $\$ 13,506,000$ ), Safe Streets Evaluation Program ( $\$ 450,000$ ). SFPW: Tree Planting and Establishment ( $\$ 1,050,000$ ). SFCTA: Neighborhood Transportation Program Coordination (\$100,000), San Francisco Transportation Plan (SFTP) $2050+(\$ 700,000)$.
8. [Final Approval] Adopt the 2023 Prop L 5-Year Prioritization Program for Managed Lanes and Express Bus, Amend the Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline, and Appropriate $\$ 1,000,000$ in Prop L Funds, with Conditions, for the SF Freeway Network Management Study - ACTION*
9. [Final Approval] Authorize Borrowing of up to $\$ 65,000,000$ under the Revolving Credit Agreement with U.S. Bank National Association; the Extension of Such Agreement for up to Six Months; the Execution and Delivery of Related Legal Documents; and the Taking of All Other Actions Necessary or Desirable in Connection Therewith ACTION*
10. [Final Approval] Approve a New Declaration of Official Intent to Reimburse Certain Expenditures from the Proceeds of Indebtedness - ACTION* ..... 111
11. [Final Approval] Approve the Jane Warner Plaza [NTIP Planning] Final Report - ACTION* ..... 117
12. [Final Approval] Approve the Fiscal Year $2024 / 25$ Transportation Fund for Clean Air Program of Projects - ACTION* ..... 145
Projects: SFE: Emergency Ride Home (\$91,775). SFMTA: Short-Term Bike Parking ( $\$ 506,004$ ), Paratransit Electrification $(\$ 45,000)$. SFCTA: Program Administration $(\$ 47,445)$
End of Consent Agenda
13. SFMTA Quick-Build Program Update - INFORMATION* ..... 179
14. SFMTA: What's Next for Vision Zero - INFORMATION* ..... 186
15. San Francisco Department of Public Health Vision Zero SF: Severe Injury and Fatalities Trends Update - INFORMATION* ..... 191
16. Transit Recovery and Fiscal Cliff: SFMTA - INFORMATION* ..... 203
17. Internal Accounting Report, Investment Report, and Debt Expenditure Report for the Nine Months Ending March 31, 2024 - INFORMATION*

## Other Items

18. Introduction of New Items - INFORMATION

During this segment of the meeting, Commissioners may make comments on items not specifically listed above or introduce or request items for future consideration.
19. Public Comment
20. Adjournment
*Additional Materials

Items considered for final approval by the Board shall be noticed as such with [Final Approval] preceding the item title.

The meeting proceedings can be viewed live or on demand after the meeting at www.sfgovtv.org. To know the exact cablecast times for weekend viewing, please call SFGovTV at (415) 554-4188 on Friday when the cablecast times have been determined.

The Legislative Chamber (Room 250) and the Committee Room (Room 263) in City Hall are wheelchair accessible. Meetings are real-time captioned and are cablecast open-captioned on SFGovTV, the Government Channel 26 or 99 (depending on your provider). Assistive listening devices for the Legislative Chamber and the Committee Room are available upon request at the Clerk of the Board's Office, Room 244. To request sign language interpreters, readers, large print agendas, or other accommodations, please contact the Clerk of the Transportation Authority at (415) 522-4800. Requests made at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting will help to ensure availability. Attendees at all public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical-based products.

If any materials related to an item on this agenda have been distributed to the Board after distribution of the meeting packet, those materials are available for public inspection at the Transportation Authority at 1455 Market Street, 22nd Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103, during normal office hours.

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign \& Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102; (415) 252-3100; www.sfethics.org.
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## DRAFT MINUTES

## San Francisco County Transportation Authority

Tuesday, July 09, 2024

## 1. Roll Call

Chair Mandelman called the meeting to order at 10:01 a.m.
Present at Roll Call: Commissioners Dorsey, Engardio, Mandelman, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, and Walton (8)


#### Abstract

Absent at Roll Call: Commissioners Chan (entered during Item 3), Safai (entered during Item 4), Stefani (3)


2. Approve the Minutes of June 25, 2024 Meeting - ACTION

There was no public comment.
Commissioner Dorsey moved to approve the minutes, seconded by Commissioner Ronen.

The minutes were approved without objection by the following vote:

> Ayes: Commissioners Dorsey, Engardio, Mandelman, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, and Walton (8)
> Absent: Commissioners Chan, Safai, Stefani (3)

## 3. Community Advisory Committee Report - INFORMATION

Kat Siegal, Community Advisory Committee (CAC) Chair, reported that during the June 26 CAC meeting, committee members had a long discussion on the four Prop L5-Year Prioritization Programs (5YPPs) that the Board would be considering as part of item 6 with most of the discussion time spent on the curbside EV charging pilot project under the Citywide/Modal Planning 5YPP. Chair Siegal stated multiple members raised concerns that curbside EV charging structure would entrench parking at the city curb space when the City should focus shifting travel to other modes consistent with the Transit First policy. Chair Siegal also said some CAC members acknowledged that many people in the city would continue to rely on cars and curbside parking and advocated this was an important pilot given the state mandate to transition to electric vehicles. Chair Siegal reported that ultimately, the CAC approved programming funds for the curbside pilot and added a condition to their motion of support that SFMTA return to further discuss the pilot study and project at the next CAC meeting.

Chair Siegal reported that in regard to the Managed Lanes and Express Bus 5YPP, CAC members were glad to see that concerns raised about the proposed lane conversions on the 280 northbound study that increase freeway capacity were incorporated into the new approach and the new study has design objectives of not increasing freeway capacity. Chair Siegal stated that the CAC voted unanimously to approve that 5YPP. Chair Siegal reported the CAC also voted unanimously to support the remaining
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agenda items. Chair Siegal concluded by noting that CAC members including the District 8 representative had positive feedback on the Jane Warner Plaza report and had requested updates on near-term efforts to repair the plaza in response to public comment.

During public comment, Aleta DuPree stated she was interested in EV curbside charging pilots and that her biggest concern with EV curbside charging was vandalism, while acknowledging that not everyone has access to garages where they can charge EVs. She said she looked forward to a balanced approach.

During public comment, Roland Lebrun stated there has been a positive impact to the air quality in the Bay Area as a result of the increased usage of EV s. He stated the issue with curbside charging was that there was no level 2 charging which makes charging very slow. He restated his previous recommendation in light of the San Francisco Municipal Railway's (MUNI's) current fiscal situation which he speculated was caused by dropping revenue from parking was to turn over some off-street parking spaces to the private sector in order to generate some revenue for MUNI and have level 3 chargers which can charge his car in 15 minutes.

## 4. Reappoint Sara Barz as the District 7 Representative to the Community Advisory Committee - ACTION

Mike Pickford, Principal Transportation Planner, presented the item per the staff memorandum.

Commissioner Melgar stated Sara Barz was a Sunnyside resident, a new mother, and a dedicated transportation professional and had been serving on the CAC for years. Commissioner Melgar said she would nominate Ms. Barz for reappointment because her absences were not a reflection of disinterest, but a reflection of the complex lives we all lead, and she encouraged the need to embrace the kinds of people who serve the city with grace and few benefits.
Ms. Barz spoke to her interests and qualifications for serving on the CAC, stating that if she were reappointed to the CAC, she would be committed to representing the unique transportation interest of District 7 and champion initiatives that make San Francisco's transportation system more sustainable, equitable, and family friendly.

There was no public comment.
Commissioner Melgar moved to reappoint Sara Barz to the CAC, seconded by Commissioner Chan.

The item was approved without objection by the following vote:
Ayes: Commissioners Chan, Dorsey, Engardio, Mandelman, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safai, and Walton (10)
Absent: Commissioners Stefani (1)

## 5. State and Federal Legislation Update - INFORMATION

Mark Watts, Sacramento Advocate, presented the item per the staff memorandum.
During public comment, Aleta DuPree stated she has used autonomous vehicles, and they were working fine. She also stated she was happy Senate Bill 915 had been pulled because she disagreed with the idea of prohibition but agreed with sound regulation

County Transportation
Authority

Board Meeting Minutes
and was looking forward seeing what Assembly Bill (AB) 1777 and $A B 3061$ would entail. She also said she thought the data could be helpful with trend analysis, but she expressed concern about any personal data being used.

During public comment, Roland Leburn stated he appreciated funding for transportation but that the budget suspended $\$ 13.7$ million in solutions effecting schools which would be supposedly compensated by a measure in the fall, which if approved, he speculated would restore an excess of $\$ 4$ billion.
6. Adopt the 2023 Prop L 5-Year Prioritization Programs for Next Generation Transit Investments, Equity Priority Transportation Program, Development Oriented Transportation, and Citywide/Modal Planning and Amend the Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline - ACTION

Nick Smith, Senior Transportation Planner, presented the item per the staff memorandum.

During public comment Aleta DuPree expressed her support for EV charging and the T line extension. She indicated a desire for more information regarding the subway plan and said she supports a west side subway that wasn't redundant with the Twin Peaks tunnel, but rather paralleled19 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.

Michael Petrelis called attention to how public engagement is described in the attachments. He said there was no requirement to hold open public meetings and indicated it was important that proposals and contacts mandate open public meetings that any member of the public could attend. He said that the Jane Warner Plaza project held invitation-only meetings and asked the Board to ensure that staff hold and publicize open public meetings.
Roland Lebrun called attention to the Next Generation Transit placeholder project which mentioned the Pennsylvania Avenue Extension and Link21 projects. He said mention of those projects was encouraging recommended, that as satellite projects of The Portal, that funds be used to study how to integrate what are essentially four separate projects into a single project. He cited an example where the federal government just announced a $\$ 12$ billion grant for the Hudson River Tunnels project and expressed his desire for San Francisco to leverage the $\$ 3.4$ billion already recommended from the federal government to The Portal to integrate all these projects together into a single full funding agreement.

Commissioner Melgar moved to approve the item, seconded by Commissioner Walton.
The item was approved without objection by the following vote:
Ayes: Commissioners Chan, Dorsey, Engardio, Mandelman, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safai, and Walton (10)

Absent: Commissioner Stefani (1)
7. Allocate $\$ 15,006,000$ and Appropriate $\$ 800,000$ in Prop L Funds, with Conditions, for Five Requests - ACTION
Mike Pickford, Principal Transportation Planner, presented the item per the staff memorandum.

During public comment Aleta DuPree said that paratransit was essential and suggested
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dedicating funding to paratransit without going through an annual allocation process. She asked what the Essential Trip Card program was and how it worked, said that she supported funding for wheelchair accessible vehicles, and opined that there should be a card that allows any qualified disabled user to use on any automobile transportation service, including autonomous vehicle services.
Michael Petrelis noted that $\$ 100,000$ had been allocated to the Jane Warner Plaza project under the Neighborhood Transportation Program. He said that this allocation was not a good use of funds because there was no funding mechanism yet in place to implement the long term vision. He said that there were dangerous current conditions in the plaza, including the condition of the pavement; that fixing the current pedestrian conditions should have been a priority; and that there needed to be an open public meeting on the project.

Commissioner Peskin moved to approve the item, seconded by Commissioner Walton.
The item was approved without objection by the following vote:

Ayes: Commissioners Chan, Dorsey, Engardio, Mandelman, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safai and Walton (10)

Absent: Commissioner Stefani (1)
8. Adopt the 2023 Prop L 5-Year Prioritization Program for Managed Lanes and Express Bus, Amend the Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline, and Appropriate $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ in Prop L Funds, with Conditions, for the SF Freeway Network Management Study - ACTION
Aliza Paz, Principal Transportation Planner, presented the item per the staff memorandum.

During public comment, Aleta DuPree expressed interest in express buses and managed lanes and would like to put them together. She noted that when buses sit in congestion, they were not effective and therefore she supported the idea of tolling and MTC's efforts on toll lanes in the region. Ms. DuPree added she would like to see a dedicated bus lane in San Francisco.

Commissioner Dorsey moved to approve the item, seconded by Commissioner Melgar.
The item was approved without objection by the following vote:
Ayes: Commissioners Chan, Dorsey, Engardio, Mandelman, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safai, and Walton (10)

Absent: Commissioner Stefani (1)
9. Authorize Borrowing of up to $\$ 65,000,000$ under the Revolving Credit Agreement with U.S. Bank National Association; the Extension of Such Agreement for up to Six Months; the Execution and Delivery of Related Legal Documents; and the Taking of All Other Actions Necessary or Desirable in Connection Therewith ACTION

Items 9 and 10 were called together.

## 10. Approve a New Declaration of Official Intent to Reimburse Certain Expenditures from the Proceeds of Indebtedness - ACTION

Cynthia Fong, Deputy Director for Finance and Administration, presented items 9 and 10 per the staff memoranda.

During public comment, Aleta DuPree stated she was pleased the Transportation Authority had a very good credit rating and stated the cost of maintenance for the revolving credit agreement was low. She continued by saying that the credit and other financing should be used only with due diligence and when truly necessary.
Commissioner Peskin moved to approve Items 9 and 10, seconded by Commissioner Walton.

Items 9 and 10 were approved without objection by the following vote:
Ayes: Commissioners Chan, Dorsey, Engardio, Mandelman, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, and Walton (9)

Absent: Commissioners Safai, Stefani (2)

## 11. Approve the Jane Warner Plaza [NTIP Planning] Final Report - ACTION

Tony Esterbrooks, Section Manager-Bureau of Landscape Architecture with San Francisco Public Works (SFPW), presented the item per the staff memorandum.
Chair Mandelman thanked SFPW staff Bill Buckley and Julie Ahn for their work on the project. He said that he had been an eager supporter of a proposed redesign of Harvey Milk Plaza, but that the further they get on that project, the more it called into question what should be done at Jane Warner Plaza across the street. He said he thought it made sense to spend a relatively small amount of money to start that conversation via this project and commented that the initial thinking around where the curbline could be placed and some of the potential treatments of the plaza were worthwhile and that starting the conversation was a good thing. He asked Mr. Esterbrooks what next steps for the project would be to get it from the current highly conceptual status to being ready for construction and noted the project cost estimate of $\$ 8$ million.
Mr. Esterbrooks replied that they were thinking about a few strategies. He said that if they were provided with $\$ 1$ million, they could get the project environmentally cleared and shovel ready. He said that alternatively, they could work with SFPW finance staff to prioritize the project internally among other SFPW projects and work to pursue grants. He said that it could be possible to phase implementation of the project depending on the amount of available funding. He said that, for example, they could spend \$1.5-\$2 million to move the curbs and adjust the profile of the pavement in the plaza. He said that the project also could try to pursue private funding, as Harvey Milk Plaza had done, and that there could be a lot of construction synergies if both plaza were constructed simultaneously, but that he was not certain that Jane Warner Plaza designs would be ready in time.
Chair Mandelman asked Mr. Esterbrooks to confirm that the process of getting from the current report to final design would involve a lot of public participation. Mr. Esterbrooks said that the current effort was a visioning exercise and that SFPW would need to take the project through a concept planning phase where public input would be fully taken into account.

Chair Mandelman said that he was hoping to work with SFPW to move this project forward, but that there were immediate pressing needs in the plaza. He asked what the
status was of efforts to repair pavement in the plaza. Mr. Esterbrooks responded that they were in the process of estimating costs and that repaving the full plaza would cost about $\$ 1$ million. He said that in mid-May, SFPW had done spot repair of potholes and repainting of the plaza surface and reported that he was having ongoing conversations with SFPW operations staff about identifying additional funds for further repairs.
During public comment Michael Petrelis said that $\$ 100,000$ was a lot of money to spend on a conceptual project that did not improve current dangerous conditions in the plaza. He criticized SFPW for not holding more open public meetings and expressed disappointed that the Castro Community Benefits District had not attending the meeting to speak about the project.
Chair Mandelman moved to approve the item, seconded by Vice Chair Melgar.
The item was approved without objection by the following vote:
Ayes: Commissioners Chan, Dorsey, Engardio, Mandelman, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, and Walton (9)

Absent: Commissioner(s) Safai, Stefani, (2)
12. Approve the Fiscal Year 2024/25 Transportation Fund for Clean Air Program of Projects - ACTION

Mike Pickford, Principal Transportation Planner, presented the item per the staff memorandum.

During public comment Aleta DuPree said she thought the City should buy an EV paratransit van and said that she had recently seen a video of New York City putting its first EV paratransit van into service. She said that she supported more bike racks all over the city and noted that they were also used for shared mobility, not just personal bikes. She continued by saying that the Emergency Ride Home program seemed like a good program, but that any transportation service should be eligible for reimbursement, especially if they were cheaper.

Commissioner Walton moved to approve the item, seconded by Commissioner Engardio.

The item was approved without objection by the following vote:
Ayes: Commissioners Chan, Dorsey, Engardio, Mandelman, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, and Walton (9)

Absent: Commissioner(s) Safai, Stefani, (2)

## Other Items

## 13. Introduction of New Items - INFORMATION

There were no new items introduced.

## 14. Public Comment

During public comment, Aleta DuPree said that she spoke on all these different topics because they all affected her wherever she travelled which was why she looked for expansive and inclusive mobility concepts. She stated that with any benefit, there were
associated risks including motor vehicles on a road. She concluded by saying everybody needed to know their rights and responsibilities.
During public comment, Michael Petrelis stated he believed it was important that the supervisor for both Harvey Milk Plaza and Jane Warner Plaza hold an open public meeting about the many serious safety issues at both plazas for pedestrians. He said he was also very concerned that the Castro Community Benefit District (CBD) had not held an open public meeting about pedestrian safety at Jane Warner Plaza. He reiterated that stated he was disappointed that no one from the CBD joined this meeting to discuss this plan that he believed was not a good use of funds. Mr. Petrelis said he did not support putting a poetry sculpture in the middle of a plaza while potholes were creating danger for pedestrians who passed through the plaza.

## 15. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 11:42 a.m.
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## Memorandum

## AGENDA ITEM 5

DATE: July 3, 2024
TO: Transportation Authority Board
FROM: Maria Lombardo - Chief Deputy Director
SUBJECT: 07/09/24 Board Meeting: Reappoint Sara Barz as the District 7 Representative to the Community Advisory Committee

| RECOMMENDATION $\quad \square$ Information $\quad$ Action | $\square$ Fund Allocation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Per Section 5.2(a) of the Administrative Code, each | $\square$ Fund Programming |
| Commissioner shall nominate one member to the Community | $\square$ Policy/Legislation |
| Advisory Committee (CAC). Neither staff nor CAC members | $\boxtimes$ Plan/Study |
| make recommendations regarding CAC appointments. | $\square$ Capital Project |
| SUMMARY | Oversight/Delivery |
| There is an open seat on the 11-member CAC for District 7 as |  |
| the result of the prior representative (Sara Barz) automatically |  |
| being removed from the CAC for missing four of the last |  |
| twelve regularly scheduled meetings consistent with the |  |
| Transportation Authority's Administrative Code. | $\square$ Budget/Finance |
| Commissioner Melgar has indicated her intent to renominate <br> Sara Barz to the District 7 seat and the Administrative Code <br> requires Ms. Barz to reappear before the Board to speak on <br> her behalf. CAC members serve for a two-year term. There are | $\square$ Contract/Agreement |
| no term limits. The current roster of CAC members is included |  |
| in Attachment 1. The application for the CAC candidate is |  |
| included in Attachment 2. |  |$\quad$|  |
| :--- | :--- |

## BACKGROUND

As described in the Transportation Authority's Administrative Code, the CAC shall provide input to the Transportation Authority in:

1. Defining the mission of the Transportation Authority;
2. Reflecting community values in the development of the mission and program of the Transportation Authority, and channeling that mission and program back to the community;
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3. Defining criteria and priorities for implementing the Expenditure Plan programs consistent with the intention of the half-cent sales tax funding purposes; and
4. Monitoring the Transportation Authority's programs and evaluating the sponsoring agencies' productivity and effectiveness.

## DISCUSSION

The Board appoints 11 members to the CAC and each Commissioner nominates one member to the committee. Per Section 5.2(a) of the Administrative Code, the CAC:
"...shall include representatives from various segments of the community, such as public policy organizations, labor, business, seniors, people with disabilities, environmentalists, and neighborhoods, and reflect broad transportation interests. The committee is also intended to reflect the racial and gender diversity of San Francisco residents."

An applicant must be a San Francisco resident to be considered eligible for appointment. Applicants are asked to provide residential location and areas of interest but provide ethnicity and gender information on a voluntary basis. CAC applications are accepted on a continuous basis and can be submitted through the Transportation Authority's website at sfcta.org/cac.

All applicants are advised that they need to appear in person before the Board in order to be appointed unless they have previously appeared. If a candidate is unable to appear before the Board on the first appearance, they may appear at the following Board meeting in order to be eligible for appointment. Applicants who were previously CAC members, but whose membership was terminated due to missing four of the last 12 regularly scheduled meetings must appear before the Board to be reappointed.

## FINANCIAL IMPACT

The requested action would not have an impact on the adopted Fiscal Year 2024/25 budget.

## CAC POSITION

None. The CAC does not make recommendations on the appointment of CAC members.
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## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

- Attachment 1 - CAC Roster
- Attachment 2 - CAC Applications
- Attachment 3 - Resolution
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## Attachment 1

## Community Advisory Committee Members

| Name | Gender | Ethnicity* | District | NeighBorhood | Affiliation / Interest | First <br> Apppointed | Term Expiration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vacant |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| Najuawanda Daniels | F | AA | 10 | Hunters Point | Social and racial justice; Labor; Neighborhood; Public Policy | Sep 2022 | Sep 2024 |
| Rachael Ortega | F | C | 8 | NP | Business, Environment, Social and racial justice; Neighborhood, Public Policy | Oct 2022 | Oct 2024 |
| Jerry Levine | M | C | 2 | Cow Hollow | Business, Neighborhood, Public Policy | Nov 2018 | Nov 2024 |
| Mariko Davidson | F | NP | 11 | Ingleside | Environment, Neighborhood, Public Policy | Feb 2023 | Feb 2025 |
| Rosa Chen | F | A | 3 | Chinatown | Business, Disabled, Environment, Neighborhood, Public Policy, Seniors | Mar 2021 | Apr 2025 |
| Sean Kim | M | A | 1 | Central Richmond | Business, Disabled, Environment, Social and Racial Justice, Labor, Neighborhood, Public Policy, Senior | May 2023 | May 2025 |
| Phoebe Ford | F | C | 4 | Sunset | Business; Environment; Neighborhood | Sep 2023 | Sep 2025 |
| Austin Milford-Rosales | M | C | 6 | Mission Bay/SOMA | Environment;Public Policy | Oct 2023 | Oct 2025 |
| Kat Siegal | F | C | 5 | NP | NP | Feb 2022 | Feb 2026 |
| Venecia Margarita | F | H/L | 9 | Portola | Business; Disabled; Environment; Social and racial justice; Labor; Neighborhood; Public Policy; Senior; Youth, undocumented communities | Feb 2024 | Feb 2026 |

*A - Asian | AA - African AmericaN | AI - American Indian or Alaska Native | C - Caucasian

* H/L - Hispanic or Latino | NH - Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | ME - Middle Eastern | NP - Not Provided (Voluntary Information)


## San Francisco County Transportation Authority

 Application for Membership on the Community Advisory Committee| Sara | Barz | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FIRST NAME | LAST NAME | GENDER (OPTIONA |  |
| Caucasian, European, or White |  | No |  |
| ETHNICITY (OPTIONAL) |  | IDENTIFY AS HISPANIC, LATINO, OR LATINX? (OPTIONAL) |  |
| District 7 | Sunnyside | [ redacted ] | [ redacted] |
| HOME SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT | NEIGHBORHOOD OF RESIDENCE | HOME PHONE | HOME EMALL |
| [ redacted] | [ redacted] | [ redacted ] | [ redacted] |
| STREET ADDRESS OF HOME | CITY | STATE | Z1P |
| [ redacted] | [ redacted] | [ redacted ] | [ redacted] |
| WORK SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT | NEIGHBORHOOD OF WORKPLACE | WORK PHONE | WORK EMAlL |
| [ redacted] | [ redacted] | [ redacted] | [ redacted] |
| STREET ADDRESS OF WORKPL |  | STATE |  |

## Statement of qualifications:

Since I finished my graduate degree in city planning from U.C. Berkeley in 2015, I have made my career in transportation. As a Product Manger with Apple Pay, I work at the intersection of transportation and user experience technology, a trajectory I started while managing the free-floating car share program at the City of Oakland and the procurement of the next generation of Clipper at the Metropolitan Transportation Commission. As an advocate, I have organized the Slow Hearst group to champion safe streets in Sunnyside and co-founded the transportation advocacy group Seamless Bay Area.

## Statement of objectives:

I intend to work with Supervisor Melgar's office to advance the city's commitment to transit and active transportation. While my family has a car, we primarily get around by bike, bus and train. As a new mother, I will champion the interests of families in District 7, who want to safely get around the city. Sustainability, equity and a commitment to neighborhood business motivate my advocacy work, and I intend to represent those values in my work with Supervisor Melgar and the Citizen's Advisory Committee.

Please select all categories of affiliation or interest that apply to you:
Business;Environment;Social and racial justice;Neighborhood;Public Policy

Can you commit to attending regular meetings (about once a month for the Transportation Authority CAC, or once every two to three months for project CACs):

Yes

By entering your name and date below, and submitting this form, you certify that all the information on this application is true and correct.

Sara Barz 6/3/2022 *Reconfirmed on 7/2/2024
NAME OF APPLICANT
DATE

RESOLUTION REAPPOINTING SARA BARZ AS THE DISTRICT 7 REPRESENTATIVE TO THE COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF THE SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

WHEREAS, Section 131265(d) of the California Public Utilities Code, as implemented by Section 5.2(a) of the Administrative Code of the San Francisco County Transportation Authority, requires the appointment of a Community Advisory Committee (CAC) consisting of 11 members; and

WHEREAS, There is currently a vacancy on the CAC for a District 7 representative since the prior representative (Sara Barz) was automatically removed from the CAC for missing four of the last twelve regularly scheduled meetings consistent with the Transportation Authority's Administrative Code; and

WHEREAS, Any member removed from the CAC due to absences may seek reappointment for a new two-year term by contacting their District Supervisor and the candidate must reappear before the Board to speak on their behalf; and

WHEREAS, At its July 09, 2024, meeting, Commissioner Melgar nominated Sara Barz as the District 7 CAC representative; and

WHEREAS, Sara Barz spoke to her interest and qualifications for serving on the CAC at the July 09, 2024, Board meeting; and

WHEREAS, The Board reviewed and considered the applicant's qualifications and experience and recommended reappointing Sara Barz to serve on the CAC for a period of two years; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board hereby appoints Sara Barz as the District 7 representative to serve on the CAC of the San Francisco County Transportation Authority for a two-year term; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Executive Director is authorized to communicate this information to all interested parties.
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## Memorandum

## AGENDA ITEM 6

DATE: June 27, 2024
TO: Transportation Authority Board
FROM: Anna LaForte - Deputy Director for Policy and Programming
SUBJECT: 7/9/2024 Board Meeting: Adopt the 2023 Prop L 5-Year Prioritization Programs for Next Generation Transit Investments, Equity Priority Transportation Program, Development Oriented Transportation, and Citywide/Modal Planning and Amend the Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline

| RECOMMENDATION $\square$ Information $\triangle$ Action | $\square$ Fund Allocation |
| :---: | :---: |
| Adopt the 2023 Prop L 5-Year Prioritization Programs (5YPPs) for | 凹 Fund Programming |
| Next Generation Transit Investments, Equity Priority | $\square$ Policy/Legislation |
| Transportation Program, Development Oriented Transportation, and Citywide/Modal Planning | $\square$ Plan/Study |
| Amend the Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline | $\square$ Capital Project Oversight/Delivery |
| SUMMARY | $\square$ Budget/Finance |
| The Prop L Expenditure Plan requires development of a 30-year | $\square$ Contract/Agreement |
| Strategic Plan and for each of the 28 Expenditure Plan programs (Attachment 1), a 5YPP to identify the specific projects that will be | - Other: ___ |
| funded over the next five years. Board adoption of these documents is a prerequisite for allocation of Prop L funds from the relevant programs. We are recommending adoption of four of the last five 5YPPs remaining, with the final 5YPP (Managed Lanes and |  |
| Express Bus), the subject of a separate agenda item at this same meeting. The recommended 5YPPs require advancement of about $\$ 2.7$ million, which would result in a $0.4 \%$ ( $\$ 2.7$ million) increase in debt costs compared to the Strategic Plan Baseline as amended, from $\$ 677.4$ million to $\$ 680.2$ million. Additional details on these programs are in the memo below and in the enclosed 5YPPs. |  |

## BACKGROUND

The 5YPPs result in multi-year project lists with associated sales tax programming commitments that support a steady project pipeline, enabling project sponsors to plan ahead, facilitating their ability to secure other funding sources to leverage Prop L and fully fund projects and to line up staff resources to deliver projects. The 5-year look ahead also enables coordination between projects. When a project is ready to
advance, the project sponsor can request allocation of funds from the Board based on the programming commitment in the relevant 5YPP.

The 5YPPs also provide transparency about how Prop L projects are prioritized. We work in close collaboration with project sponsors eligible for Prop L funds from a particular program, as well as any other interested agencies, to develop each 5YPP. Input from the Board, sponsors, and the public inform the 5YPP process.

In June 2023, the Board adopted the Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline. The Baseline establishes the amount of sales tax revenues that will be available on an annual basis to each of the 28 programs, by fiscal year, through 2053 based on their proportional share of available revenues established in the Expenditure Plan. For 23 of the 28 programs, the Baseline set the pay-as-you-go annual funding levels for each program which project sponsors will use to identify their proposed lists of projects to fund in the next five years as part of 5YPP development. Through the 5YPP process, project sponsors can make requests to advance sales tax funds for specific projects, as needed to support project delivery. For five programs (BART Core Capacity, Caltrain Downtown Rail Extension, Muni Maintenance, Caltrain Maintenance, and Paratransit) the Baseline advanced cash flow in anticipation of the need to advance funds to accommodate the programming requests in the 5YPP. This approach provides a more realistic picture of financing costs for these five programs, which are among the largest programs in the Prop L Expenditure Plan, while ensuring we can meet other programs' requests for advancing funds.

Attachment 1 shows the status of the 28 5YPPs, including those which have been adopted thus far.

## DISCUSSION

Each 5YPP document includes the following sections, the content for which is detailed in the staff memorandum to the Board for its July 11, 2023, meeting:

- Eligibility and Expected Fund Leveraging
- Public Engagement
- Performance Measures
- Project Delivery Snapshot
- Project Prioritization
- Project List (covering Fiscal Year (FY) 2023/24 - FY 2027/28)
- Project Information Forms (e.g., scope, schedule, cost, funding)

It is important to keep in mind that the pay-go funding levels in the first five years of Prop $L$ are about half that in year six and subsequent years, due to the carryforward of Prop K remaining grant balances and debt. Thus, we anticipate that most Prop L
programs will request at least a modest level of advancement in this 5YPP period. For each project, we look closely at project readiness, whether there is full funding for the requested phase or phases, the amount of leveraging, timely use of funds requirements, and other factors that inform our recommendation to program funds to the project and whether to support advancement of funds beyond pay-go to support project delivery.

We are recommending adoption of the four enclosed 5YPPs summarized below. Attachment 2 lists the proposed projects with information such as a brief project description, amount of Prop L funds requested, proposed project phase, and fiscal year of programming, and Attachment 3 summarizes leveraging. The 5YPPs contain more detail, including the project information forms.

Next Generation Transit Investments 5YPP. This program will plan and advance project development for the next set of major transit capital investments by providing 'seed' funding to help refine San Franisco's project priorities and set these projects up to better compete for discretionary funds, both during the initial planning stages and beyond. This 5YPP is guided by ConnectSF, a multi-agency collaborative process to build an effective, equitable, and sustainable transportation system for San Francisco's future and the San Francisco Transportation Plan (SFTP), the countywide plan.

The Geary/19th Avenue Subway Project Development Placeholder will build on the Prop K-funded Strategic Case (underway) and support the next phase of planning and initial project development. Project(s) funded by the recommended $\$ 1.5$ million of Prop L funds in this placeholder will be scoped to advance program definition and an alternatives development in preparation for screening and environmental review.

The $\$ 2.25$ million in Prop L funds recommended for the ConnectSF and SFTP Followon Studies Placeholder is for follow-on studies for projects that are consistent with the SFTP or its updates and ConnectSF. Possible projects include, but are not limited to, Pennsylvania Avenue Extension, T-Third Extension Phase 2, Link21, Geary/19th Avenue Subway, and San Francisco Railyards.

Equity Priority Transportation Program 5YPP. This is a new Prop L program to fund community-based projects in underserved neighborhoods - particularly Equity Priority Communities (EPCs) - as well as citywide equity evaluations and planning efforts targeting improvements for vulnerable populations (e.g., seniors, children, and/or people with disabilities). We are recommending \$300,000 in Prop L funds for three Community-Based Transportation Plans (CBTP) with priority given to EPCs that have not participated in a CBTP. We also recommend a $\$ 1.2$ million placeholder to implement recommendations from completed CBTPs, $\$ 1$ million to implement recommendations from the Visitacion Valley \& Portola CBTP (\$1 million), and a \$1
million placeholder from the Brotherhood Way Safety and Circulation Plan. Finally, we recommend a $\$ 300,000$ placeholder with funds for emergent citywide equity plans and studies. Potential projects include equity priority community-based planning projects that help reduce disparities and gaps in equitable access (physical, geographic, affordability) to jobs and key services or address vulnerable populations (e.g., seniors, children, and/or people with disabilities).

Development Oriented Transportation 5YPP. This is a new Prop L program that was created as a way to incentivize and reward existing, primarily low-density neighborhoods of the city and those that have been designated as Priority Development Areas. This is accomplished by providing funding for communitybased planning and implementation of transportation improvements that support increased housing density consistent with local and regional housing goals.

We are recommending $\$ 550,000$ for the West Side Network Plan. Through this plan, the Transportation Authority, SF Planning, and SFMTA will develop multimodal transportation network improvements to serve growth on the city's west side. The recommendations stemming from this plan will inform SFTP 2050+ and the West Side Network Plan Implementation Placeholder, another project in this program recommended for $\$ 1.2$ million in Prop L funding. We are recommending $\$ 200,000$ for the Bi-County Study Follow-on Placeholder, which will provide funds to update the 2013 Bi-County Study that identified projects to accommodate the significant growth planned along the San Francisco-San Mateo county line, and \$240,000 for the Development Oriented Transportation Planning Placeholder, which will fund community-based planning projects TBD to support improvements to the city's multimodal transportation network in existing low-density neighborhoods.

Citywide and Modal Planning 5YPP. This Prop L program was primarily intended to fund SFTP updates and follow on/related studies. The proposed 5YPP includes \$700,000 in Prop L funds for SFTP 2050+ which we are concurrently requesting to be appropriated under a separate agenda item. We also recommend \$700,000 for the next quadrennial update, the SFTP 2055. We are recommending \$100,000 for Autonomous Vehicle Safety Metrics \& Standards consistent with SFTP policy direction. We also recommend providing local match for a resilience plan for The Embarcadero ( $\$ 150,000$ ) and outreach and evaluation activities for SFMTA's Curbside Electric Vehicle Charging Pilot (\$150,000). Finally, we recommend a \$150,000 placeholder for citywide and network-wide transportation studies and long-range modal studies consistent with SFTP 2050 and its updates.

Strategic Plan Baseline Amendment. Concurrent with Board adoption of the 5YPPs, we make corresponding updates to the Strategic Plan Baseline to reflect the recommended programming and cash flow schedules for the proposed projects. The Strategic Plan model estimates financing costs for programs that advance funds.

Consistent with Strategic Plan policies, financing costs are distributed proportionally across those programs that request acceleration of funds. If in future Strategic Plan updates, actual financing costs are lower, the delta is returned to the respective programs and is available for programing to eligible project costs.

Taken together, the proposed 5YPPs require advancement of $\$ 2,672,246$. In all, these changes would result in a $0.4 \%$ ( $\$ 2.7$ million) increase in estimated debt costs over the 30-year Expenditure Plan period compared to the Strategic Plan Baseline, as amended, from $\$ 677.4$ million to $\$ 680.2$ million.

To address projected outyear pinch points for debt service, we reduced programming and cashflow between FYs 2036/37 and 2038/39 in Next Generation Transit Investments (which is advancing funds in this 5YPP period) and added it back to the end of the program when there is more capacity. If the proposed changes are approved, this would extend Next Generation Transit Investments programming two years longer - through FY 2049/50 - than it would have otherwise due to its advancement of funds.

Attachment 4 summarizes the sources and uses for the Baseline as amended and adopted in March 2024 and Attachment 5 shows the proposed programming and cash flow by program by fiscal year, reflecting the recommended 5YPPs.

Next Steps. We plan to bring a proposed amendment to reprogram placeholder funding to projects in FYs 2024/25 through 2027/28 in the Muni Maintenance 5YPP and to adopt the final Prop L Strategic Plan to the Board for adoption in Fall 2024.

## FINANCIAL IMPACT

There is no impact on the Fiscal Year 2024/25 agency budget. The Prop L Strategic Plan is an important long-range financial planning tool for the Transportation Authority as it forecasts sales tax revenues and establishes the maximum annual reimbursement for each of the Expenditure Plan programs, and estimates debt needs to advance funds to support project delivery. The 5YPPs program funds specific projects over the five fiscal years starting in FY 2023/24. However, allocation of funds and issuance of any debt are subject to separate approval actions by the Board.

## CAC POSITION

The Community Advisory Committee (CAC) considered this item at its June 26, 2024, meeting and adopted a motion of support for the staff recommendation amended to add a condition that SFMTA return to the next CAC meeting to provide a more detailed update and background on the Curbside Electric Vehicle Charging Study, which was the subject of discussion at the CAC meeting. Two CAC members
expressed concern about further entrenching curbside parking with electric charging infrastructure, preferring to use the curb for bike lanes or parklets or other uses beyond cars. Other members felt it was important to proceed with a curbside charging pilot to learn more, including exploring ways to provide options for people living in multi-family housing who may not have access to off street parking. We are following up with SFMTA and SF Environment to schedule the requested update at the CAC meeting.

## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

- Attachment 1 - List of the 28 Programs in the Prop L Expenditure Plan
- Attachment 2 - Recommended 5YPPs List of Projects
- Attachment 3 - Recommended 5YPPs Program Summary: Fund Leveraging
- Attachment 4 - Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline as Amended Sources and Uses
- Attachment 5 - Strategic Plan Baseline as Amended - Programming \& Cash Flow by FY
- Attachment 6 - Resolution
- Enclosures (4):
- Draft 2023 Prop L Next Generation Transit Investments 5-Year Prioritization Program
- Draft 2023 Prop L Equity Priority Transportation Program 5-Year Prioritization Program
- Draft 2023 Prop L Development Oriented Transportation 5-Year Prioritization Program
- Draft 2023 Prop L Citywide/Modal Planning 5-Year Prioritization Program


## Prop L's 28 <br> Programs

## Each requires a Boardadopted 5-Year Prioritization Program (5YPP) before funds can be allocated.

Approved
Proposed for approval in July 2024
*No 5YPP required since program has no Priority 1 sales tax funds

1. Muni Reliability and Efficiency Improvements
2. Muni Rail Core Capacity
3. BART Core Capacity
4. Caltrain Service Vision: Capital System Capacity Investments*
5. Caltrain Downtown Rail Extension and Pennsylvania Alignment
6. Muni Maintenance
7. BART Maintenance
8. Caltrain Maintenance
9. Ferry Maintenance
10. Transit Enhancements
11. Bayview Caltrain Station
12. Mission Bay Ferry Landing
13. Next Generation Transit Investments
14. Paratransit
15. Street Resurfacing, Rehabilitation and Maintenance
16. Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities Maintenance
17. Traffic Signs and Signals Maintenance
18. Safer and Complete Streets
19. Curb Ramps
20. Tree Planting
21. Vision Zero Ramps
22. Managed Lanes and Express Bus
23. Transformative Freeway and Major Street Projects
24. Transportation Demand Management
25. Neighborhood Transportation Program
26. Equity Priority Transportation Program

## 27.Development Oriented Transportation

28. Citywide/Modal Planning

5-Year Prioritization Programs - List of Projects

| \# | Program | Project Name: Sponsor | Brief Description | District(s) | Phase | Prop L Amount | Fiscal Year of Programming |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Next Generation <br> Transit <br> Investments | ConnectSF and SFTP Follow-on Studies Placeholder: TBD | The projects to be funded by this placeholder would be guided by the San Francisco Transportation Plan or its updates and ConnectSF, a multi-agency collaborative process to build an effective, equitable, and sustainable transportation system for San Francisco's future. ConnectSF has defined a 50year vision of San Francisco's future that represents our priorities, goals, and aspirations as a city within the larger Bay Area. Possible projects include, but are not limited to, Pennsylvania Avenue Extension, T-Third Extension Phase 2, Link21, Geary/19th Avenue Subway, and San Francisco Railyards. | TBD | Planning / Conceptual Engineering | \$750,000 | FY25 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,500,000 | FY26 |
| 3 |  | Geary/19th Avenue Subway Project Development Placeholder: TBD | The Transit Corridors Study from the multi-agency ConnectSF initative prioritized the long-term development of rail rapid transit for the West Side of San Francisco, centering on the development of a rail subway serving the combined Geary and 19th Avenue corridors. Prop L funds will build on the Prop K funded Strategic Case (underway) and support the next phase of planning and initial project development, including program definition and an alternatives development in preparation for screening and environmental review. | $\begin{gathered} 1,2,3,4,5 \\ 6,7,11 \end{gathered}$ | Planning / <br> Conceptual Engineering | \$1,500,000 | FY25 |
| 4 | Equity Priority Transportation Program | Brotherhood Way Safety and Circulation Plan Implementation Placeholder: TBD | The project would implement recommendations from the ongoing Brotherhood Way Safety and Circulation Plan (BWSC), estimated for completion in September 2025. <br> BWSC will make recommendations about how to improve safety, circulation, and connectivity through two key corridors. In the first corridor, are two major arterial streets: Brotherhood Way and Alemany Boulevard. The second corridor is St. Charles Street which provides a criticial North-South connection through the neighborhood to regional transit at Daly City BART station. Although the BWSC recommendations will not be made until 2025, it is likely that major road diets or reconfigurations will be brought forward for consideration as alternatives. | 7,11 | TBD | \$1,000,000 | FY26 |
| 5 |  | Citywide Equity Planning Placeholder: TBD | This is a placeholder with funds for emergent citywide equity plans and studies. Potential projects include equity priority community-based planning projects that help reduce disparities and gaps in equitable access (physical, geographic, affordability) to jobs and key services or address vulnerable populations (e.g. seniors, children, and/or people with disabilities). | TBD | TBD | \$300,000 | FY25 |
| 6 |  | Community Based Transportation Plan Implementation Placeholder: TBD | This is a placeholder with funds to implement recommendations from completed Community-Based Transportation Plans (CBTPs) developed with Equity Priority Communities (EPC). | TBD | TBD | \$600,000 | FY26 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  | \$600,000 | FY27 |
| 8 |  | Community Based Transportation | These are placeholder funds to undertake Community-Based Transportation Plans (CBTPs) in Equity Priority Communities (EPCs). The CBTPs are intended to bring local residents, community organizations and transportation |  |  | \$100,000 | FY25 |

Attachment 2
5-Year Prioritization Programs - List of Projects

| \# | Program | Project Name: Sponsor | Brief Description | District(s) | Phase | Prop L Amount | Fiscal Year of Programming |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 |  | Plan Placeholder (e.g. Mission, Ingleside/Oceanview, Excelsior/Outer Mission/Crocker | agencies together to identify important transportation challenges facing EPCs, and identify, prioritize, and develop strategies to address them. The CBTPs set up a pipeline of projects, helping to position them to compete for | TBD | Planning | \$100,000 | FY26 |
| 10 |  |  | matching funds for three CBTPs over this 5-year period, with priority to EPCs that have not yet participated in a CBTP. |  |  | \$100,000 | FY27 |
| 11 |  | Visitacion Valley \& Portola | Prop $L$ funds would be used to implement the recommendations from the Visitacion Valley \& Portola Community Based Transportation Plan (CBTP), |  |  | \$400,000 | FY25 |
| 12 |  | SFMTA | safety devices at locations identified through the CBTP's outreach efforts, and in consultation with the District 9 and District 10 Supervisors' offices. |  |  | \$600,000 | FY26 |
| 13 |  | Bi-County Study Follow-on Placeholder: TBD | The 2013 Bi-County Study identified the need for transportation investments to accommodate the significant growth planned along the San Francisco-San Mateo county line. The study identified priority projects, however since 2013 the circumstances for planned developments and identified transportation projects have changed. <br> This placeholder will provide funds to update planning using new development assumptions and understanding of public needs through a community based planning process, and to advace development of priority projects, which could be revised based on updated planning. | 7, 10, 11 | TBD | \$200,000 | FY26 |
| 14 | Development Oriented | Development Oriented Transportation Planning Placeholder: TBD | This placeholder will provide Prop L funds for community-based planning to identify transportation projects that support improvements to the city's multimodal transportation network in existing, primarily low-density neighborhoods where the San Francisco Housing Element is directing growth. For the purposes of this 5YPP, low-density neighborhoods are those that have existing zoning of primarily one to three residential units. Proposed projects that are in existing, primarily low-density neighborhoods and are in a Priority Development Area, will be given priority. See enclosed 5YPP for a map of these areas. | TBD | Planning | \$240,000 | FY25 |
| 15 |  | West Side Network Plan: TBD | The San Francisco Planning Department (SF Planning) is implementing a new Housing Element which will locate a significantly greater share of new growth on the city's west side, particularly the southwest, in Districts 4 and 7. The purpose of the West Side Network Plan, proposed to be funded by Prop L, is for SFCTA, SF Planning, SFMTA, and west side neighborhoods to plan the multimodal transportation network improvements, both local and regional, to serve this growth as well as respond to current needs. The recommendations and concepts in the West Side Network Plan will inform the minor update to SFTP 2050, called SFTP 2050+, to be adopted in FY 2025/26 and inform the use of the West Side Network Plan Implementation Placeholder funds in this Prop L 5YPP. | 4,7 | Planning | \$250,000 | FY25 |

5-Year Prioritization Programs - List of Projects

| \# | Program | Project Name: Sponsor | Brief Description | District(s) | Phase | Prop L Amount | Fiscal Year of Programming |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 |  | West Side Network Implementation Placeholder: TBD | This is a placeholder with funds to implement recommendations from the West Side Network Plan, expected to be completed by December 2025. These recommendations will include multimodal transportation network projects in west side neighborhoods. Anticipated eligible projects include, but are not limited to, new signals on Lincoln Boulevard and/or Sloat Boulevard. | 4,7 | TBD | \$1,200,000 | FY26 |
| 17 |  | AV Safety Metrics \& Standards: SFCTA | The purpose of the AV Safety Metrics \& Standards Study is to develop consensus autonomous vehicles (AV) safety metrics and standards to inform regulators, San Francisco policy-makers and the public about appropriate AV safety metrics and performance standards to guide AV safety analysis and deployment policies (permitting). Prop L funds will be used to identify a set of $A V$ safety analysis considerations, define a set of metrics for assessing AV safety, and propose AV safety performance standards to help guide AV permitting and deployment in San Francisco and beyond. | Citywide | Planning | \$100,000 | FY25 |
| 18 |  | Citywide Modal Planning <br> Placeholder: TBD | This placeholder will fund priority planning efforts for citywide and networkwide transportation studies and long-range modal studies consistent with SFTP 2050 and its updates (including work to support a planned update). Corridor-scale studies may also be considered. | TBD | Planning | \$150,000 | FY26 |
| 19 |  | Curbside Electric Vehicle Charging Pilot Outreach \& Evaluation: SFMTA | This planning would help advance and implement the recommendations in the SFMTA's Curbside Electric Vehicle Charging Feasibility Study to ultimately facilitate equitable charging access for electric vehicles (EVs) in the public right-of-way. Specifically, Prop L funds would support planning and policy development, community engagement, and development and implementation of a pilot program evaluation and monitoring plan. | Citywide | Planning | \$150,000 | FY25 |
| 20 | Citywide/Modal Planning | Embarcadero Mobility Resilience Plan: SFMTA | Prop L would provide the local match to a $\$ 1.3$ million state grant for resiliency planning along The Embarcadero from China Basin to Aquatic Cove, and extending inland to approximately Broadway, Powell and 5th streets. The plan would support development of alternatives for the various modes along The Embarcadero to ensure resiliency and connectivity for all major transportation modes and associated infrastructure. The plan would aim to align resilience, mobility and economic recovery work in the Financial District and adjacent neighborhoods, and will feed into a larger, multisectoral resilience master plan for the corridor, with an implementation framework that will guide future collaboration. | 2,3,6 | Planning | \$150,000 | FY25 |

5-Year Prioritization Programs - List of Projects

| \# | Program | Project Name: Sponsor | Brief Description | District(s) | Phase | Prop L Amount | Fiscal Year of Programming |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 |  | San Francisco Transportation Plan (SFTP) 2050+:SFCTA | Updated every four years, the SFTP is the blueprint for the city's transportation system development and investment over the next 30 years. The plan analyzes all transportation options like transit, walking, driving, and biking to set investment priorities and advance the city's goal to build an effective, equitable, and sustainable transportation system. The SFTP positions San Francisco projects for discretionary funds and provides the basis for San Francisco's input to the Bay Area's Regional Transportation Plan / Sustainable Communities Strategy The SFTP also examines policy and programmatic needs to help reach the City's long-range goals and makes policy recommendations that can be adopted as SFCTA policies and/or recommended for adoption by partner entities. <br> Prop L funds would be used to help fund the SFTP 2050+, which is a minor update to SFTP 2050 (adopted 2022). SFTP 2050+ will reflect the significant post-pandemic travel behavior changes wrought by the pandemic, such as significantly decreased transit ridership, decreases in various revenue sources, and other demographic and land use changes. | Citywide | Planning | \$700,000 | FY25 |
| 22 |  | San Francisco Transportation Plan (SFTP) 2055:SFCTA | Requested Prop L funds would be used to help fund the SFTP 2055, which will be a major update to SFTP 2050+ and will provide the basis for San Francisco's input into the Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Plan 2055 update. | Citywide | Planning | \$700,000 | FY27 |

Attachment 3
5-Year Prioritization Programs - Summary

| \# | Program | Programming Amount Requested in 5YPP | Amount of Prop L Cash Flow <br> Advanced in 5YPP | Expected Leveraging | Anticipated Leveraging | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Next Generation Transit Investments | \$3,750,000 | \$1,668,419 | 73.2\% | TBD | We recommend advancing nearly $\$ 1.7 \mathrm{M}$. This is a relatively small program that was anticipated to be advanced consistent with its intent as 'seed' funding to further planning and project development for the next set of major transit capital projects. Prop L funds will help refine San Francisco's project priorities and set these projects up to better compete for discretionary funds, both during the initial planning stages and beyond. <br> At this time, since all the funding in this five-year period is programmed as a placeholder, anticipated leveraging in this 5YPP is to be determined. We will evaluate specific projects for leveraging as we receive requests for funding from the placeholders. |
| 2 | Equity Priority Transportation Program | \$3,800,000 | \$0 | 77.6\% | TBD | We are not recommending to advance cash flow beyond the pay-as-you-go amounts in the Strategic Plan Baseline for this program. <br> All of the proposed programming are placeholders at this time. When specific projects are proposed for placeholder funding, we will evaluate their proposed leveraging of sales tax funds. There are discretionary grant opportunities for these types of community based and equity focused planning efforts (e.g., Caltrans Planning Grants and Metropolitan Transportation Commission Community Based Transportation Planning funds) and many sources available for project development and implementation depending on the types of projects recommended. |
| 3 | Development Oriented Transportation | \$1,890,000 | \$0 | 92.2\% | TBD | We are not recommending to advance cash flow beyond the pay-as-you-go amounts in the Strategic Plan Baseline for this program. <br> Leveraging for the West Side Network Plan is 54.5\%, below the 92.2\% anticipated for the Development Oriented Transportation program over the life of the 30 -year measure. We expect that overall leveraging will improve for this program in future years as the placeholder funds are programmed to specific projects that leverage non-sales tax funds. We will evaluate projects for leveraging when we receive requests for placeholder funds. |

Attachment 3
5-Year Prioritization Programs - Summary

| \# | Program | Programming <br> Amount <br> Requested in <br> SYPP | Amount of Prop L <br> Cash Flow <br> Advanced in 5YPP | Expected <br> Leveraging | Anticipated <br> Leveraging | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Attachment 4: Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline Amendment Sources and Uses (6.20.24)

| SOURCES | (YOE\$) | USES | (YOE\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales Tax Revenue | \$4,674.6 M | Funds Available for Projects | \$3,024.1 M |
| Investment Income | \$4.4 M | Long Term Bond Principal | \$981.3 M |
|  |  | Financing Costs | \$680.2 M |
| Long Term Bond Proceeds | \$773.0 M | Capital Reserve | \$468.5 M |
| Loans - Yerba Buena Island Capital Projects | \$126.8 M | Program Administration and Operating Costs | \$304.6 M |
| TOTAL | \$5,578.9 M | Loans - Yerba Buena Island Capital Projects | \$120.2 M |
|  |  | TOTAL | \$5,578.9 M |



| Attachment 5A <br> Amended 2023 Strategic Plan Baseline Programming Pending July 2024 Board Action |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{\text {No．}}^{\text {No．}}$ | Total avalale |  | Toual Progamming a mereest Costs | ${ }^{\text {r202223 }}$ | нr20324 | ${ }^{\text {r220a25 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {r202526 }}$ | 202627 | 2072 | F202329 | F220290 | ${ }^{\text {r203031 }}$ | F203132 | ¢202233 | F203334 | F203935 | ${ }^{\text {r203536 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {r203837 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {F203738 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {r2033s3 }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | S 11，204，000 | 5 7，875．00 | ／2，804，000 | 5 2，804，000 5 | 5 2，804，000 | ${ }^{5}$ 4，154，271 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | s 4．220，739 | ${ }_{5} 4.288,271 \mathrm{~s}$ | 5 4，354，622／s | 6．593 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{\text {4 4，497，48 }}$ | 4，569，376 | 2，000．000 | 2．000．000 | 2．00，000 | 2，250，000 |
|  | 124，458， | 1200\％ |  |  | s $11,204,000$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }} 7.875 .000$ | \％ 1000,78 | S |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ S91．，944 |  | ＇973．588 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{5}{ }_{5}^{5}$ | \％${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 698，999 2，94，999 |
| 1．Sfere and Complete Streets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S 210,197377 | 13，69\％ | Programming ${ }^{\text {s }}$ 181，098．015 |  | 8，080，000 | S 15，593，000 | 9，136，000 | s 8．001，000 | 6．508，000 | 6．975，253 | 7，088，958 | 7，200，248 | 7，311，169 | 7，432．501 | 7，551，421 | 5 7，072，245 | 5 5．500．000 | s 0．750．000 | s 7，000，000 | 7，000．000 |
|  | $5 \quad 20.197 .37$ | ${ }^{13.64 \%}$ |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{5} 8.080,000$ | ${ }^{\text {s }} 15$ 15，53，000 |  |  | s 517.116 s | s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |  | ¢ $1.541,805$ s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | \％${ }^{5}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | \％${ }^{\text {s }}$ | \％${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | aramming s $36.586,133$ |  | S 575．000 | 1，100．000 | 1，155．000 | s 1，212，000 s | $5{ }^{1,275.000} 5$ | ${ }^{5} 1.338 .599$／s | $5^{1,360.016}$ | s 1，381，776 | 1．003，156 | 1．422，36 | 1．499，168 |  | 1．95，912 | 151989 |  |  |
|  | s 40，0，03，47 | 6．54\％ | eost $\operatorname{cost}$／${ }^{\text {a }}$ 2，620，771 |  |  |  |  | s 21，400 5 | 5 57，53 | ${ }^{5} 99,80{ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{85,247}$ | 5 | 121，080 | ${ }^{123,168}$ | ${ }^{5} 1468241$ | 143，635 | 145.382 | 145，235 | 144，326 | 143.190 |
|  |  |  | Tout ${ }^{\text {s }}$ S $3,200,904$ s |  | s 575，000 | s 1，100，000 | 1，159，657 | $\mathrm{s}^{1,233,400} \mathrm{~s}$ | $\mathrm{s}^{1,332,553}$ S | $5^{1,438,079}{ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\mathrm{S}^{1,445,263}$ | $\mathrm{s}^{1,474,733} \mathrm{~s}$ | ${ }_{5} 1 ., 524,236$ | 1，549，515 s | ${ }^{5}$ 1，595，409 | s 1．615，989 | ${ }^{5} 1.641,294$ | s 1．65，082 | ¢ 1，688，490 | ，712，060 |
|  |  |  | Programming $s^{2}$ 23，003，301 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 1，000．000 | ${ }^{\text {s }} 1.050 .000$ | 1，100，000 | s 1，160．000 $s$ | ${ }^{5} 1.220 .000{ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{5}{ }^{923,171}$ s | $5^{\text {s }} 937,942{ }^{\text {s }}$ | s $952,99{ }^{\text {s }}$ | s 987,094 s | 93， 887 | 5 999，426 | 1．015，417 | $1.031,64$ | s 1．048，70 | 1．064，941 | 1．081，980 |
|  | ［ 27，657，50 |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{\text {s }} 1.000,000$ s | ¢ 14.889 | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | （102， | S ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | s | ¢ 188.040 s | Slll | \％${ }^{\text {s }}$ | \％${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 220．399 <br> $1.28,569$ | ［128， |  |
| II．Freeway Ssatey and operational mprovemens |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Programming ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 8，044，347 |  | 2.00 | 5 | 90.000 | 350．000 |  | 369,269 | 375，177 | ／5 381，180／ | 388,078 | 393，475 | 399770 | 400,167 | 412,65 | 419,288 | 425,976 | ${ }^{432,792}$ |
|  | 11,0 |  |  | s | 2，000，000 |  | 37,34 <br> 127,34 |  | s $69,369{ }^{\text {c }}$ | ［ | ${ }^{5}$ | S ${ }^{5}$ | s $477,981{ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{5}^{1071,29}$ | ${ }_{5}^{108,3094}$ |  |  | ${ }^{100,223}$ 53，015 |
| 222 Menaged L Lanes and Experss 日us | ${ }^{5} \quad 13,382,75$ | 0．00\％ |  |  | ，130 | S 210.261 | ／210，201 | 210，261／ | s 20，261 | s 461，586 | 46，971 | 476，475 | 83，497 | 491，84 | 499，713 | 507，708 | 515，32 | 524.085 | 532.40 | ＋500，90 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}{ }_{5}{ }^{\text {chen }}$ | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 105，130 | 200，201 | 20.261 | 200，201 | 210，261 | 464,586 | 468,9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | s 524，085 | ¢ 532，470 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |
|  | s 27，657，50 | 0．00\％ |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{5} \quad .{ }_{5}$ | ．000 | 6.000 |  | ${ }_{5} 6645.00{ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {s }}$ 923，171 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | 952，99／${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{5} 967.094$ | 983，687 | 99，426 | s 1．015，417 | ${ }^{5}$ s 1．031，064 | ${ }^{5}$ 1．008，170 | ${ }_{5} 1.064,9415$ | s $1.081,98$ |
|  |  |  |  | 5 | ${ }_{5}$ | 601.00 | 646.00 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$－${ }^{5}$ | 645,00 | 923，17 | 937，942 | 952,9 | s 987，64＇s | s 983，687 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 999，42 | 1．015，417 | 1．031．64 | s 1．088，70 | s 1．064，941 | 1．08， 880 |
| Al streits and remewars |  | ${ }^{8.77 \%}$ |  |  | 1，130 | 5 29，215，201 | ${ }_{5} 17.888 .261$ | ${ }_{5} 17.064,261 \mathrm{~s}$ | ${ }^{5} 15,288,2615$ | 520.888 .983 | $521,202,886$ | $52.542,132 \mathrm{~s}$ | 5 21，879，960 s | $522,236,955$ | $522.522,786$ | S 22，954，270 | ${ }^{5} 19,384,050$ | \＄19，008，194 | 520.235 .125 s | S 20．684，886 |
|  | 620，433，99 |  |  |  | ${ }_{s} 25,921,130$ | s 50.843 | ¢ 225.35 | s 68.109 s | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5} 10.511,985$ |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {s }} \frac{3,7292,85}{}$ | s ${ }^{\text {s．0．55，933 }}$ |  |  |  | ¢ $2.296,114$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 224 Transoration Demand Mangesents | 91，795 | 0．00\％ |  |  | s | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | s ．${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$－${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s | ${ }_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | s 148，000 | s 1．555，000 | s | s ．${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s ．${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $5830.54{ }^{\text {／}}$ | \％844，148 | 857.68 | 580.9 | 885,3 | S 899，484 | 913,875 | 928，971 | \％943，353 | \％958，477 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |
| 1．TTranportation，Land Use，and Communiy Coordination |  |  | Progeramming ${ }^{\text {S }}$ 50，344，018／s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 55.09 | 10．34\％ |  |  |  |  |  | s 200．000 s | s 20.000 s |  | s $1.922,781$ s． |  |  | S $2.016,559$ s | S 2.0048 .824 | 2，081，005 |  | 年， 148.79 | 退退， | 228．088 |
|  |  |  | Programming $\$$ $\mathbf{5 0 , 3 4 4 , 0 1 8}$ <br> Interest Costs $\$$ $\mathbf{5 , 8 6 0}, 005$ <br> Total $\$$ $\mathbf{5 6 , 2 0 4}, \mathbf{0 2 3}$ |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ Le324，${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2， $116,3,378$ | \％${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {2，} 27,4,43}^{29,94}$ s | S ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }_{\text {2，384，118 }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {2，}}^{3 \text { 45，} 100}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {2036，388 }}$ | 300．56 2，518，615 |
|  |  | 0．00\％ |  |  |  | 800.000 | 2，300．000 | ¢ 700.000 |  | 1，938．601／ | 0.678 | 2．001，193 | 2．032， 15 | 2．065，74 | 2．098，795 | s 2，122，376 | 2，166，93 | ，1，57 | $5^{2,236,376} \mathrm{~s}$ |  |
| 226 Equit Prionty Tansporation Program | 5 55，000．854 |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}{ }_{5} \quad$ S | S 5 500.000 | ${ }_{\text {s }}{ }_{\text {s } 2,3000000}$ | s $700.00{ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | 退 |  | ${ }_{5}^{\text {s }} 2.001,193{ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 2.02,1,157$ s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{227}$ Develomment：Oienered Transporation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2，160，43 |  |  |  |
|  | 5 27．57．550 | 0．00\％ |  | s | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | 490.00 | s 1．000．000 |  | ${ }_{5}$ | 923，171 | s 937，942 | s ${ }_{5}^{552,49}{ }^{\text {s }}$ s | ${ }_{5} 987.094$ s | s ${ }_{5} 983,687$ s | s 999，426 | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ ¢ 1.015 ，417 | 1，031，64 | 1．088，70 | 1．064，941 | 1．08，980 |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}$ | 490．00 | 1，400．000 | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | 923，171 | 937，921 | 952,94 | 967,94 | 983，687 | 999，426 | 1．015，417 | 1．031，669 | 1．048，10 | 1，064，991 | 1．081，980 |
| $228{ }^{\text {citywide／Mosat Plamings }}$ |  | 7．90\％ | Programming s 12,007007 |  | ${ }_{5}$ | 1，100．000 | 150．000 | ／700．000 $/$ | 5 | 461,586 | 468.971 | ／s 476，475 s | $5^{483,847}$ | 491，844 | 499，713 | 500，708 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 28，775 |  | Interest Costs ${ }^{\text {S }}$ S $1,003,088$ | 5 | ${ }^{5}$ ．${ }^{5}$ | $5^{5}$ 5，439 | ${ }^{11,295}$ | ¢ 18，882 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ¢ 37，886 | 41,100 s | 5 35，201 | ${ }^{38,373}$ s | ${ }^{5}$ 49，946 5 | ${ }^{5}$ 50，782 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{60,267}$ | 59，166 | 59，859 | 59，773 | 59，375 | 58.884 |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}$ S ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{5} 1.105 .439$ | 161,295 | s 718，882／s | s 37，886 | 502,86 | 504，172 | 514，847 | 533，793 | ${ }_{542,626}$ | 559，980 | ${ }_{56,884}$ | 575.69 | ${ }_{583,588}$ | 59，，495 | 590，8 |
| TOTAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT | 181，156，950 | ${ }^{3.49 \%}$ | Programming $\$$ $\mathbf{1 7 2 , 7 2 5 , 1 2 0}$ $\$$ <br> Interest Costs $\$$ $\mathbf{6 , 9 5 3 , 0 9 4}$ $\$$ <br> Total $\$$ $\mathbf{1 7 9 , 6 7 8 , 2 1 3}$ $\$$ |  |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s．1450，000 |  | \％ $1.000 .00{ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 6.546 .242 |  |  |  | 6，975 | （068，97 |
|  | 181，56，950 |  |  |  | s $4.217,197$ | s | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s 6.028 .682 |  | s ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  |  | ${ }^{234.956} \mathrm{~s}$ | s 6054.8080 |  | s 36.98 .52 | s\％ 7.0121258 | ${ }^{\text {che }}$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | \％${ }^{\text {s }}$ |
| Total prop Lstategic plan |  | 10．00\％ | Programming $\$$ $2,620,659,191$ $\$$ <br> Interest Costs $\$ 355,130,537$ $\$$  <br> Total $\$ 2,975,789,728$ $\$$  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s 10，，77，179 |  |  |  |
|  | 3，28，A82，652 |  |  |  | S 314,730 |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S } 2,5857.577}$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{510.519,306}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S } 13.068,7789}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s } 1050,20404,087}$ | ${ }^{\text {S } 16,484,574}$ | 151，921，64 | 144，610，983 s | $\frac{520,275,966}{\text { s } 121,90,432}$ |  | S $133,470,89$ | ${ }^{5}$ S $21,3,21,9894$ |  | $\frac{5}{50,257,100}$ |



| Attachment 5A: <br> Amended 2023 Strategic Plan Baseline Programming Pending July 2024 Board Action |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 33410 | ${ }_{\text {r2a0asas }}$ | 20a1/42 | ${ }_{\text {r2aoza3 }}$ | ${ }^{2093364}$ | asats | Sosatar | H2asasa | ع220778 | roase | Fr2a985 | ${ }_{\text {r2as }}$ | 52 | ${ }_{\text {r20232/3 }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\frac{50.046,102}{}$ | s $0.142,839$ | S 0.244 .124 .5 | 5 $0.340,980$ | 5 $0.443,785$ | S 6.549 .600 s | s 0.5077 .420 s |  | s 4.000 .000 s | ${ }_{5}$ | 81, |  |  |  |
|  | s $6.738,417$ | 5 50821,006 | 5 5,901,991 | 5 5,971,072 | s 7.051,166 | s 7,121,026 | S 7,188,577 | 7,25,459 | 4,38, 3,60 | 21,9,53 | 81.066 |  | 5 5 | s |
| 202 Moni Rail core Capasity | 5 2,748,228 | S 2,792,200 | 5 2,83,875 | S 2,882, 24 | 5 2,928,93 | ¢ 2,977,091 | S 3.026,100 | 5 3.076,035 | 5 3,26,911 | 5 3,364,622 | 3,47,572 | 3.544,147 | 5 | s |
|  | $\frac{5}{5} 2.778,228$ | ${ }_{s}^{\text {s }}$ 2,992,200 | ${ }_{5}^{\text {s }}$ 2,83, 8,875 | ${ }_{5}^{\text {s } 2,882,264}$ | ${ }_{5}^{\text {s }}$ 2,928,993 | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s } 2,971.091}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}{ }^{\text {3.026,100 }}$ s | ${ }^{5}$ 3,076.035 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s 3.126,911 | ${ }_{5}^{\text {s }}$ | 3,47,572 | 3,544,147 | ${ }_{5}$ | s |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 203 Eart Core Capacity | S | \% $1.60,839$ | ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |  |  | ¢ 28.003 |  | S 3647478 | ${ }^{212,051}$ | 80,454 | ${ }_{5}$ | s | s | s |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | s | ${ }_{5}$ | s | s | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | s | ${ }_{5}$ | s | s | s |
|  | s | 5 | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | 5 | ${ }_{5}$ S | ${ }^{5}$. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{5}$. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{5}$. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s | s |  | s | s |
| 205 | 5 | ${ }_{5}$ | 5 | ${ }_{5}{ }^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}{ }^{5} 2825218$ | 989 | ${ }^{5}$ s | 93 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}{ }^{5}$ |  | 5 | s |
|  |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{\text {S }}$ S $4,885,680$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | s 2.825 .278 |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | ${ }_{6}^{663,392}$ | ${ }^{273,500}$ | $\substack{6.861 \\ 6.861}_{\text {c, }}$ | s | s |
| Total maoro caprial proiects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sters | s | ${ }^{5}$ 5,9,20,590 | ${ }_{5} 5$ | ${ }_{5} 5$ 5,033,541 | s 4.1225 .507 | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {3,260,450 }}$ | ${ }_{5}{ }_{5}^{2,494,173}$ s | s $1.127,104$ | ${ }^{5}$ s.964,620 | ¢ ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | s | s |
|  | S 17,401,46 | ${ }^{510,223,319}$ | $518.004,589$ | S 15,194,580 | ${ }^{5} 14,400,319$ | s 13,651,988 | S 12,949,969 | $\mathrm{s}^{12,337,485}$ S | $8.544,016$ | ${ }^{5}$ 4,328,052 | s 3,834,788 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 3,551.008 | s | s |
| B. TRANSIT MAINTENANCE AND ENHANCEMENTSI. Transit Maintenance, Rehabilitation, an |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 206 Meni M Mintenonee | S22,000,000 | S 22,500,000 | S 23,000,000 | s 23,000,000 | S 20,000,000 | S 26,000,000 | S 20,000,000 | S 30,000.000 | 538,00,000 | 5 38,000,000 | ${ }_{5}^{53,500,000}$ | .000 | s | s |
|  | $\frac{5}{5}$ S2000,000 | ${ }_{5} 22.500 .000$ | S 23,000,000 | ${ }_{5}{ }^{5} 23.000 .000$ | ${ }_{5} 20.000 .000$ | ${ }^{5}$ 26,000,000 | ${ }_{5} 26,000,000$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 30.000 .000{ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{5}^{58,000,000}$ | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {s 3,000,000 }}$ | S 39,50,000 | 540.000 .000 | 5 | s |
| 207 EaRT Maimenance |  |  | 850,000 |  |  | $\mathrm{s}^{5}$. | ${ }_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | s | s |
|  | s 602,578 | - 590.099 | S 538,436 | ' 45.388 | s 370,421 | s 300.986 | ¢ 22,880 | 166,735 | 109,543 | 58,30 | s 16,931 |  | s | s |
|  | $5^{5} 2.526,3838$ | ${ }^{5}$ 2.544,639 | s 1,386,436 | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ 455, 368 | s 378,421 | ' 300.088 | ${ }^{5}$ 22,880 | 166,735 | 100,543 | ${ }^{5}$ 58,360 | ${ }^{5} \quad 10.931$ s |  |  |  |
| 208 Catrain Mainenenane | 5.000.000 | 5 5.000,000 | 5 5,000,000 | 5 5.000.000 | 5 5.000,000 | S 5.000,000 | S 5.000.000 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}$ | 5 | s |
|  |  | \% 877.976 | S | \% | [ 712,735 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {240,242 }}^{242}$ | 5 109,115 <br> $s^{109}$ 1095 | ${ }_{\substack{3.029 \\ 3.029}}$ |  | s | s |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {s } 5.575,652}$ s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 209 Fery Mainenanace | 274,823 | 279,20 | 283,687 | ¢ 288,226 | \% 292,999 | ¢ 297,09 | s 302.610 | ¢ 307,003 | 312,69 | 33,462 | 347,957 | 354,415 | 5 | 5 |
|  |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{5} \quad 28.68{ }^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s 288.226 | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s 297,709 | s 302.610 s | s 307,03 s | 312.691 | 336,462 | ' 347,957 |  |  | ${ }_{5}$ |
| nsit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 1.593,972 | ¢ 1.019,476 | \$ 1.645,387 | s 1,671,713 | s 1.988 .816 | S 1,726,773 | 5 1.755.138 | 5 1,784,100 | 5 1.813,009 | 5 1,951,881 | 750.00 |  |  | s |
|  |  | \% 79,108 | \% $\quad 7,138$ | \% |  |  |  | ${ }^{5} \frac{57,875}{}{ }^{\text {S }}$ | 51,933.5 | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{4.097}$ | ${ }^{20.466}$ |  | s | s |
|  |  | ${ }^{5}$ 1.098,584 | s $1,722,525$ | s 1,746,250 | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ S $1,770,080$ | s $1,783,88$ | s $1,817,357$ s | ${ }^{5} 1,841,95{ }^{\text {s }}$ | 1.865.59 | 1,996,578 | 770,466 |  | s |  |
| ${ }^{211}$ Esyyiew Catrain Station |  | ¢ 1.5077888 | s $1.531,912$ | \% $1.555,422$ |  | 5 | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{5}{ }_{5}$ |  | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $5_{5}$ | 5. | 5 |
|  |  | \% | \% ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | \% ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | \% 285.015 |  |  | [lllll | ${ }_{8}^{80.659} 8$ | \% 41,700 | ¢ |  | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  |
| 212 Mision Bey Fery Landing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% 95.108 | ${ }^{83,968}$ | 71.896 | 60.93 | 49,432 | 38,875 | \$ 22,056 | 20.343 | 12.537 | ${ }_{5.650}$ | ${ }_{304}$ |  | s |  |
|  | 95,168 | 83,96 | 71,896 | 60.99 | 49,432 | 38,875 | 29,056 | 20.33 | 12.537 | 5,550 | ${ }^{3}{ }^{3}$ |  |  | s |
| 213 Next Generation Transit Investments | \% $1.200,220$ | 1,22,568 | ¢ $1,248,225$ | s 1,288,196 | s 1,288,57 | s 1,30,920 | $5{ }^{1,331,484}$ | 5 1,353,455 | 1,37.541 | 1,480,434 | 1,531.012 | 200.00 | 5 | s |
|  | S ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | 23,850 |  | ${ }^{22,288}$ | ${ }^{5}$ S 21,166 | S 19,824 | ${ }^{5} 18.499{ }^{\text {s }}$ | 10,63 | 14,547 | 11.601 |  | s | 5 |
|  | S $1.233,811$ | ${ }_{5}{ }^{1,52,882}$ | s $1,272,074$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ ¢ $1,29,374$ | s 1,311,045 | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ [1,331,086 | s $1,351,308$ s | s $1,371,874$ s | 1,322.504 | s 1,949,981 | 1,542,612 | 200,000 | s | s |
| rotal transir mantenance and entancements |  | S 34,089,591 | ${ }_{\text {cke }}^{5} 5$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {S } 32,78,585}$ | ¢ 34,880,472 |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{\text {S4, } 1,502,141} 5$ | ${ }_{5}^{541,788,37}$ |  | 20.554 | s | s |
|  | C.PARATRANsIT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{214}{ }^{\text {Paratanasit }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 335,32 |  |  | 5 | s |
|  | ${ }^{5} 4.486,922$ | s 3,93,909 | ${ }_{5} 3,003,137$ | s 2,880,209 | s 2,371,60 | S 1.884,719 | s 1.438.165 | s 1.025.597 | 60.522 | 335,322 | 15.873 |  |  |  |
| rotal paratransit |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ | 5 | s | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | s | s | s |
|  | 5 $4.466,922$ |  |  |  | s 2,371,670 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | s |
| D. STREETS AND FREEWAYSI. Maintenance, Rehabilitation, and Repla |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $215 \begin{array}{l\|l} \text { Street Resurfacing, Rehabilitation and } \\ \text { Maintenance } \end{array}$ | ${ }^{\frac{5}{5} \text { 5,771, 279 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ 5.863,619 | ${ }_{5}^{5} 5.957,437$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}{ }_{\text {s }} 0.052 .54$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s 0.150 .886 |  | ${ }_{5}^{5} 0.354 .810{ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{5}^{\text {c }} 0.459,673$ s | ${ }_{5}^{\text {s }} 0.56,5.514$ | ${ }^{5}$ \% $7.065,07$ | ${ }_{\text {s }} \mathrm{s}$ 7.307, 101 s | 2,422,70 | 5 | s |
|  | S 5,771,279 | 5 5.063,019 | 5 5.957,437 | s 0.052,754 | s 0.150,886 | s $0.251,891$ | S $0.354,810$ | ${ }^{5} 0.459,073$ S | S 0.560,514 | $570.05,707$ | S 7,307,101 | S 7,42,710 | 5 | s |
|  | ${ }^{5}$ 5 $1.044,327$ | s 1.061,036 | 5 1.078.012 | s 1.095,200 | s 1.113.017 | $5{ }^{\text {5 1,131,295 }}$ | $5{ }^{5} 1.149,988$ |  | 5 | ${ }_{5}$ |  | 5 | s | ${ }_{5}$ |
|  |  | ' 160.028 | \% | \% 149,980 | ' 142,989 | \% |  | ${ }_{\substack{90.632 \\ 90.32}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{59,573 \\ 5,573}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{3,774 \\ 31,74}}^{\substack{ \\ }}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0,264} 0$ |  | s | s |


| Attachment 5A: <br> Amended 2023 Strategic Plan Baseline Programming Pending July 2024 Board Action |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\text { No. }}{\text { Ep }}$. ${ }^{\text {ep Line tem }}$ | ¢203940 | Fr2000/91 | F2091/1/2 | ${ }^{\text {r20a2a33 }}$ | r203349 | ${ }_{\text {rroasas }}$ | लr2assas | нr2asat | r209748 | r208849 | н209950 | r22006s | 2 | ${ }_{\text {r2082 } 23}$ |
| 217 Traffic Signs \& Signals Maintenance | S 2,500.000 | 5 2,750,000 | 5 3,250,000 | 5 4,000.000 | 5 5.272, 88 | 5 5,325,000 | 5 5,411,000 | 5 5.501,000 | 5 5.933,000 | S 3,250,000 | s | 5 | 5 | 5 |
|  |  | ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | [ | [ ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | \% 318,645 | / |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | \% 69,966 |  |  | s |
| 1. Stater and complete Strees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 218 Stiferend completes stroess | 1,52,958 | 1,988,075 | 1,428,001 | s 1,367,971 | \% 1,302,672 | ¢ 1,223,488 | s 1.084,233 | 803,02 | 546,442 | s 314,511 | S 121,572 | 5 . | s | s |
|  | 8,572,958 | 8,788,075 | s 9,178,001 | s 9,867,911 | S 10,153,591 | s 10,173,448 | $5^{5} 8.084,243$ | 803,102 | ${ }^{5}$ 546,442 | s 314,511 | ${ }^{5}$ 121,572 | ${ }_{5}$ | s | 5 |
| $2 1 9 \longdiv { \text { Curb Remps } }$ | 1.59,972 | 1.019,476 | , 645,387 | 1.671,713 | ${ }^{5}$ ¢ 1.988 .816 | s $1,726,713$ | 5 1,755,138 | 1,784,100 | ¢ 1,13,609 | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | 5 | s | 5 |
|  | 1,75,3,34 | s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{5}$ s 1.780 .006 | s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s $1.823,128$ | ${ }^{5}$ ¢ $1.883,585$ | ${ }_{5} 1.806,970$ | ${ }^{1.884,300}$ | s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{5} \quad 47.098$ | ${ }_{5} \quad 13,511$ | s | s | s |
| 220 Tree Panating | Is 1.099,291 | ${ }^{5}$ ¢ 1,116,880 | ${ }^{5}$ 1,1,34,750 | \% 1,152,905 | s 1,171,597 | s 1,190,836 | ${ }^{5}$ | 5 | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | 5 | s | s | s |
|  |  | [ ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | \% 198,09 | [ ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | s. 175958 | s 135,155 | ${ }_{\text {coser }}^{\text {9,770 }}$ | ${ }^{65,723}$ | s 38.049 | s 11.799 | s | s | s |
|  | s 1,313,005 | s 1,326,254 | s 1,388,298 | s 1.349.014 | s 1,356.42 | s 1,366,764 | 135,155 | 98,70 | ${ }^{65,723}$ | 36,049 | ${ }^{5} 11,999$ |  |  | s |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{221}$ Usi.ision zeror Ramps | S 439,777 <br> 5 104,738 | 5 466,752 <br> 5 102505 |  |  | s ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }^{51,542}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | s | s | s | s |
|  |  | \% ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | s 553.10 | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | \%\% <br> 8,392 | s ${ }^{\text {ct, }}$ | 5 45.580 | 3,1,988 | 1,9588 | s ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {8,007 }}$ | s ${ }^{5} 146$ | ${ }_{5}$. | s | s |
| ${ }^{222}$ Menaged l lanes and Express ${ }^{\text {uns }}$ | 54.966 | 55,440 | ¢ 507,35 | ¢ 570,43 | 585,799 | ¢ 595,418 | S 605,220 | 615.207 | 625.38 | + 672,924 | s 695,94 | 708,829 | 5 | s |
|  | S ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5}$ | 558,40 | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5}$ 576,4s3 | ¢ 585,799 | ${ }^{5}$ 595,418 | $5 \quad 605,220$ | ${ }^{5} 615.207$ | ${ }^{5} \quad 625,382$ | ${ }^{\text {s }} 672,924$ | ${ }^{5}$ 695,914 | 708,229 |  | s |
|  | 1.099,291 | s 1,116,880 | S 1,134,750 | s 1,152,005 | 1,771,597 | s $1,190,386$ | 5 1,210,40 | 1,230,414 | \% 1,250,765 | \% 1,345,899 | s 1,391,229 | 1,417,659 | s | 5 |
|  | s ${ }_{\text {s }} 1.0992929$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s $1.116,8880$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s $1,1,34,750$ | s 1,152,905 | ${ }^{\text {s }} 1.1,71,597$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5} 1.1,90.836$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 1,210,400$ | ${ }_{5}{ }_{5} 1.2,20.414$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{5} 1,345,899$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ | s 1,417,.59 | s | s |
| Total streis ano |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S $21,079,523$ | ${ }_{5} \mathbf{2 1 , 7 8 3 , 0 8 3}$ | S $22,971,012$ | ${ }_{5}{ }_{5}^{24,063,123}$ | \$ $20.014,820$ | S $20.30,1,989$ | $5{ }^{23,48,5655}$ | S 15,50.394 | S 15,999,299 | \$ 12,344.480 | ${ }^{5}$ ¢ $9,349,844$ | 5 $9,569,198$ | s | s |
|  | $\frac{5}{5}$ | ${ }_{5} 2.4,400,955$ | ${ }_{5} 5$ 5, 2828,140 | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ 2,9,986,336 | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ 22,215,870 | s $2,0,418,461$ | ${ }_{5} 525,304,197$ | s $11,008,109$ | S 10,992, 4 | ${ }^{5} 12,56,779$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s $9,569,198$ |  |  |
| E. TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGI. Transportation Demand Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 989,362 | 1.005,92 | ${ }^{5}$ 1.022,275 | ${ }^{\text {s }} 1.037 .615$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ 1.054,438 | ${ }^{5} 1.071,753$ | $51.089,386$ | ¢ $1.107,372$ | 1.125.688 | s 1, 121,264 | 1,252,646 | 1,275,933 |  |  |
|  | 99,362 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }} 1.071,753$ |  | s 1,107,372 |  | 1,211,264 |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 225 | 5 2, 25, 5, 47 | S 2,289,04 | s 2,326,237 | ¢ 2,363,456 | ¢ 2,401,74 | ¢ 2,441,215 | ¢ 2,88,402 | ¢ $2,522,348$ | s | s | 5 | s | 5 | s |
|  | ${ }_{\text {2,550,17 }}^{29,969}$ | ${ }_{5}^{29,9090}$ |  | s 272.551 | ' 259,94 | ${ }^{5}$ | S ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 209,200 2,73,549 | \% 10.70 | 79,095 |  | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | s |
| $226{ }^{2}$ Equity Proioty Tansporation Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\frac{5}{5}$ | S | 5 | 5 | 5 | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {s }}$, | 5,512. | 5 | 5 | ${ }^{5}$ | 5 |  | 5 | s |
|  | 2,300,512 | 2,35,448 | s 2,382,975 | s 2,421,01 | ${ }^{5}$ 2, 460,354 | s 2.500,756 | 2,541,924 | 2.533,899 | s 2.026,006 | 2,820,283 | ¢ $2,92,840$ | 2,977,084 | s | 5 |
| 227 Development-Oriented Transportation | 1.092,291 |  | ${ }^{5}$ 1,134,750 | s 1,152,905 | s 1,171,597 | ¢ $1,190,836$ | ${ }^{5}$ 1,210,40 | 1,230.414 | s 1.250,765 | 1,345, 49 | ¢ $1,39,1,29$ | 1,417,059 |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | s |  | ${ }^{5}$ | s |  | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ |  | s | s |
|  | 1.099291 | ${ }^{5} 1.110,880$ | s 1,134,750 | s 1,152,905 | s 1,171.597 | s 1,190,836 | S $11.210,404$ | 1,230,414 | ${ }^{5}$ 1,250,765 | ${ }^{5} 1,1,45,4,49$ | s 1,39, 82 | 1,417, 5 | 5 | s |
| 228 Citywde/Modal Plaming | 549,696 | 55,440 | ¢ 567,375 | ¢ 570,43 | ¢ 585,99 | ¢ 595,418 | S 605.220 | 615,207 |  |  |  | 5 | s | s |
|  | (e) | cis,5697 <br> 615,97 | [S5,460 | s ${ }^{53,479}$ | \% ${ }^{51,025}$ | storen | [ | ${ }_{6}^{46,1122}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s ${ }^{11,032}{ }^{11,632}$ | ¢ ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{870}$ | s | s | s |
| TOTAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ S 347 7,033 | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ S 388.2120 | s 326.031 | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ S 310.969 | ${ }^{5}$ s 292,816 | ${ }^{5}$ 5 $27,1,85$ | 20,0,35 | ${ }^{5}$ S 1060,207 | ${ }^{5} 5$ |  | 5.000. | ${ }_{5}$ | s |
|  | 7,555,053 | 7,663,257 | s $7.770,822$ | 7,877,562 | s 7,984,932 | s 8.020,794 | 8,200,277 | , 300,520 | 5,169,266 | 5.747,123 | .596,461 | 5.070 .636 |  | s |
| Total prop L LTrategic plan | 570.578 .031 | s 72,123,271 | $5_{5}^{73,041,435}$ | $57.422,485$ |  | 5 78.023.000 | $575,887.658$ | 5 60,988,075 |  |  |  | 5, 3,38,386 |  |  |
|  | $\frac{518,535.51}{5}$ | $\frac{510,788,775}{58809052}$ | S ${ }^{\text {S } 1, .000,194}$ | ${ }^{5} 18.2215,319$ | S ${ }^{\text {S } 11,4343,386}$ |  |  | ${ }^{\text {5 }} 5$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |  |  $\$$ <br>  6,861 |  | s |




|  | Total availabe |  | ammeerctost | ${ }^{\text {r202223 }}$ | H202324 | ${ }^{\text {r220245 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {r202526 }}$ | H202627 | r202728 | ${ }^{\text {F202829 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {F202930 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {r22003 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {r203132 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {r202233 }}$ | r2033/4 | ${ }^{\text {n203a45 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {r2as536 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {r203837 }}$ | r203738 | ${ }^{\text {п203839 }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{201}$ Mamin eliability | s 152,116,523 | ${ }^{\text {8.45\% }}$ | Progamming |  |  | 00.000 | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5.414,000}$ | 5.340.000 | S 5,379.000 | s $0.577,493$ | ${ }^{\text {s }} 0.658 .682 .58$ | 5 5.24,220 | S.322,360 | 5, 610,285 | 5,998.844 | . 584793 | 5.674.199 | 5,764,935 | S.857,144 | S.95,888 |
|  |  |  | (tast |  |  | ${ }_{5} 3,6000000$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }} 5.4959,43285$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ S 188.076 |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s $7.0072,8270$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ s 60.5575 |  |  |  | - | ${ }^{5}$ 5 7 707460 |  |
| 202 Moni Rail Core Capacity | s $69,143,874$ | 0.00\% | Pramming 5 S $0,000,640$ |  | 5 | ${ }_{5} 8800,00$ | 1828,00 | 1.051,000 | 1.05,000 | 2,307, 29 | 2,344, 55 | 2,382,373 | 2,419,234 | 2,45,218 | 2,49,555 | ¢ $2,538,542$ | 5 2,579,159 | 5 | ${ }_{5} 2.662,352$ | s 2,704,949 |
|  |  |  |  | s | s | 800,000 | ${ }_{5} 1.828 .000$ s | 1.051,0 | 1.0 | s | 5 | ${ }_{5} 2,382,333$ | ${ }_{5} 2,419,234{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }_{5}{ }^{2}, 459,278{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }_{5} 2,498,56$ | ${ }^{5}$ 2,58, 542 | ${ }_{5}$ 2,579,159 | ${ }_{5} \mathbf{5} 2.62,025$ | 2,662,352 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ 2,704,949 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 203 EART Core Capacity | 287, | 28.45\% |  |  | 5 |  | S ${ }_{\text {s } 27.128 .000}$ | ${ }^{5} 8.168 .000$ |  |  | S $5.000 .000{ }^{\text {S }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}$ |  |  | ${ }_{5} 8.985$ |  | \% ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }_{5} 5$ | ${ }_{5}{ }_{5}^{2,599,3,33}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 3$ | s ${ }^{\text {c,9,94,899 }}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $204 \begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Caltrain Service Vision: Capital System } \\ \text { Capacity Investments } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $s \quad . \quad$ sovvo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | s | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{5} \quad . \quad{ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | s | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ | s | s | s |
|  |  |  | Total ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | s |  | ${ }^{5}$. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{5}{ }^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}{ }^{5}$ | s | ${ }_{5}{ }^{5}$ | 5 - ${ }^{5}$ |  | s | 5 | s |  | s |
|  |  | 17\% | Saraming s 300.000.000 |  | s | 510.000 .000 | \$ 15.000.000 | 525.000 .000 | S 40,000,000 | s 40,000.000 | 540.000 .00 ol | 540.000 .000 | S 40,000.000 | \$ 25,000.000 | 5 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | \$ 25,000,000 | 5 | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{510,000.000}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{515,144,593}$ |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $8.8110,266$ | \$ $8.887,54$ | \% ${ }^{5} 9.53,4.42$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ S 8.083 .541 |  |  | St.7.74.688 |  |
| TOTAL MAOOR Capral projects | 5 774,41,390 | 21.33\% | mming ${ }^{\text {s }} 597,759,492$ |  | s | S 14,400,000 | 549,372,000 | 3, 3, 52,000 | S 46,430.000 | $548.85,371$ | S104,003,537 | 547.023, | $547,741,5$. | $532,86,498$ | 5 7,995,410 | ${ }^{5,123,336}$ | $533,253,308$ | ${ }_{5} 8,388,361$ | ${ }_{5} 8.519,526$ | 8.65, 388 |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 1400000$ | s 689,488 s | ${ }^{\text {s }} 1.547,266$ | s $3,796,322$ s | S 5,083,744 | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{710.033,988}$ | ${ }_{\text {s } 8,4212,94}^{5}$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{12,27,734} \mathrm{~s}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }} 513,543,057$ | ${ }^{\text {s }} 12,323,790$ | ${ }_{5} 12,664,537$ | ${ }^{\text {S } 11,704,79}$ | ${ }^{\text {s }} 10,789,544$ | S. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 206 Muni Maintenance | \% 1.084,75,996 |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{\text {s }}$ | S 19,380,000 |  |  | S 30.000.000 |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5} \mathbf{3 , 0 0 0 , 0 0}$ | S 35.000.000 | s 35,000.000 | s ${ }_{\text {32,000.00 }}$ | S 30.000.000 | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{53.000000}$ |  |  | S 20,000.000 |  |
| 207 EART Mainenanace |  |  | Total ${ }^{\text {c }}$ 803,456,209 |  | s | S 19,380,000 | 50,05,120 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | S 30,003,455 | S 31,94,221 | ${ }_{5}^{53,935,54}{ }^{\text {s }}$ | s 30,599,098 | S 30,601,0618 |  | s 33,73, 159 | S31.63,264 | s 31,1,28,276 | $520,238,898$ | \$ 15,000.00 | 520,000,000 | s 21,000,000 |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{22,43 \%}$ | remming ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 3,5155.621 |  | 2,238 | 59,2627722 |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{5} 1.615 .550$ | 1.041,399 | 67, 6 | ${ }^{1.093,466}$ | 1,212,453 | 1,788, | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 5 | ${ }^{5} 1.8342,28$ |  | . 64 |
|  |  |  |  | s | \% ${ }^{5}$ ¢ 98.388 | s ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5,655,397}$ |  | ${ }^{5}{ }_{5}^{27,382}$ | S 400.309 | 5 ${ }^{\text {533,464 }}$ | s $30.085{ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | 5 5 S23,985 |  |  |  |  | 5 ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ 5 6172,25 |  |
| 208 Catrain Mainenance |  | 1.95\% | Programming ${ }^{\text {a }} 115.0020200$ |  | 776.00 | 4.820,000 | \$ 4,700.000 | ${ }_{5}^{5} 5.500 .000$ | 5 5.700.000 | S 7.500 .000 S | ${ }_{5}^{5.000 .000}$ s | 5 5.000.000 | 5 5.000.000 | 5 5.000.000 | 5 5.000.000 | ${ }^{5} 5.000000$ | 5 5,000.000 | 5 5.000.000 | 5 5,000.000 | 5000.000 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5} 1,1776000$ | 5 $4,90,0,388$ | s $4.820,0846$ | ${ }^{5} 5.8131 .659$ | ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | ${ }_{5} 8.107,7,260$ | S | s 6.642441 |  | 5,595,520 | , 0.01918184 | ${ }^{\text {s }} 5$ | ${ }^{5} 5.9999636$ | S 966,989 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 56,394 } \\ 5.96,341 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{5} 5$ |
| 209 Ferry Mainterance | ${ }^{5} \quad 6,994,387$ |  | 0.00\% |  |  |  | 57.000 | 95,000 | 5,000 | 6.000 | 00,793 | 34,486 |  | 24,123 | 245,92 | 29,857 | 253,354 | 257,96 | 162004 | 66,23 | 20.495 |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | 157,000 | ${ }_{5}^{5} 105.000{ }^{\text {s }}$ | ¢ 105,000 | s 106,000 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ 230,79 | s 234,4610 | 238,2 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | 245,92 | ${ }^{5}$ 24,957 | 25,354 | \% 257,916 | s 262,043 | ${ }^{266,235}$ | 27,995 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3.95\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5} 10.086$ | ${ }^{5}$ 22.555 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s 33.850 | S 30,225 | ${ }^{5} 55.290{ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{5}$ S 47.5017 s | 5 51,938 | ${ }^{5}$ S $67.002{ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | ${ }^{5}$ S 69.133 s |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ S 80.977 | s.82,133 | \% 82216 | ¢ 81,800 | \% ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | s 1,400,036 | s 1.091.055 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |  | s 1.085.899 | s 1,407,517 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s 1,433,714 |  |  |  | s 1,55,332 | ${ }^{5} 1,587,045$ | s 1.002002 | s 1.026,024 | s 1.550,239 |
| 211 Eapview Catrain Station | s 37,37,692 | 16.75\% |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s } 2.886 .000}$ |  | ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | S $1.349,225$ | ${ }^{\text {s }} 1.370,813$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ 1,392,766 | S $1.415,000$ | (1.437, | (1.400,6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}$ S $2,941,036$ |  | ${ }^{5} 1.855,982$ | s 186,574 | ${ }^{5}$ 2, 2020,284 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{5}$ S $2,278,965{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }_{5}{ }_{5} 1,2725,320$ |  | s $1.643,149$ |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s $1.367,2029$ | ${ }^{5} 1.702,783$ | 1,784,081 |  |  |
| ${ }^{212}$ Misision Byy Fery Landing | s $\quad 0,914,387$ | 30.21\% | Programming ${ }^{\text {s }}$ 4,500.000 |  | s | ${ }_{5}^{5} \cdot{ }_{5}$ | ${ }_{s} \cdot{ }_{s}$ |  | $5_{5}^{2,250.000}$ | $5^{2.250 .000}$ s |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | s |  |  |  | ${ }_{5} 2,3999292$ |  | ${ }^{5} 129,320$ s | s 1322001 |  | ${ }^{5} 151,9818$ s |  | 1522,204 |  | S | 110,461 | 100,060 |
| 213 Next Eenestion Trasit trestments | $5 \quad 30,023,05$ | 3.58\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $51.015,489$ | 1.031, | 1.088,244 | ${ }^{3}$ |  | S 1.099,36 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {s,1,1,000 }} 1$ | ${ }^{5}$ 32,225 | s 60.045 | s 65.208 s | ${ }^{5}$ 5, 5 ,906 | s 61.005 | \% 79,483 | ${ }^{5}$ s 80.892 s | 96,088 | ${ }^{5} 94,416$ | 95,04 | 6,521 | 43,966 | ${ }^{\text {P20.000 }}$ |
|  |  |  | Toal ${ }^{\text {c }}$ S $30.057,086$ |  |  | s 675, | s 1,186,000 | ${ }_{5} 1,2326265$ | s 760,055 | S $1.0880,968$ | s 10887,643 | s 1,109,299 | ${ }^{5} 1,143,977$ S | 1102,948 | 1,195,457 | s 1,211,374 | s 1,230,434 | 507,521 | 64,986 |  |
| total transir mantenance anv entancements | s 1,392,557,224 | 3.87\% | Programming $51.048,169,228$ |  |  | 538.588 .762 | \$58,790,500 |  |  |  | S 4, 3,33.588 |  |  | $542,83,755$ | $540.898,015$ | \$ 40,990,960 | $531.08,815$ | 5 25,531,216 | $530,711,716$ | 5 31,983,503 |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ s 98.3888 | ${ }^{5} 5$ 55,295 | s 9 S6,875 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{5} 81.003,923$ |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{51.51824 .653}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{5}{3,971,671}$ s | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5} 4.0 .0319 .92$ | ${ }^{\text {S }}$ S $4,4888,8878$ |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s } 22,2,78,0,06}$ |  |  |
| C.Paratransir |  | 22.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\left.{ }^{214}\right]^{\text {Paratasasit }}$ | s $313,913,188$ |  |  |  | ¢ 9.835 .000 | ${ }_{5} 513.408 .000$ | ${ }_{5}^{513,309,000}$ |  | S 14.651 .000 | S $1.5089,931$ |  | S $16.009,507$ |  | ${ }^{\text {S } 16,984,550}$ | S $17.949,086$ | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{518,559,476}$ |  | S ${ }_{5}^{12,314,1}$ | S ${ }_{\substack{2.50,000 \\ 4.997523}}$ |
|  |  |  | Tooal S S $30,3,35,888$ |  | $510.023,144$ | $513,977,24$ | $514,366,277$ s | $515,125.813$ | $510,44,624$ | $517,226,259$ s | ${ }^{5} 11,538,42{ }^{\text {s }}$ | $518,30.780$ | S $19,808,674$ | 20.60,259 | ${ }^{\text {22,097, } 27}$ | 2, 2 S3,0,035 | 5, | 2, |  |  |
| Total paratransir | 5 313,913,188 | 22.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Imerest Costs $s$ 20,327,888 |  | s 197, 14 | s 488,204 | s 557.277 s | s 900.813 | s $1,1,92,624$ | s $2,136,328$ s | s 1,996,173 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s 2,361,214 | ${ }^{5}$ 3,318,820 | ${ }^{3} \mathbf{3 , 6 2 5 , 7 9 9} \mathrm{~s}$ | s 4.003,541 | s $4.815,127$ | s 5,171,979 | S 5.466,079 | S 5.4 .430 .207 | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} \text { D. STREETS AND FREEWAYS } \\ \\ \text { I. Maintenance, Rehabilitation, and Replacement }\end{aligned}$ |  |  | Toal ¢ $304,375,888{ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | s 10,032, 144 | $513,897,204$ | S $14.366,277$ ¢ | S $15,125,813$ | $510,443,624$ |  | ${ }^{5} 17,53,442{ }^{\text {s }}$ | $518.370,780$ |  | s 20,610,259 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 215 $5 \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Street Resurf } \\ & \text { Maintenance }\end{aligned}\right.$ <br> 216 <br> Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities Maintenance | s 145,202, 136 |  | 0.00\% |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{\text {s } 400.000}$ |  | ,575,000 | ${ }_{5}{ }^{2.000,000}$ | ${ }_{5}^{\text {s }} 1.700,000{ }^{\text {s }}$ | , 66.1 | ${ }_{5}^{5} 5.624,196{ }^{5}$ | .002,983 | 000,3 | ${ }_{5}^{5} 5.164,358$ s |  | ${ }_{5}{ }^{5}$ 5,380,39 | ${ }_{5}^{5.416,234}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 5.502,893$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 5.50,939$ | - |
|  |  | Total ${ }^{\text {s }}$ 144,966,345 |  |  | 400.000 | 2,440,000 | \$ 1.575.000 | 2,000.000 | 1.700.000 | ${ }^{5} 5.966,650$ | 5.024,196 | ${ }^{5} 5.002,983$ | 5 5.080,322 | 5, 64, 3,58 | 5,24,988 | \$5,330,39 | 6,234 | 893 | 5,590,939 | [68,393 |
|  | s 26,274,672 | $11.37 \%$ | Progamming s $22.735,554$ S |  | 155.000 | S 878.000 | 912,000 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | \% 90,000 | s 1,107,000 | 1,441,013 | 891,045 s | S 905,322 | 919,309 | 934,503 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ¢ 949,455 | ¢ 964,468 | 980,080 | 995,72 | $51.011,044$ | .027,881 |
|  |  |  |  |  | s 155.01 |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |  |  | s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | \% | ${ }^{1,040,1,38}$ | ${ }^{1,077,38588}$ | s ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ (170,030 | 166,760 | 106 | ¢ 168.205 | \% ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 4 |








|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Attachment 5B: <br> Amended 2023 Strategic Plan Baseline Cashflow ${ }^{1}$ Pending July 2024 Board Action |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 93940 | ${ }^{\text {r2a0as41 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {r20014142 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {r20a2243 }}$ | r209334 | mans | ${ }^{\text {r2assab }}$ | ${ }^{\text {r20a6647 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {r207774 }}$ | r2098499 | m209950 | ${ }^{\text {r205051 }}$ | 2 | ${ }_{\text {r202323 }}$ |
| A.MAOOR Caprat imojects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 $6.004,102$ | ${ }^{\text {S }} 0.1428 .839$ | ${ }^{5} 0.241,124$ | 5 5 030.988 | ${ }^{\text {S }}$ S.43,785 | ${ }^{5}$ 0.594,600 | 5 S.057.420 | 5 0.767 .276 | 5 4.000000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\frac{5}{5} \frac{692,315}{56}$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ ¢ 68827.607 | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ ¢ 60.3068 |  |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s 512,026 | stini,57 |  | s 388,460 | s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ ¢ 219,583 | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s | ${ }^{5}$ |  |
| 202 Moni Rail core Capasaity | 5 2,748,228 | s 2,792,200 | 5 $2,886,875$ | 5 2,882,264 | 5 $2,988,983$ | 5 2,977,091 | 5 3,026,100 | ${ }^{5} 3.076 .035$ | 5 3,126,911 | s $3,364,622$ | 5 3,479,572 | 5 3,544,147 | 5 | s |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}{ }^{\text {c,7,92,200 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ 2,836,775 | ${ }^{\text {S } 2,882,264}$ | ¢ 2,98,993 | s $2.977,091$ | ${ }_{5}^{\text {s }}$ 3,026,100 | s 3.076.035 | s 3,126,911 | s 3,364.622 | s |  |  | s |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 203 EART Core Capacity |  | s. | s. | ${ }^{5}$ | s | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | s | s | s | s | s |
|  |  | ¢ $1.60,838$ | \% 1.380 .543 | ${ }^{\text {ctin }}$ | \% 986,058 | 728.03 <br> 228063 |  | \% $364.76{ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | s 80.454 | s | s | s | s |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | s | s | s | s | ${ }^{5}$ | s | s | s | s | 5 | s |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{5}$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | s | ${ }_{5}^{5} \quad{ }_{5}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | s | s |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }_{5}$ |  | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | 5 | ${ }^{5}$ | s | s | s | 5 |  |  |
|  |  | \% 5.598 .674 | ${ }^{5}$ 4,885,680 | 4,180.012 | ${ }^{5}$ S $3,900,02$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ 2,825,2218 | s 2,199989 | s $1.640,245$ |  | ${ }^{663,392}$ | ${ }^{273,500}$ | 6,861 | s |  |
|  | ${ }^{5}$ 6,311,827 | S 5.598.674 | 5 4,885,680 | 5 4,180,012 | s 3,900,102 | 5 $2,825,218$ | s 2,199,94 | ${ }^{5} 1.640,245$ |  | ¢ 663,392 | 23,500 | 6.861 |  |  |
| al major capral projeters |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{5} 9.0 .077,999$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{5}$ | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {sem }}^{5}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ 7.126,911 |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ S ${ }^{\text {3,544,477 }}$ | s : | ${ }_{5}$ |
|  | S $\mathrm{s} 17.641,446$ | ${ }^{\text {s }} 10.823,3,39$ | 5 10.004,589 | ${ }^{5} 15,949,580$ | ${ }^{5} 14.4060,319$ | ${ }^{5} 13.551 .988$ | ${ }^{5} 12,94,969$ | ${ }^{5} 12,377.485$ | ${ }^{\text {s }} 8.554,016$ | ${ }^{5}$ s,328.052 | ${ }^{5}$ 3,384,738 | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ 3.551,008 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M, Mranit Mainemenance | $522,000.00$ | 522.500 .000 | 523,000.000 | S23,000.000 | 520.000.000 | S20,000.000 | 5 26,000.000 | 530.000 .000 | 5 38,000.00 | S 38.00,000 | 5 3, 500,000 | S40.000.000 |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{5}^{5} 22.000 .000$ | ${ }_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\text {22,500.000 }}$ | ${ }_{5}^{53,000.000}$ | ${ }_{s}^{53,000.00}$ | ${ }_{5}^{56,000.000}$ | ${ }_{5}^{526,000.000}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5260000.00}$ | ${ }_{5}^{530.000 .000}$ | ${ }_{5}^{53,000.000}$ | ${ }_{\text {s } 38.000 .000}$ | ${ }_{5}^{53,500.000}$ | ${ }_{5}^{50.000 .000}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | s |
| 207 East miniterance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 203,60 | 5, 59 | 850.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ S909099 | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 455,3,388 | s 378,4021 |  | S 22988 | \% ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | \% ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | \% ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | \% ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s | s | s |
| ${ }^{208}$ Catrain Maintenance | 5 5,000.000 | 5 5.000,000 | 5 5,000,000 | 5 5,000.000 | 5 5,000,000 | 5 5,000,000 | 5 5,000.000 |  |  | ${ }_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \% 877.976 | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }_{5}^{\text {s }}$ 5 77272,7222 | ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |  |  | [ ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |  | 109,115 | S ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s | ${ }_{5}$ | s |
| 209 Fery Mainenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | s 274,823 | s 279,220 | 28,687 | 288,226 | 292,89 | 297,09 | $\mathrm{s}^{\text {s }} 302,610$ | 307,03 | 312,69 | ${ }^{336,462}$ | 347,95 | ${ }^{354,45}$ |  | s |
|  | $\stackrel{5}{5} \underline{574,823}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ 279,220 | 283,687 | 288.226 | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s 292,899 | 297,09 | ${ }_{5}^{\text {s }}$ s 302,610 | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ 307,603 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 312,69 | 33,462 | 347,957 | 354,415 | ${ }_{5}$ | s |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 210 Tastit Ehancemens | $51.593,972$ | s 1.019,476 | 5 $1.645,387$ | s $1.671,713$ | s 1,998,816 | ${ }^{1} 1,726,713$ | 5 1,755,138 | 5 1,784,100 | ¢ $1.813,009$ | s 1,951,881 | 750.000 | ${ }_{5}$ |  | s |
|  | (e) | \% 79.108 |  |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |  | \% ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | [ 51.958 | ${ }_{\text {che }}^{\text {s }}$ | \% | s | s : | s |
| 211 Eavyiew Catrain station |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (tatas, |  | ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{5} \quad 22, \dot{921}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ \% 12.6 | 22,327 |  | ${ }_{5}^{5} \quad 41700$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | s |  |  |
|  |  | ' ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}{ }_{\text {s }}^{1,884,384}$ | ${ }_{8}^{285,015}$ | ${ }^{220,921}$ | \% | ${ }^{5}{ }^{5}$ | ${ }_{\text {80, }}^{80.559}$ | s 41.700 |  | s |  |  |
| 212 Msision Bay Fery l anding |  |  |  | 5 | 5 | 5 | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | s | s |  | s |  |  |
|  |  | s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | ${ }^{60.993}$ | ${ }_{4}^{49,32}$ | 5 ${ }^{5}$ | 5  <br> 5  <br> 5 20.056 | s ${ }^{20,333}$ | s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ 12,537 | s 5.650 | 304 | s | s | s |
|  | \% 95,168 | 5 83,996 | - 71,986 | 60.993 | ${ }^{5}$ 49,432 | ${ }^{5}$ \% 38,875 | ${ }^{5} \quad 20.056$ | ${ }^{5}$ 20,333 | ${ }^{5} \quad 12,537$ | 5 5,650 |  |  |  |  |
| 213 Next Soneration Transit westmens | 1,20,220 | ¢ 1.228 .588 | ${ }^{5} 1.248,225$ | 5 1,288,196 | ${ }^{\text {s 1 } 1,288,57}$ | ${ }^{5} 1.309,920$ | 51.331 .884 | 5 1,35, 455 | ¢ $1.375,841$ | \% 1,.880,434 | ${ }^{5} 1.531 .012$ | 200.0 | 5 | 5 |
|  | \% |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ ¢ 21.1068 | ¢ 19,824 |  | \% 10.683 | \% ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | \% | 200.000 | s |  |
| OTal transir mantenance ano enhancements |  |  | S 33.55272 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{5}^{5} 5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | S ${ }^{\text {3 3,4,45,199 }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{5} 42,128,9898$ | ${ }_{5}^{50.554,415}$ | s | s |
|  | 5 35,55,870 | S 30.088,872 | s 35,43,940 | 5 34,998,818 | 5 36,397,628 | $535,35,744$ | $535.478,907$ | $534.234,623$ | s 42,019,7,78 | $5420.042,847$ | ${ }^{542,19,747}$ | 500.554 .41 |  | s |
|  |  | 5. |  | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | 5 | ${ }^{5}$ | s | s | 5 | 5 | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ |
| ${ }^{214}{ }^{\text {Nsiaratasit }}$ | ( | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S }}$ S,933,909 |  | ${ }_{\text {che }}^{\text {S } 2,880,209}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{5} 1.025 .597$ | ${ }_{\substack{660,522 \\ 660522}}$ | ${ }^{335,32}$ |  | s | s | s |
| otal paratransit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{5}^{5} 4.466,922$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5}$ 3,933,909 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ s,003, 137 | ${ }_{s}^{5} 2,880,209$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s } 2,371,670}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }} 1.888,719$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ 1.430, 125 | s ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$, 202,597 | 660.522 | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ S 35,382 | 7,873 | ${ }_{5}$ | s : | s |
|  | s 4.46,922 | s 3,93, 9 | ${ }^{5}$ 3,003, 37 | 2,80,209 | s 2,371,60 | ${ }^{5} 1.888,719$ | ${ }^{5} 1.483,165$ | s $1.025,597$ | 60,522 | s 355,382 | ¢ 75.873 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 55.771 .279 | 5 5.663.619 | ${ }_{5}^{5} 5.957 .437$ | ${ }^{5}$ 6.052.754 | ${ }_{5}$ S 6.150 .886 | ${ }_{5}^{\text {s }}$ 6.251,891 | ${ }_{5} \mathbf{5} .354 .810$ | ${ }^{5}$ 6.455.673 | ${ }^{5} 6.56 .514$ | s 7.065,07 | ${ }^{5} 7.307 .101$ | 22,70 | 5 | s |
|  | ${ }_{5}^{5} 5.771,279$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 5.863 .011$ | ${ }_{5}^{5,957,437}$ | ${ }^{5}$ 6,052,754 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ 6,150,886 | s $0.251,891$ | ${ }^{5} 0.354,810$ | ${ }_{5} 6.459,673$ | s 0.56,5,51 | ¢ 7,065,07 | s 7,307,101 | s 7,442,710 | s | s |
|  | s 1.044,327 | s 1.001 .036 | s 1.078 .012 | s 1.095,260 | s 1.113 .017 | s 1,131,295 | 5 5 1,199,918 | 5 | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$. | 5. | 5 | s |
|  | (1) | \% ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | [ | \% 114.950 | s | \% | S | ${ }_{\text {cta }}^{\text {s }}$ | 59,53 | s ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 9,264 } \\ 0.24}}^{\text {9, }}$ |  | ${ }_{5}$ | s |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Attachment 5B <br> Strategic Plan Baseline Cashflow ${ }^{1}$ Pending July 2024 Board Action |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | masase | masasas | manatar | maozas | maopas | maoas | mastas | masest | mangrs | masates | measso | mesoss | ${ }^{\text {meserse }}$ | masers |
|  | $\frac{5}{52500000}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {S } 2200000}$ | ${ }^{\text {4.000 }}$ (0as | Sti2128 | Sinsoen | S.an100 |  |  | ${ }^{3.25000}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Stomamememe |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {che }}^{\text {ani.as }}$ |  | ${ }^{5}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {\% }} \frac{202828}{5} 5$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{69960}}^{6996}$ |  |  |  |
| ceras compees sreas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Streases Compees steess |  | S. | S. | S. |  |  | s. | ${ }^{\text {Ba3, }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Satace }}$ | 314,511 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cutrems | $\frac{5}{\frac{5}{1.539,92}}$ |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{5} 1.0717738$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {S }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }_{5}^{5} 1.788,8,88$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 1.750 .006$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  |  | ${ }^{5} 1.00430$ |  | ${ }^{\text {at, } 208}$ | 13.51 |  |  |  |
| Tree emanim |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { a }}}^{5}$ | 135,158 | 8,7\% | $6_{6573}$ | 6098 | 11,99 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {S }} 1.368784$ | ${ }^{135,55}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | St | St |  | Stise | ${ }_{\substack{\text { rasan } \\ 7,392}}$ | ${ }_{5}^{61,58}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{19.585}$ | 8.807 | \% ${ }^{196}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 599664 | Ssa, | 568375 | 57843 | S85999 | ${ }_{59} 5_{4} 18$ | 605220 | 615820 | ${ }_{6} 68382$ | 62924 | 695994 | 20,9, |  |  |
|  | $\frac{5}{5} 599$ | S80 | S79375 | 576,483 | S6, 29 | S95948 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | s 055220 s | ${ }^{5} 615207$ | 683882 | 622924 | ${ }_{5} 6$ cs994 | S 008929 |  |  |
| Stier frememomexmjor | $5_{5}^{5} 1.0929$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 1.138,750$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 1.182205$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 1.771 .85$ | $5_{5}^{11.100986}$ | $5^{12120400}$ : | ${ }^{5} 12309414$ | ${ }^{\text {P } 1230725}$ | 5 1.355899 | ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ +139,129 | $5^{1.1971,65}$ |  |  |
|  | \$ 51.00929 | ${ }^{5} 1.110 .850$ | ${ }^{5}$ 1,1,49,50 | ${ }^{5} 1.125205$ | ${ }^{5} 1.172 .58$ | ${ }^{5} 1.1008085{ }^{\text {a }}$ | s 12120.400 ' | ${ }^{5} 12020414$ | ${ }^{5} 12080,75$ | ${ }^{5} 1.354599$ | ¢ 139,1293 | ${ }^{5} 1.1017 .55$ |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {che }}^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{515.56,399}$ |  | $\frac{5}{512,34 a 80}$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{5} 9689,188$ |  |  |
| . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | , |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{5} 1.089396$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 1.00732$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} .1 .125 .588$ | ${ }^{12}$ |  | 1275,989 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [ |  | \& 1005192 | 1.021275 | 51.07865 | ${ }^{5} 1.054488$ | S 1.017178 | 1.88938 | 3,1073727 | 1.2 | ${ }^{12212,26}$ | ${ }_{5}^{12232064}$ | ${ }_{5} 5^{12725983}$ |  |  |
|  |  | 52298968 | $)^{2326237}$ | 52.385956 | 5 2 201,724 | 52412125 | 52881.102 | 52 2523288 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ¢ 20089 |  | ${ }_{5}{ }^{2725855}$ |  | ${ }^{5}$ | \% 2272 | ${ }_{5} \frac{209290}{}$ | ${ }^{100,78}$ |  | ${ }^{28272}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 52006512 | 523 2354as | 52.382975 | 52.821 .01 | S 2.400354 | $52.500,76$ | 5254,129 | 52.58389 | 262 | 52886 | 52082890 | 52.97004 |  |  |
|  | 2006512 | ${ }^{2} 23854948$ | 52.382975 | ${ }^{5}$ | 5 | S2500786 | \% 2581,124 | S 2 253,9909 | S202600 | 528828283 | 52.82838 | \$2977094 |  |  |
|  | $5_{5}^{1.002929}$ | 51.106880 | $5{ }^{1,184730}$ | 5 | 5 ¢ 1.171587 |  | 51212040 | $5{ }^{5} 12309414$ | ${ }^{\text {S } 1.2307075}$ | 51,38589 | ${ }^{5} 1.3981829$ | 51.171758 |  |  |
|  | 1.09229 | ${ }^{5}$ ¢,1010800 | ${ }_{5}^{5} 1.134,38$ | ${ }_{5}{ }^{5} 1.152808$ | ${ }^{5}$, 1,71, $\times 2$ | ${ }^{5} 1.100 .896$ | ${ }^{5}$ 1210,40 | ${ }^{5} 1.2029814$ |  | ${ }^{5} 1.3,5899$ | 1,39,129 | ${ }^{5}$ S 1.1710 .58 |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{5}$ | Stato | ${ }_{\substack{687275 \\ \hline 5.560}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{57048 \\ 58,99}}$ | Ses,98 | 959,48 |  | ¢ | 45 | 11.38 | 88 |  |  |  |
|  | s 607727 \% | 615, 5 | ${ }^{628285}$ | ${ }^{629,92}$ | ${ }^{636824}$ | 635480 | ${ }_{699856}$ | 65632 | ${ }_{2545}$ | 11.022 | 88 |  |  |  |
|  | 5 52003858 | ${ }^{2} 1215563$ | ${ }^{1,3,282612}$ | $57.51,151$ | ${ }^{5} 7673.362$ | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 7.928 .381$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 5.585271$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 50.00358$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 5.38398$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 5.567315$ | ${ }^{5} 5.070 .068$ |  |  |
|  | ¢ 5 |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {S }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{5}$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ 220025 | ${ }_{5}^{580095}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{5} 5600.006$ |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | $\xrightarrow{\substack{212,2277 \\ 16,78975}}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{5} 5$ |  |  |  |  |  | So, 4.1515000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 87a378094 | 589372494 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1,1919,921}$ | 5 S92as, 2 27 |  |  |
|  | Stios. 5 Sos | ${ }_{s} 11.7,7,3,38$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sine 7122 |  | S 11.4 .74 .650 | S 14.4512 .220 | S | S |  | 隹 | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {a }}$ |  | , | ${ }_{\text {che }}^{5}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {s }}$ |  |  |

RESOLUTION ADOPTING FOUR 2023 PROP L 5-YEAR PRIORITIZATION PROGRAMS AND AMENDING THE PROP L STRATEGIC PLAN BASELINE

WHEREAS, The Prop L Expenditure Plan requires development of a 30-year Strategic Plan and for each of the 28 Expenditure Plan programs, a 5-Year Prioritization Program (5YPP) to identify the specific projects that will be funded over the next five years; and

WHEREAS, Transportation Authority Board adoption of these documents is a prerequisite for allocation of Prop L funds from the relevant program; and

WHEREAS, The 5YPPs provide transparency about how Prop L projects are prioritized and the resulting 5-year project lists and associated sales tax programming commitments support a steady project development pipeline, enabling project sponsors to plan ahead, facilitating their ability to secure other funding sources to leverage Prop L and fully fund projects, to line up staff resources, and to coordinate with other planned projects; and

WHEREAS, In accordance with Expenditure Plan requirements, each 5YPP includes: a prioritization methodology to rank projects; a 5-year program or list of projects; information on scope, schedule, cost and funding (including leveraging of other fund sources); and performance measures to inform future 5YPP updates; and

WHEREAS, Through approval of Resolution 23-57, the Transportation Authority adopted the guidance to project sponsors and staff for developing the 2023 Prop L 5YPPs which cover Fiscal Years 2023/24 through 2027/28; and

WHEREAS, Through approval of Resolution 23-56, the Transportation
Authority adopted the 2023 Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline which sets the amount of pay-go funding available for 23 of the 28 programs, by fiscal year, through the end of the Expenditure Plan (2053), and for the five remaining programs, including BART Core Capacity; Caltrain Downtown Rail Extension and Pennsylvania Alignment; and

# San Francisco <br> County Transportation <br> Authority 

BD070924
RESOLUTION NO. 25-02

Caltrain Maintenance, Rehabilitation, and Replacement, approved an accelerated cash flow schedule to support project delivery; and

WHEREAS, Working in collaboration with project sponsors and taking into consideration input from public engagement supporting the 5YPP development process as well as prior engagement related to the Expenditure Plan and the San Francisco Transportation Plan, Transportation Authority staff has recommended approval of the four enclosed 2023 Prop L 5YPP for the following programs: Next Generation Transit Investments, Equity Priority Transportation Program, Development Oriented Transportation, and Citywide/Modal Planning; and

WHEREAS, Staff has prepared a proposed amendment to the Strategic Plan Baseline to reflect recommended programming and cash flow schedules for the proposed projects in the aforementioned 5YPPs and their impact on anticipated financing assumed in the Strategic Plan (Attachments 1 and 2); and

WHEREAS, At its June 26, 2024, meeting, the Community Advisory Committee was briefed on the proposed 5YPPs and Strategic Plan Baseline amendment and after discussion adopted a motion of support for the staff recommendation amended to add a condition that the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency return to the next CAC meeting to provide a more detailed update and background on the Curbside Electric Vehicle Charging Study to, among other things, better understand how it fits in the context of the city's Transit First Policy; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Transportation Authority hereby adopts the amended Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Transportation Authority hereby adopts the 2023 Prop L 5YPPs for the Next Generation Transit Investments, Equity Priority Transportation Program, Development Oriented Transportation, and Citywide/Modal Planning programs.

Attachments:

1. Strategic Plan Baseline Amendment - Programming \& Cash Flow by FY
2. Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline Amendment Sources and Uses

Enclosures: 2023 Prop L 5-Year Prioritization Programs (4)

1. Next Generation Transit Investments
2. Equity Priority Transportation Program
3. Development Oriented Transportation
4. Citywide/Modal Planning
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## Memorandum

## AGENDA ITEM 7

DATE: June 27, 2024
TO: Transportation Authority Board
FROM: Anna LaForte - Deputy Director for Policy and Programming
SUBJECT: 7/9/2024 Board Meeting: Allocate \$15,006,000 and Appropriate \$800,000 in Prop L Funds, with Conditions, for Five Requests

## RECOMMENDATION $\square$ Information $\boxtimes$ Action

Allocate $\$ 13,956,000$ in Prop L funds, with conditions, to San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) for:

1. Paratransit $(\$ 13,506,000)$
2. Safe Streets Evaluation Program $(\$ 450,000)$

Allocate $\$ 1,050,000$ in Prop L funds, with conditions, to San Francisco Public Works (SFPW) for:
3. Tree Planting and Establishment $(\$ 1,050,000)$

Appropriate $\$ 800,000$ in Prop L funds, with conditions, to Transportation Authority for:
4. Neighborhood Transportation Program Coordination (\$100,000)
5. San Francisco Transportation Plan (SFTP) 2050+ (\$700,000)

## SUMMARY

The Board has adopted the prerequisite Prop L5-Year Prioritization Program (5YPP) for four of the subjects requests for Prop L funds and will consider adoption of the Citywide/Modal Planning 5YPP, a prerequisite for the SFTP 2050+ request, as a separate item on this agenda. Attachment 1 lists the requests, including phase(s) of work and supervisorial district(s). Attachment 2 provides a brief description of the projects. Attachment 3 contains the staff recommendations. Project sponsors will attend the meeting to
$\boxtimes$ Fund Allocation
$\boxtimes$ Fund ProgrammingPolicy/Legislation
$\square$ Plan/StudyCapital Project Oversight/Delivery

Budget/Finance
Contract/Agreement
Other:
answer any questions the Board may have regarding these requests.

## DISCUSSION

Attachment 1 summarizes the subject requests, including information on proposed leveraging (i.e., stretching Prop L sales tax dollars further by matching them with other fund sources) compared with the leveraging assumptions in the Prop L Expenditure Plan. Attachment 2 includes brief project descriptions. Attachment 3 summarizes the staff recommendations for these requests, highlighting special conditions and other items of interest. An Allocation Request Form for each project is enclosed, with more detailed information on scope, schedule, budget, funding, deliverables, and special conditions.

## FINANCIAL IMPACT

The recommended action would allocate $\$ 15,006,000$ and appropriate $\$ 800,000$ in Prop L funds. The allocations would be subject to the Fiscal Year Cash Flow Distribution Schedules contained in the enclosed Allocation Request Forms.

Attachment 4 shows the Prop L Fiscal Year 2024/25 allocations and appropriations approved to date, with associated annual cash flow commitments as well as the recommended allocation and cash flow amounts that are the subject of this memorandum.

Sufficient funds are included in the Transportation Authority's FY 2024/25 budget. Furthermore, sufficient funds will be included in future budgets to cover the recommended cash flow distributions in those fiscal years.

## CAC POSITION

The CAC considered this item at its June 26, 2024, meeting and unanimously adopted a motion of support for the staff recommendation.

## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

- Attachment 1 - Summary of Requests
- Attachment 2 - Project Descriptions
- Attachment 3 - Staff Recommendations
- Attachment 4 - Prop L Allocation Summaries - FY 2024/25
- Attachment 5 - Resolution
- Enclosure - Allocation Request Forms (5)

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Cost for Requested Phase(s) |  | Leveraging |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | EP Line No./ Category ${ }^{1}$ | Project Sponsor ${ }^{2}$ | Project Name |  | Current Prop L <br> Request |  |  | Expected Leveraging by EP Line ${ }^{3}$ | Actual Leveraging by Phase(s) ${ }^{4}$ | Phase(s) <br> Requested | District(s) |
| Prop L | 14 | SFMTA | Paratransit |  | 13,506,000 | \$ | 34,743,263 | 81\% | 61\% | Construction | Citywide |
| Prop L | 18 | SFMTA | Safe Streets <br> Evaluation Program |  | 450,000 | \$ | 450,000 | 83\% | 0\% | Planning | Citywide |
| Prop L | 20 | SFPW | Tree Planting and Establishment |  | 1,050,000 | \$ | 1,050,000 | 59\% | 0\% | Construction | 10 |
| Prop L | 25 | SFCTA | Neighborhood <br> Transportation Program Coordination |  | 100,000 | \$ | 100,000 | 78\% | 0\% | Planning | Citywide |
| Prop L | 28 | SFCTA | San Francisco <br> Transportation Plan $2050+$ |  | 700,000 | \$ | 1,497,473 | 68\% | 100\% | Planning | Citywide |
|  |  |  | TOTAL |  | 15,806,000 | \$ | 37,840,736 |  |  |  |  |

Footnotes
1
"EP Line No./Category" is either the Prop L Expenditure Plan line number referenced in the 2023 Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline.
${ }^{2}$ Acronym: SFCTA (San Francisco County Transportation Authority), SFMTA (San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency), and SFPW (San Francisco Public Works)
${ }^{3}$ "Expected Leveraging By EP Line" is calculated by dividing the total non-Prop L funds expected to be available for a given Prop L Expenditure Plan line item by the total expected funding for that Prop L Expenditure Plan line item over the 30 -year Expenditure Plan period. For example, expected leveraging of $90 \%$ indicates that on average non-Prop L funds should cover $90 \%$ of the total costs for all projects in that program, and Prop $L$ should cover only $10 \%$.
"Actual Leveraging by Project Phase" is calculated by dividing the total non-Prop L, non-Prop AA, or non-TNC Tax funds in the funding plan by the total cost for the requested phase or phases. If the percentage in the "Actual Leveraging" column is lower than in the "Expected Leveraging" column, the request (indicated by yellow highlighting) is leveraging fewer non-Prop L dollars than assumed in the Expenditure Plan. A project that is well leveraged overall may have lower-than-expected leveraging for an individual or partial phase.

| EP Line No./ Category | Project <br> Sponsor | Project Name | Prop L Funds Requested | Project Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | SFMTA | Paratransit | \$ 13,506,000 | The SFMTA provides paratransit services to persons with disabilities, in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act. Prop L funds will be used for the paratransit broker contract in Fiscal Year 2024/25 for services including taxi, pre-scheduled van, and intercounty trips, group van trips to senior centers, the Shop-a-Round and Van Gogh shuttle programs, the Wheelchair Accessible Ramp Taxi Incentive program, and Essential Trip Card program. These programs are critically important to persons with disabilities and the elderly who are unable to fully utilize other forms public transportation. |
| 18 | SFMTA | Safe Streets Evaluation Program | \$ 450,000 | The SFMTA's Safe Streets Evaluation Program tracks progress and measures performance for key traffic calming, bicycle, and pedestrian safety projects that support Vision Zero. Funds will be used to support pre-and-post data collection and analysis of various safety projects, including quick-builds and programmatic efforts to reduce speeds, to improve traffic safety. More information and evaluation results for each project are available to the public at www.sfmta.com/safe-streets-evaluation-program. Evaluations will be completed by June 2026. |
| 20 | SFPW | Tree Planting and Establishment | \$ 1,050,000 | Funds will be used to plant approximately 408 trees in the public right-of-way over the next year with these funds. The trees will be added to the weekly watering schedule for 3 years then receive lifetime maintenance care through StreetTreeSF's guaranteed funding. With these Prop L funds, SFPW preliminarily expects to focus on planting in District 10 based on tree census data, low canopy coverage, and geographic equity. A list of priority site locations is included as an attachment to the allocation request form..SFPW expects the project to be open for use by June 2025. |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|c|l|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { EP Line No./ } \\
\text { Category }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Project } \\
\text { Sponsor }\end{array}
$$ \& Project Name \& \begin{array}{c}Prop L Funds <br>

Requested\end{array} \& Project Description\end{array}\right]\)| The Transportation Authority's Neighborhood Program (NTP) funds planning, |
| :--- |
| 25 |

[^0]
## Attachment 3: Staff Recommendations ${ }^{1}$



[^1]Attachment 4.
Prop L Summary - FY2024/25

| PROP L SALES TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY 2024/25 |  | Total | FY 2024/25 |  | FY 2025/26 |  | FY 2026/27 |  | FY 2027/28 |  | FY 2028/29 |  |
| Prior Allocations | \$ | 50,111,637 | \$ | 2,373,000 | \$ | 27,429,319 | \$ | 17,504,318 | \$ | 2,805,000 | \$ | - |
| Current Request(s) | \$ | 15,806,000 | \$ | 11,092,500 | \$ | 4,713,500 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| New Total Allocations | \$ | 65,917,637 | \$ | 13,465,500 | \$ | 32,142,819 | \$ | 17,504,318 | \$ | 2,805,000 | \$ | - |

The above table shows maximum annual cash flow for all FY 2024/25 allocations and appropriations approved to date, along with the current recommended allocations.

Prop L Expenditure Plan


Prop L Investments To Date (Including Pending Allocations)


RESOLUTION ALLOCATING \$15,006,000 AND APPROPRIATING \$800,000 IN PROP L SALES TAX FUNDS, WITH CONDITIONS, FOR FIVE REQUESTS

WHEREAS, The Transportation Authority received five requests for a total of \$15,806,000 in Prop L transportation sales tax funds, as summarized in Attachments 1 and 2 and detailed in the enclosed allocation request forms; and

WHEREAS, The requests seek funds from the Prop L Paratransit; Safer and Complete Streets; Tree Planting; Neighborhood Transportation Program; and Citywide and Modal Planning programs; and

WHEREAS, As required by the voter-approved Expenditure Plans, the Transportation Authority Board has adopted a 5-Year Prioritization Program (5YPP) each of the aforementioned Prop L programs; and

WHEREAS, All of the requests are consistent with the relevant 5YPP; and
WHEREAS, After reviewing the requests, Transportation Authority staff recommended allocating \$15,006,000 and appropriating \$800,000 in Prop L funds, with conditions, as described in Attachment 3 and detailed in the enclosed allocation request forms, which include staff recommendations for Prop L allocation amounts, required deliverables, timely use of funds requirements, special conditions, and Fiscal Year Cash Flow Distribution Schedule; and

WHEREAS, There are sufficient funds in the Capital Expenditures line item of the Transportation Authority's Fiscal Year 2024/25 annual budget to cover the proposed actions; and

WHEREAS, At its June 26, 2024, meeting, the Community Advisory
Committee was briefed on the subject requests and unanimously adopted a motion of support for the staff recommendation; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Transportation Authority hereby allocates \$15,006,00 and appropriates $\$ 800,000$ in Prop L funds, with conditions for five requests as
summarized in Attachment 3 and detailed in the enclosed allocation request forms; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Transportation Authority finds the allocation of these funds to be in conformance with the priorities, policies, funding levels, and prioritization methodologies established in the Prop L Expenditure Plans, the Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline, as amended, and the relevant 5YPPs; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Transportation Authority hereby authorizes the actual expenditure (cash reimbursement) of funds for these activities to take place subject to the Fiscal Year Cash Flow Distribution Schedules detailed in the enclosed allocation request forms; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Capital Expenditures line item for subsequent fiscal year (FY) annual budgets shall reflect the maximum reimbursement schedule amounts adopted and the Transportation Authority does not guarantee reimbursement levels higher than those adopted; and be it further

RESOLVED, That as a condition of this authorization for expenditure, the Executive Director shall impose such terms and conditions as are necessary for the project sponsors to comply with applicable law and adopted Transportation Authority policies and execute Standard Grant Agreements to that effect; and be it further

RESOLVED, That as a condition of this authorization for expenditure, the project sponsors shall provide the Transportation Authority with any other information it may request regarding the use of the funds hereby authorized; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Capital Improvement Program of the Congestion Management Program and the relevant 5YPPs are hereby amended, as appropriate.

Attachments:

1. Summary of Requests Received
2. Brief Project Descriptions
3. Staff Recommendations
4. Prop L Allocation Summaries - FY 2024/25

Enclosure

1. Prop L Allocation Request Forms (5)
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## Memorandum

## AGENDA ITEM 8

DATE: June 27, 2024
TO: Transportation Authority Board
FROM: Anna LaForte - Deputy Director for Policy and Programming
SUBJECT: 7/09/2024 Board Meeting: Adopt the 2023 Prop L 5-Year Prioritization Program for Managed Lanes and Express Bus, Amend the Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline, and Appropriate $\$ 1,000,000$ in Prop L Funds, with Conditions, for the SF Freeway Network Management Study

## RECOMMENDATION $\square$ Information $\boxtimes$ Action

Adopt the 2023 Prop L 5-Year Prioritization Program (5YPP) for Managed Lanes and Express Bus

Amend the Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline
Appropriate $\$ 1,000,000$ in Prop L funds, with conditions, for the SF Freeway Network Management Study

## SUMMARY

The Prop L Expenditure Plan requires development of a 30 -year Strategic Plan and for each of the 28 Expenditure Plan programs, a 5YPP to identify the specific projects that will be funded over the next five years. Board adoption of these documents is a prerequisite for allocation of Prop L funds from the relevant programs. We are recommending adoption of the enclosed 5YPP for Managed Lanes and Express Bus. This 5YPP features one project, the SF Freeway Network Management Study, which will consider managed lane alternatives, including priced lane options, for freeways within San Francisco (i.e., Central Freeway, I80, US 101, I-280), and a placeholder to fund follow-up activities arising from the study. The recommended 5YPP requires advancement of $\$ 803,827$ beyond the current Strategic Plan Baseline, which would result in a $0.2 \%$ ( $\$ 1.3$ million) increase in debt costs compared to the Strategic Plan Baseline as amended, from $\$ 680.2$ million to $\$ 681.5$ million. We are seeking concurrent appropriation of $\$ 1$ million for the SF Freeway Network Management Study as detailed in the attached Allocation Request Form (Attachment 10). Attachment 6 lists the Prop L request, including phase of work and supervisorial district(s). Attachment 7Fund Allocation
凹 Fund ProgrammingPolicy/LegislationPlan/StudyCapital Project Oversight/DeliveryBudget/FinanceContract/AgreementOther: $\qquad$

San Francisco<br>County Transportation<br>Authority

Agenda Item 8

> provides a brief description of the project. Attachment 8 contains the staff recommendations. This request includes an amendment to allow $\$ 679,000$ in Prop K funds that are no longer needed for the $101 / 280$ Carpool and Express Lane project to be used for the SF Freeway Network Management Study.

## BACKGROUND

The 5YPPs result in multi-year project lists with associated sales tax programming commitments that support a steady project pipeline, enabling project sponsors to plan ahead, facilitating their ability to secure other funding sources to leverage Prop L and fully fund projects and to line up staff resources to deliver projects. The 5-year look ahead also enables coordination between projects. When a project is ready to advance, the project sponsor can request allocation of funds from the Board based on the programming commitment in the relevant 5YPP.

The 5YPPs also provide transparency about how Prop L projects are prioritized. We work in close collaboration with project sponsors eligible for Prop L funds from a particular program, as well as any other interested agencies, to develop each 5YPP. Input from the Board, sponsors, and the public inform the 5YPP process.

In June 2023, the Board adopted the Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline. The Baseline establishes the amount of sales tax revenues that will be available on an annual basis to each of the 28 programs, by fiscal year, through 2053 based on their proportional share of available revenues established in the Expenditure Plan. For 23 of the 28 programs, the Baseline set the pay-as-you-go annual funding levels for each program which project sponsors will use to identify their proposed lists of projects to fund in the next five years as part of 5YPP development. Through the 5YPP process, project sponsors can make requests to advance sales tax funds for specific projects, as needed to support project delivery. For five programs (BART Core Capacity, Caltrain Downtown Rail Extension (The Portal), Muni Maintenance, Caltrain Maintenance, and Paratransit) the Baseline advanced cash flow in anticipation of the need to advance funds to accommodate the programming requests in the 5YPP. This approach provides a more realistic picture of financing costs for these five programs, which are among the largest programs in the Prop L Expenditure Plan, while ensuring we can meet other programs' requests for advancing funds.

Attachment 1 shows the status of the 28 5YPPs, including those which have been adopted thus far and those that are still under development.

## DISCUSSION

Each 5YPP document includes the following sections, the content for which is detailed in the staff memorandum to the Board for its July 11, 2023, meeting:

- Eligibility and Expected Fund Leveraging
- Public Engagement
- Performance Measures
- Project Delivery Snapshot
- Project Prioritization
- Project List (covering Fiscal Year (FY) 2023/24 - FY 2027/28)
- Project Information Forms (e.g., scope, schedule, cost, funding)

It is important to keep in mind that the pay-go funding levels in the first five years of Prop $L$ are about half that in year six and subsequent years, due to the carryforward of Prop K remaining grant balances and debt. Thus, we anticipate that most Prop L programs will request at least a modest level of advancement in this 5YPP period. For each project, we look closely at project readiness, whether there is full funding for the requested phase or phases, the amount of leveraging, timely use of funds requirements, and other factors that inform our recommendation to program funds to the project and whether to support advancement of funds beyond pay-go to support project delivery.

5YPP Recommended. We are recommending adoption of the enclosed Managed Lanes and Express Bus 5YPP. Attachment 2 lists the proposed project and placeholder with information such as a brief project description, amount of Prop L funds requested, proposed project phase, and fiscal year of programming, and Attachment 3 summarizes leveraging. The enclosed 5YPP contains more detail, including the project information forms.

Strategic Plan Baseline Amendment. Concurrent with Board adoption of the 5YPPs, we make corresponding updates to the Strategic Plan Baseline to reflect the recommended programming and cash flow schedules for the proposed projects. The Strategic Plan model estimates financing costs for programs that advance funds. Consistent with Strategic Plan policies, financing costs are distributed proportionally across those programs that request acceleration of funds. If in future Strategic Plan updates, actual financing costs are lower, the delta is returned to the respective programs and is available for programing to eligible project costs. Adoption of the Managed Lanes and Express Bus 5YPP requires advancement of $\$ 803,827$ beyond the current baseline, as amended. This program is one of the smaller programs in Prop L, with only $\$ 10$ million ( 2020 dollars) over 30 years. Advancing funds beyond the baseline amount will invest in planning to identify and prioritize projects as a way this program can guide the use of the remaining Prop L funds and set projects up to be more competitive for discretionary sources. In all, these changes would result in a $0.2 \%$ ( $\$ 1.4$ million) increase in debt costs compared to the Strategic Plan Baseline as amended, from $\$ 680.1$ million to $\$ 681.5$ million.

Attachment 4 summarizes the sources and uses for the Baseline as amended and adopted in June 2024 and Attachment 5 shows the proposed programming and cash flow by program by fiscal year, reflecting the recommended Managed Lanes and Express Bus 5YPP.

Prop L Appropriation Request. We are recommending $\$ 1$ million in Prop L funds for the SF Freeway Network Management Study. Attachment 6 summarizes the subject request, including information on proposed leveraging (i.e., stretching Prop L sales tax dollars further by matching them with other fund sources) compared with the leveraging assumptions in the Prop L Expenditure Plan. Attachment 7 includes brief project descriptions. Attachment 8 summarizes the staff recommendations for this request, highlighting special conditions and other items of interest. Attachment 10 is an Allocation Request Form for this project, with more detailed information on scope, schedule, budget, funding, deliverables, and special conditions.

Next Steps. We anticipate bringing the final Prop L Strategic Plan to the Board for adoption this fall.

## FINANCIAL IMPACT

The recommended action would appropriate $\$ 1,000,000$ in Prop L funds. The appropriation would be subject to the Fiscal Year Cash Flow Distribution Schedules contained in the attached Allocation Request Form.

Attachment 9 shows the Prop L FY 2024/25 allocations and appropriations approved to date, with associated annual cash flow commitments as well as the recommended allocation and cash flow amounts that are the subject of this memorandum.

Sufficient funds are included in the Transportation Authority's FY 2024/25 budget. Furthermore, sufficient funds will be included in future budgets to cover the recommended cash flow distributions in those fiscal years.

## CAC POSITION

The Community Advisory Committee considered this item at its June 26, 2024, meeting and unanimously adopted a motion of support for the staff recommendation.

## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

- Attachment 1 - List of the 28 Programs in the Prop L Expenditure Plan
- Attachment 2 - Managed Lanes and Express Bus 5YPP List of Projects
- Attachment 3 - Managed Lanes and Express Bus 5YPP Program Summary: Fund Leveraging
- Attachment 4 - Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline as Amended Sources and Uses
- Attachment 5 - Strategic Plan Baseline as Amended - Programming \& Cash Flow by FY
- Attachment 6 - Summary of Requests
- Attachment 7 - Project Descriptions
- Attachment 8 - Staff Recommendation
- Attachment 9 - Prop L Allocation Summaries - FY2024/25
- Attachment 10 - Allocation Request Form
- Attachment 11 - Resolution
- Enclosure: Draft 2023 Prop L Managed Lanes and Express Bus 5-Year Prioritization Program


## Prop L's 28 <br> Programs

## Each requires a Boardadopted 5-Year Prioritization Program (5YPP) before funds can be allocated.

Approved
Proposed for approval in July 2024
*No 5YPP required since program has no Priority 1 sales tax funds

1. Muni Reliability and Efficiency Improvements
2. Muni Rail Core Capacity
3. BART Core Capacity
4. Caltrain Service Vision: Capital System Capacity Investments*
5. Caltrain Downtown Rail Extension and Pennsylvania Alignment
6. Muni Maintenance
7. BART Maintenance
8. Caltrain Maintenance
9. Ferry Maintenance
10. Transit Enhancements
11. Bayview Caltrain Station
12. Mission Bay Ferry Landing
13. Next Generation Transit Investments
14. Paratransit
15. Street Resurfacing, Rehabilitation and Maintenance
16. Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities Maintenance
17. Traffic Signs and Signals Maintenance
18. Safer and Complete Streets
19. Curb Ramps
20. Tree Planting
21. Vision Zero Ramps
22. Managed Lanes and Express Bus
23. Transformative Freeway and Major Street Projects
24. Transportation Demand Management
25. Neighborhood Transportation Program
26. Equity Priority Transportation Program
27. Development Oriented Transportation
28. Citywide/Modal Planning

## Attachment 2

5-Year Prioritization Programs - List of Projects

| \# | Program | Project Name: Sponsor | Brief Description | District(s) | Phase | Prop L Amount | Fiscal Year of Programming |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Managed Lanes and Express Bus | SF Freeway Network Management Study: SFCTA | The study will consider managed lane alternatives, including priced lane options, for freeways within San Francisco (i.e., Central Freeway, I-80, US 101, $1-280)$ with the goals of reducing vehicle miles travelled and increasing person throughput. This project would consider a facility design that does not increase the overall capacity of the freeway, pricing options, and programmatic elements to reduce transportation barriers and maintain affordability. The study will develop, subject to funding availability, recommendations for a priced managed lanes program on priority segments on San Francisco's freeway network, consisting of facility design, operations plan, and related programmatic elements. | Citywide | Planning | \$1,000,000 | FY25 |
| 2 |  | SF Freeway Network Management Placeholder: TBD | This is a placeholder to fund projects identified through the SF Freeways Network Management Study, which will include managed lane alternatives, including priced lane and priced facility options, for freeways within San Francisco (Central Freeway, I-80, US 101, I-280) with the goals of reducing vehicle miles travelled and increasing person throughput. | TBD | TBD | \$750,000 | FY26 |

## Attachment 3

5-Year Prioritization Programs - Summary

| \# | Program | Programming <br> Amount <br> Requested in <br> 5YPP | Amount of Prop L <br> Cash Flow <br> Advanced in 5YPP | Expected <br> Leveraging | Anticipated <br> Leveraging | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |

## Attachment 4: Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline Amendment Sources and Uses (6.20.24)

| SOURCES | (YOE\$) | USES | (YOE\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales Tax Revenue | \$4,674.6 M | Funds Available for Projects | \$3,022.9 M |
| Investment Income | \$4.4 M | Long Term Bond Principal | \$982.7 M |
|  |  | Financing Costs | \$681.5 M |
| Long Term Bond Proceeds | \$774.4 M | Capital Reserve | \$468.4 M |
| Loans - Yerba Buena Island Capital Projects | \$126.8 M | Program Administration and Operating Costs | \$304.6 M |
| TOTAL | \$5,580.2 M | Loans - Yerba Buena Island Capital Projects | \$120.2 M |
|  |  | TOTAL | \$5,580.2 M |

Attachment 5A:
Amended 2023 Strategic Plan Baseline Programming
Pending July 2024 Board Action





| Attachment 5A:Amended 2023 Strategic Plan Baseline ProgrammingPending July 2024 Board Action |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mense | masas | ${ }_{\text {ramata }}$ | ${ }_{\text {mamasas }}$ | mosasta | mponass | masses | masastar | manaras | meanas | rases | mesoss | mass | ${ }_{\text {mesers }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ Soringe | ${ }_{5}{ }^{5}$ Sizati |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{5} \frac{20099}{}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5}$ |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{5}^{527842885}$ | S 2782220 | S 2.888 .875 | ${ }^{2} 28.828268$ | ${ }_{5}^{29289993}$ | 2987009 | s 3.026 .00 / | ${ }^{5} 3.080,095$ | s 3.128991 | ${ }_{5} 5.386482$ | 3,499872 | 3,54,447 |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{\frac{5}{2}} \mathbf{2} 272200$ |  |  | ${ }^{\frac{s}{5} \text { 2,989,983 }}$ | ${ }^{\frac{5}{2}} \mathbf{2} 297009$ | ${ }_{s} 3.026,000{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }_{5}^{6}$ 3,076095 | ${ }^{\frac{3}{4} 3.128991}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 3.3,64062$ |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1.2 m a r}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{203}$ Eaeric core Copeatit |  |  | 血, | ${ }^{5}$ | \% | Stin |  |  | Still |  |  |  |  |  |
| $209$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 205 |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { a }}}^{5}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { a }}}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 4.128 .2005$ |  | ${ }_{s}^{5} 2.27278$ |  | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{5}$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ Stssiso | ${ }^{275298}$ | ${ }^{2,166}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | \% $48.898,50$ | \% $4.18,405$ | ¢ 3 ,998079 | ${ }^{\text {S } 2827836}$ | S 2202288 S | ${ }^{5} 1.062298$ | ${ }^{5} 11220009$ | ${ }^{5}$ 665599 |  |  |  |  |
| Torat muora carta pookects |  | cos |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { a }}}^{5.3 .96022}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {cta }}^{5}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{122883,399} 5$ |  | ${ }^{\text {S } 8.5858572}$ | ${ }^{5}$ 4,381,152 | 53 3,85994 | ${ }^{5}$.5651,13 |  |  |
| Meniminemen | 522000000 | 522500000 | S 23.000000 | s 23.000000 | 522.00000 | S 23.000000 | 520000000 | 530000000 | s 33,000000 | s 38000000 | 53850000 | 00.000000 |  |  |
|  | 22000000 | i 2250000 | S 2300000 | \% 23.000000 | \% 20000000 | \% 20.000000 | ${ }_{s}{ }^{5} 2600000000$ | ${ }^{3} 30000000$ | s 33000000 | 538000000 | 533500000 | 400000000 |  |  |
| $207 \text { BART Maintenance }$ | ${ }^{5} 1.923,760$ | \$ 1.984580 | 850,00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{020868}$ | ${ }^{5}$ S40.305 | ${ }^{\text {cisios }}$ | 455700 |  | cois | 20006 | \% 10.5820 | (100699 | Scsas | N, 1.060 |  |  |  |
| 208 Cotrain mimename | S.00000 |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{5} 5$ | S.0000 | ${ }_{5}^{\text {S.00000 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {S }}$ S.00000 | ${ }_{\text {che }}^{5}$ S.00000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | s.an | ${ }_{\text {cosem }}^{5}$ |  | \% |  | ${ }^{5}$ S 6 coses |  | ${ }_{\substack{402,182 \\ \hline 027 \\ \hline 182}}$ | $\}^{5}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { a,172 } \\ 3.172}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{209}$ | 27,833 | 27922 | 23,887 | 228226 | 22289 | 29770 | 302600 | 307603 | 31259 | ${ }^{5}$ 386462 | 377,98 | 359,45 |  |  |
|  | 274.83 | 22929 | 28368 | 28822 | 228 | 2970 | 302510 | 307603 | 3126 | ${ }^{336} 4$ | 37995 | 3satis |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { II. Transit Enhancements } \\ & 210 \text { Transit Enhancements } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ¢ |  | \% |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ | 2093 |  |  |  |
| 211 Eervenecatasansation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \%o.n |  |  |  |
|  |  | cosme |  |  | coioco | $\frac{27206}{27}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {12atas }}$ | 80, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | cose |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5}$ 20,088 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 83,55 | S 71.065 | ${ }^{5}$ 60.559 | ¢ 99888 | ${ }^{5}$ 3, 3,92 | ${ }^{5} 22.088$ | 20.37 | ${ }^{5} \quad 12.568$ | ${ }^{5} \quad{ }_{5} 602$ | ${ }^{323}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 120, 2 | (1,09900 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }^{\text {cosen }}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$. | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5}$ | 2000 |  |  |
|  | 123, $2 \times 4$ |  | ${ }_{3}{ }_{1222004}$ | \% $122,0,120$ | ${ }^{5}$ 1.31,022 | ${ }^{1,321,12}$ |  | ${ }^{5}$ 1,3,1,97 | ${ }^{1.3292585}$ | ${ }^{5}$, 19.95089 |  | 20000 |  |  |
| Torat reass manmenence an emmancemens | $\left.\right\|_{5} ^{53,4685989}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{5} 5$ |  | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {s 3,900972 }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{533 / 455,159}$ |  |  | ${ }^{122129898} 8$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | \% 36000838 | $5^{356483,325}$ ! | ${ }^{534500059}$ | ${ }^{363888841}$ |  | ${ }^{5} 3569780805{ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{342353,586}$ | S 8202020404 | ${ }^{420043655}$ |  | 00.554415 |  |  |
| C.amarrensir | A,48973 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{s}^{s} 1.012,5615$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 94092,73 |  | ${ }^{3}$ 3005sa0 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | S 2 2082354 | ${ }_{5}{ }^{2373,535}$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 1,068221 |  | ${ }^{5} 1.026$ | ${ }^{616,525}$ | 38.580 | ${ }_{7} 6,643$ |  |  |  |
| Torat panaramsar |  |  | 3, 3 Sasam |  | $\frac{2373.55}{205}$ | ${ }_{\text {18asar }}^{120}$ |  | ${ }^{1020} 8$ |  | ${ }^{3} \frac{3}{36} 5$ | ${ }_{\substack{16483 \\ 7040}}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{5}^{\frac{5}{5} \text { s.712, } 129}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 5.853969$ | s 5 s,98747 | ${ }_{5}^{\text {S } 0.052754}$ | ${ }_{5}^{6,1508986}$ | 6251, 89 | ${ }^{\frac{5}{65549,90} / 5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{50458673}$ | ${ }_{5}^{56.565844}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ 7.065, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $5_{5}^{7,307,100}$ | , ,4a2770 |  |  |
|  | S771279 | ${ }^{5} 5683649$ | 5 S.987437 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 56052735 | 56.150 .886 | \% 6251,89 | s 3 S56890 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{6455683}$ | 6.566514 | ${ }^{\text {P } 7065,709}$ | 7307,101 | ${ }^{5}$, 142270 |  |  |
|  |  | ¢ $1.0610,08$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{5}$ | (1095200 | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 1.192,295$ | ${ }^{\text {H2,a9,988 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | s 1202729 | s 12221,77 | 1223,32] | 1.245322 | ¢ 1.258010 |  | ${ }_{s} 12784999$ s | 90,03 |  |  | 9,39 |  |  |  |


|  | н203940 | \%oas | ${ }^{2091 / 42}$ | ${ }_{\text {r20a273 }}$ | нr2a334 | Oouns | r2oss46 | Fr2asa47 | r2a8748 | rroses49 | r2a9950 | r2200651 | ${ }^{\text {r2051/52 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {r2032 } 23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 217 Trafic S Sign \& Signat maimenance | 5 2.50.000 | S 2,750.000 | \% 3,250.000 | 5 4.000.000 | 5 5,272,188 | 5 5.325,000 | 5 5.41,000 | 5 5.501,000 | 5 5.593.000 | \% 3,250,000 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
|  | [re600, | ¢ 509,35 | \% ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | \% 366.19 | \% 344,171 | ¢ 318,980 | ¢ 293,248 | \% 226.57 | \% 184.107 | ¢ $\quad 10.344$ |  |  | s |
|  | s 3,00,160 | s 3,259,35 | s 3,685,080 | s 4,383,547 | ${ }^{5} 5.538,307$ | s 5.699,171 | s 5.729,980 | s 5,794,248 | ${ }^{5} 5.585,574$ | s 3,434,107 | ¢ 70,334 |  | s |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I. State and Completes Steets | \|reri.000,00 | S 7,250.000 | s 7,55.000 | \% ${ }^{5} 8.500 .000$ | 5 $8.850,998$ | 5 8,950.000 | \% 7,00.000 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} \quad{ }_{5069}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s 315.265 | s 122.19 | s | s | s |
|  | S $8,573,90$ | ${ }_{5}{ }^{5} 1,794,184$ | 9,1790,020 | 9,868,08 | ${ }^{5}$ 10,154,4,44 | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s $10,144,24,201$ | 8.049,98 | 80,3738 | 546,971 | 315,265 | 122,199 | 5 . | s | 5 |
| 219 Curb Ramps | 1,59,977 | 1.619,476 | 1.045,37 | 1.671,713 | 1.99,816 | 1,726,713 | 1,755,138 | 1,84,100 | 1.813,009 | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |
|  | 14,993 |  | 135,14 | 130,332 | 124,394 | 117,216 | 108,906 | 100,300 | 90.069 | ¢ 47,98 | 5 13,578 | 5. | s | s |
|  | s 1 1,735,466 | 1,75,286 | 1,780,501 | 1,820,045 | $5^{1} 1.823,211$ | ${ }^{5}$ 1,843,929 | 1,864,044 | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ 1,884,460 | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ 1,903,678 |  | ${ }^{5} \quad 13,578$ | s | s | 5 |
| 220 Tree Panating | \% 1.0992 .29 | $51.118,880$ | s 1,134,750 | 1,152,905 | s 1,171,597 | 1,190,366 | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | 5 |  | s | ${ }^{5}$ |
|  |  | \$ 209585 | s 203.692 | ' 198,242 | ¢ 187,088 | s 176,043 | 135.254 <br> 135,54 <br> 1 | \% |  | 36,192 | 11,869 | s | s | s |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 227 | s 439,77 <br> s 104,806 | s 46,782 <br> 5 102,66 |  | ${ }^{461,102} 96$ | 78,488 | 61.589 | 45,921 | 32,022 |  | 8.638 | 161 | s | s | s |
|  |  | [ | 553,877 | 557,281 |  | ${ }_{6}^{61,589}$ | ${ }_{45,521}^{45,27}$ | ${ }_{32,022}$ | ${ }^{19,586}$ | ${ }_{5}{ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}{ }^{5} 1015$ | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 222 Managed Lanes and Express us |  |  |  | 57,453 | 585,799 | 595,418 | ${ }^{605,220}$ |  | ${ }^{625,382}$ | s | s | s | s | s |
|  | \% 46,609 | 45,790 | s 44,575 | 43,002 | 41.047 | 38,63 | 35,944 |  | 29,734 | ¢ 15,337 | 3.780 | 5 | s | s |
|  |  | s 600,229 | s 61,950 | 61,9,44 | 62 6,946 | ${ }^{5}$ 634,010 | 641,164 | 648,334 | ${ }^{5} 655,116$ | ${ }^{5}$ ¢ 15.337 |  |  | 5 |  |
|  | 5 1.00929 | 1.11, 888 | 1,134,750 | 1,152,005 | 1,171,597 | 1,190,036 | 1,210,400 | 1,230,414 | 1,250.765 | 1,345, 499 | 1,391,229 | 5 1,417,659 | 5 | s |
|  | ${ }^{5} 5$ | 1,116.880 | ${ }^{1,134,750}$ | 1,152,905 | ${ }_{5}^{5} 1.171 .597$ | 1,100.836 | ${ }^{5} 1.210 .440$ | 1,23,414 | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s 1,250,765 | 1,34, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 9 | 1,391,829 | 1,417,599 | 5 | 5 |
| total streits an oreewars |  | 5 51,783,083 |  |  |  |  |  | S 15,50,394 | ¢ 15, $, 49,269$ | s 11,661,566 |  | 8,860,369 | 5 | s |
|  | 5 2,84,354 | S $2.665,466$ | s $2.502,987$ | s 2,388,211 | s $2,243,705$ | s 20.096.650 | ${ }^{\text {c }} 1.855 .004$ | s 1,452,055 | s 10,074,357 | 5 ¢ 639,138 | ${ }^{231,240}$ |  | s | 5 |


$\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| ION SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND MANAG I. Transportation Demand Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 989,362 | s 1.005192 | ${ }^{\text {s }} 1.021 .275$ | ${ }^{\text {s }} 1.037 .615$ | ${ }^{5} 1.054,488$ | ${ }^{\text {s }} 1.071,753$ | ${ }^{5} 1.089,366$ | S $1.107,372$ | ${ }_{5} 1.125,688$ | 111264 | 22,64 | 1,275,933 |  |  |
|  | 989,32 | 1,005,19 | ${ }^{5}$ ¢ $1.021,275$ | s $1.0077,615$ | ' $1.054,438$ | ${ }^{5}$ s 1.01,75 | 1,08,396 | 1,107,322 | 1,125,688 | 1,211,264 | ${ }^{5}$ s $1,252,646$ | 1,275,99 |  | s |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% $2,255,547$ | S $2.288,04$ | ¢ $2.326,237$ | s 2.363 .456 | ¢ 2.001 .774 | ¢ $2.44,2,15$ | s $2.481,402$ | 2.522,388 |  | ${ }_{5} \quad$ 79221 |  |  |  | s |
|  | s $2,550,309$ | 2,580.516 | ${ }^{5}$ 2 2609,188 | s 2.636,192 | ¢ $2.661,89$ | s $2.688,129$ | s 2,708,755 | 2,731,64 | 100.867 | 79.271 | s 28.416 |  |  | s |
| 226 Equity Priority Transoration Program | 2,300,512 | ${ }^{5}$ 2, 345,488 | ¢ $2,382,975$ | S 2,421,101 | 2,460,354 | \% 2.500,756 | 2,54, ,24 | 2.583,69 | 5 2.026,006 | 2,82,2,23 | 5 2,922,80 | 2,977,084 |  | s |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ | s |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | s |  | s |
|  | 2,300,512 | ${ }^{2} 2,345,448$ | ¢ 2,382,975 | s 2,421,010 | s 2.460,34 | s 2.500,756 | ${ }^{\text {2 } 2,541,924}$ | s 2.583,869 | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ 2.626,006 | ${ }^{5}$ 2,826,283 | s $2,922,840$ | s 2,977,084 |  |  |
| 227 Development:Oriented Trasporataion | 1.099291 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ 1,116,880 | 1,134,75 | 1,152,905 | S 1,171.59 | 1,190,836 | 1.210,4 | 5 1,230,414 | 1,250,7 | 5 1,345,949 | s 1,391,829 | ${ }^{5}$ 1,417,659 | s | s |
|  | ${ }_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ | s | s |
|  | s 1.099291 | 1,116,880 | 1,134,750 | 1,152,005 | s 1.771 .59 | s 1.190 .036 | 0.49 | .414 | 1250,765 | 5, 4.49 | , 122 | 7,.55 |  | 5 |
| ${ }^{228}$ Citysude/ Modat Plamening | 5498046 | 55,400 | ¢ 567,375 | 570,43 | ¢ 585,79 | 595.418 | S 605.220 | 615.207 |  | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 5 | s |  | s |
|  | 58,64 <br> 607809 <br> 80 | ${ }^{517.039}$ | 55,500 | 53,516 | \% 5 | 48.094 | ${ }^{44,666}$ | ${ }^{41,1,44}$ | ${ }_{\text {25,482 }}$ | ${ }_{11,661}^{11}$ | ${ }^{5} \quad 882$ |  | s | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | ¢ 63,088 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT ANDMANAGEMENT | \% 7 \% 20.358 | \% 7, 15.563 | \% 7.732 .612 | ¢ 7.551 .531 | ¢ 7.673 .962 | ¢ 7 , 7999978 |  | \% ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{\text {s } 5.003 .088}$ | ${ }^{5} 5.5883,368$ | ${ }^{\text {s }} 5.567,315$ | ${ }_{5}^{5} 5.070 .036$ |  | s |
|  |  | ${ }^{5}$ s $7.663,514$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s 7.771 .002 | ${ }^{5}$ | s 7.985 S,138 | s 8.0922887 | ${ }^{5} 8.200 .411$ | ${ }^{5} 8.309700$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s 5.1069 .407 | ${ }^{5} 5.9747,328$ | ${ }^{5}$ 5 5.5929 .613 | ${ }_{5} 5.6070 .636$ |  | s |
| Eictran | $\begin{array}{\|ll\|} \hline \$ & 70,578,031 \\ \hline \$ & 18,594,668 \\ \hline \$ & 89,172,698 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|ll\|} \hline \$ & 72,123,277 \\ \hline \$ & 16,845,701 \\ \hline \$ & 88,968,978 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\$$ $73,041,435$ <br> $\$$ $15,056,396$ <br> $\$ 88,097,831$  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|lr\|} \hline & \$ \\ 78,023,000 \\ \hline \$ & 9,707,504 \\ \hline \$ & 87,730,504 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|rr\|} \hline \$ & 75,487,658 \\ \hline \$ & 7,919,018 \\ \hline \$ & 83,406,676 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|rr\|} \hline \$ & 66,938,075 \\ \hline \$ & 6,016,714 \\ \hline \$ & 72,954,789 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|rr\|} \hline \$ & 69,481,380 \\ \hline \$ & 4,150,155 \\ \hline \$ & 73,631,535 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|lr\|} \hline \$ & 58,629,567 \\ \hline \$ & 7,166 \\ \hline \$ & 58,636,733 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | s |





Attachment 5B:
Amended 2023 Stracesic Pran Easeline Cashflow ${ }^{1}$





| ANSPORTATION SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND MAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% 5989 | \% $1.005,192$ | \% $1.021,275$ | \$ $1.037,615$ | \$ $1.054,438$ | ¢ 1071,753 | \$ $1.088,386$ | \$ 1.1073 .37 | \% 1.125 .688 | s $1.211,264$ | \$ $1.252,646$ | 27.89 |  |  |
| mand | ${ }^{\frac{5}{5}}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}{ }^{\text {s }} 1.005 .192$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ ¢ 1.0212 .275 | ${ }_{\text {s }}{ }^{\text {s 1.037,615 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s 1.054.438 | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{5}$ ¢ $1.088,366$ | 1,107, 372 | ${ }_{5}{ }^{5}$ | 1,211,264 | 1,222,696 | 1,275,893 |  |  |
| II. Transorataion, Land S Se, and Comm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Veighoomod Transporation | \$ 2, 25, 5, 47 | S 2,289,04 | 5 2,320,237 | \$ 2,36, 4,56 | \$ $2,401,74$ | 5 2,441,215 | S 2,481,002 | \$ 2.522 .348 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |
| Progra | \% ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s $2.656,122$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s $2.6061,891$ |  | s $27.788,785$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ S $2,731.1094$ | 140,867 | ${ }_{7}^{7,2,271}$ | ${ }_{\text {28,4616 }}^{\text {28,46 }}$ | 5 | s | s |
|  |  |  | $\frac{2,975}{}$ | $221,10$ |  | 00075 | 51.92 | 23,86 | 2.626006 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {chent }}$ | ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s |  |
|  | ${ }_{5} 2.308,512$ | ${ }_{5} 2,34$ | S $2.382,975$ | S 2.421,010 | $5^{2} 2.40,354$ | $52.500,756$ | ${ }^{5} 2.541,924$ | S 2.583,809 | ${ }^{5} 2.026,006$ | ${ }^{\text {S 2,262,283 }}$ | ${ }^{5} 2,922,840$ | 2,977,084 | 5 | 5 |
|  | S 1.09929 | s 1.116,80 | 4.75 | s 1,152,905 | ¢ $1.171,597$ | s 1,100,836 | ${ }^{5}$ 1,210,40 | ${ }^{5}$ 1,230,414 | $5^{5}$ 1,2,20,75 | ¢ $1,345,499$ | s 1,391,229 | ${ }^{5} 1.417,05$ | 5 | 5 |
|  | $\frac{5}{\text { s }} 1.099,291$ | ${ }^{5}$ s 1.116 .880 | s 1,134,750 | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ 1,152,905 | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s 1.171 .597 | s 1.190.836 | s 1,210,440 | ${ }^{\text {s }} 1.230 .414$ | s 1,250,75 | s 1,345,899 | 1,391,229 | 1,417,659 | s | s |
|  | \% 549,646 | 558,40 | 567735 | 57,453 | 585,799 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }_{5}^{575.039}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{6}^{41,194}$ |  | ${ }^{11.661}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{882}$ |  | s | s |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (tatal fransporation Ssreem development And |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ ¢ $7.51,531$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ ¢ $7.673,92$ | St.7.99978 | ¢ 7.928 .381 | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{5} 5$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }^{5} 5$ | s | s |
|  | \% $7.555,284$ | s $7.663,54$ | s 7,771,002 | s $7,877,783$ | s 7,985,138 | s 8,022,987 | s 8,200,411 | s 8,309,00 | 5 5,109,907 | \% 5.747, 288 | 5 5.590.613 | 5.670, | s | 5 |
|  | 570.578 .031 | S $72,123,27$ | 5 $73.041,435$ | 5 7, 222,485 | 5 77,942,033 | 578,023,000 | 5 75,477,658 | 5 $6,9838,075$ | 5 $59,888,380$ | $562,17,951$ | 5 59,874,786 | S29,507 |  | s |
| Total Prop Lstrategic plan |  | ${ }^{\text {S } 16.854,701}$ |  | S $13,268,57$ | ${ }^{\text {s } 11,484,582}$ | S 9.7077 .504 | s $7,9990.018$ |  | S ${ }^{\text {a } 1,150,1,155}$ | ${ }^{\text {2 } 2 \text { 208, } 088}$ | ${ }^{\text {s }} 7578.84$ | 7,166 <br> 6.733 | s | s |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{514.754 .54}$ | S 14.3282 .394 |  |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ | P10.54,281 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ This table in L summary. |  |  |  | See Sources and use | Sestable for Prop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Source | EP Line No./ Category ${ }^{1}$ | Project Sponsor ${ }^{2}$ | Project Name | Current Prop L Request | Prop L <br> 2024/25 | Prop L <br> 2025/26 | Total Cost for Requested Phase(s) | Expected Leveraging by EP Line ${ }^{3}$ | Actual Leveraging by Project Phase(s) ${ }^{4}$ | Phase(s) <br> Requested | District(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prop L | 22 | SFCTA | SF Freeway Network Management Study | \$ 1,000,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 2,179,000 | 95\% | 23\% | Construction | Citywide |
|  | TOTAL |  |  | \$ 1,000,000 | \$ 500,000 | \$ 500,000 | \$ 2,179,000 |  |  |  |  |

## Footnotes

1
"EP Line No./Category" is either the Prop L Expenditure Plan line number referenced in the 2023 Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline.

2
Acronym: SFCTA (San Francisco County Transportation Authority)

 for all projects in that program, and Prop L should cover only $10 \%$.
 the percentage in the "Actual Leveraging" column is lower than in the "Expected Leveraging" column, the request (indicated by yellow highlighting) is leveraging fewer non-Prop L dollars than assumed in the Expenditure Plan. A project that is well leveraged overall may have lower-than-expected leveraging for an individual or partial phase.

Attachment 7: Brief Project Descriptions

| EP Line No./ Category | Project Sponsor | Project Name | Prop L Funds Requested | Project Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | SFCTA | SF Freeway Network Management Study | \$ 1,000,000 | Requested funds, along with $\$ 679,000$ in Prop K funds, will be used for a study to consider managed lane alternatives, including priced lane options, for freeways within San Francisco (i.e., Central Freeway, I-80, US 101, I-280), with the goals of reducing vehicle miles travelled and increasing person throughput. This project would consider a facility design that does not increase the overall capacity of the freeway, and programs to reduce transportation barriers and maintain affordability. The study will develop recommendations for a priced managed lanes program on priority segments on San Francisco's freeway network, consisting of facility design, operations plan, and related programmatic elements. <br> We are proposing to advance this project in two parts. In March 2025, staff will present an update to the Board to seek guidance and feedback on the study purpose, goals and objectives, and the priority segments that will advance <br> for future study in Part 2. At that time, SFCTA will know whether it was successful in obtaining a Caltrans Planning Grant for this project. If we are not successful, staff will recommend scope adjustments or request additional Prop $L$ funds to fully fund the scope of work. We anticipate that the final study could be presented to the Board for approval by December 2026, subject to funding available. |

[^2]Attachment 8: Staff Recommendations ${ }^{1}$


[^3]Attachment 9
79
Prop L Summary - FY2024/25

## PROP L SALES TAX

| FY 2024/25 | Total |  | FY 2024/25 |  | FY 2025/26 | FY 2026/27 | FY 2027/28 | FY 2028/29 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior Allocations | $\$$ | $65,917,637$ | $\$$ | $13,465,500$ | $\$$ | $32,142,819$ | $\$$ | $17,504,318$ | $\$$ |
| Current Request(s) | $\$$ | $1,000,000$ | $\$$ | 500,000 | $\$$ | 500,000 | $\$$ | - | $\$$ |
| New Total Allocations | $\$$ | $66,917,637$ | $\$$ | $13,965,500$ | $\$$ | $32,642,819$ | $\$$ | $17,504,318$ | $\$$ |

The above table shows maximum annual cash flow for all FY 2024/25 allocations and appropriations approved to date, along with the current recommended allocations.

Prop L Expenditure Plan


Prop L Investments To Date (Including Pending Allocations)


# San Francisco County Transportation Authority Allocation Request Form 

| FY of Allocation Action: | FY2024/25 |
| ---: | :--- |
| Project Name: | SF Freeway Network Management Study |
| Primary Sponsor: | San Francisco County Transportation Authority |

## EXPENDITURE PLAN INFORMATION

| PROP L Expenditure Plans | Managed Lanes and Express Bus |
| ---: | :--- |
| Current PROP L Request: | $\$ 1,000,000$ |
| Supervisorial District | Citywide |

## REQUEST

## Brief Project Description

This study will consider managed lane alternatives, including priced lane and priced facility options, for freeways within San Francisco (Central Freeway, I-80, US 101, I-280) with the goals of reducing vehicle miles travelled and increasing person throughput. The study will consider a facility design that does not increase overall capacity, and programs to reduce transportation barriers and maintain affordability. It will recommend a managed lanes program, including priced lanes on priority freeway segments, consisting of facility design, operations plan, and programmatic elements.

## Detailed Scope, Project Benefits and Community Outreach

The SF Freeway Network Management Study responds to March 2023 Transportation Authority Board feedback to resume consideration of pricing as a tool to improve the overall efficiency of the freeway and reduce vehicle miles traveled within San Francisco.

## Background

The Transportation Authority completed the Freeway Corridor Management Study (FCMS) Phase 2 in November 2018 which included analysis of four managed lanes options:

1. No Build: The configuration of freeways remains as it is today
2. High occupancy vehicle (HOV) 2+: High Occupancy Vehicle (carpool) with a two-person minimum requirement.
3. HOV3+: High Occupancy Vehicle (carpool) with a three-person minimum requirement.
4. High occupancy toll (HOT) 3+: Express Lane with a three-person minimum carpool requirement

A recommendation of the FCMS was to further study equity impacts of managed lanes and develop programs to address the impacts.

Following the FCMS, the Transportation Authority began the 101/280 Express Lanes and Bus Project "Project Study Report" (PSR) process with Caltrans, which focused on implementation of the HOT3+ option. The PSR identified alternatives to implement the HOT3+ option but did not provide a recommendation.

Caltrans approved the PSR for the 101/280 Express Lanes and Bus Project in October of 2019, and project work was anticipated to move into the environmental clearance (PAED) phase in 2021. During this time, the MTC adopted its Managed Lanes Strategic Plan that included a facility for 101/280 in SF, and the Transportation Authority conducted pre-environmental scoping work for both the HOT3+ option studied in the PSR, and the HOV3+ lane option, as part of a comprehensive program package.

In November 2019, the Transportation Authority Board appropriated $\$ 4.1$ million in Prop K to partially fund the PAED phase for 101/280 Express Lanes and Bus Project. The adopted Scope associated with this appropriation would have delivered the milestone of the Draft Environmental Documents for the study area included in the PSR. In November 2020, Transportation Authority staff removed the consideration of an express lane option from the scope based on feedback from the SFCTA Board.

In February 2021, the Transportation Authority deobligated $\$ 1.3$ million of the original total in order to fund the I-280 Northbound Geneva Avenue Off-ramp Modification Feasibility Study and I-280 Southbound Ocean Avenue Off-ramp design projects, resulting in a total project budget of $\$ 2.8$ million. The corresponding reduction in scope included limiting and pausing the environmental and engineering work for all alternatives in the southbound direction of travel (in addition to the prior removal of any express lanes alternatives from analysis).

In a parallel effort, San Mateo County has been working to implement the US-101 Mobility Action Plan (MAP) which was completed in Spring 2021 and identifies near-term policies, programs, and technological solutions to address impacts of their managed lanes project.

In 2022, Transportation Authority staff began traffic and other environmental analyses for the northbound direction of travel. In November 2023, the Transportation Authority initiated a round of outreach for the Northbound I-280 Transit and Carpool Lane Study. This work focused on two main transit/carpool lane design options under consideration for the relatively short segment ( $<1$ mile) at the northern terminus of I-280N from the 18th Street overcrossing to King/5th Streets:

- Option 1: I-280N Left-side Traffic Lane Conversion - Changing the existing (left side) \#1 general purpose lane to transit/carpool use only, with \#2 (right side) lane remaining a general purpose lane
- Option 2: I-280N Shoulder Conversion - Changing the existing left side freeway shoulder to transit/carpool lane use via striping (not physical widening of the roadway), leaving the \#1 and \#2 freeway traffic lanes for general purpose traffic use.

The scope also considered the possibility of extending either of the above configurations onto King Street to further prioritize public transit and carpools as they continue on local streets.

Some issues that community members raised during these outreach meetings include:

- The potential to increase delay to general (non HOV) traffic on the freeway (with long queues resulting from Option 1: Left Side Traffic Lane Conversion
- The potential to generate more traffic (increased vehicle trips and vehicle miles of travel) in the area or cause traffic to divert to other exits (e.g. 6th Street) or surface street routes
- The potential impacts of the project (negative and positive) on pedestrian safety and neighborhood livability
- The challenge of enforcing transit/carpool lane violations
- The benefits to transit riders and carpools and potential to attract new riders/carpoolers resulting in moving people more efficiently through the corridor.

At the April 23, 2024 meeting of the Transportation Authority Board, MTC staff presented an update on their ongoing Next Generation Bay Area Freeways Study (NGFS). The NGFS is an early and immediate action in response to Plan Bay Area 2050's Strategy T5, which called for the implementation of per-mile tolling on congested freeways with transit alternatives in support of a reduction in regional VMT and resultant GHG emissions to meet the region's state-mandated GHG reduction targets. The NGFS is exploring whether there are equitable as well as technically and politically feasible pathways towards implementing Strategy T5 in the medium to long term. Transportation Authority staff recognize that all-lane tolling is a bold, new strategy that was added out of necessity to close the GHG reduction gaps in Plan Bay Area 2050.

## SF Freeway Network Management Study

Community/CAC feedback and the NGFS shaped the current scope for this proposed SF Freeway Network Management Study, which will take a comprehensive look at San Francisco's Freeway Network and use new travel data to understand where a managed lane program will best support transportation goals, including a reduction in vehicle miles traveled. The project scope is consistent with the Managed Lanes and Express Bus program in the Prop L Expenditure Plan.

At the March 29, 2023 meeting of the Transportation Authority Board, staff presented an informational update on Express Lanes in the Bay Area. At that meeting, Board members Chair Mandelman, Vice Chair Melgar, and Commissioner Peskin requested that staff develop a proposed scope of work for considering a priced managed lane in further evaluation of the design of freeways through/ within San Francisco.

The SF Freeway Network Management Study responds to that Board feedback and to outreach feedback, and advances the ConnectSF Streets and Freeways Strategy and SFTP 2050, which both recognize the need for managed lanes on the San Francisco Freeway Network, and supports the regional effort around Managed Lanes by filling a gap in the managed lane network between San Mateo County and the East Bay.

Part 1 of this project includes Tasks 2 and 3 below, an overall scan of the freeway network, identification of priority segments for further study, and development of a purpose statement and goals. This part is expected to take approximately 8 months. The team will present the findings from Part 1 to the board for review and direction before proceeding with the remaining tasks.

The recommendation is contingent upon an amendment to the Prop K 101/280 Carpool and Express Lane project (SGA 134-901033) to allow SFCTA to use $\$ 679,000$ in remaining Prop K funds for the SF Freeway Network Management Study. The project budget assumes the use of remaining Prop K funds for the 101/280 Carpool and Express Lane study. Prop K funding remains because the environmental work for the 101/280 Carpool and Express Lane project was not completed due to community feedback.

On behalf of the Transportation Authority, the MTC submitted a Caltrans Planning Grant, which would fund a portion of this study, in Winter 2023/24 for potential award in Summer 2024. If we do not receive the Caltrans Planning Grant in this cycle, we will apply again in the next cycle and pursue other funding options including potentially requesting additional Prop L funds from the SF Freeway Network Management Placeholder. We will also assess the budget and evaluate whether some scope can be adjusted.

## Task 1: Project management

The Transportation Authority will conduct ongoing management of the project, including consultant
procurement and coordination with city and regional agency staff.
Deliverables: Consultant procurement, regular reporting on project status

## Task 2: Identify Priority Managed Lane Segments for Future Study

Using available data and considerations developed in prior phases of work, a high level design and operations assessment will be conducted to identify a prioritized set of feasible segments for managed lane implementation and further study. The process will consider two primary questions to assess feasibility-1. which freeway segments can support a physical design that does not require increasing capacity and/or the physical footprint of the freeway infrastructure, and 2. is there an option to incorporate pricing that is operationally sound and supports study purposes? Question 1 will be answered by developing a high level design that considers right of way and existing pinch points on the corridor. This may include conceptual civil engineering drawings at up to three locations including freeway interchanges and along l-80 where there are left and right side exits. As part of this task, as built diagrams, freeway striping plans, and other necessary documents will be requested from Caltrans or other relevant agencies. Question 2 will be answered by updating previous SF-CHAMP model estimates to screen priced lane options for operations, VMT impacts, and financial feasibility. This task includes up to 20 CHAMP runs and summaries with documentation. Answering these questions will allow for the priority segments to be identified based on the high level screening of benefits and feasibility. The final outcome of this Task will be a set of up to five locations on the freeway network to further study a managed lane or managed facility program.

A working group will be established during this process composed of community representatives and SFCTA Community Advisory Committee (CAC) members; the group will convene up to 3 times during this task to advise staff on the project teams' analysis process, findings, and ultimate selection of segments for further study.

A risk and mitigations matrix will also be developed as part of this task and will serve as a living document to determine risk levels throughout the study.

## Deliverables: Priority segment selection, Risk assessment

## Task 3: Purpose Statement and Goals

The project team will develop a purpose statement, project goals, and objectives to guide the development of concepts and evaluation. The purpose and goals will also consider public and stakeholder engagement, ConnectSF/the SFTP/Streets and Freeways Study, the Climate Action Plan, 101 Mobility Action Plan, and other relevant plans or past studies.

## Deliverables: Draft and final memo of study purpose, goals, and objectives

## Task 4: Technical Advisory Committee

The project team will establish a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) to collect feedback on the outreach process, technical analysis, design alternatives, and programmatic elements. The TAC will also be valuable in providing lessons learned from other Bay Area managed lane projects. The TAC will be made up of representatives from SFMTA, Planning Department, Department of Public Works, MTC, San Mateo (C/CAG and SMCTA), and Caltrans (District 4 and HQ). The TAC is estimated to meet up to six times throughout the project.

Through the TAC, interagency Deputy and Director level meetings will be held at key project milestones to ensure alignment with city and regional agencies.

Deliverables: Draft and final TAC meeting materials, meeting notes
Cost/ staffing notes for budget: Assume TA lead development + meetings with consultant support and attendance

## Task 5: Travel modeling

Evaluation metrics and criteria will be developed based on 101/280 Managed Lane Project 1 Study, Streets and Freeways Strategy Analysis, and input from the TAC, and building on criteria from Task 2 and and Goals/Objectives identified in Task 3.

## Subtask 5a: Existing Conditions

This subtask will include required and optional data collection to support analysis. Household travel survey diary data will be used to create traveler profiles for all freeway segments. Required data collection also includes traffic counts and conditions on ramps, the freeway mainline, and on adjacent corridors that provide freeway access. This subtask will also include analysis of crash data, greenhouse gas emissions data, and other relevant data on freeway mainline and freeway adjacent corridors. "Big data" sources (e.g. Streetlight) may also be used to conduct origin-destination analysis and pre- vs. post-pandemic travel pattern analysis. To the extent possible, this task will use work and analysis completed from the Streets and Freeways Study and Connect SF.

## Subtask 5b: Travel modeling

Travel modeling will be conducted of the priority segments identified in Task 2 using SF-CHAMP for the following scenarios: :

- Current year
- Opening year and future year no project
- Opening year and future year for two geometric alternatives
- Opening year and future year for two pricing structure alternatives

To the extent possible, the modeling definitions will align with new PBA 2050+ and SFTP 2050+ land use allocations and transportation network assumptions, and reflect pricing and ramp/ lane configuration changes.

## Deliverables: Existing conditions report, Model output data tables and summaries, Memo of analysis

 findings, raw data from data collection
## Task 6: Geometric Design / 5\% Engineering Drawings

Using the feasibility design assessment developed in task 2, the consultant will refine the proof of concept and develop both cross sections and plan views at $5 \%$ designs for segments selected in Task 2 for managed lanes on freeways within San Francisco. Each design will be technically feasible with considerations of right of way, current conditions, transit routes, and other core functions of the freeway and corridor, as well as findings from public outreach, as applicable. All designs will assume the conversion of an existing general purpose lane into the managed lane and will not include lane additions, mainline shoulder conversions, or freeway widening. However, designs that consider shoulder conversion/lane addition within the existing physical footprint at the county line may be needed to create lane connections, in the Baseline and/or the Build alternatives. A brief memo outlining key features, benefits, tradeoffs, constraints, risks, and planning level cost estimates will accompany the design alternatives.

Deliverables: conceptual drawings for 5 alternatives, memo of design alternatives

## Task 7: Program Development

In addition to managed lane alternatives, the project team will develop up to five sets of program alternatives to increase transportation options that reduce transportation barriers for non-driving modes and ensure affordability. These alternatives will support goals to reduce VMT and increase the use of non-drive alone options. The alternatives will also be based on community outreach findings and known transportation needs along freeway corridors in SF. The alternatives will include implementation costs and tied to managed lane alternatives to ensure feasibility and overall financial sustainability.

## Deliverables: Memo of Program Alternatives

## Task 8: Alternatives Evaluation

Each of the physical freeway managed lanes designs will include benefits and tradeoffs. The physical designs will be paired with program alternatives to be evaluated against project goals and metrics. Economic and operational analysis will be conducted (see Subtask 9b) to provide system and operational elements of the overall cost estimate for each alternative, as well as gross and net revenue findings for each alternative. The alternatives and staff evaluation will be brought to the public for feedback to guide refinement and the ultimate selection design for up to two preferred segments.

## Deliverables: Memo of alternatives evaluation

## Task 9: Detailed Design, Economic Analysis, and Toll System Operational Analysis (Optional) Subtask 9a: Detailed Design

The preferred design(s) will be advanced to $10 \%$ design. The project team will develop $10 \%$ design of up to 2 leading scenarios and define the associated programmatic elements.

## Subtask 9b: Economic and Toll System Operational Analysis

Further refinement of the economic and operation analysis from Task 8 will develop managed lane program alternatives to support the evaluation and next phases of design. This analysis will identify ongoing operational costs and potential for net revenue/ cost recovery. The analysis will include creating a planning level operation analysis, including operational variations and benefits and constraints of each, guidance on pricing structure, affordability, and transportation option improvements, and sketch level implementation, operational cost, and revenue assumptions. The analysis will outline the operations of the corridor including, but not limited to, payment collection, enforcement, and planning level cost estimates to build and operate the program. The cost analysis will also consider how revenues for the program could be used to fund the programmatic elements of the preferred managed lane program.

## Subtask Task 9c: Funding and Implementation Plan

Building off of the sketch level implementation, operational cost, and revenue assumptions developed in this task, the project team will identify potential funding sources and define next steps for implementation. The implementation plan will also include an institutional analysis of governance options for any recommended designs.

Deliverables: (optional) detailed design, draft and final economic and operations analysis, Funding and Implementation Plan

## Task 10: Outreach

Project outreach will take place in multiple phases and will develop a co-creation approach with the working group to allow the community to have a significant role in selecting the ultimate recommendation for a managed lane program. All outreach will be conducted in multiple languages and will include in person outreach events.

The first round of outreach would include surveys and in-person events to establish goals, evaluation metrics, and needs and challenges for travel on freeways within/through San Francisco.

The second round of outreach would focus on the corridor design and pricing strategies. In this second round, model results around congestion and affordability would be shared with the public to have informed discussions about benefits and tradeoffs. The ultimate goals of round two would be to gain insights to determine preferred pricing and roadway design elements to guide revisions to concepts and initial planning for related transportation programs, which would be brought to the third round of outreach.

The third round of outreach would bring forward revised design and pricing concepts that reflect findings from the previous round of outreach and program scenarios to complement scenarios. The outreach round would focus on defining various programs, including relative level of importance between programmatic elements (e.g. TDM, transit service, etc.).

The outreach process may also include a statistically significant survey effort to understand preferences, concerns, and support for a managed lanes program, representing all supervisorial districts in San Francisco.

## Deliverables: Draft and final outreach materials, draft and final outreach report

## Task 11: Final Deliverables

A draft and final report will be prepared and brought to the Transportation Authority Board for approval. The plan will document alternatives and final recommendations and include next steps to advance the recommended segments into the next stages of design and program development.

Deliverables: Draft and final plan, presentation and memorandums for board presentations

## Project Location

San Francisco

| Is this project in an Equity Priority Community? | Yes |
| ---: | :--- |
| Does this project benefit disadvantaged populations? | Yes |

## Project Phase(s)

Planning/Conceptual Engineering (PLAN)

## 5YPP/STRATEGIC PLAN INFORMATION

| Type of Project in the Prop L 5YPP/Prop <br> AA Strategic Plan? | Named Project |
| ---: | :--- |
| Is requested amount greater than the <br> amount programmed in the relevant <br> 5YPP or Strategic Plan? | Less than or Equal to Programmed Amount |
| PROP L Amount | $\$ 1,000,000.00$ |

# San Francisco County Transportation Authority Allocation Request Form 

| FY of Allocation Action: | FY2024/25 |
| ---: | :--- |
| Project Name: | SF Freeway Network Management Study |
| Primary Sponsor: | San Francisco County Transportation Authority |

ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE
Environmental Type: $\quad$ Categorically Exempt
PROJECT DELIVERY MILESTONES

| Phase | Start |  | End |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Quarter | Calendar Year | Quarter | Calendar Year |
| Planning/Conceptual Engineering (PLAN) | Jul-Aug-Sep | 2024 | Oct-Nov-Dec | 2026 |
| Environmental Studies (PA\&ED) |  |  |  |  |
| Right of Way |  |  |  |  |
| Design Engineering (PS\&E) |  |  |  |  |
| Advertise Construction |  |  |  |  |
| Start Construction (e.g. Award Contract) |  |  |  |  |
| Operations (OP) |  |  |  |  |
| Open for Use |  |  |  |  |
| Project Completion (means last eligible expenditure) |  |  |  |  |

## SCHEDULE DETAILS

Task 1 Project Management: July 2024 - December 2026
Task 2 Resolve key questions: July 2024 - March 2025
Task 3 Purpose Statement and Goals: August 2024 - March 2025
Task 4 Technical Advisory Committee: September 2024 - October 2026
Task 5 Travel modeling: September 2024 - June 2025
Task 6 Geometric Design / 5\% Engineering Drawings: October 2024 - June 2025
Task 7 Program Development: March 2025 - August 2025
Task 8 Evaluation: August 2025 - March 2026
Task 9 Detailed Economic and Toll System Operations Analysis: December 2025 - May 2026
Task 10 Outreach: February 2025 - October 2026
Task 11 Final Deliverables: June 2026 - December 2026

## San Francisco County Transportation Authority Allocation Request Form

| FY of Allocation Action: | FY2024/25 |
| ---: | :--- |
| Project Name: | SF Freeway Network Management Study |
| Primary Sponsor: | San Francisco County Transportation Authority |

## FUNDING PLAN - FOR CURRENT REQUEST

| Fund Source | Planned | Programmed | Allocated | Project Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| EP-144: Transportation/Land Use Coordination | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 679,000$ | $\$ 679,000$ |
| EP-222: Managed Lanes and Express Bus | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,000,000$ |
| Caltrans Planning Grant | $\$ 500,000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 500,000$ |
| Phases In Current Request Total: | $\$ 1,500,000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 679,000$ | $\$ 2,179,000$ |

## COST SUMMARY

| Phase | Total Cost | PROP L - <br> Current <br> Request |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Planning/Conceptual Engineering | $\$ 2,179,000$ | $\$ 1,000,000$ | based on costs for 101/280 project |
| Environmental Studies | $\$ 0$ |  |  |
| Right of Way | $\$ 0$ |  |  |
| Design Engineering | $\$ 0$ |  |  |
| Construction | $\$ 0$ |  |  |
| Operations | $\$ 0$ |  |  |
|  | $\$ 2,179,000$ | $\$ 1,000,000$ |  |


| \% Complete of Design: | N/A |
| ---: | :--- |
| As of Date: | N/A |
| Expected Useful Life: | N/A |

MAJOR LINE ITEM BUDGET

| BUDGET SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency | Task 1 - Project Management |  | Task 2 - Identify Priority Segments |  | Task 3 - <br> Purpose Statement and Goals |  | Task 4 Technical Advisory Committee |  | Task 5 - Travel Modeling |  | Task 6 Geometric Design/ 5\% Alt Drawings |  | Task 7 - <br> Program Development |  | Task 8 - <br> Evaluation |  | Task 9 Detailed Design, Economic/Oper ation Analysis |  | Task 10 Outreach |  | Task 11 - Final Deliverables |  | Total |  |
| SFCTA | \$ | 88,084 | \$ | 101,249 | \$ | 16,282 | \$ | 63,247 | \$ | 140,559 | \$ | 33,344 | \$ | 29,870 | \$ | 174,920 | \$ | 23,315 | \$ | 95,218 | \$ | 22,912 | \$ | 789,000 |
| Consultant | \$ | 52,000 | \$ | 62,500 | \$ | 12,000 | \$ | 57,000 | \$ | 642,500 | \$ | 95,000 | \$ | 40,000 | \$ | 50,000 | \$ | 37,500 | \$ | 118,500 | \$ | 25,000 | \$ | 1,192,000 |
| Contingency (10\%) | \$ | 198,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 198,000 |
| Optional Direct Costs * | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Total | \$ | 338,084 | \$ | 163,749 | \$ | 28,282 | \$ | 120,247 | \$ | 783,059 | \$ | 128,344 | \$ | 69,870 | \$ | 224,920 | \$ | 60,815 | \$ | 213,718 | \$ | 47,912 | \$ | 2,179,000 |


| SFCTA | Hours | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Hourly } \\ \text { Rate } \end{gathered}$ |  | Overhead Multiplier |  | Fully Burdened Hourly Cost |  | FTE |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deputy Director | 430 | \$ | 106.56 | \$ | 2.42 | \$ | 257.88 | 0.19 | \$ | 110,886.34 |
| Principal Planner | 2382 | \$ | 77.85 | \$ | 2.42 | \$ | 188.40 | 1.04 | \$ | 448,761.65 |
| Transportation Planner | 784 | \$ | 57.88 | \$ | 2.42 | \$ | 140.07 | 0.34 | \$ | 109,789.35 |
| Communications | 442 | \$ | 68.93 | \$ | 2.42 | \$ | 166.81 | 0.19 | \$ | 73,730.29 |
| Senior Engineer | 242 | \$ | 78.26 | \$ | 2.42 | \$ | 189.39 | 0.11 | \$ | 45,832.19 |
| Total | 4279.82 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.88 | \$ | 789,000 |

## San Francisco County Transportation Authority Allocation Request Form

| FY of Allocation Action: | FY2024/25 |
| ---: | :--- |
| Project Name: | SF Freeway Network Management Study |
| Primary Sponsor: | San Francisco County Transportation Authority |

SFCTA RECOMMENDATION

| Resolution Number: |  | Resolution Date: |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total PROP L Requested: | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Total PROP L Recommended | $\$ 1,000,000$ |


| SGA Project <br> Number: | $222-901001$ | Name: | SF Freeway Network Management <br> Study |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Sponsor: | San Francisco County <br> Transportation Authority | Expiration Date: | $06 / 30 / 2027$ |  |
| Phase: | Planning/Conceptual Engineering | Fundshare: | $45.9 \%$ |  |
| Cash Flow Distribution Schedule by Fiscal Year |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Source | FY2024/25 | FY2025/26 | Total |  |
| PROP L EP-222 | $\$ 500,000$ |  |  |  |

## Deliverables

1. Quarterly progress reports (QPRs) shall include \% complete of the funded phase, \% complete by task, work performed in the prior quarter, work anticipated to be performed in the upcoming quarter, and any issues that may impact schedule, in addition to all other requirements described in the Standard Grant Agreement.
2. Task 2: Upon completion (anticipated March 2025), provide list of identified priority segments and the risk and mitigations matrix.
3. Task 3: Upon completion (anticipated March 2025), provide memo of study purpose, goals, and objectives.
4. Task 5: Upon completion (anticipated June 2025), provide memo of analysis findings.
5. Task 6: Upon completion (anticipated June 2025), provide memo of design alternatives and conceptual drawings.
6. Task 7: Upon completion (anticipated August 2025), provide memo of program alternatives.
7. Task 8: Upon completion (anticipated March 2026), provide memo of alternatives evaluation.
8. Task 9: Upon completion (anticipated May 2026), provide draft economic and operations analysis and draft funding and implementation plans.
9. Task 10: Upon completion (anticipated October 2026), provide final outreach report.
10. Upon completion of project (anticipated December 2026), provide final plan. Final plan shall include recommendations and next steps to advance the recommended segments, including preliminary funding and implementation plans. Staff shall present the final report to the CAC and Board for approval.

## Special Conditions

1. The recommendation is contingent upon approval of the Prop L Managed Lanes and Express Bus 5-Year Prioritization Program and amendment of the Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline.
2. The recommendation is contingent upon an amendment to the Prop K 101/280 Carpool and Express Lane project (SGA 134-901033) to allow SFCTA to use $\$ 679,000$ in remaining Prop K funds for the SF Freeway Network Management Study. See scope for details.
3. Upon completion of Part 1 (Tasks 2 and 3), anticipated by March 2025, staff will present an update to the Board to seek guidance and feedback on the study purpose, goals and objectives, and the priority segments that will advance to Part 2.

## Notes

1. Previously allocated Prop K funds shall be spent prior to requested Prop L funds.

| Metric | PROP AA | TNC TAX | PROP L |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Actual Leveraging - Current Request | No PROP AA | No TNC TAX | $54.11 \%$ |
| Actual Leveraging - This Project | No PROP AA | No TNC TAX | $54.11 \%$ |

## San Francisco County Transportation Authority Allocation Request Form

| FY of Allocation Action: | FY2024/25 |
| ---: | :--- |
| Project Name: | SF Freeway Network Management Study |
| Primary Sponsor: | San Francisco County Transportation Authority |

## EXPENDITURE PLAN SUMMARY

Current PROP L Request: $\$ 1,000,000$

1) The requested sales tax and/or vehicle registration fee revenues will be used to supplement and under no circumstance replace existing local revenues used for transportation purposes.

| Initials of sponsor staff member verifying the above statement: |
| :---: |
| AP |

## CONTACT INFORMATION

|  | Project Manager | Grants Manager |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Name: | Aliza Paz | Aliza Paz |
| Title: | Senior Planner | Senior Planner |
| Phone: | (415) 522-4803 | (415) 522-4803 |
| Email: | aliza.paz@sfcta.org | aliza.paz@sfcta.org |

San Francisco<br>County Transportation<br>Authority

BD070924
RESOLUTION NO. 25-04

RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE 2023 PROP L 5-YEAR PRIORITIZATION PROGRAM FOR MANAGED LANES AND EXPRESS BUS, AMENDING THE PROP L STRATEGIC PLAN BASELINE, AND APPROPRIATING \$1,000,000 IN PROP L FUNDS, WITH CONDITIONS, FOR THE SF FREEWAY NETWORK MANAGEMENT STUDY

WHEREAS, The Prop L Expenditure Plan requires development of a 30-year Strategic Plan and for each of the 28 Expenditure Plan programs, a 5-Year Prioritization Program (5YPP) to identify the specific projects that will be funded over the next five years; and

WHEREAS, Transportation Authority Board adoption of these documents is a prerequisite for allocation of Prop L funds from the relevant program; and

WHEREAS, The 5YPPs provide transparency about how Prop L projects are prioritized and the resulting 5-year project lists and associated sales tax programming commitments support a steady project development pipeline, enabling project sponsors to plan ahead, facilitating their ability to secure other funding sources to leverage Prop L and fully fund projects, to line up staff resources, and to coordinate with other planned projects; and

WHEREAS, In accordance with Expenditure Plan requirements, each 5YPP includes: a prioritization methodology to rank projects; a 5-year program or list of projects; information on scope, schedule, cost and funding (including leveraging of other fund sources); and performance measures to inform future 5YPP updates; and

WHEREAS, Through approval of Resolution 23-57, the Transportation Authority adopted the guidance to project sponsors and staff for developing the 2023 Prop L 5YPPs which cover Fiscal Years 2023/24 through 2027/28; and

WHEREAS, Through approval of Resolution 23-56, the Transportation Authority adopted the 2023 Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline which sets the amount of pay-go funding available for 23 of the 28 programs, by fiscal year, through the end of the Expenditure Plan (2053), and for the five remaining programs, including BART

San Francisco<br>County Transportation<br>Authority

BD070924
RESOLUTION NO. 25-04

Core Capacity; Caltrain Downtown Rail Extension and Pennsylvania Alignment; and Caltrain Maintenance, Rehabilitation, and Replacement, approved an accelerated cash flow schedule to support project delivery; and

WHEREAS, Working in collaboration with project sponsors and taking into consideration input from public engagement supporting the 5YPP development process as well as prior engagement related to the Expenditure Plan and the San Francisco Transportation Plan, Transportation Authority staff has recommended approval of the enclosed 2023 Prop L 5YPP for the Managed Lanes and Express Bus program; and

WHEREAS, Staff has prepared a proposed amendment to the Strategic Plan Baseline to reflect recommended programming and cash flow schedules for the proposed projects in the aforementioned 5YPP and their impact on anticipated financing assumed in the Strategic Plan (Attachments 1 and 2); and

WHEREAS, Transportation Authority staff prepared an appropriation request for $\$ 1,000,000$ in Prop L transportation sales tax funds, as summarized in Attachments 3, 4, and 5 and detailed in the attached allocation request form (Attachment 6), which include staff recommendations for Prop L appropriation amount, required deliverables, special conditions, and Fiscal Year Cash Flow Distribution Schedule; and

WHEREAS, The request seeks funds from the Managed Lanes and Express Bus Prop L Expenditure Plan Program; and

WHEREAS, The subject appropriation request is consistent with the proposed 5YPP recommended for approval by staff; and

WHEREAS, There are sufficient funds in the Capital Expenditures line item of the Transportation Authority's Fiscal Year 2024/25 budget to cover the proposed appropriation; and

WHEREAS, At its June 26, 2024, meeting, the Community Advisory Committee was briefed on the proposed 5YPP, Strategic Plan Baseline amendment,
and appropriation, and adopted a motion of support for the staff recommendation; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Transportation Authority hereby adopts the amended Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Transportation Authority hereby adopts the enclosed 2023 Prop L 5YPP for the Managed Lanes and Express Bus program; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Transportation Authority hereby appropriates
\$1,000,000 in Prop L funds, with conditions, for the SF Freeway Network
Management Study as detailed in the attached allocation request form; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Transportation Authority finds the appropriation of these funds to be in conformance with the priorities, policies, funding levels, and prioritization methodologies established in the Prop L Expenditure Plan, the Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline, as amended, and the relevant 5YPP; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Transportation Authority hereby authorizes the actual expenditure (cash reimbursement) of funds for these activities to take place subject to the Fiscal Year Cash Flow Distribution Schedule detailed in the attached allocation request form.

Attachments:

1. Strategic Plan Baseline Amendment - Programming \& Cash Flow by FY
2. Prop L Strategic Plan Baseline Amendment Sources and Uses
3. Summary of Requests Received
4. Brief Project Descriptions
5. Prop L Allocation Summaries - FY2024/25
6. Prop L Allocation Request Form

Enclosure:

1. 2023 Prop L 5-Year Prioritization Program - Managed Lanes and Express Bus
[ this page intentionally left blank ]

## Memorandum

## AGENDA ITEM 9

DATE: June 27, 2024
TO: Transportation Authority Board
FROM: Cynthia Fong - Deputy Director for Finance and Administration
SUBJECT: 07/09/24 Board Meeting: Authorize Borrowing of up to $\$ 65,000,000$ under the Revolving Credit Agreement with U.S. Bank National Association; the Extension of Such Agreement for up to Six Months; the Execution and Delivery of Related Legal Documents; and the Taking of All Other Actions Necessary or Desirable in Connection Therewith

## RECOMMENDATION $\square$ Information $\boxtimes$ Action

- Authorize Borrowing of up to $\$ 65,000,000$ from the Revolving Credit Agreement with U.S. Bank National Association and an up to Six-Month Extension to Revolving Credit Agreement with U.S. Bank National Association
- Authorize the Execution and Delivery of Related Legal Documents; and the Taking of All Other Actions Necessary or Desirable in Connection Therewith


## SUMMARY

The purpose of this memo is to brief the Board on our debt management strategy for the sales tax program and to request authorization to borrow up to $\$ 65,000,000$, from our $\$ 125,000,000$ Revolver Credit Agreement (Revolver) with U.S. Bank National Association (U.S. Bank) and to extend the term of the Revolver for up to six months. We need to draw down these funds to meet the anticipated capital reimbursement requests for the sales tax program. The Revolver is a shortterm variable rate financing method and is basically a loan directly from a commercial bank. As of June 20, 2024, $\$ 125,000,000$ was available to draw upon to fund upcoming sales tax capital expenditures. Through ongoing discussions with our sponsors (particularly San Francisco Municipal

San Francisco
County Transportation
Authority

> Transportation Agency (SFMTA)) and financial advisor, KNN Public Finance, we have conducted cash flow analyses and anticipate the need to borrow $\$ 65$ million over the next few months from the Revolver to meet our financial commitments. We have been tracking some of the largest sales tax projects and programs in terms of the amount of funds allocated and remaining to be reimbursed, most of which are in active construction phases or reaching other milestones that will trigger large sales tax reimbursement requests. Among the major cash driver projects are the purchase of new SFMTA Light Rail Vehicles, Motor Coaches, L-Taraval Transit Enhancements, Signals and Signs, Van Ness Bus Rapid Transit, Paratransit; TJPA's The Portal; and BART's next generation fare gates. Consistent with our debt management approach, we would use the Revolver to meet short-term cash needs, providing time for us to prepare to issue long-term debt (e.g., bonds) over the next few years, if needed.

## BACKGROUND

We receive revenues from the one-half of one percent sales tax which are dedicated toward financing transportation improvements in the voter approved sales tax Expenditure Plan (Prop L, approved in 2022, which superseded Prop K, approved in 2003). In Fiscal Year (FY) 2022/23 our sales tax revenues were $\$ 111.5$ million, and we projected FY 2023/24 sales tax revenue collections at $\$ 106.2$ million in FY 2023/24 and $\$ 108.3$ million in FY 2024/25. To fund transportation projects under the Expenditure Plans, we have historically relied on pay-go sales tax revenues and interim financing under the Revolving Credit Agreement Loan (Revolver) program to fund transportation projects under the Expenditure Plans. The Revolver is an alternative variable rate financing method to traditional commercial paper notes and is a loan directly from a commercial bank. From time to time, we have utilized available funding under our interim borrowing program to fund peak capital expenditures that could not be met with available sales tax revenues.

In 2017, we issued our first and only long-term bond issuance to date - the Senior Sales Tax Revenue Bond, Series 2017, which provided approximately $\$ 200$ million in bond proceeds for projects as well as repaying amounts previously drawn under a prior revolving credit agreement and paying related costs. Following the issuance of the 2017 Senior Bonds, we have had no further long-term or short-term borrowing needs. As of June 20, 2024, \$179,640,000 of the Senior Lien Bonds were outstanding
and we will pay approximately $\$ 21.9$ million of principal and interest payments through FY 2033/34.

## DISCUSSION

We anticipate drawing on the funding available under the Revolver as soon as August 2024 to meet the anticipated capital reimbursement requests for the sales tax program. Through ongoing discussions with our sponsors (particularly the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency or SFMTA) and analysis conducted with our financial advisor, KNN Public Finance, we have conducted the necessary cash flow review that confirms our budget assumption of needing to borrow $\$ 65$ million over the next few months from the Revolver. Further, if the pace of project delivery and reimbursements ramp up as anticipated, we may return to the Board for permission to drawdown more funds over the next 18 months.

This need to address a rapid peaking in reimbursement requests is precisely why we have a flexible debt instrument like the Revolver in place and it is why we have been closely tracking some of the largest projects (largest in terms of the amount of sales tax funds allocated and remaining to be reimbursed), most of which are in active construction phases or reaching other milestones that will trigger large sales tax reimbursement requests. Among the major cash driver projects for FYs 2023/24 and 2024/25 are the SFMTA's Light Rail Vehicles procurement, Motor Coaches procurement, Paratransit, L-Taraval Transit Enhancements, various signals and signs projects, and Van Ness Bus Rapid Transit; the Transbay Joint Powers Authority's The Portal/Caltrain Downtown Extension; and BART's Next Generation Fare Gates. Attachment 1 shows that in aggregate, if these projects were to seek the maximum reimbursement allowable per the grant agreement as amended, reimbursements could total up to an additional $\$ 107.2$ million just for these projects by the end of $F Y$ $2024 / 25$. While we do not anticipate that this full amount is likely to be requested for reimbursement in FY2024/25, we are expecting to see a significant portion requested for reimbursement in the next six months, particularly since we are seeing more regular reimbursement requests for the SFMTA vehicle procurements.

We have begun to receive requests for third quarter reimbursements this month. Typically, capital reimbursements from sponsors ramp up over the course of the fiscal year, with the fourth quarter resulting in the highest level of capital reimbursements paid by our agency.

The Revolver expires in fall 2024 and therefore, we are in the process of procuring a replacement interim borrowing facility and anticipate bringing this item before the Community Advisory Committee (CAC) and Board in September and October 2024, respectively. Should staff need more time to finalize the replacement interim borrowing facility beyond the current Revolver stated expiration date of October 4, 2024, then the recommended authorization provides the authority for the Executive Director or Chief Deputy Director of our agency to extend the current Revolver under substantially the same terms for a period of up to six months. We expect to continue to utilize an interim borrowing program in tandem with pay-go sales tax revenues to meet our near-term transportation expenditure needs. Concurrently, we are working on a schedule that calls for our agency to be ready to potentially issue our second long-term bond within the next several years. The intrinsic flexibility of the Revolver, in combination with a long-term bond, supports our long-term financing plan to advance funds for projects to deliver the benefits sooner to the public, while minimizing financing costs. We will continue to monitor sales tax revenues and capital spending closely through a combination of cash flow needs for allocation reimbursements, progress reports, and conversations with project sponsors, particularly for our largest grant recipient, the SFMTA.

## FINANCIAL IMPACT

The proposed FY 2024/25 budget already incorporates the need to borrow $\$ 65$ million under the Revolver to help pay for anticipated capital project reimbursement requests. The amount borrowed under the Revolver is assumed to bear a rate of interest equal to the sum of Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association Index (SIFMA, a tax-exempt variable rate index) plus a fixed credit spread (subject to adjustment if our credit rating changes). As of June $18^{\text {th }}, 2024$, our cost of borrowing (interest rate) under the current Revolver facility is $3.78 \%$ and its cost of maintaining the facility on an unutilized basis is $0.20 \%$. If we identify the need for additional borrowing from the Revolver, we would seek Board approval to do so and would reflect the additional amount in the mid-year Fiscal Year 2024/25 budget amendment as well as the budget for future fiscal years, as appropriate. The outstanding loan balance is required to be paid off or transferred to a replacement interim borrowing facility at the expiration date of the current Revolver, October 4, 2024, unless certain conditions are met. The interest rate on amounts not paid by October 4, 2024 would be substantially higher.

## PUBLIC NOTICE - GOVERNMENT CODE, SECTION 5852.1

The following information is made available in accordance with Government Code, Section 5852.1 to provide certain public disclosures related to the proposed borrowing. All figures represent good faith estimates based on the current U.S. Bank Revolver terms and assume i) a drawn facility up to the proposed amount of $\$ 65$ million, ii) a variable rate of interest based on the current U.S. Bank Revolver rate, iii) our current credit ratings, and iv) a borrowing term beginning in July 2024 and extending through the term of the current Revolver facility of October 4, 2024.

1) True Interest Cost of the Revolver. Based on the current SIFMA variable rate index, a good faith estimate of the true interest cost of borrowing \$65,000,000 under the Revolver is $3.78 \%$. The unutilized cost of the remaining \$60,000,000 undrawn on the Revolver is $0.20 \%$. On a weighted average basis, the True Interest Cost of the Revolver is $1.49 \%$.
2) Finance Charge of the Revolver. The sum of all fees and charges paid to third parties (or costs associated with the issuance of the Bank Note), is \$5,250.
3) Revolver Proceeds to be Received. The amount of proceeds expected to be received by the Transportation Authority for borrowings under the Revolver less the finance charge to third parties described in 2 above and any reserves or capitalized interest paid or funded with proceeds of the Revolver, is $\$ 65,000,000$. The finance charge to third parties described in \#2 above is not expected to be paid from Revolver proceeds.
4) Total Payment Amount. Assuming an aggregate principal amount of $\$ 65,000,000$ of borrowings under the Revolver and based on an assumed current variable rate of interest over the remaining term of the current Revolver, a good faith estimate of the total payment amount, which means the sum total of all payments the Transportation Authority will make to pay debt service on the Revolver plus the unutilized cost associated with the $\$ 60,000,000$ remaining undrawn amount, calculated to the term of the current Revolver, is \$470,500.

## CAC POSITION

The Community Advisory Committee considered this item at its June 26, 2024, meeting and unanimously adopted a motion of support for the staff recommendation.

San Francisco
County Transportation Authority

## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

- Attachment 1 - Largest Cash Flow Drivers FY23/24 - FY 24/25
- Attachment 2 - Resolution

Transportation Sales Tax Capital Expenditures - Largest Cash Flow Drivers FY 2023/24-FY 2024/25

| Name | Remaining Balance as of May 31, 2024 <br> (Assumes Pending Invoices Paid) | Reimbursed from July 1, 2023 - May 31, 2024 | Pending Invoices as of May 31, 2024 | Reimbursed and Pending Invoices for FY 2023/24 as of May 31, 2024 (B+C) | Maximum Remaining Reimbursement Through FY 2024/25 | Maximum FY23/24FY24/25 Reimbursements ( $D+E$ ) | Bond Eligible (for reference only) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Muni Light Rail Vehicles | \$18,680,174 | \$56,066,415 | \$22,885,490 | \$78,951,905 | \$18,680,174 | \$97,632,079 | Yes |
| Muni Motor Coaches | \$12,982,711 | \$7,164,682 | \$460,179 | \$7,624,861 | \$12,982,711 | \$20,607,572 | Yes |
| Paratransit | \$15,752,372 | \$2,308,674 | \$0 | \$2,308,674 | \$15,752,372 | \$18,061,046 | No |
| The Portal/Caltrain Downtown Extension | \$13,684,637 | \$3,414,966 | \$661 | \$3,415,627 | \$13,684,637 | \$17,100,264 | Not Recommended (design phase) |
| Signals and Signs | \$10,381,665 | \$4,442,295 | \$141,181 | \$4,583,476 | \$9,669,629 | \$14,253,105 | Yes |
| L-Taraval Transit <br> Enhancements | \$12,473,256 | \$0 | \$2,822,107 | \$2,822,107 | \$10,855,513 | \$13,677,620 | Yes |
| Van Ness BRT | \$13,347,173 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13,347,173 | \$13,347,173 | Yes |
| BART Fare Gates | \$12,234,736 | \$0 | \$290,264 | \$290,264 | \$12,234,736 | \$12,525,000 | Yes |
| Totals | \$109,536,724 | \$73,397,033 | \$26,599,882 | \$99,996,915 | \$107,206,945 | \$207,203,860 |  |

As of $5 / 31 / 2024$

San Francisco<br>County Transportation<br>Authority

BD070924
RESOLUTION NO. 25-05

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING BORROWING OF UP TO $\$ 65,000,000$ UNDER THE
REVOLVING CREDIT AGREEMENT WITH U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION;
THE EXTENSION OF SUCH AGREEMENT FOR UP TO SIX MONTHS; THE
EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF RELATED LEGAL DOCUMENTS; AND THE TAKING
OF ALL OTHER ACTIONS NECESSARY OR DESIRABLE IN CONNECTION
THEREWITH

WHEREAS, The San Francisco County Transportation Authority (the "Transportation Authority") is a county transportation authority duly organized and existing pursuant to the Bay Area County Traffic and Transportation Funding Act, being Division 12.5 of the Public Utilities Code of the State of California (Sections 131000 et seq.) (the "Act"); and

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 153-22 (the "Ordinance") was passed on first reading by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco (the "Board of Supervisors") on July 12, 2022, finally passed on July 19, 2022 and approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on July 21, 2022; and

WHEREAS, Pursuant to the Ordinance, the Board of Supervisors adopted a new county transportation expenditure plan (the " 2022 Transportation Expenditure Plan") that superseded the prior transportation expenditure plan and provided for an election for the purpose of submitting to the voters a measure to enact the Ordinance ("Proposition L") that would, among other things, authorize implementation of the 2022 Transportation Expenditure Plan, continue collection of the retail transactions and use tax applicable in the City and County of San Francisco at the existing level of one-half of one percent (1/2\%) (the "Sales Tax"), continue in effect the Transportation Authority as the independent agency to administer the Sales Tax and the 2022 Transportation Expenditure Plan, and authorize the Transportation Authority to issue limited tax bonds from time to time, in a total
aggregate amount not to exceed $\$ 1,910,000,000$ secured by and payable from the proceeds of the Sales Tax; and

WHEREAS, Proposition L was approved by more than two-thirds of the electors voting on the measure to authorize enactment at the election held for such purpose on November 8, 2022; and

WHEREAS, Pursuant to Section 131109 and 131120 of the Act and the Ordinance, the Transportation Authority is authorized to issue limited tax bonds or bond anticipation notes secured by and payable from the proceeds of the Sales Tax levied by the Transportation Authority; and

WHEREAS, The Transportation Authority is party to a Revolving Credit Agreement, dated October 7, 2021 (the "Revolving Credit Agreement"), by and between the Transportation Authority and U.S. Bank National Association ("U.S. Bank") pursuant to which the Transportation Authority may borrow amounts from U.S. Bank from time to time in accordance with the terms of such Revolving Credit Agreement and may have up to a \$125,000,000 outstanding at any one time; and

WHEREAS, The Transportation Authority's obligations to repay amounts borrowed under the Revolving Credit Agreement constitute limited tax bonds and are payable from and secured by the Sales Tax as provided in the Third Amended and Restated Indenture, dated as of November 1, 2017, as supplemented and amended (the "Indenture"), by and between the Transportation Authority and U.S. Bank Trust Company, National Association, as successor Trustee, and by the Sales Tax Revenues Bank Note (Limited Tax Bond) of the Transportation Authority dated October 7, 2021 (the "Bank Note") issued pursuant to the Indenture; and

WHEREAS, The Transportation Authority presently has \$0 outstanding under the Revolving Credit Agreement and the Bank Note; and

WHEREAS, The Transportation Authority proposes to borrow up to \$65,000,000 principal amount under the Revolving Credit Agreement and the Bank Note by effecting one or more draws under the Revolving Credit Agreement (the
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"Draw(s)") to finance a portion of the costs and estimated costs of, incidental to, or connected with, some or all of the following transportation improvements outlined in the 2022 Transportation Expenditure Plan: San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's Light Rail Vehicles procurement, Motor Coaches procurement, L-Taraval Transit Enhancements, various signals and signs projects, and Van Ness Bus Rapid Transit; and BART's Next Generation Fare Gates including, without limitation, engineering, inspection, legal, fiscal agents, financial consultant and other fees, working capital and expenses of all proceedings for the Draw(s); and

WHEREAS, Draw(s) and the related limited tax bonds shall be in minimum principal amounts or specified amounts in excess thereof, shall mature, shall be payable and shall bear interest and shall be subject to a maximum interest rate and otherwise as set forth in the Revolving Credit Agreement, the Bank Note and the Indenture, as such agreements may be modified as permitted by this Resolution; and

WHEREAS, The total estimated cost of the portion of the Project to be financed with the Draw(s) is approximately $\$ 65,000,000$; and

WHEREAS, In accordance with Government Code, Section 5852.1, the Board of Commissioners of the Transportation Authority (the "Board") has obtained and disclosed the good faith estimates required thereby, as more fully set forth in the Staff Recommendation and such good faith estimates have been made available to the public at the meeting at which this Resolution is adopted; and

WHEREAS, The Board desires to authorize (i) the Draw(s) and (ii) the execution and delivery of all documents, instruments and agreements necessary or desirable in connection with the Draw(s), including, if and to the extent applicable, one or more requests for advance; one or more supplemental tax certificates; any amendments or modifications to or restatements of the Revolving Credit Agreement, the Indenture and the Bank Note; and other documents related thereto as deemed appropriate by an Authorized Representative (defined below) (collectively, the "Draw Documents"); and

WHEREAS, The ability of the Transportation Authority to borrow under the Revolving Credit Facility expires October 4, 2024; and

WHEREAS, The Board desires to delegate to the Executive Director and the Chief Deputy Director of the Transportation Authority (each, an "Authorized Representative") and each of them, acting alone, to extend the Revolving Credit Facility for a period of up to six months on substantially the same terms as are in the existing Revolving Credit Facility, with such terms and to the extent determined to be desirable and practicable by an Authorized Representative, such determination to be conclusively evidenced by the execution and delivery of documents related to such extension (any such extension, the "Extension"); and

WHEREAS, The Board desires to authorize (i) the Extension if and to the extent determined to be desirable and practicable by an Authorized Representative and (ii) the execution and delivery of all documents, instruments and agreements necessary or desirable in connection with the Extension, including, if and to the extent applicable, one or more supplemental tax certificates; any amendments or modifications to or restatements of the Revolving Credit Agreement, the Indenture and the Bank Note; and other documents related thereto as deemed appropriate by an Authorized Representative (collectively, the "Extension Documents" and, together with the Draw Documents, the "Documents"); and

WHEREAS, A staff recommendation has been prepared with respect to the Draw(s) and the Extension and has been presented to the Community Advisory Committee attached hereto as Exhibit A (the "Staff Recommendation"); and

WHEREAS, At its June 26, 2024 meeting, the Community Advisory Committee was briefed on and unanimously adopted a motion of support for the Staff Recommendation; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board hereby finds and declares that the statements, findings and determinations set forth above are true and correct; and be it further RESOLVED, That the Board hereby authorizes the Draw(s). The Authorized
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Representatives are, and each of them acting alone is, hereby authorized, for and in the name of and on behalf of the Transportation Authority, to determine the timing and amount of the Draw(s), up to a total aggregate principal amount of \$65,000,000, and to execute by manual or facsimile signature and deliver the Draw Documents in the form approved by the Authorized Representative executing the same as being in the best interests of the Transportation Authority, such approval to be conclusively evidenced by the execution and delivery thereof; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Board hereby authorizes the Extension. The Authorized Representatives are, and each of them acting alone is, hereby authorized, for and in the name of and on behalf of the Transportation Authority, to determine whether to pursue an Extension and the timing, duration and terms of any such Extension, and to execute by manual or facsimile signature and deliver the Extension Documents in the form approved by the Authorized Representative executing the same as being in the best interests of the Transportation Authority, such determination and approval to be conclusively evidenced by the execution and delivery thereof; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the other officers, Board members, employees and agents are, and each of them acting alone is, hereby authorized, for and in the name of and on behalf of the Transportation Authority, to execute by manual or facsimile signature and deliver the Documents in the form approved by an Authorized Representative to the extent necessary or desirable, including to comply with the terms of the Indenture, the Revolving Credit Agreement, the Bank Note or applicable law; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Authorized Representatives are, and each of them acting alone is, hereby authorized, for and in the name of and on behalf of the Transportation Authority, to negotiate the terms of the Documents so long as the aggregate amount of the Draw(s) does not exceed the amount set forth herein and the Extension does not extend the expiration date of the Revolving Credit Agreement by more than six months, and so long as neither the maximum outstanding amount
nor the maximum interest rate under the Revolving Credit Agreement is increased; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Authorized Representatives, and all other officers, employees and agents of the Transportation Authority are, and each of them acting alone is, hereby authorized to take any and all actions and execute and deliver such documents, agreements, certificates and other instruments as an Authorized Representative deems necessary or advisable to carry out the purposes of this Resolution and the Ordinance and to consummate the Draw(s) and the Extension, and all actions heretofore taken by all officers, employees and agents of the Transportation Authority with respect to the Draw(s) an the Extension, are hereby approved, confirmed and ratified; and be it further

RESOLVED, That this Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption and approval.
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## Memorandum

## AGENDA ITEM 10

DATE: June 27, 2024
TO: Transportation Authority Board
FROM: Cynthia Fong - Deputy Director for Finance and Administration
SUBJECT: 07/09/24 Board Meeting: Approve a New Declaration of Official Intent to Reimburse Certain Expenditures from the Proceeds of Indebtedness

## RECOMMENDATION $\square$ Information $\triangle$ Action

Approve a New Declaration of Official Intent to Reimburse Certain Expenditures from the Proceeds of Indebtedness

## SUMMARY

A Declaration of Official Intent to Reimburse Certain Expenditures from the Proceeds of Indebtedness (also called a Reimbursement Resolution) is adopted when a government anticipates financing projects with debt and wishes to preserve the option to use debt (including, for example, bonds, commercial paper and borrowings under credit facilities) to cover expenditures paid prior to issuing debt. This helps us to size debt appropriately and to ensure compliance with requirements to spend down proceeds of the debt within three years of the issue date. Adoption of a Reimbursement Resolution does not obligate our agency to issue additional debt. The general description of projects to be covered by the recommended Reimbursement Resolution includes but is not limited to the construction, acquisition, and improvement of certain transit, street, freeway, traffic and transportation system facilities, and similar expenditures as more fully described in the 2022 Transportation Expenditure Plan (Prop $\mathrm{L})$. Issuing debt facilitates delivery of projects and benefits to the public sooner than would be possible using pay-as-you-go funding.
$\square$ Fund AllocationFund ProgrammingPolicy/LegislationPlan/StudyCapital Project
Oversight/Delivery ® Budget/FinanceContract/Agreement
Other:

## BACKGROUND

The reimbursement with debt of amounts that were not borrowed and that were used to pay costs of eligible projects is governed by U.S. Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2. To be effective, a Reimbursement Resolution must have, among other things, two parts: 1) a general, functional description of the project(s) to be financed; and 2) a statement of the maximum dollar amount of anticipated borrowing for the projects. Adoption of a Reimbursement Resolution does not obligate the adopting government agency to issue additional debt. It simply provides administrative flexibility to use taxexempt debt to reimburse expenditures paid prior to issuing debt (including, without limitation, by drawing funds under a bank facility).

## DISCUSSION

Plan of Finance. Based on our analysis of the major cash flow drivers (e.g. projects like the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's Light Rail Vehicles procurement, Motor Coaches procurement, Paratransit, L-Taraval Transit; the Transbay Joint Powers Authority's The Portal/Caltrain Downtown Extension; and BART's Next Generation Fare Gates), we are allowed to borrow an amount not to exceed \$1,910,000,000, as indicated in the 2022 Transportation Expenditure Plan, but we do not anticipate this maximum amount to be borrowed. Issuing debt facilitates delivery of projects and benefits to the public sooner than would be possible using pay-as-you-go funding.

The general description of projects to be covered by the Reimbursement Resolution includes but is not limited to the construction, acquisition, and improvement of certain transit, street, freeway, traffic and transportation system facilities, and similar expenditures as more fully described in the 2022 Transportation Expenditure Plan (Prop L). Additionally, this reimbursement resolution does not supersede any prior adopted reimbursement resolutions such as Resolution 18-15 (September 26, 2017), with respect to the projects described therein, and such prior reimbursement resolutions remain in full force and effect.

## FINANCIAL IMPACT

Adoption of the new Reimbursement Resolution does not obligate the Transportation Authority to issue additional debt and has no impact on the agency's proposed FY 2024/25 budget, which is scheduled for final approval on June 25, 2024. As noted above, a Reimbursement Resolution provides the administrative flexibility to use tax-exempt debt to reimburse expenditures paid prior to raising
funds. Further, it helps to size debt appropriately and facilitates compliance with requirements to spend down bond proceeds within three years of the issuance date.

## CAC POSITION

The CAC considered this item at its June 26, 2024 meeting and unanimously adopted a motion of support for the staff recommendation.

## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

- Attachment 1 -Resolution


## ATTACHMENT 1

San Francisco
County Transportation
Authority
BD070924 RESOLUTION NO. 25-06

## RESOLUTION DECLARING THE OFFICIAL INTENT OF THE SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY TO REIMBURSE CERTAIN EXPENDITURES FROM THE PROCEEDS OF INDEBTEDNESS

WHEREAS, The San Francisco County Transportation Authority (the "Issuer") intends to construct, acquire and improve certain transit, street, freeway, traffic and transportation system facilities and similar expenditures, such transit, street freeway, traffic and transportation system facilities and expenditures being more fully described in the 2022 Transportation Expenditure Plan adopted in connection with Proposition L, which was approved by the voters on November 8, 2022, as such plan may be amended from time to time (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, The Issuer expects to pay certain expenditures (the "Reimbursable Expenditures") in connection with the Project prior to the issuance of indebtedness for the purpose of financing costs associated with the Project on a long-term basis; and

WHEREAS, The Issuer reasonably expects that debt obligations in an amount not expected to exceed $\$ 1,910,000,000$ will be issued in connection with the Project and that certain of the proceeds of such debt obligations will be used to reimburse the Reimbursable Expenditures; and

WHEREAS, The Issuer desires to declare its reasonable intent to reimburse the Reimbursable Expenditures with proceeds of the debt obligations; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Commissioners of the San Francisco County Transportation Authority declares:

Section 1. The Issuer finds and determines that the foregoing recitals are true and correct.

Section 2. This declaration of official intent (this "Declaration") is made solely
for purposes of establishing compliance with the requirements of Section 1.150-2 of the Treasury Regulations. This Declaration does not bind the Issuer to make any expenditure, incur any indebtedness, or proceed with the Project.

Section 3. The Issuer hereby declares its official intent to use proceeds of indebtedness in the maximum principal amount of $\$ 1,910,000,000$ to reimburse itself for Reimbursable Expenditures.

Section 4. This Resolution does not supersede any prior reimbursement resolutions with respect to the projects described therein, and such prior reimbursement resolutions remain in full force and effect.

Section 5. This Resolution shall take effect from and after its adoption.
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## Memorandum

## AGENDA ITEM 11

DATE: June 27, 2024
TO: Transportation Authority Board
FROM: Anna LaForte - Deputy Director for Policy and Programming
SUBJECT: 7/9/24 Board Meeting: Approve the Jane Warner Plaza [NTP Planning] Final Report


## BACKGROUND

Jane Warner Plaza, located on the intersection of Castro, 17 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, and Market streets, was created in 2009 as a temporary public square through the City's Pavement to Parks program. The space was embraced by the community, and temporary bollards were switched out for more permanent landscaping features and movable barriers and seating. The Plaza is named for the late Jane Warner, a San Francisco Patrol Special Police Officer who walked a police beat in the Castro for 20 years.

County Transportation

## DISCUSSION

The Jane Warner Plaza final report (attached) details two concept alternatives and identifies a preferred concept to enhance the safety of people passing through the plaza and to improve the longevity and appearance of plaza features. These concepts were developed in consultation with community stakeholders, the District 8 office, and the SFMTA. The final report includes analyses of the project area's multimodal circulation patterns, and identifies site opportunities and constraints. The central plaza area is envisioned to be a flexible space for community gatherings. The longer-term vision is to improve the existing wide sidewalk area along Market Street and to form a gateway to the Castro and Upper Market Street. Any changes to the space will need to accommodate operations of the SFMTA F Line and preserve the emergency tracks that run east-west along $17^{\text {th }}$ Street.

Outreach. Outreach included a site walk with project partners and community stakeholders to determine needs and desires for the study. SFPW held two stakeholder meetings to develop concept alternatives and receive input on preferred concepts. The design team also held a meeting with local business owners. Concepts were vetted with SFMTA staff for their feedback on transit infrastructure and operations needs, as well as bicycle and pedestrian circulation. The final preferred concept vision was presented to the community in March 2024.

## FINANCIAL IMPACT

The recommended action would not have any impact on the Transportation Authority's proposed Fiscal Year 2024/25 annual budget.

## CAC POSITION

The Community Advisory Committee considered this item at its June 26, 2024, meeting and unanimously adopted a motion of support for the staff recommendation.

## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

- Attachment 1 - Jane Warner Plaza Preferred Concept Vision
- Attachment 2 - Resolution


## ATTACHMENT 1

## JANE WARNER PLAZA

PREFERRED CONCEPT VISION
CONCEPTUAL DESIGN PLAN
JUNE 2024
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## Project Goals

## Programming

- Celebrate the leadership and community activism of Jane Warner.
- A flexible place for celebrations, for protest, for grieving.
- Focal entry feature to Upper Market.


## Environment

- Provide relief from extremes of hot and cold weather.
- More greenery!


## Circulation

- Keep existing traffic patterns.
- Pedestrian safety.
- Need to manage large crowds at large events. Streetcar is closed 3 times/year. Other events occur while streetcar running.
- Bike access: keep permeable for bike connection to 17 th street to east.


## Site Furnishings

- More seating needed. Movable tables and chairs provide flexibility.
- Physical separation at streetcar tracks especially for small group events.
- Furnishings that can be moved with a forklift can be installed over emergency tracks.
Place Making
- Unify with Harvey Milk Plaza so it feels like one continuous design.
- Graphics or art on the ground.
- Representation of underrepresented people of the LGBTQIA+ community.

Materials

- Durable materials.
- Repeat paving materials between Harvey Milk Plaza and Jane Warner Plaza.


## Project Schedule

## Existing Conditions Plan


NOVEMBER 30, 2022
FIRST SITE MEETING.
SFMTA
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The Evolution of Jane Warner Plaza


BEFORE JANE WARNER PLAZA, 2008



TACTICAL URBANISM, 2009



JANE WARNER PLAZA TODAY


## Site Circulation
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## Site Opportunities \& Constraints



## Curb Configuration Studies


1.) EXISTING CURBS

9,600 S.F.

3.) ALTERNATIVE 1: NORTH PLAZA CONNECTION

13,182 S.F.

2.) PRELIMINARY CURB PROPOSAL

15,300 S.F.

4.) ALTERNATIVE 2: SOUTH BULB-OUT (PREFERRED)
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## Preliminary Concept Alternative : Love Is Action



## CONCEPT NARRATIVE

- MAXIMIZE MAIN PLAZA SPACE - WIDEN SOUTH SIDEWALK AND PLAZA TO CREATE A CONTINUOUS OPEN SPACE FOR BOTH LARGE AND SMALL, PLANNED AND UNPLANNED EVENTS AND GATHERINGS.
- LOVE MEDALLION AT THE HEART OF THE PLAZA
- COMMEMORATING OFFICER JANE, THE LOVE MEDALLION IS A STEEL PLAQUE INSET INTO THE PAVING AT THE CENTER OF THE PLAZA. THE MEDALLION SYMBOLIZES OFFICER JANE'S LOVE FOR HER COMMUNITY AND THE ACTIONS THAT SHE TOOK TO SERVE HER COMMUNITY.
- SPECIAL PAVERS IN CIRCULAR PATTERN - SPECIAL PAVERS IN THE COLORS OF THE LESBIAN FLAG DISPERSE IN GRADIATED CIRCLES WITH PIXELATED EDGES. THE EXISTING JANE WARNER PLAQUE IS INCORPORATED INTO THESE CIRCULAR BANDS OF PAVERS.
- FLEXIBLE SPACES AT SOUTH BULB-OUT - SEATING AREAS WITH RAISED PLANTERS AND A VARIETY OF SEATING AND LOUNGING OPTIONS POPULATE THE STOREFRONTS OF THE BUSINESSES ON 17TH STREET.
- CONTINUE PAVERS FROM THE SOUTH PLAZA INTO THE STREET TO CREATE A CONTINUOUS SPACE.
- RELOCATE THE MUNI BOARDING PLATFORM TO THE EAST TO OPEN UP THE MAIN PLAZA. UPGRADE THE BOARDING PLATFORM AND ADA RAMP.
- RELOCATE TWO CROSSWALKS TO ACCOMMODATE PEDESTRIAN TRAFFIC FROM THE 'F' LINE PLATFORM AND HEAVY PEDESTRIAN TRAFFIC FROM MARKET STREET.
- CONTINUE PAVERS AND AMENITIES INTO NORTH SIDEWALK ALONG MARKET AND 17TH STREETS.
- PRESERVE RAINBOW WALK OF HONOR BY INCORPORATING EXISTING JOSE SARRIA PLAQUE INTO CIRCULAR BAND OF SPECIAL PAVERS.
- INFILL STREET TREES ALONG MARKET AND 17TH STREETS.
- SHORTEN NORTH CURB ALIGNMENT AT CORNER TO ACCOMMODATE WIDE TRUCK TURNING RADII.
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Preliminary Concept Imagery : Love Is Action


SPECIAL PAVERS IN GRADIENT PATTERN


SPECIAL PAVERS IN GRADIENT


BOLLARDS W/ CHAIN


LIGHT BOLLARD


"LOVE" MEDALLION


RAISED STEEL PLANTER W/WOOD

"RADIANT RED" GRANITE PAVERS TO MATCH


MULTIPLE SEATING HEIGHTS AND OPTIONS


RAISED PLANTER W/ WOOD SEATING AND MOVABLE FURNISHINGS


WOOD BENCH

## Preliminary Concept Alternative: The Green Embrace
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## CONCEPT NARRATIVE

- MORE GREENERY - EMPLOY PLANTING MATERIAL AS A BUFFER FROM BUSY CASTRO AND MARKET STREETS. WRAP PLAZA IN A GREEN EMBRACE LEAVING A CENTRAL FLEXIBLE PLAZA AREA. USE SIDEWALK PLANTINGS, NEW STREET TREES, AND POTTED PLANTS.
- FOCAL ENTRY FEATURE TO UPPER MARKET - AN ILLUMINATED SCULPTURE IN ABSTRACTED FEMININE FORM IS ALIGNED WITH THE MAJOR PEDESTRIAN ROUTES IN THE PLAZA. THE SPIRIT OF JANE WARNER IS COMMEMORATED AND EXPANDED TO INCLUDE THE POWER OF ALL WOMEN. THIS IS EXPRESSED BY THE EVOCATIVE POEM "WE RISE" BY AMANDA GORMAN WRITTEN IN FULL TEXT WITHIN THE PAVING.


## - REPRESENTATION OF UNDERREPRESENTED PEOPLE OF THE

 LGBTQIA+ COMMUNITY/ GRAPHICS OR ART ON THE GROUND- THE PAVING MATERIAL IN THE PLAZA IS LIKE HARVEY MILK PLAZA BUT IS EMBEDDED WITH RAYS OF COLOR EMANATING FROM THE ILLUMINATED SCULPTURE. THE COLORS OF THESE RAYS REPRESENT THE MANY DIVERSE FLAGS OF THE LGBTQIA + COMMUNITY. COLORS FROM ONE FLAG BLEND WITH ANOTHER FORMING AN INTERTWINED SYMBOL OF FAMILY.
- MORE SEATING/ MOVABLE TABLES AND CHAIRS/ MOVE FROM SUN TO SHADE - FLEXIBILITY IN SITE FURNISHINGS INCLUDING CAFE TABLES AND CHAIRS AND PLANTERS. FURNISHINGS CAN BE MOVED FROM SUN TO SHADE, OR TO SUPPORT PROGRAMMED EVENTS. OTHER HEAVIER FURNISHINGS CAN BE MOVED, WHEN NECESSARY, WITH A FORKLIFT \& CAN BE INSTALLED OVER EMERGENCY STREETCAR TRACKS.
- UTILIZE EXISTING CURB BULB OUT AS EXTENSION OF LINEAR PLAZA FROM COLLINGWOOD STREET AT WESTERN END OF HARVEY MILK PLAZA TO THE CAFÉ ON MARKET STREET.


## -REINFORCING THE "GREEN EMBRACE"

- ADD BUFFER PLANTINGS AT STREET EDGE WITH SCULPTURAL BENCHES ON SIDEWALK SIDE.
- EXPAND ROW OF MARKET STREET TREES.
- ADD PEDESTRIAN SCALED LIGHTING IN BETWEEN STREET TREES FOR SECURITY AND ATMOSPHERE.
- PRESERVE RAINBOW WALK OF HONOR. EXPAND IN HIGHER DENSITY RAINBOW WALK PLAQUES IN PLAZA AREA.
- EXPAND SIDEWALK TO JOIN WITH MUNI BOARDING PLATFORM TO HAVE ONE LARGE LEVEL PLAZA ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE STREETCAR TRACKS.
- IMPROVE TRANSIT SHELTER AND ACCESSIBLE PLATFORM. INCORPORATE FURNISHINGS STORAGE AND JANE WARNER PLAQUE IN MUNI SHELTER STRUCTURE.
- IF GAS STATION SITE IS DEVELOPED FOR HOUSING WITH GROUND FLOOR COMMERCIAL USES - SOME ASSUMPTIONS: - DRIVEWAYS ON MARKET STREET WOULD NOT BE ALLOWED, EXPANDING PUBLIC USE IN LINEAR PLAZA. AUTO ACCESS OFF 17TH STREET.
- GROUND FLOOR ACUTE ANGLE COULD BE DEDICATED TO PLAZA

USE AS POPOS (PRIVATELY OWNED PUBLIC OPEN SPACE) - WORK WITH DEVELOPER TO HAVE FURNISHING STORAGE LOCKER BUILT INTO STRUCTURE WITH ACCESS FROM 17TH STREET.

## Preliminary Concept Imagery : The Green Embrace



RADIATING METAL INSET PAVING DETAIL


CONTEMPORARY ILLUMINATED SCULPTURE "BEACON" AS SYMBOLIC TRIBUTE TO JANE WARNER.
 DROUGHT TOLERANT SIDEWALK PLANTING


POTTED PLANTS IN A VARIETY OF SIZES


MOVABLE POTTED TREES AT CASTRO STREET edge
 BULB-OUT EDGE

## JANE WARNER PLAZA
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## Preferred Concept : Green Embrace - Short Term Plan



## Preferred Concept : Green Embrace - Long Term Plan
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## CONCEPT NARRATIVE

## SHORT TERM PLAN

- EMPLOY PLANTING MATERIAL AS A BUFFER FROM BUSY CASTRO AND MARKET STREETS - WRAP PLAZA IN A GREEN EMBRACE LEAVING A CENTRAL FLEXIBLE PLAZA AREA. USE SIDEWALK PLANTINGS AND NEW STREET TREES.
-FOCAL ENTRY FEATURE TO UPPER MARKET - AN INSPIRATIONAL SCULPTURE IN ABSTRACTED FEMININE FORM IS ALIGNED WITH THE MAJOR PEDESTRIAN ROUTES IN THE PLAZA. THE SPIRIT OF JANE WARNER IS COMMEMORATED AND EXPANDED TO INCLUDE THE POWER OF ALL WOMEN. THIS IS EXPRESSED BY AN EVOCATIVE POEM SUCH AS "WE RISE" BY AMANDA GORMAN WRITTEN IN FULL TEXT WITHIN THE PAVING.
- REPRESENTATION OF UNDERREPRESENTED PEOPLE OF THE LGBTQIA+ COMMUNITYTHE PAVING MATERIAL REPEATS HARVEY MILK PLAZA BUT IS EMBEDDED WITH RAYS OF COLOR EMANATING FROM THE SCULPTURE. THE COLORS OF THESE RAYS REPRESENT THE DIVERSE FLAGS OF THE COMMUNITY. COLORS FROM ONE FLAG BLEND WITH ANOTHER FORMING AN INTERTWINED SYMBOL OF FAMILY.
- FLEXIBILITY IN SITE FURNISHINGS - INCLUDE CAFE TABLES AND CHAIRS. FURNISHINGS CAN BE MOVED FROM SUN TO SHADE, OR TO SUPPORT PROGRAMMED EVENTS. OTHER HEAVIER FURNISHINGS CAN BE MOVED, WHEN NECESSARY, WITH A FORKLIFT \& CAN BE INSTALLED OVER EMERGENCY STREETCAR TRACKS.
- UTILIZE EXISTING CURB BULB OUT AS EXTENSION OF LINEAR PLAZA FROM COLLINGWOOD STREET AT WESTERN END OF HARVEY MILK PLAZA TO THE CAFÉ ON MARKET STREET.
-ADD PEDESTRIAN SCALED LIGHTING IN BETWEEN STREET TREES FOR SECURITY AND ATMOSPHERE.
- PRESERVE RAINBOW WALK OF HONOR. EXPAND IN HIGHER DENSITY RAINBOW WALK PLAQUES IN PLAZA AREA.
- IMPROVE TRANSIT SHELTER AND ACCESSIBLE PLATFORM.


## LONG TERM PLAN

IF GAS STATION SITE IS DEVELOPED FOR HOUSING WITH GROUND FLOOR COMMERCIAL USES:

- DRIVEWAYS ON MARKET STREET WOULD NOT BE ALLOWED, EXPANDING PUBLIC USE IN LINEAR PLAZA. AUTO ACCESS WOULD BE OFF 17TH STREET.
- GROUND FLOOR ACUTE ANGLE COULD BE DEDICATED TO PLAZA USE AS PRIVATELY OWNED PUBLIC OPEN SPACE (POPOS).
- WORK WITH DEVELOPER TO HAVE FURNISHING STORAGE LOCKER BUILT INTO STRUCTURE WITH ACCESS FROM 17TH STREET.


## Concept Imagery: The Green Embrace



ANODIZED METAL INSET IN FLAG COLORS


CONTEMPORARY ILLUMINATED SCULPTURE "BEACON"


RADIATING TEXT



RAISED PLANTER W/ BUILT-IN SEATING AT BULB-OUT EDGE


RADIANT RED GRANITE PAVERS

MOVABLE POTTED TREES AT CASTRO STREET EDGE


UPGRADED PUBLIC TOILET


BOLLARD WITH CHAIN


PLANTER WITH CURVED EDGES


UPGRADED BOARDING PLATFORM
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Existing Street View at Castro Street \& 17th Street


Proposed Street View at Castro Street \& 17th Street
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## Short Term Plan Cost Estimate

"EXCLUSIONS: SEMTA OVERHEAD WIRE SYTTEM, FLINE TRACK WORK,



SFMTA



## Long Term Plan Cost Estimate




## 140

## Stakeholder Feedback

## From Stakeholder Meeting No. 2 - September 15, 2023

## Concept Input

- Green edges liked.
- Unsure about reading the poem.
- Lesbian flag colors - loves idea but will be unnoticeable.
- Sculpture should be prioritized.
- "Green Embrace" with South Bulb-Out favorable.
- Existing ambient lighting is strong, it will wash out any lighting we may propose in our design.
- Likes greenery at edges but could become a "bedroom."
- Open plan idea of "Love is Action" lacks safety.
- Hybrid between both alternatives wanted.
- Edge condition at Castro Street is preferred from "Green Embrace."
- Diverse representation should be by artists later, focus should be more on intent.
- Need more alternatives to represent underrepresented components of our community.
- Tie into Harvey Milk narrative, Jane Warner is controversial and like a more general women/femme idea.
- Likes sculpture with reference to all women (lesbians, queer women, trans women).
- Concern about repetition of 'beacon' competing with Harvey Milk Plaza and confusion between the two.


## Screening

- Potted trees at Castro Street edge is great for calming.
- Love for greenery.
- Greening at sidewalk edges is great but be mindful of tree placement and preserve views from windows.
- Tree placement can hide business frontage.
- Absence of a barrier/screen along Castro Street in "Love is Action."


## Circulation and Pedestrian Safety

- Safety needed for pedestrians going to boarding platform.
- Continue to consider mobility for folks with limited mobility.
- Concealed tracks are great as tracks are especially dangerous when wet.
- Rainbow Honor Walk plaques are slippery when wet at 400 block of Castro St.
- Need to consider concerns from businesses regarding widened south sidewalk such as unhoused people.
- Clear pedestrian traffic way needed at Castro.


## Bicycle Circulation

- Bike corral and bike parking amenity wanted.
- Need to establish cycling routes through plaza, there have been cyclist accidents. Cyclists come down the hill very fast, cyclists also come up Castro and go right on 17 th Street.
- More bicycle infrastructure wanted.


## Site Features

- Need for community flagpole, compliment large flagpole.
- Amenities at south side of 17 th Street is great and really needed/ forgotten.
- Concern about storage for nicer furnishings.
- Multiple flags are good.
- Need for storage unit or need to locate it as current storage unit is enough for folding tables and chairs.
- More community representation is needed with flagpoles.
- Leaning rails that face each other are wanted.
- People like having the boarding island set back.
- Is it possible to preserve the existing planters?
- Bollards are not safe enough and do not provide wind or sound buffer.
- There should be a plaque describing Jane Warner.
- There should be lighting, bike parking or rates, leaning posts, trash/ recycling bins and a water fountain with a bottle refill station.
- Is there anything that can be done to break the wind?
- There is beauty and value already there that is worth saving.


## Maintenance

- Consideration for hand-watering plants.
- Wood is not good for anti-graffiti.
- Would the sidewalk amenities need to be maintained by businesses? What do the merchants want? We need their input.
- Concern with maintenance as there would be more to clean and there is no capacity for that.
- Widened sidewalks on Castro can lead to grading and drainage issues.
- Concern about access for grease pick up, Recology and deliveries with widened sidewalk at 17 th Street.
- Existing pavement at Jane Warner Plaza is awful. The cracks and holes present trip and fall hazards.
- Street should be resurfaced with something that can withstand spray washing.


## Neighborhood

- Gas station driveways and involvement of owner to be considered
- Gas station plan or lack of clarity is confusing and concerning distraction.
- South sidewalk extension is a big win, more seating and support for businesses is great and welcoming to customers.
- Work session with Harvey Milk Plaza team would be helpful.
- Likes design references to Harvey Milk Plaza but closer coordination wanted.
- Twin Peaks is a legacy attraction.
- Developer impact fees as potential funding for Jane Warner Plaza and Harvey Milk Plaza.
- Meeting possibly at EVN 3rd Thursday of the month in evening at Holy Redeemer Church, room for 100+ people.


## Stakeholder Feedback

## From Meeting with Business Owners - December 12, 2023

## Concept/Site Features Input

- Twin Peaks would like open views through planters.
- Planter walls could be thin to discourage people from sleeping on them.
- Bollards with raised planter is preferred at "thumbnail", perhaps a low wall for safety/security like Harvey Milk Plaza.
- Bollards w/ chain will need MTA review.
- Sculpture can identify the gateway.
- Can the modern kiosk on Market Street store movable furnishings?
- Planting at the edges and continuing pavers from Harvey Milk Plaza is great.
- Instead of having a statue, could it be a stage that can fold up when not in use?
- A space that is flexible and safe is needed.
- MUNI OCS pole is on gas station property planting area, walls must be low at 2'-3' in height.


## Business Operations

- Recology bins go on sidewalk for pick up, truck parks on Castro Street.
- Access for building maintenance trucks needed
- Grease collection truck access needed in front of Orphan Andy's and Cafe de Casa, truck needs to park directly in front, takes about 20 minutes in early AM.
- Buildings need flue cleaning every 3 months.
- Mint Plaza in SF could be a precedent study with similar operations.
- Legal loading zones needed from businesses as all deliveries come in from loading zones.
- Adjacent buildings' driveways get blocked by delivery vehicles and cause issues.
- Existing driveway on 17th Street is used by large gas trucks (12,000 gal. capacity).

Neighborhood

- Agrees that potential exists for the long term plan.
- Issue is not about homelessness, it is a transience issue.
- Concerns about neighborhood security, seeking to improve security and safety.
- This is an important part of the city, lots of tourists get off the $F$ line here, important for city image
- People use planter on Market St. as a bathroom. Sprinklers are broken, shrubs are too large and people hide in them and attack others. Open to replacing with trees.
- Lighting needed on 17th Street.
- Long term plan should keep existing pedestrian lights on Market and on north side of 17th Street.


## Maintenance

- Automatic irrigation system wanted as volunteers are hand-watering plants now.
- Site has existing water meter, who would pay for water?
- Question about who is owning/maintaining tables and chairs
- Can PUC maintain planters if they are stormwater planters?
- Maintenance and management of new space would need to be figured out, for example, sidewalk repair would be done by Public Works.

San Francisco
County Transportation
Authority
BD070924
RESOLUTION NO. 25-07

RESOLUTION APPROVING THE JANE WARNER PLAZA [NTP PLANNING] FINAL REPORT

WHEREAS, In December 2022, the Transportation Authority allocated \$100,000 in Prop K half-cent sales tax funds from the Neighborhood Transportation Program (NTP), for the Jane Warner Plaza planning project at the request of Chair Rafael Mandelman; and

WHEREAS, The Jane Warner Plaza planning project (Study) sought to coordinate with community stakeholders and other agencies, and analyze, propose, and develop conceptual schematics with a focus on improvements to pedestrian and bicycle safety in this busy multi-modal node; and

WHEREAS, San Francisco Public Works (SFPW) led the Study; and
WHEREAS, SFPW developed two concept alternatives in consultation with community stakeholders, the District 8 office, and the with the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA); and

WHEREAS, The final report includes analyses of the project area's multi-modal circulation patterns, and identifies site opportunities and constraints; and

WHEREAS, SFPW identified a short-term and long-term versions of a preferred concept to enhance the safety of people passing through the plaza and to improve the longevity and appearance of plaza features; and

WHEREAS, The final report identifies cost estimates for the short-term and long-term conceptual plans to help advance recommendations towards implementation, including identifying funds for further planning and project development; and

WHEREAS, The CAC was briefed on the final report at its June 26, 2024 meeting and unanimously adopted a motion of support for its adoption; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Transportation Authority hereby adopts the attached

Jane Warner Plaza Final Report.

Attachments:

1. Jane Warner Plaza Final Report
[ this page intentionally left blank ]

## Memorandum

## AGENDA ITEM 12

DATE: June 27, 2024
TO: Transportation Authority Board
FROM: Anna LaForte - Deputy Director for Policy and Programming
SUBJECT: 7/9/2024 Board Meeting: Approve the Fiscal Year 2024/25 Transportation Fund for Clean Air Program of Projects

## RECOMMENDATION $\square$ Information $\boxtimes$ Action

Approve the Fiscal Year (FY) 2024/25 Transportation Fund for Clean Air (TFCA) Program of Projects including:

- Emergency Ride Home (\$91,775 to the Department of the Environment (SFE))
- Short-Term Bike Parking (\$506,004 to the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA))
- Paratransit Electrification ( $\$ 45,000$ to the SFMTA)
- Program Administration ( $\$ 47,445$ to the Transportation Authority)


## SUMMARY

As the San Francisco TFCA 40\% Program administrator, the Transportation Authority annually develops the Program of Projects for San Francisco's share of TFCA funds. Revenues come from a portion of a $\$ 4$ vehicle registration fee in the Bay Area and are used for projects that reduce motor vehicle emissions. After netting out $6.25 \%$, or $\$ 47,445$, for program administration, as allowed by the Air District, the estimated amount available to program to projects is $\$ 642,779$. Following Board approval of Local Expenditure Criteria (Attachment 1) in February, we issued a call for projects on March 8. We received three project applications by the April 19 deadline, requesting $\$ 642,779$ in TFCA funds which matches the available funds. After verifying project eligibility, we prioritized the projects using the Local Expenditure Criteria. As shown in Attachment 2, we recommend fully funding the three projects in the amounts requested, which uses up all of the available project funds. We anticipate that funds will be available for expenditure in September 2024 following execution of required agreements with the Bay Area Air Quality Management District and with project sponsors.
Fund Allocation区 Fund Programming $\square$ Policy/Legislation $\square$ Plan/Study
Capital Project Oversight/Delivery

## Budget/Finance

Contract/Agreement
Other:

## BACKGROUND

The TFCA Program was established to fund the most cost-effective transportation projects that achieve emission reductions from motor vehicles in accordance with the Bay Area Air Quality Management District's (Air District's) Clean Air Plan. Funds are generated from a $\$ 4$ surcharge on the vehicle registration fee collected by the Department of Motor Vehicles in San Francisco. $40 \%$ of the funds are distributed on a return-to-source basis to Program Managers for each of the nine counties in the Air District. The Transportation Authority is the designated $40 \%$ Program administrator for the City and County of San Francisco. The remaining $60 \%$ of the revenues, referred to as the TFCA Regional Fund, are distributed to applicants from the nine Bay Area counties via programs administered by the Air District.

## DISCUSSION

Funds Available. As shown in the table below, the amount of available funds for the FY 2024/25 San Francisco 40\% Program is comprised of estimated FY 2024/25 TFCA revenues, reconciliation of prior year revenue estimates compared to actual revenue, interest income, de-obligated funds from completed prior year TFCA projects, and unspent prior year administrative funds, as shown in the table below.

| Estimated TFCA Funds Available for Projects <br> FY 2024/25 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated TFCA Revenues (FY 2024/25) | $\$ 708,500$ |  |  |  |
| Reconciliation of Prior Year Revenue Estimate and Actuals | $(\$ 27,324)$ |  |  |  |
| Interest Income | $\$ 983$ |  |  |  |
| De-obligated funds from projects completed under <br> budget: <br> $\bullet \quad$ Emergency Ride Home (FY 2021/22) (SFE) | $\$ 3,194$ |  |  |  |
| Reprogrammed Prior Year Administrative Funds |  |  |  |  |
| Total Funds |  |  |  | $\$ 690,224$ |
| Administrative Expense (6.25\%) | $(\$ 47,445)$ |  |  |  |
| Total Available for Projects |  |  | $\$ 642,779$ |  |

After netting out $6.25 \%$ for Transportation Authority program administration, as allowed by the Air District, the amount available for projects is $\$ 642,779$.

Prioritization Process. On March 8, 2024 we issued San Francisco's FY 2024/25 TFCA call for projects. We received three applications by the April 19, 2024 deadline for projects requesting the full $\$ 642,779$ in available TFCA funds.

We evaluated the TFCA project applications following the Board adopted prioritization process for developing the TFCA Program of Projects shown in Attachment 1. The first step involved screening projects to ensure eligibility according to the Air District's TFCA
guidelines. One of the most important aspects of this screening was ensuring a project's cost effectiveness (CE) ratio was calculated correctly and was low enough to be eligible for consideration. The Air District's CE ratio, described in detail in Attachment 1 , is designed to measure the cost effectiveness of a project in reducing air pollutant emissions and to encourage submittal of projects that leverage funds from non-TFCA sources. CE ratio limits are expressed in dollars per ton of emissions reduced and vary by project type. CE limits for FY 2024/25 for relevant project types are: Alternative Fuel Light- and Medium-Duty Vehicles \$500,000; Ridesharing Projects - Existing - \$150,000; and Bicycle Parking - \$250,000.

We performed our review of the CE ratio calculations in consultation with project sponsors. The focus was to ensure that the forms were completed correctly and that any assumptions other than default values had adequate justification.

We then prioritized projects that passed the eligibility screening using factors such as project type (e.g., first priority to zero emission projects), cost effectiveness, leveraging, program diversity, project delivery (i.e., readiness), benefits to Equity Priority Communities, investment from non-public project sponsors, community support, and other considerations (e.g., a sponsor's track record for delivering prior TFCA projects). Our prioritization process also considered carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions reduced by each project. CO2 emissions are estimated in the Air District's CE worksheets but were not a subject of the state legislation that created TFCA and are not a factor in the CE calculations.

Staff Recommendation. Attachment 2 shows the three candidate projects, listed in ranked order based on the scoring criteria and other information, including a brief project description, total project cost, and the amount of TFCA funds requested. Attachment 3 includes a Project Information Form for each project with additional detail on the proposed scope, schedule, cost, and funding plan, as well as proposed deliverables.

We recommend fully funding SFE's Emergency Ride Home program request for $\$ 91,775$ in FY 2024/25 funds, which is a similar level to the $\$ 96,252$ in TFCA funds awarded to the project in FY 2023/24. For this year's cycle, SFE proposes additional outreach in Equity Priority Communities, while continuing traditional means of promotion and partnerships with transit operators and other transportation demand management programs.

We recommend funding the SFMTA's Paratransit Electrification project at the maximum costeffective level of $\$ 45,000$ of TFCA funds, which we determined in consultation with SFMTA based on the anticipated annual mileage for a paratransit vehicle in San Francisco. This project will partially fund procurement of one electric paratransit van. In 2023, the Transportation Authority allocated Prop K funds to SFMTA to purchase the City's first electric paratransit vehicle. As of April 2024, SFMTA has tested and received a quote from a manufacturer for this vehicle and is working on issuing a purchase order. The intention of purchasing a second vehicle using TFCA funds is to compare a second manufacturer to the first vehicle manufacturer prior to wider adoption of an electric paratransit vehicle fleet.

Finally, we recommend funding the SFMTA's Short-Term Bike Parking request for \$506,004 in TFCA funds. This project would procure, site, and install 1,200 bike racks around San Francisco. The recommended TFCA funding will help reduce the potential need to fund bike parking using Prop L funds.

San Francisco<br>County Transportation<br>Authority
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Schedule for Fund Availability. We expect to enter into a master funding agreement with the Air District by July 2024 after which we will issue grant agreements for the recommended FY 2024/25 TFCA funds. Pending timely review and execution of the grant agreements by the Air District and project sponsors, we expect funds to be available for expenditure beginning in September 2024. Projects must commence by the end of 2025 and are expected to be completed within two years, unless otherwise specified, per Air District policy.

## FINANCIAL IMPACT

The estimated total budget for the recommended FY 2024/25 TFCA program is \$690,224.
This includes $\$ 642,779$ for the three proposed projects and $\$ 47,445$ for administrative expenses. Revenues and expenditures for the TFCA program are included in the Transportation Authority's adopted FY 2024/25 budget.

## CAC POSITION

The Community Advisory Committee considered this item at its June 26, 2024, meeting and unanimously adopted a motion of support for the staff recommendation.

## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

- Attachment 1 - FY 2024/25 TFCA Local Expenditure Criteria
- Attachment 2 - FY 2024/25 TFCA Program of Projects - Detailed Staff Recommendation
- Attachment 3 - Project Information Forms (3)
- Attachment 4 - Resolution


## Attachment 1

## Fiscal Year 2024/25 Transportation Fund for Clean Air (TFCA)

LOCAL EXPENDITURE CRITERIA

The following are the Fiscal Year 2024/25 Local Expenditure Criteria for San Francisco's TFCA 40 Percent Fund program.

## ELIGIBILITY SCREENING

In order for projects to be considered for funding, they must meet the eligibility requirements established by the Air District's TFCA 40 Percent Fund Policies for Fiscal Year Ending 2025. Consistent with the policies, a key factor in determining eligibility is a project's cost effectiveness (CE) ratio. The TFCA CE ratio is designed to measure the cost effectiveness of a project in reducing motor vehicle air pollutant emissions and to encourage projects that contribute funding from non-TFCA sources. TFCA funds budgeted for the project are divided by the project's estimated emissions reduction. The estimated reduction is the weighted sum of reactive organic gases (ROG), oxides of nitrogen (NOx), and particulate matter (PM) emissions that will be reduced over the effective life of the project, as defined by the Air District's guidelines.
TFCA CE is calculated by inputting information provided by the applicant into the Air District's CE worksheets. Transportation Authority staff will be available to assist project sponsors with these calculations and will work with Air District staff and the project sponsors as needed to verify reasonableness of input variables. The worksheets also calculate reductions in carbon dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ emissions, which are not included in the Air District's official CE calculations, but which the Transportation Authority considers in its project prioritization process.
Consistent with the Air District's Guidelines, in order to be eligible for Fiscal Year 2024/25 TFCA funds, a project must meet the CE ratio for emissions (i.e., ROG, NOx, and PM) reductions as specified in the guidelines for each project type. Projects that do not meet the appropriate CE threshold cannot be considered for funding.

## PROJECT PRIORITIZATION

Candidate projects that meet the cost effectiveness thresholds will be prioritized for funding based on the two-step process described below:
Step 1 - TFCA funds are programmed to eligible projects, as prioritized using the Transportation Authority Board-adopted Local Priorities (see next page).

Step 2 - If there are TFCA funds left unprogrammed after Step 1, the Transportation Authority will work with project sponsors to develop additional TFCA candidate projects. This may include refinement of projects that were submitted for Step 1, but were not deemed eligible, as well as new projects. This approach is in response to an Air District policy that does not allow administering agencies to rollover any unprogrammed funds to the next year's funding cycle. If Fiscal Year 2024/25 funds are not programmed within 6 months of the Air District's approval of San Francisco's funding allocation, expected in May 2024, funds can be redirected (potentially to non-San Francisco projects) at the Air District's discretion. New candidate projects must meet all TFCA eligibility requirements and will be prioritized based on the Transportation Authority Board's adopted Local Priorities.

## Local Priorities

The Transportation Authority's Local Priorities for prioritizing TFCA funds include the following factors:

1. Project Type - In order of priority:
1) Zero emissions non-vehicle projects including, but not limited to, bicycle and pedestrian facility improvements, transit priority projects, traffic calming projects, and transportation demand management projects;
2) Shuttle services that reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT);
3) Alternative fuel vehicles and alternative fuel infrastructure; and
4) Any other eligible project.
2. Cost Effectiveness of Emissions Reduced- Priority will be given to projects that achieve high CE (i.e., a low cost per ton of emissions reduced) compared to other applicant projects. The Air District's CE worksheet predicts the amount of reductions each project will achieve in ROG, $\mathrm{NOx}, \mathrm{PM}$, and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions. However, the Air District's calculation only includes the reductions in ROG, NOx and PM per TFCA dollar spent on the project. The Transportation Authority will also give priority to projects that achieve high CE for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emission reductions based on data available from the Air District's CE worksheets. The reduction of transportation-related $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions is consistent with the City and County of San Francisco's 2021 Climate Action Plan.
3. Project Readiness - Priority will be given to projects that are ready to proceed and have a realistic implementation schedule, budget, and funding package. Projects that cannot realistically commence in calendar year 2025 or earlier (e.g., to order or accept delivery of vehicles or equipment, begin delivery of service, award a construction contract, start the first TFCA-funded phase of the project) and be completed within a two-year period will have lower priority. Project sponsors may be advised to resubmit these projects for a future TFCA programming cycle.
4. Community Support - Priority will be given to projects with demonstrated community support (e.g., recommended in a community-based transportation plan, outreach conducted to identify locations and/or interested neighborhoods, or a letter of recommendation provided by the district Supervisor or a community-based organization).
5. Benefits Equity Priority Communities - Priority will be given to projects that directly benefit Equity Priority Communities, whether the project is directly located in an Equity Priority Community (see map in Attachment 3) or can demonstrate benefits to disadvantaged populations.
6. Investment from Non-Public Project Sponsors or Partners - Non-public entities may apply for and directly receive TFCA grants for alternative-fuel vehicle and infrastructure projects and may partner with public agency applicants for any other project type. For projects where a non-public entity is the applicant or partner, priority will be given to projects that include an investment from the non-public entity that is commensurate with the TFCA funds requested.
7. Project Delivery Track Record - Projects that are ranked high in accordance with the above local expenditure criteria may be lowered in priority or restricted from receiving TFCA funds if either of the following conditions applies or has applied during the previous two fiscal years:

- Monitoring and Reporting - Project sponsor has failed to fulfill monitoring and reporting requirements for any previously funded TFCA project.
- Implementation of Prior Project(s) - Project sponsor has a signed Funding Agreement for a TFCA project that has not shown sufficient progress; the project sponsor has not implemented the project by the project completion date without formally receiving a time extension from the Transportation Authority; or the project sponsor has violated the terms of the funding agreement.

8. Program Diversity - Promotion of innovative TFCA projects in San Francisco has resulted in increased visibility for the program and offered a good testing ground for new approaches to reducing motor vehicle emissions. Using the project type criteria established above, the Transportation Authority will continue to develop an annual program that contains a diversity of project types and approaches and serves multiple constituencies. The Transportation Authority believes that this diversity contributes significantly to public acceptance of and support for the TFCA program.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority
Fiscal Year 2024/2025 TFCA Program of Projects - Detailed Staff Recommendation

${ }^{1}$ Sponsor acronyms include San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), San Francisco Department of the Environment (SFE).
${ }^{2}$ Priority based on project type is established in the Local Expenditure Criteria, with zero-emissions non-vehicle projects as the highest priority, followed by shuttle services, followed in turn by alternative fuel vehicle projects, and finally any other eligible project.
${ }^{3}$ The TFCA cost effectiveness ratio (CE) is designed to measure the cost effectiveness of a project in reducing motor vehicle air pollutant emissions and to encourage projects that contribute funding from non-TFCA sources. For 2024/25 the CE limits, in dollars per ton of emissions reduced, for relevant project types are: Bike Parking - \$250,000, Ridesharing Projects - Existing $\$ 150,000$, Alternative Fuel Light and Medium Duty Vehicles - $\$ 500,000$
${ }^{4} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ Reduction is based on tons of carbon dioxide reduced over the lifetime of the project. This figure is calculated in the cost effectiveness worksheet.

| Project Name: | Emergency Ride Home |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Implementing Agency: | San Francisco Environment Department |  |  |
| Project Location: | San Francisco |  |  |
| Supervisorial District(s): | San Francisco (all) | TFCA Proj. Number: | SFCTA assigns |
| Project Manager: | Sebastien Garbe |  |  |
| Contact Information Email: | sebastien.garbe@sfgov.org | Phone: 1 (415) 3 | -3702 |
| Partner Agencies (incl. staff contact): | N/A |  |  |
| Brief Project Description (50 words max): | The Emergency Ride Home (ERH) program furthers San Francisco's Transit First Policy by incentivizing commuters' usage of sustainable commute modes via a subsidized ride home in the event of a personal emergency. |  |  |
| Type of Environmental Clearance: |  |  |  |

## DETAILED SCOPE:

Please submit Detailed Scope as a separate Word document.
Guidance: Describe project location, purpose, and need, including target population of the project; describe how outcomes of the project will be evaluated. Attach maps, drawings, photos of current conditions, etc. to support understanding of the project scope.

## Project Type Specific Guidance:

-For First- and Last-Mile Connections, indicate the hours of operation, frequency of service, and transit station and employment sites/area served to ensure compliance with Air District policies.
-For heavy-duty vehicle projects, provide the relevant CARB Executive Orders.
-For smart growth projects, provide title and approval date of the originating plan.

## PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Describe benefits to Equity Priority Communities or disadvantaged populations.

Equity Priority Communities are a key target audience in the outreach and community engagement scope of the upcoming grant cycle. During this grant cycle, the program will focus in particular on Spanish and Cantonese speakers, parents and guardians, and affordable housing residents in these communities. They will not only benefit from heightened, tailored promotion about the program's offering of a guaranteed ride home in case of emergency, but also be invited to provide direct feedback with the goal of making the program more accessible and relevant to historically underserved communities.
Demonstrate community support (e.g. cite a community-based transportation plan, outreach conducted to identify locations and/or interested neighborhoods, or attach a letter of recommendation provided by the district Supervisor or a community-based organization).
Emergency Ride Home is an ongoing program critical to supporting San Francisco in reaching its sustainable transportation goals through a reduction in vehicle miles traveled. The program is listed as a key implementation strategy in the San Francisco Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Plan. commitment by private applicant or partner.

N/A

NOTE: Cost-effectiveness worksheets are required for all project types, available at sfcta.org/tfca.

# San Francisco Emergency Ride Home Program Scope <br> Transportation Fund for Clean Air - Call for Projects San Francisco Environment Department 

## Project Summary

The Emergency Ride Home (ERH) program advances San Francisco's Transit First Policy and helps the City meet its goal of reducing greenhouse gas emissions $80 \%$ below 1990 levels by 2040. It encourages commuters' use of sustainable commute modes by providing a subsidized ride home in the event of a personal emergency. Overall, ERH is a cost-effective program that motivates commuters to walk, bike, take transit, carpool, or vanpool to work instead of driving alone.

Administered by the San Francisco Environment Department (SFE) for over a decade and listed as a key implementation strategy in the San Francisco Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Plan, ERH is available to anyone who commutes to a workplace in San Francisco.

During this past grant cycle, SFE created new promotional materials that targeted parents and guardians of San Francisco Unified School District (SFUSD) students who might get sick at school and need to be picked up during the workday. Promotional materials include memorable and sturdy business cards reminding parents/guardians that they can get reimbursed up to $\$ 150$ for a taxi ride from work to their child's school and then home if they commuted via low-carbon modes that day. The business card is easy for parents/guardians to slip into a purse or wallet to remind them that ERH is an available resource in times of need. This is supported by an informative trifold with more details about the program at neighborhood schools. Both pieces of collateral direct viewers to an ERH landing webpage that is tailored to parents/guardians picking up a sick child from school.

For the next iteration of ERH, building in part on feedback from the San Francisco County Transportation Authority Community Advisory Committee during the last grant cycle, SFE will focus on delivering a more on-the-ground approach to further engage equity priority communities, build trust and community buy-in, and identify program improvements in alignment with the next iteration of the SF TDM Plan. This will be achieved by developing and furthering new and existing partnerships with trusted stakeholders already embedded or invested in the communities we are seeking to engage. This includes affordable housing organizations (e.g., Chinatown Community Development Center, One Treasure Island, etc.), community resource providers/coordinators (e.g., BVHP Foundation, B Magic, etc.), SFUSD schools and PTAs, equity-focused bike programs (e.g., the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's Electrify My Ride Program, SFE's Bike Fix-it Clinics, etc.), local bike shops, and SFE's Environment Now outreach team.

SFE will leverage these partnerships to both promote the program via tabling and distributing marketing collateral via established channels as well as gather feedback on
program design and promotion (including marketing collateral and channels, website and program guides, the reimbursement form and process, etc.) by hosting community workshops. Community workshops will be organized in equity priority neighborhoods in partnership with community-based organizations (CBOs), PTAs, libraries, and/or affordable housing complexes to provide an interactive overview of the existing ERH program where participants will learn how to submit reimbursement requests; review current program parameters, informational materials, and processes; and provide feedback on program strengths and weaknesses and how best to promote the program. These learnings will allow us to tailor the ERH program to best serve priority communities, more effectively conduct outreach, and identify any blind spots ERH may have in the current program design.

SF Environment is requesting \$91,755 and will allocate \$10,000 to conduct up to two ERH community workshops in partnership with a CBO embedded in Equity Priority Communities. These workshops will be held in-language with interpreters on hand at community centers, schools, libraries, affordable housing complexes, or a combination of the above. SFE will provide workshop participants food and refreshments in addition to a stipend payment as an acknowledgement of their time and contribution to program improvement. Working with the CBO, SFE will incorporate the feedback and/or propose suggested changes as part of the next grant cycle, adjusting program parameters as well as ongoing and future outreach strategies. Outreach efforts will target SFCTA-identified Equity Priority Communities.

For this new grant cycle, SFE will develop new, ongoing promotion channels for ERH by forging new and furthering existing partnerships with fellow City Departments, such as the San Francisco Public Library, San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), SFUSD, and SFPUC. This will include collaborating with SFMTA's bike share and Travel Choices programs to cross promote ERH, as well as attending Bike Fix-lt Clinics hosted at libraries, school staff and faculty trainings, Electrify My Ride e-bike test ride events, and more. SFE will also continue to partner with regional TDM partners including BART and the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, as well as local bike shops to cross-promote ERH. All these outreach partnerships have proven to be cost-effective avenues for reaching commuters.

Additional costs under both scenarios are allocated to:

- $\$ 52,755$ for program administration and SFE staffing for outreach, customer service, reimbursement processing, and workshop coordination;
- $\$ 4,000$ for translation and interpretation services;
- $\$ 15,000$ for an on-call marketing consultant to adjust and re-run existing collateral;
- $\$ 5,500$ for varying materials and technology support costs such as printing collateral $(\sim \$ 3,000)$, reimbursement form management software licensing fees $(\sim \$ 2,000)$, and contingency for additional reimbursement requests ( $\sim 500$ );
- $\$ 4,500$ for program reimbursements.

Please see the attached budget included in the TFCA Project Info Form for more detail on funding allocation.

## Associated Tasks and Project Deliverables

For budget details associated with each task below, please refer to the budget outlined in the TFCA Project Info Form.

## Task 1 - TFCA Administration: Program Evaluation and Reporting (Ongoing)

SFE staff will evaluate and report on the effectiveness of the program. It will use reimbursement data and website traffic metrics to track the number of program participants and level of awareness. With each reimbursement request, participants will be asked questions regarding program usage and typical commute modes, among others. SFE will also track engagement numbers across different outreach events, attendance at workshops and presentations, and relevant campaign metrics. All data will be provided in quarterly and annual reports to SFCTA.

## Task 2 - Program Management (Ongoing).

SFE staff will administer the reimbursement process, including verifying that reimbursement requests meet reimbursement criteria. Reimbursement payments will be made via check mailed to approved participants. SFE staff will provide customer service to program participants to manage any issues, questions, or concerns that may arise.

## Task 3-Outreach \& Engagement

The program will build on activities completed in the FYs 2022-24 grant cycles. Key outreach audiences will include, but not be limited to:

- Chinese and Spanish speaking parents and caregivers of SFUSD students and children in daycare
- Parents and caregivers of SFUSD students and children in daycare in Equity Priority Communities
- SFUSD teachers and administrators (e.g., integrating with existing annual staff-wide training sessions in July and August to educate teachers and administrators on how to request ERH reimbursements as well as encourage them to mention it to parents when notifying them of a sick child who needs to be picked up from school)
- Local community-based organizations that can support outreach to people who live and work in priority communities
- Spanish and Chinese speaking communities (Both monolingual and those with limited English proficiency)
- Businesses, specifically small- to medium-sized organizations reachable through partnerships with the Office of Workforce Development and the San Francisco Green Business program (also administered by SF Environment)
- Large organizations, employers, and institutions participating in the Clipper BayPass Pilot Program
- Local Bike Shops, primarily in Equity Priority Communities
- Affordable Housing Residents
- City and County of San Francisco employees
- Community-Based Organizations serving families in under-resourced communities.

Ongoing Marketing \& Outreach (Ongoing): SFE will continue to promote ERH through its existing marketing and outreach channels, such as on SFEnvironment.org, social media channels, public facing tabling and outreach events, and commuter benefits presentations to CCSF employees. This will include working closely with SFUSD to package SFE resources for faculty and staff and integrate with annual SFUSD-wide trainings to educate staff on how to use and integrate with annual SFUSD-wide trainings to educate staff on how to use and share ERH with parents and caretakers. SFE will also continue to collaborate with City partners, businesses, and community partners for cross-promotion via digital channels and at relevant events and programs.

SFE can focus its outreach efforts on low-income drivers by targeting EPCs with higher VMT per person. In the current grant cycle's school outreach campaign, the program is, for example, including schools in Bayview-Hunters Point - where (as of 2020) vehicle ownership is nearly double the rate of San Francisco and over $50 \%$ of residents drive to work. SFE will also target affordable housing organizations that SFE has already identified as having garages for their tenants, such as certain Mercy Housing and Chinatown Community Development Center locations. We look forward to seeing the results later this year of SFCTA's Transportation Demand Management Market Analysis in tandem with MTC's travel diary survey to further inform our outreach efforts, particularly post-covid.

SFE continues to leverage its Green Business Program and send ERH program information to employers registering compliance with the SF Commuter Benefits Ordinance to reach small business owners and ensure that they make ERH program information available to their employees as part of the offered benefits. Going forward, SFE will also target drivers with longer commutes by coordinating and partnering with the other 8 guaranteed ride home programs across the Bay Area. This will allow SFE to better target drivers who commute across county lines regardless of where they live. This can be done by starting to link out to the other programs from the SFE ERH website and work with regional partners to have the reverse be done, too, so that outreach in all 9 Bay Area counties can be better coordinated. SFE is also planning to work with MTC's 511.org to target large employers with worksites in SF and include ERH and other guaranteed ride home programs in the employer guides on Commuter Benefits that 511.org develops and updates every year.

SFE has begun discussions with SFMTA on promoting existing mode shift incentives alongside ERH such as Discounted Muni Passes and Bay Wheels discount memberships that are already available to low-moderate income households. The ERH program historically has been one part of a larger ecosystem of San Francisco Transportation Demand Management strategies, and SFE has worked to promote ERH in tandem with other existing incentives and mode shift programs, such as Clipper BayPass, SFPUC's Electrify My Ride low-income e-bike incentive program, and the pre-tax Commuter Benefits program for CCSF employees.

Community Workshops: SFE will use the $\$ 10,000$ to partner with a CBO on the design, promotion, implementation, and analysis of the ERH Community Workshops. This will include funds for participant stipends that may vary from \$25-100 per person depending on the type of community member (e.g., providing additional compensation for the expertise of community leaders) and/or level of feedback requested. The proposed budget allocations also account for
food, venue rental costs, and CBO staff time for selecting and coordinating with host sites and community groups such as PTAs, affordable housing complexes, community centers, and more. SFE determined that hosting community workshops would be the most effective means of reaching underserved community members in Equity Priority Communities. Hosting these workshops would enable the program to identify community leaders and trusted partners in the communities to help relay program information through more consistent, regular, and established channels that community members already engage with on a day-to-day basis. Currently, SFE relies on a mix of broad tabling and targeted, multi-layer ad campaigns to reach target populations and help drive mode shift. SFE consistently receives very positive feedback surrounding the program when tabling, and most people that SFE staff engage with have never heard of the program before and are surprised that it has been around for such a long time. Event attendees will often ask to take more collateral so that they can share with their own friends, family, and/or colleagues. These community workshops present an opportunity to engage more intentionally with EPCs, uncover ways to expand the base of people who use ERH and other mode shift programs beyond those reached by broad tabling or digital marketing, build trust with communities that often distrust government services and may be targets of online scams, and activate these untapped social networks to set up longer-lasting relationships with various communities that stand to benefit from the program.

SFE will partner with trusted organizations and institutions such as school PTAs, affordable housing organizations, community-based organizations, and faith-based institutions to help promote these workshops and drive attendance. SFE's goal would be to identify convenient meeting locations and/or coordinate with these trusted partners to be part of the agenda at existing events. The topics covered at these meetings will thus vary from venue to venue, but the focus of the workshops will be Emergency Ride Home and understanding the community's commuting patterns and needs to better support and increase trips using sustainable modes of transportation. SFE will segment feedback from workshop attendees who regularly drive versus those who already commute sustainably, to be able to better tailor messaging and understand what barriers present the greatest challenges for drivers in these communities to decide to commute sustainably. For example, SFE is about to host a community event centered on EV charging in Bayview at the True Hope Church focused on gathering community input on where an EV charging plaza will be located. Leaders at faith based institutions like these have shown interest in hosting feedback sessions with their congregation. An ERH workshop could occur after a regular service to engage with a large number of attendees and make it easier for community members to attend. Similarly, hosting a workshop as part of a regular PTA meeting at a school or an affordable housing complex's recurring tenant meeting will help reduce barriers to participation for parents and low-income community members who often have many obligations and responsibilities to attend to.

On-call marketing consultant to adjust and re-run existing collateral: Funds requested include $\$ 15,000$ for a contract with marketing consultants to cover costs of adjusting current collateral to incorporate feedback from community workshops as well as ongoing translation updates, web support for the ERH landing page, and re-running media campaigns developed and evaluated in previous grant cycles on cost-effective channels.

Translation \& Interpretation: \$4,000 are allocated to cover the costs of translating promotional and workshop materials such as flyers, presentations, and surveys, as well as interpretation services for conducting in-language workshops in Spanish, Chinese (Cantonese/Mandarin), and Filipino depending on the needs of the selected communities.

## Partnerships and Coordination with Other Transportation Demand Management Efforts:

Currently, SFE promotes ERH at CCSF New Employee Orientations and broad SFE tabling events and provides ERH information to employers that submit Commuter Benefits Ordinance compliance forms, businesses in the Green Business program, and participants in SFE's e-bike delivery pilot program. SFE is also planning to coordinate with department specific orientations for departments that prefer to do their own onboarding, as well as incorporate ERH information into a broader Commute Hub powered by Luum that SFE will be launching later this year. The Commute Hub will be available to all CCSF employees and presents a more user-friendly experience where commuters can view all the different commuter benefits and transportation modes available to them, match with existing or start their own carpools and vanpools, log daily commutes, and engage in commute gamification such as rewards, commute bonuses, and leadership boards.

Outside of existing integration with Commuter Check outreach, SFE has completed or is working on the following coordination and partnership efforts with other transportation demand management efforts and transit operators:

- In January 2024, as part of a broader ERH marketing campaign targeting parents of 6 schools in Bayview-Hunter Point and Chinatown, SFE partnered with SFMTA to launch ERH Muni bus ads.
- In March 2024, SFE partnered with the Clipper BayPass program to include ERH materials in onboarding materials for employers signed up for BayPass in SF. SFE also began including ERH information when mailing Clipper Cards to CCSF employees who request them.
- In April 2024, SFE reached out to the SFMTA Bikeshare program to find opportunities to copromote BayWheels and ERH. SFE also coordinated with SFPUC's Electrify My Ride program to include ERH materials alongside e-bike coupon info at an e-bike demo to encourage folks to switch to commuting via e-bike.
- SFE will also be promoting ERH at the upcoming Bike Fix-lt Clinics hosted at the SF Public Libraries in May, June, and August.
- SFE is planning to reach out to BART to coordinate cross promotion during the remainder of the grant term through November.

Relatedly, in May 2024, SFE connected with the Public Health Emergency Preparedness and Response team at the San Francisco Department of Public Health to promote ERH alongside their emergency-related materials at their upcoming Health Fair in Chinatown. SFE is also planning to work with the San Francisco Unified School District to integrate ERH as part of their annual staff training this summer.

## Program Deliverables:

- Task 1: Quarterly and annual reports submitted to SFCTA
- Task 2: Reimbursement processing and customer service support
- Task 3: Ongoing outreach and engagement; Community engagement and feedback outcomes report

High-level Project Schedule and Delivery Milestones:

| Phase | Description | Start | End |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Task 1 - TFCA Administration: <br> Program Evaluation and Reporting | January 2025 | March 2026 |
| 2 | Task 2 - Program Management | November 2024 | November 2025 |
| 3 | Task 3 - Outreach and Engagement | November 2024 | November 2025 |

Project Name: Emergency Ride Home

| SCHEDULE | Status | Start Date |  | End Date |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase/Milestone | \% Complete as of 4/19/24 | Month | Calendar Year | Month | Calendar Year |
| Planning/Conceptual Engineering |  |  |  |  |  |
| Environmental Studies (PA\&ED) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design Engineering (PS\&E) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right-of-Way |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advertise Construction |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start Construction or <br> Procurement (e.g. award contract) | 0\% | November | 2024 | November | 2025 |
| Open for Use | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| Final Report Due Date (Project completion): | SFCTA will assign (default is 3 months after completion, including evaluation |  |  |  |  |

PROJECT COST ESTIMATE $\quad$ Funding Source by Phase

| Phase | Cost | TFCA | Non-Public Funds | Other | Source of Cost Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Planning/Conceptual Engineering | \$0 |  |  |  |  |
| Environmental Studies (PA\&ED) | \$0 |  |  |  |  |
| Design Engineering (PS\&E) | \$0 |  |  |  |  |
| Right-of-Way | \$0 |  |  |  |  |
| Construction | \$91,755 | \$91,755 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL COST | \$91,755 | \$91,755 | \$0 | \$0 |  |

TFCA EXPENDITURES BY FISCAL YEAR (CASH FLOW)

| All Phases | $\mathbf{2 4 / 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 / 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 / 2 7}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| TFCA | $\$ 91,755$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 91,755$ |

FUNDING PLAN

| Funding Source and Status | Planned | Programmed | Allocated | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TFCA | $\$ 91,755$ |  |  | $\$ 91,755$ |
| Specify Source of Other Funds |  |  |  | $\$ 0$ |
| Specify Source of Non-Public <br> Funds (if applicable) |  |  |  | $\$ 0$ |
| TOTAL FUNDING | $\$ 91,755$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 91,755$ |

# Emergency Ride Home <br> SF Environment - FY 2024-2025 TFCA Budget 

November 2024-November 2025

|  | Task 1 <br> TFCA <br> Admin | Task 2 <br> Program <br> Mgmt | Task 3 <br>  <br> Engagement | Totals |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Labor/salary | $\$$ | 1,233 | $\$$ | 4,549 | $\$$ |


| ERH Reimbursements |  | $\$$ | 4,500 |  |  | $\$$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Materials \& Technology Support |  | $\$, 500$ |  |  |  |  |
| Community Workshops (CBO partnerships, |  | 2,500 | $\$$ | 3,000 | $\$$ | 5,500 |
| incentives, food, space rental) |  |  |  | $\$$ | 10,000 | $\$$ |
| Adjust/Update Campaign Collateral |  |  | 10,000 |  |  |  |
| (contractor, re-run) |  |  |  | $\$$ | 15,000 | $\$$ |
| Translation \& Interpretation |  |  | 15,000 |  |  |  |

Task 1 Task 2 Task 3
Grand Total

## Totals

\$ 2,985
\$ 18,008
\$ 70,762
\$ 91,755

Emergency Ride Home Labor Breakdown

|  | Task 1 TFCA <br> Admin |  | Task 2 Program <br> Management |  <br> Engagement | Totals |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


|  | 5644 | 5638 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Labor/salary hourly rate | $\$$ | 107.86 |
| Fringe rate | $\$$ | 66.83 |
| Overhead/indirect multiplier | $34.38 \%$ | $42.17 \%$ |


| Project Name: | Emergency Ride Home |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Sponsor Agency: | San Francisco Environment Department |  |
| TFCA Project <br> Number: | SFCTA assigns |  |

## TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY RECOMMENDATION

| Fiscal Year Cash <br> Flow Distribution: | Cash Flow for <br> TFCA Funds | Reimbursed <br> Annually | Balance |  |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| FY24/25 | $\$ 91,755$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| Total: | $\$ 91,755$ |  | $\$ 0$ |  |

$\square$

## Deliverables:

1. By January 15, April 15, July 15, and October 15 of each year, submit quarterly reports updating project progress and identifying any issues which may delay project implementation.
2. With the October 15 quarterly report, submit Interim Project Report Form.
3. By November 30, 2025, submit Final Report Form \#1 (Ridesharing), including evidence of TFCA and Transportation Authority attribution. Final report shall include BAAQMD required description of Monitoring Methodology.

## Special Conditions:

1. SFE will work with SFCTA staff to improve the effectiveness of the Emergency Ride Home program, including ensuring that recommendations from the Transportation Authority's Transportation Demand Management Market Analysis (underway) and future Transportation Demand Management Strateqic Plan are incorporated.
2. SFE will work with SFCTA staff explore coordinating with and leveraging of other transportation demand management efforts like BayPass and Commuter Check outreach, and will seek to strengthen partnerships with transit operators, such as BART and Muni, that can help promote Emergency Ride Home as a way to encourage more people to return to transit.

## Notes:

1. Deliverables shall be submitted through the Transportation Authority's online grants portal at https://portal.sfcta.org/.
2. All required forms are available at https://www.sfcta.org/funding/transportation-fund-clean-air\#panelsponsors
3. Per BAAQMD TFCA policy, project files must be maintained for a minimum of five years following completion of the Project Years of Effectiveness, which means five years following completion of the project and any additional period for which emissions benefits are counted in the cost effectiveness calculation for the project.

| Project Name: | Short-Term Bike Parking |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Implementing Agency: | SFMTA |  |  |
| Project Location: | City \& County of San Francisco |  |  |
| Supervisorial District(s): | Citywide | TFCA Proj. Number: | SFCTA assigns |
| Project Manager: | Jason Hyde |  |  |
| Contact Information Email: | jason.hyde@sfmta.com | Phone: 415.646 .2434 |  |
| Partner Agencies (incl. staff contact): |  |  |  |
| Brief Project Description (50 words max): | SFMTA will use $\$ 506,004$ in TFCA 40\% Program funds to plan, coordinate, purchase, and install 1,200 bicycle parking racks in San Francisco, providing an additional 2,400 bicycle parking spaces. Bicycle parking spaces will provide end-of-trip facilities for new bicycle and scooter trips, thereby replacing vehicle trips and reducing motor vehicle emissions. |  |  |
| Type of Environmental Clearance: |  |  |  |

## DETAILED SCOPE:

## Please submit Detailed Scope as a separate Word document.

Guidance: Describe project location, purpose, and need, including target population of the project; describe how outcomes of the project will be evaluated. Attach maps, drawings, photos of current conditions, etc. to support understanding of the project scope.

## PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Describe benefits to Equity Priority Communities or disadvantaged populations.

In San Francisco over the last five years, approximately a third of bike racks installed citywide were located in Equity Priority Communities. SFMTA staff will continue to review requests as they come in to confirm we are filling this need as well as proactively identify corridors in Equity Priority Communities using the existing San Francisco GIS inventory, where there is a lack of bike parking.
Demonstrate community support (e.g. cite a community-based transportation plan, outreach conducted to identify locations and/or interested neighborhoods, or attach a letter of recommendation provided by the district Supervisor or a community-based organization).

The SFMTA installs racks for short-term bike parking in the public rights-of-way by request through the SFMTA website (https://www.sfmta.com/getting-around/bike/bike-parking/request-bike-rack), email, and 311. The SFMTA receives new bike rack requests each month. Additionally we identify corridors where more parking is needed plus work with city project managers through public outreach process to identify and then install bike parking with streetscape projects and street improvement projects

Describe investment from non-public project sponsors or partners (if applicable) including evidence of commitment by private applicant or partner.

## ATTACHMENT 3

## Short Term Bike Parking: Detailed Scope

## The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) requests \$506,004 in FY24/25 Transportation Fund for Clean Air County Program Manager (TFCA PM) Funds to provide 1,200 bicycle racks to create 2,400 bicycle parking spaces throughout San Francisco.

Providing 2,400 additional bicycle parking spaces in San Francisco means that more people will be encouraged to bicycle to their destinations, knowing they will have a secure place to lock their bikes. This will increase the number of bicycle trips to city businesses, transit stops, and other destinations, which will shift trips away from motor vehicles, reduce emissions, and help achieve the San Francisco Board of Supervisors' goal of a 20\% bicycle mode share. Improving bicycle parking in San Francisco is a strategy highlighted in the 2009 San Francisco Bicycle Plan, the 2013-2018 San Francisco Bicycle Plan, and the Transportation Element of the San Francisco General Plan; it is also an element of the Biking and Rolling Plan which is currently under development.

The SFMTA maintains a list of public requests for short-term bicycle parking locations. The SFMTA currently receives 40-60 new bike rack requests each month via email, the SFMTA website, and SF311. These requests are for sites throughout the city, with the vast majority near San Francisco businesses, major trip generators, and along transit routes and/or near transit hubs. The SFMTA staff knows anecdotally and from experience that there is a latent demand for bicycle infrastructure in San Francisco; there are more people who would ride a bicycle if the proper facilities were available to support their trip.

Bicycle racks help meet this need by providing a secure parking location at trip destinations. To better serve businesses and people who bicycle throughout the city, the SFMTA has developed a proactive strategy for surveying and installing short-term bicycle parking. This citywide strategy focuses on commercial, retail and mixed-use corridors where a lack of secure bicycle parking exists (e.g., Valencia, Mission,17th, and Hayes streets), as well as Equity Priority Communities (EPCs), where the Agency targets installing 20\% of all racks. Approximately 28\% of racks have been installed in EPCs since 2021, while 27\% of San Franciscans live in an EPC. Because rack requests tend to cluster in certain areas of the city, the bike parking team uses proactive installations to help ensure racks are installed in an equitable way. Proactive installation locations come from a number of sources, including:

1) From Project Managers working on corridor projects in EPCs;
2) High-demand locations in EPCs as identified by the SFMTA's bikeshare/scootershare permittees;
3) High-demand locations in EPCs identified through MDS data from bikeshare/scootershare permittees and/or from other data sources such as bike counters; and
4) Through ongoing analysis of bike rack location data to identify and address gaps in bike rack coverage.

## ATTACHMENT 3

The bike parking team has also begun focusing some proactive installations in residential areas (especially adjacent to multi-unit buildings) where requests and installations have historically been less frequent, assuming placement guidelines such as minimum sidewalk widths and required clearances from street furniture are met. The SFMTA will continue to prioritize these types of installations in Equity Priority Communities to ensure equitable bike rack coverage across San Francisco. In addition to sidewalk locations, these funds may also be used for onstreet bicycle parking corrals. The SFMTA currently receives 2-4 new bicycle corral applications each year. The agency has also begun proactively installing corrals in portions of corner daylighting red zones along the bikeway network. Bicycle corrals consist of several bicycle racks placed in the parking lane of a roadway where demand for bike parking is higher than can be accommodated on the sidewalk. Eight to 12 bicycles can be parked in the space occupied by just one motor vehicle, making bike corrals an efficient use of public roadway space.

This application also includes a line item for bicycle rack procurement. In 2014, the SFMTA used $\$ 541,000$ in revenue bond funds to purchase 6,018 racks and the fasteners to install them. In 2022-2023, the agency procured an additional 750 racks using TFCA County Program Manager funds. The SFMTA has a diminishing supply of approximately three-feet tall by threefeet wide zinc-coated circular steel bicycle racks. These racks provide two points of contact between the rack and a bicycle, the bicycle parking industry standard for optimal bicycle parking. Part of these requested funds will go towards procurement of more racks.

Short-term bicycle parking is defined as simple bicycle rack fixtures to park at for two hours or less, per the 2015 Association of Pedestrian and Bicycle Professionals' Bicycle Parking Guidelines. Short-term bicycle parking enables linked trips to multiple destinations (e.g., a trip from home to the bank and to the grocery store.) Bicycle racks also provide a large quantity of bicycle storage inexpensively and are a cost-effective solution to support non-polluting transportation modes.

San Francisco
County Transportation Authority

Project Name: $\quad$ Short-Term Bike Parking

| SCHEDULE | Status | Start Date |  | End Date |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase/Milestone | \% Complete as of 4/19/24 | Month | Calendar Year | Month | Calendar Year |
| Planning/Conceptual Engineering | 0\% | March | 2025 | October | 2026 |
| Environmental Studies (PA\&ED) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design Engineering (PS\&E) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right-of-Way |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advertise Construction |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start Construction or Procurement (e.g. award contract) | 0\% | March | 2025 | October | 2026 |
| Open for Use | N/A | N/A | N/A | October | 2026 |
| Final Report Due Date (Project completion): | SFCTA will assign (default is 3 months after completion, including evaluation |  |  |  |  |

PROJECT COST ESTIMATE

| PROJECT COST ESTIMATE |
| :--- |
| Phase |
| Cost TFCA Non-Public <br> Funds Other Source of Cost <br> Estimate  <br> Planning/Conceptual Engineering $\$ 228,136$   $\$ 228,136$ based on past cycles <br> Environmental Studies (PA\&ED) $\$ 0$     <br> Design Engineering (PS\&E) $\$ 0$     <br> Construction $\$ 829,138$ $\$ 506,004$  $\$ 323,134$ based on past cycles <br>  $\$ \mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 5 7 , 2 7 4}$ $\mathbf{\$ 5 0 6 , 0 0 4}$ $\mathbf{\$ 0}$ $\mathbf{\$ 5 5 1 , 2 7 0}$  |

TFCA EXPENDITURES BY FISCAL YEAR (CASH FLOW)

| All Phases | $\mathbf{2 4 / 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 / 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 / 2 7}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFCA | $\$ 48,394$ | $\$ 306,294$ | $\$ 151,316$ | $\$ 506,004$ |

## FUNDING PLAN

| Funding Source and Status | Planned | Programmed | Allocated | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| TFCA | $\$ 506,004$ |  |  | $\$ 506,004$ |
| Scootershare Rack Fee* $^{*} \$ 551,270$ |  |  | $\$ 551,270$ |  |
| TOTAL FUNDING | $\$ 1,057,274$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,057,274$ |

[^4]
## SFMTA Short Term Bike Parking

Project Budget

| Planning Phase | Cost | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Planning Labor - Livable Streets | $\$ 228,136$ |  |
| SUBTOTAL - Planning Labor: | $\$ 228,136$ |  |


| Construction Phase | Cost |  | NOTES |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Construction Labor - Surveyer (Sign Shop) | $\$$ | 297,409 |  |
| Construction Labor - Shops | $\$$ | 246,349 |  |
| SUBTOTAL - Construction Labor: |  | $\$ 253,758$ |  |


| Procurement |  |  |  | NOTES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | NO. | UNIT COST | Cost | N | $\$ 855,380$ |
| In addition to inventory |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bike racks | 1000 | S285.38 | $\$$ | 285,380 |  |

SUBTOTAL all labor: \$ 771,894
SUBTOTAL procurement: \$ 285,380
Grand total: \$ 1,057,274


- Bike Rack Install Locations - 2021 - Present
- Existing Bike Racks


Equity Priority Communities \# racks installed in EPCs: 843
\% racks installed in EPCs: 28\%

Esri, NASA, NGA, USGS, California State Parks, Esri, HERE, Garmin SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/NASA, USGS, Bureau of Land

| Project Name: | Short-Term Bike Parking |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sponsor Agency: | SFMTA |
| TFCA Project |  |
| Number: | SFCTA assigns |

## TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY RECOMMENDATION

| Fiscal Year Cash <br> Flow Distribution: | Cash Flow for <br> TFCA Funds | \% Reimbursed <br> Annually |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| FY24/25 | $\$ 48,394$ | $10 \%$ | $\$ 457,610$ |  |
| FY25/26 | $\$ 306,294$ | $61 \%$ | $\$ 151,316$ |  |
| FY26/27 | $\$ 151,316$ | $30 \%$ |  |  |
| Total: | $\$ 506,004$ |  |  |  |

$\square$

## Deliverables:

1. By January 15, April 15, July 15, and October 15 of each year, submit quarterly reports updating project progress and identifying any issues which may delay project implementation.
2. With the October 15 quarterly report, submit Interim Project Report Form.
3. By October 31, 2026, submit Final Report Form \#3 (Bicycle Projects), including evidence of TFCA and Transportation Authority attribution. Final report shall include a list of rack locations and number of racks at each, as well as 2-3 photos of installed racks showing BAAQMD logo.

## Notes:

1. Deliverables shall be submitted through the Transportation Authority's online grants portal at https://portal.sfcta.org/.
2. All required forms are available at https://www.sfcta.org/funding/transportation-fund-clean-air\#panelsponsors

| Project Name: | Paratransit Electrification - Purchase One Electric Vehicle |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Implementing Agency: | San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency |  |  |
| Project Location: | San Francisco |  |  |
| Supervisorial District(s): | Citywide | TFCA Proj. Number: | SFCTA assigns |
| Project Manager: | Jonathan Cheng |  |  |
| Contact Information Email: | jonathan.cheng@sfmta.com | Phone: $4115-646-2760$ |  |
| Partner Agencies (incl. staff contact): |  |  |  |
| Brief Project Description (50 words max): | SFMTA would use TFCA funds to procure one EV paratransit van to replace a gas vehicle that is beyond its useful life. The new EV paratransit van will provide trips for people who qualify for ADA paratransit and will help SFMTA prepare for wider adoption of electric vehicles. |  |  |
| Type of Environmental Clearance: |  |  |  |

## DETAILED SCOPE:

Please submit Detailed Scope as a separate Word document.
Guidance: Describe project location, purpose, and need, including target population of the project; describe how outcomes of the project will be evaluated. Attach maps, drawings, photos of current conditions, etc. to support understanding of the project scope.

## Project Type Specific Guidance:

-For First and Last Mile Connections, indicate the hours of operation, frequency of service, and transit station and employment sites/area served to ensure compliance with Air District policies.
-For heavy-duty vehicle projects, provide the relevant CARB Executive Orders.
-For smart growth projects, provide title and approval date of the originating plan.

## PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Describe benefits to Equity Priority Communities or disadvantaged populations.

The new EV paratransit van will provide trips for people who qualify for ADA paratransit. Individuals participating in this program are unable to use the Muni system due their disabilities and rely on the Paratransit service to complete essential activities, such as attending medical appointments or going to grocery stores.
Demonstrate community support (e.g. cite a community-based transportation plan, outreach conducted to identify locations and/or interested neighborhoods, or attach a letter of recommendation provided by the district Supervisor or a community-based organization).
The San Francisco Climate Action Plan calls for electrificaiton of $25 \%$ of vehicles by 2030 and $100 \%$ by 2040.
Describe investment from non-public project sponsors or partners (if applicable) including evidence of commitment by private applicant or partner.
n/a
NOTE: Cost-effectiveness worksheets are required for all project types, available at sfcta.org/tfca.

# Paratransit Electrification 

## Scope of Work

## Transportation Fund for Clean Air

 SFMTAThe purpose of the project is to reduce vehicle emissions by purchasing an EV van for use in paratransit service. The EV would replace paratransit vehicle \#922, which is a gasoline powered, Type B Ford E450 van that is beyond its useful life.

This vehicle will be used solely for the SF Paratransit program. The SFMTA provides paratransit services to persons with disabilities, in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Paratransit services are provided to persons with disabilities who are unable to independently ride bus or light rail service some or all the time and are certified eligible according to federal criteria. This vehicle would be used to transport eligible individuals for our ADA van services, SF Access and Group Van services, as well as our non-ADA van programs, including Shop-a-Round and Van Gogh. Overall, approximately 252,000 paratransit van trips are projected to be provided to 11,000 eligible consumers with disabilities in FY23/24.

The paratransit fleet includes 138 vehicles, none of which are EVs. The maximum annual mileage for the current fleet is 26,313 , a number that reflects the limited service area (the paratransit service area is restricted to the City \& County of San Francisco) and lower ridership as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. The EV charging infrastructure will be located at the SF Paratransit facility in Brisbane. The California Air Resources Board's (CARB) Innovative Clean Transit (ICT) regulation mandates that all transit agencies in California transition fleet vehicles with a gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR) greater than 14,500 pounds from internal combustion engine vehicles (ICEVs) to zero-emission vehicles (ZEVs) by 2040. SF Paratransit currently operates 63 vehicles that fall under this requirement and SFMTA will continue to use these larger vehicles to support our Group Van service. The operation and charging of the electric vehicles purchased for the paratransit program as part of this grant will inform further electrification efforts.

New EV paratransit vans will provide a cleaner, safer transportation experience for seniors and people with disabilities while supporting the City's goals of reducing carbon emissions and promoting sustainable modes of transportation.

San Francisco

Project Name: Paratransit Electrification - Purchase One Electric Vehicle

| SCHEDULE | Status | Start Date |  | End Date |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase/Milestone | \% Complete <br> as of <br> $\mathbf{4 / 1 9 / 2 4}$ | Month | Calendar <br> Year | Month | Calendar <br> Year |
| Planning/Conceptual Engineering |  |  |  |  |  |
| Environmental Studies (PA\&ED) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design Engineering (PS\&E) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right-of-Way |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advertise Construction |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start Construction or <br> Procurement (e.g. award contract) | July | 2024 | December | 2025 |  |
| Open for Use | N/A | N/A | N/A | December | 2025 |
| Final Report Due Date (Project <br> completion): | SFCTA will assign (default is 3 months after completion, including <br> evaluation |  |  |  |  |


| PROJECT COST ESTIMATE |  | Funding Source by Phase |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | Cost | TFCA | Non-Public <br> Funds | Other | Source of Cost <br> Estimate |
| Planning/Conceptual Engineering | $\$ 0$ |  |  |  |  |
| Environmental Studies(PA\&ED) | $\$ 0$ |  |  |  |  |
| Design Engineering (PS\&E) | $\$ 0$ |  |  |  |  |
| Right-of-Way | $\$ 0$ |  |  |  |  |
| Construction | $\$ 300,000$ | $\$ 45,000$ |  | $\$ 255,000$ | vendor quote |
|  | $\mathbf{\$ 3 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 5 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

TFCA EXPENDITURES BY FISCAL YEAR (CASH FLOW)

| All Phases | $\mathbf{2 4 / 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 / 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 / 2 7}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| TFCA | $\$ 45,000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 45,000$ |

FUNDING PLAN

| Funding Source and Status | Planned | Programmed | Allocated | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| TFCA | $\$ 45,000$ |  |  | $\$ 45,000$ |
| TBD (Will be one or more of FTA <br> 5307, TCP, TSF Maintenance, and <br> Prop $B)$ | $\$ 255,000$ |  |  | $\$ 255,000$ |
| TOTAL FUNDING | $\$ 300,000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 300,000$ |


| Project Name: | Paratransit Electrification - Purchase One Electric Vehicle |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sponsor Agency: | San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency |
| TFCA Project <br> Number: | SFCTA assigns |

## TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY RECOMMENDATION

| Fiscal Year Cash <br> Flow Distribution: | Cash Flow for <br> TFCA Funds | \% Reimbursed <br> Annually | Balance |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FY24/25 | $\$ 45,000$ | $100 \%$ |  | $\$ 0$ |
| Total: | $\$ 45,000$ |  |  |  |



## Deliverables:

1. By January 15, April 15, July 15, and October 15 of each year, submit quarterly reports updating project progress and identifying any issues which may delay project implementation.
2. With the October 15 quarterly report, submit Interim Project Report Form.
3. Upon receipt of the vehicle, provide two digital photos of the new vehicle, with at least one photo showing the BAAQMD decal affixed to the vehicle.
4. Upon completion, provide a short memo summary of this vehicle's attributes and performance relative to the other electric paratransit vehicle(s) that SFMTA has tested in pilot programs that can be used to inform future paratransit vehicle procurements.
5. By December 31, 2025, submit Final Report Form \#1 (vehicle procurement), including evidence of TFCA and Transportation Authority attribution. The final report shall also include evidence that vehicle \#922 (or an equivalent vehicle) has been scrapped according to BAAQMD requirements, including DMV Dismantlers Notice of Acquisition REG 42 form, engine serial number, and photo of destroyed engine block (e.g. hole punched in block).

## Notes:

1. Deliverables shall be submitted through the Transportation Authority's online grants portal at https://portal.sfcta.org/.
2. SFMTA shall include relevant information from this project in written updates on paratransit vehicle fleet electrification as required every six months under SGA 117-910095 (Project name: Replace 18 Paratransit Vehicles ).
3. All required forms are available at https://www.sfcta.org/funding/transportation-fund-clean-air\#panelsponsors

San Francisco<br>County Transportation<br>Authority

BD070924

RESOLUTION APPROVING THE FISCAL YEAR 2024/25 TRANSPORTATION FUND FOR CLEAN AIR PROGRAM OF PROJECTS PROGRAMMING \$642,779 TO THREE PROJECTS, WITH CONDITIONS, AUTHORIZING THE USE OF \$47,445 FOR PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION, AND AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO ENTER INTO AGREEMENTS WITH APPLICABLE PUBLIC AGENCIES, ESTABLISHING CONDITIONS FOR THE USE OF THESE FUNDS

WHEREAS, On June 15, 1992, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco designated the San Francisco County Transportation Authority (Transportation Authority) as the Program Manager of the local guaranteed portion of the Transportation Fund for Clean Air (TFCA) funds; and

WHEREAS, As County Program Manager, the Transportation Authority is required to file an expenditure plan application with the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (Air District) for the upcoming fiscal year's funding cycle, which staff submitted to the Air District on March 1, 2024; and

WHEREAS, After netting out $6.25 \%(\$ 47,445)$ for administrative expenses, as allowed by Air District guidelines, and including new revenues and deobligated funds from prior projects completed under budget, the Transportation Authority has \$642,779 in Fiscal Year (FY) 2024/25 TFCA funds to program to eligible projects; and

WHEREAS, On March 8, 2024, the Transportation Authority solicited applications for projects for FY 2024/25 TFCA San Francisco County Program Manager funds and, by the April 19, 2024 deadline, received three project applications requesting the full $\$ 642,779$ in TFCA funds; and

WHEREAS, Transportation Authority staff, working in consultation with project sponsors, reviewed and prioritized the applications for funding based on Air District TFCA guidelines and the Transportation Authority's adopted Local Expenditure Criteria (Attachment 1); and

WHEREAS, The Transportation Authority's adopted Local Expenditure Criteria
include review of eligibility per the Air District's guidelines, calculation of the cost effectiveness ratio for each project, and other factors; and

WHEREAS, Transportation Authority staff recommended fully funding the Department of the Environment's Emergency Ride Home project and San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's Paratransit Electrification and Short Term Bike Parking projects to use up all the funds available as shown in Attachment 2, with additional details on project scope, schedule, budget, deliverables and special conditions provided in Attachment 3; and

WHEREAS, At its June 26, 2024 meeting, the Community Advisory Committee considered the FY 2024/25 TFCA call for projects and unanimously adopted a motion of support for the staff recommendation; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the Transportation Authority hereby approves programming of $\$ 642,779$ in FY 2024/25 TFCA funds to three projects and $\$ 47,445$ for TFCA program administrative expenses as shown in Attachment 2 and detailed in Attachment 3; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Executive Director is authorized to execute any agreements with the Air District necessary to secure $\$ 642,779$ for projects and $\$ 47,445$ for administrative expenses for a total of $\$ 690,224$ in FY 2024/25 TFCA funds; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Executive Director is authorized to execute funding agreements with each implementing agency to pass-through these funds for implementation of projects, establishing such terms and conditions governing cash drawdowns, financial and program audits, and reporting as necessary to comply with the requirements imposed by the Air District for the use of the funds and as required by the Transportation Authority in order to optimize the use of these of funds.

Attachments:

1. Attachment 1 - FY 2024/25 TFCA Local Expenditure Criteria
2. Attachment 2 - FY 2024/25 TFCA Program of Projects - Detailed Staff Recommendation
3. Attachment 3 - Project Information Forms (3)

Vision Zero SF: Severe Injury and Fatalities Trends Update INFORMATION*

SFCTA Board | July 23, 2024<br>ITEMS 13 and 14

## QUICK-BUILD PROGRAM

Jen Wong, SFMTA

## PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION HIGHLIGHTS



17th Street Quick-Build Project
Pedestrian safety improvements, upgraded bikeways, and loading zones


Frida Kahlo Way Quick-Build Project
New protected bikeways, pedestrian safety improvements bus boarding islands, traffic islands, and curb ramps

## CORRIDOR PROJECTS - WELL UNDERWAY

| $\#$ | PROIECT | PLANNING/DESIGN PHASE | CONSTRUCTION PHASE | CURRENTSTATUS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Valencia St. | Mar 2022 - Apr 2023 | Apr 2023 - Aug 2023 | PILOT INSTALLED |
| 2 | Bayshore Blva. | Oct 2021 - Mar 2023 | Aug 2023 - Sep 2023 | INSTALLED |
| 3 | Hyde St. | Sep 2022 - Fall 2023 | November - December 2023 | INSTALLED |
| 4 | Lake Merced Blvd. | Jul 2021 - Jan 2023 | Sep 2023 - Fall 2024 | Under Construction |
| 5 | Lincoln Way | Sep 2022 - May 2023 | January - May 2024 | INSTALLED |
| 6 | Sloat Blvd. | Sep 2022 - Jul 2023 | Fall 2024 | Preparing for construction |
| 7 | Guerrero St. | Jul 2023 - Sep 2023 | Summer 2024 | Preparing for construction |
| 8 | 17 St. | May 2022 - Spring 2024 | April - Summer 2024 | INSTALLED |
| 9 | 3rd St. | Aug 2023 - Spring 2024 | March 2024 | INSTALLED |
| 10 | Frida Kahlo Way | Jan | May - Summer 2024 | Under Construction |

## CORRIDOR PROJECTS - DESIGN/OUTREACH IN THE WORKS

| $\#$ | PROJECT | PLANNING/DESIGN PHASE | CONSTRUCTION PHASE | CURRENT STATUS |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | Oak St. | Aug 2023 - Late 2024 | Late 2024 | Planning/design in progress |  |
| 12 | Sutter St. | Aug 2023 - Late 2024 | Late 2024 | Planning/design in progress |  |
| 13 | Beach St. | Oct 2023 - Fall 2024 | Late 2024 | Planning/design in progress |  |
| 14 | Alemany Blvd. | Jan 2024 - Fall 2024 | Fall 2024 | Planning/design in progress |  |
| 15 | Cesar Chavez St. | Jan 2024 - Late 2024 | Late 2024 | Planning/design in progress |  |
| 16 | Larkin St. | April 2024 - Fall 2024 | Sep 2023 - Spring 2024 | Following repaving | Planning/design in progress repaving |
| 17 | Clarendon Ave. |  |  | Planning/design in progress |  |

## NEXT FEW MONTHS

## Construction

- Frida Kahlo Way - transit boarding islands and protected bikeway implementation during City College summer break
- Lake Merced Blvd - transit boarding islands and protected bikeway featuring concrete buffers

Preparing for Implementation

- Guerrero Street

Design \& Outreach

- Beach Street
- Larkin Street
- Oak Street
- Sutter Street



## QUICK-BUILD TOOLKIT

PROGRESS THROUGH 6/11/2024



## WHAT'S NEXT FOR VISION ZERO

Uyen Ngo, SFMTA

## SCOPE \& TIMELINE



Confirm Existing Work
Apr - May 2024

- MTAB budget adoption
- Confirm existing work post-2024



Consolidate Input
Sep - Oct 2024

- Share progress
- Draft deliverables
- Report out on progress and set metrics


Finalize Next Phase
Nov - Dec 2024

- Finalize deliverables
- Continue street safety work
- Begin reporting on metrics


## PEER CITY CONVERSATIONS AND COMPARISONS



Benchmarking progress



## WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU!



## Virtual Office Hours

- Ongoing, Monthly with SFMTA Board Chair Amanda Eaken
- 4-5 PM on Mondays before Tuesday SFMTA Board of Directors meetings

Listening Sessions

- Coming soon in July and August, with SFMTA staff
- In person and virtual options available, on weekdays and weekends, days and evenings

Let Us Come To You

- Ongoing throughout summer, with Vision Zero staff
- Email us at
visionzerosf@sfmta.com to invite us to your meeting


## PLEASE STAY IN TOUCH

https://www.sfmta.com/visionzero
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## VISION ZERO SF: SEVERE INJURY AND FATALITIES TRENDS UPDATE



POPULATION HEALTH DIVISION
SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

SF County Transportation Authority
July 23, 2024
ITEM 15
Iris Tsui, MPH, San Francisco Dept. of Public Health


## FATALITY TRENDS

## 26 TRAFFIC-RELATED DEATHS IN 2023

People Killed While Walking
People Killed While BikingPeople Killed in Vehicles
Overall AVG
-----VZ AVG


VISIONZEROSF

## VISION ZERO HIGH INJURY NETWORK



In 2023, 65\% ( $\mathrm{n}=17$ ) of traffic fatalities occurred on the Vision Zero High Injury Network (VZHIN)

Almost half of fatalities (42\%; $\mathrm{n}=11$ ) occurred in an Equity Priority Community

5 of which (45\%) were also on the VZHIN

## FATALITIES BY TRAVEL MODE



Pedestrian


Pedestrians remain most vulnerable

69\% of total fatalities


Cars and Trucks


Two drivers and two passengers 15\%

Standing Powered Device Rider


Includes escooters and eunicycles

8\%

Two fewer than
last year


Motorcyclist
Moped

## $\equiv$

One person killed Lower-powered while riding a sit-down vehicles motorcycle

$$
4 \%
$$

Lowest since
2019

Separated from
motorcycles

Major
accomplishment

## SEVERE INJURY TRENDS

## PRELIMINARY SEVERE AND CRITICAL INJURY TRENDS



Critical


## SEVERE INJURIES BY TRAVEL MODE



Motor Vehicle


Includes drivers and passengers

28\% of total severe injuries

Pedestrian


Pedestrians remain most vulnerable

27\%


Lower since 2020

Relatively stable across years


Motorcyclist


Peaked in 2021
and lower in 2022

26\%

Increasing since 2017

Overall trend decreasing

Standing Powered Device Rider


Records began in 2018

1\%

Trend stable since 2018

## CRITICAL INJURIES BY TRAVEL MODE



Most vulnerable among critical injuries
$31 \%$ of total critical injuries



Motor Vehicle


Overall trend increasing since 2015

21\%

2022 was lower
than 2021


Bicyclist


Relatively stable trend

20\%

2022 was higher than 2021

Standing Powered Device Rider


Records began in 2018

2\%

Trend stable since 2018
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## Thank you!

DIRECTOR, CENTER FOR DATA SCIENCE CO-CHAIR, VISION ZERO SF
DR. SETH PARDO
SETH.PARDO@SFDPH.ORG

LEAD EPIDEMIOLOGIST IRIS TSUI
IRIS.TSUI@SFDPH.ORG

- ZSFG Trauma Registry nursing staff send extracted severe-injury data to CDS.
- Severe injuries include all traffic-related injuries admitted to ZSFG that meet specific ICD-10 code criteria.
- Injury severity is rated using a clinical Injury Severity Scale (ISS) ranging from 1-75, as well as whether the individual required hospital admission for treatment.
- Critical (ISS > 15)
- Severe (all traffic injuries that result in hospital admission).
- The next Severe Injury Report is expected later this year that covers data up to 2022.
- The Severe Injury Report is typically a biennial report.
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## Transit Recovery and Fiscal Cliff
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## Transit Recovery

## Transit ridership has been steadily increasing across the region

Total Monthly Bay Area Transit Ridership


Source: MTC Transportation Revenue Measure Select Committee June 24, 2024 Presentation. Data for Vacaville CityCoach and Union City Transit is not available. Data from National Transit Database.

## Transit Recovery

## Recovery for operators varies in terms of ridership




Notes: Data for Vacaville CityCoach and Union City Transit is not available. Source: National Transit Database

San Francisco
County Transportation
Authority
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## Transit <br> Operator Shortfalls

Some of the large transit operators are facing a fiscal cliff in two years, which could have impacts on services

| FISCAL YEAR | OPERATING Shortfalls (\$ MILLIONS) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BART | CALTRAIN | SFMTA |
| $2024 / 25$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $2025 / 26$ | $(35)$ | $(36)$ | 0 |
| $2026 / 27$ | $(385)$ | $(45)$ | $(239-322)$ |
| $2027 / 28$ | $(377)$ | $(61)$ | $(249-280)$ |
| $2028 / 29$ | $(355)$ | $(77)$ | TBD |

Source: SFMTA July 23, 2024 5-Year Financial Forecast presentation to SFCTA Board, Caltrain State and Federal Legislative Update JPB May 2024 Presentation, BART Fiscal Year 2025 and Fiscal Year 2026 TwoYear Budget Public Hearing May 232024 Presentation

## Regional Transportation Revenue Measure

## MTC is convening a new Select Committee to build

 consensus for a regional transportation revenue measure| Select committee meeting schedule |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| nO. | DATE | TOPIC |
| 1 | Jun 24 | Confronting the Challenge |
| 2 | Jul 29 | Understanding the Math and Polls |
| 3 | Aug 26* | Constructing the Path(s) Forward |
| 4 | Oct 21* 23* | Confronting the Tradeoffs |
| 5 | Approve Framework for a Transportation Measure |  |

SFMTA

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
5-Year Financial Forecast
Bree Mawhorter
Chief Financial Officer
July 23, 2024-ITEM 16

## Financial Context



- Revenues are lower than pre-pandemic levels across the board:
- Transit revenue down
- Parking revenue down
- General Fund growth much slower than prior decade.
- We've reduced our spending in response.
- Federal, state, \& regional relief expected to be fully expended in FY25-26.
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## 

- In April, SFMTA Board approved agency's FY 24-25 and FY 25-26 budget.
- The budget reduced expenses and made modest increases to fares, fees, and fines.
- In June, due to updated citywide projections, expected revenue went down, and coming out of labor negotiations, expenditure went up.
- The FY24-25 budget was balanced by removing one-time investments. The FY25-26 budget will need to be rebalanced by reducing expenditure by $\$ 14 \mathrm{M}$.
- The compounding impact of these changes, plus updated assumptions about fund balance and funds that flex between capital and operating, has increased the upper bound of the FY26-27 budget deficit, which will range from $\$ 239 \mathrm{M}$ to $\$ 322 \mathrm{M}$.



## Five-Year Deficit Projections

Deficits begin in FY26-27 when federal, state, and regional transit relief are fully-expended and will range from \$239M to $\$ 322 \mathrm{M}$.


# ${ }^{21}$ Five-Year Deficit Projections 

## Projected FY26-27 ranges from \$239M to $\$ 322 \mathrm{M}$, depending on assumptions:

- Use of flexible funds that can be used for operating or capital,
- Development of additional revenue sources,
- Policy choices about how much service to provide,
- Policy choices about implementing efficiency measures, like transit only lanes, that could make service less expensive,
- Generation of fund balance by collecting more revenue or expending less than projected


## Revenue Trends

Adjusted for inflation, SFMTA revenues are $\$ 275 \mathrm{M}$ or $16 \%$ less than pre-pandemic.


## ${ }^{21}$ Revenue Trends

As General Fund is 39\% of SFMTA revenue, performance is the most significant driver of lower revenue.


15 years of General Fund revenue growth of $8 \%$ to $12 \%$ is replaced by projected $3 \%$ growth.

## Expenditure Trends

## When adjusted for inflation, SFMTA spent \$95M or 6\% less than pre-pandemic.



Expenditure on capital, multi-year projects, and transfers to reserve compose $\$ 76 \mathrm{M}$ of difference, indicating a decrease in long-term investment and financial planning.

## ${ }^{21}$ Revenue and Expenditure Growth

Projected expenditure growth of 4\% due to inflation and COLA exceeds projected revenue growth of 3\%.


Mismatch between expenditure and revenue growth rates turns a balanced budget into a growing deficit, even when the number of FTE and other expenditure types is unchanged.

# Strategies to Close Budget Gap 



- Increase ridership by making Muni fast, frequent, reliable, clean, and safe
- Increase fare compliance by adding Transit Fare Inspectors
- Raise revenue through a regional revenue measure
- Continue to improve efficiency
- Identify new local revenue sources
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## Ridership

- Muni is more reliable than ever
- Muni achieved historic levels of service reliability through new and improved strategies.
- Major subway delays fell 76\% since 2019, and short delays fell 89\%
- Across all vehicles, the average distance between mechanical failures is up 10\% since 2022



## Ridership - Customer service rating

Muni received its highest customer service rating in more than 20 years, $72 \%$ rated Muni service as good or excellent.


## ${ }^{22}$ Ridership - Monthly Boardings

Ridership Continues to Grow: 1.3 million more passenger trips than May 2023. Most passenger trips since beginning of pandemic.


## Ridership - Recovery
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Note: Excludes cable car and streetcar. Recovery baselined against average daily boardings from the same month in calendar year 2019.
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## Fare Compliance

Hiring 36 additional Transit Fare Inspectors.


## Regionar Revenue measure

- SF economic recovery depends on strong regional transit network
- This includes Muni, BART, Caltrain and others.
- $75 \%$ of all transit trips in the Bay Area start or end in San Francisco.
- Bay Area was unable to come to consensus on SB 1031.
- Continuing to work collaboratively with MTC and other counties on new regional revenue measure for Nov. 2026 ballot.



## Continue to Improve Efficiency

## We'll need to become even more efficient.

- By improving Muni travel time, we are able to deliver more Muni service at the same cost.
- Transit priority investments reduced delay by $15-30 \%$. This allows us to deliver 15-30\% more Muni service at no cost.
- In FY22-23 we limited our hiring to key positions. This saved $\$ 52.8 \mathrm{M}$.
- In FY24-25 \& FY25-26, we will reduce nonlabor expenses (such as materials and supplies) by $\$ 33.7 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{FY} 24-25$ and $\$ 25.5 \mathrm{M}$ in FY25-26



## Can we win support for new sources of local revenue?
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## Summary

Balanced budget in FY24-25 and FY25-26 depends on \$200M+ in federal and state relief. Without this support, SFMTA could not afford its current level of service.

## 

Revenue is $\$ 275 \mathrm{M}$ or $16 \%$ lower than pre-pandemic.

Expenditure is $\$ 95 \mathrm{M}$ or $6 \%$ less than pre-pandemic.

Projected revenue growth of 3\% cannot support projected expenditure growth of 4\%, creating a long-term structural deficit.

The projected FY26-27 deficit is $\$ 239 \mathrm{M}-\$ 322 \mathrm{M}$ or $15 \%-21 \%$ of the budget, an amount so large that closing the gap will require all available strategies.

## Memorandum

## AGENDA ITEM 17

DATE: May 17, 2024
TO: Transportation Authority Board
FROM: Cynthia Fong - Deputy Director for Finance and Administration
SUBJECT: 06/11/24 Board Meeting: Internal Accounting Report, Investment Report, and Debt Expenditure Report for the Nine Months Ending March 31, 2024

| RECOMMENDATION $\quad$ Information $\quad \square$ Action | $\square$ Fund Allocation |
| :--- | :--- |
| None. This is an information item. | $\square$ Fund Programming |
|  | $\square$ Policy/Legislation |
| SUMMARY | $\square$ Plan/Study |
| The purpose of this memorandum is to provide the nine- | $\square$ Capital Project |
| month internal accounting report, investment report, and debt | Oversight/Delivery <br> expenditure report for the Fiscal Year (FY) 2023/24 period <br> ending March 31, 2024. |
|  | $\square$ Budget/Finance |
|  | $\square$ Contract/Agreement |
| $\square$ Other: |  |

## BACKGROUND

Our Fiscal Policy (Resolution 21-57) establishes an annual audit requirement and directs staff to report to the Board the agency's actual expenditures in comparison to the approved budget, on at least a quarterly basis. The Investment Policy (Resolution 23-46) directs a review of portfolio compliance with the Investment Policy in conjunction with, and in the context of, the quarterly expenditure and budgetary report.

Internal Accounting Report. Using the format of our annual financial statements for governmental funds, the Internal Accounting Report includes a "Balance Sheet" (Attachment 1) and a "Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances, with Budget Comparison" (Attachment 2). In Attachment 2, the last two columns show the prorated adopted budget values and the variance of revenues and expenditures as compared to the prorated adopted budget. For the nine months
ending March 31, 2024, the numbers in the prorated amended budget column are three quarters of the total amended budget for FY 2023/24, including the Treasure Island Mobility Management Agency. Although sales tax, vehicle registration fee, and Traffic Congestion Mitigation Tax (TNC Tax) Program revenue estimates are included, the Internal Accounting Report does not include: the Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement Number 34 adjustments, and the other accruals that are done at fiscal year-end. The Balance Sheet values, as of March 31, 2024, are used as the basis for the Investment Policy compliance review.

Investment Report. Our investment policies and practices are subject to, and limited by, applicable provisions of state law and prudent money management principles. All investable funds are invested in accordance with the Investment Policy and applicable provisions of California Government Code, Section 53600 et seq. Any investment of bond proceeds will be further restricted by the provisions of relevant bond documents.

We observe the "Prudent Investor" standard, as stated in California Government Code, Section 53600.3, applied in the context of managing an overall portfolio. Investments are to be made with care, skill, prudence, and diligence, taking into account the prevailing circumstances, including, but not limited to, general economic conditions, our anticipated needs, and other relevant factors that a prudent person of a like character and purpose, acting in a fiduciary capacity and familiar with those matters, would use in the stewardship of funds.

The primary objectives for the investment activities, in order of priority, are:

1) Safety. Safety of the principal is the foremost objective of the investment program. Investments will be undertaken in a manner that seeks to ensure preservation of the principle of the funds under its control.
2) Liquidity. The investment portfolio will remain sufficiently liquid to enable us to meet its reasonably anticipated cash flow requirements.
3) Return on Investment. The investment portfolio will be managed with the objective of attaining a market rate of return throughout budgetary and economic cycles, commensurate with the investment risk parameters and the cash flow characteristics of the portfolio.

Permitted investment instruments are specifically listed in the Investment Policy and include the San Francisco City and County Treasury Pool (Treasury Pool), certificates of deposit, and money market funds.

Balance Sheet Analysis. Attachment 1 presents assets, liabilities, and fund balances, as of March 31, 2024. Cash, deposits, and investments total to $\$ 72.3$ million. Other assets total $\$ 95.4$ million, which mainly includes, $\$ 18.5$ million sales tax receivable, and $\$ 52.8$ million of the program receivables. Liabilities total $\$ 288.3$ million, as of March 31, 2024, and mainly includes $\$ 27.5$ million in accounts payable, $\$ 56.6$ million in accounts payable to the City and County of San Francisco and $\$ 194.2$ million in sales tax revenue bond and premium amounts (Series 2017).

There is $\$ 156.8$ million in total fund deficit, which is largely the result of how multiyear programming commitments are accounted for. Future sales tax revenues and grant reimbursements collected will fully fund this difference. This amount included $\$ 40.6$ million in restricted fund balance and $\$ 197.4$ million in unassigned fund deficit. The unassigned fund deficit reflects grant-funded capital projects that are scheduled to be implemented over the course of several fiscal years. The commitments are multi-year commitments and funded with non-current (future) revenues. In addition, we do not hold nor retain title for the projects constructed or for the vehicles and system improvements purchased with sales tax funds, which can result in a negative position.

## Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Analysis.

Attachment 2 compares the prorated budget to actual levels for revenues and expenditures for the first nine months (third quarter) of the fiscal year. We earned $\$ 118.2$ million in revenues, including $\$ 81.2$ million in sales tax revenues, $\$ 3.4$ million in vehicle registration fee, $\$ 6.0$ million in traffic congestion mitigation tax, and $\$ 25.8$ million in total program revenues for the nine months ending March 31, 2024. Total revenue was lower than the prorated budget estimates by $\$ 23.4$ million. This variance amount mainly includes $\$ 24.8$ million in program revenues. The variance in program revenues is mainly related to federal and state reimbursements for the Southgate Road Realignment Improvements Project (Southgate), or Phase 2 of the Interstate-80/Yerba Buena Island Interchange Improvement Project, which has been deferred from past years. We expect collections will catch up by the end of the fiscal year for program and other revenues. The variance of $\$ 364$ thousand in investment income is higher than anticipated due to higher interest rates which resulted in higher interest income.

As of March 31, 2024, we incurred $\$ 137.1$ million of expenditures, including $\$ 14.5$ million in debt principal payment and service cost for the sales tax revenue bond; $\$ 8.5$ million for personnel and non-personnel expenditures; and $\$ 110.4$ million of capital project costs. Total expenditures were lower than the prorated budgetary
estimates by $\$ 50.5$ million. This amount mainly includes a net favorable variance of $\$ 1.7$ million for personnel and non-personnel expenditures, a favorable variance of $\$ 1.9$ million in interest and fiscal charges, and a favorable variance of $\$ 50.6$ million in capital project costs. The net favorable variance of $\$ 1.7$ million in personnel and nonpersonnel expenditures are mainly due to four staff vacancies, delayed hiring of two positions, and other postemployment benefits payment to CalPERS, training, equipment, and software maintenance cost anticipated to incur in the last quarter of the fiscal year. The favorable variance of $\$ 1.9$ million in interest and fiscal charges is mainly due to costs related to the anticipated drawdown on the revolving credit (loan) agreement as we have not needed to borrow funds yet. The favorable variance of $\$ 50.6$ million in capital project costs mainly due to costs (reimbursement requests) from project sponsors that have been incurred but not yet received. As similar to prior years, we anticipate a higher amount of reimbursement requests and expenditures in the next quarter. The variance is also related to the YBI Westside Bridges project, in which the contractor had a slower start than anticipated. Several subcontractors mobilized later than planned. However, the overall construction is still on schedule to be completed by December 2026. In addition, construction activities for the YBI Hillcrest Road Improvement Project have been delayed by two months, as they were contingent upon Caltrans' approval of an Encroachment Permit approved in May 2024. Construction activities will commence in June 2024.

Investment Compliance. As of March 31, 2024, approximately 48.3\% of our investable assets were invested in the Treasury Pool. These investments are in compliance with both the California Government Code and the adopted Investment Policy and provide sufficient liquidity to meet expenditure requirements for the next six months with the drawdown from the revolving credit (loan) agreement.
Attachment 3 is the most recent investment report furnished by the City's Office of the Treasurer.

Debt Expenditure Compliance. In October 2021, the Transportation Authority entered into a 3-year Revolving Credit (loan) Agreement with U.S. Bank for a total amount of $\$ 125$ million. As of March 31, 2024, the Transportation Authority does not have any outstanding balance in the loan.

As of March 31, 2024, total outstanding bond principal and premium balance is $\$ 194.2$ million. We made cumulative payments of $\$ 116.9$ million, including principal payment of $\$ 68.3$ million and interest payment of $\$ 48.6$ million.

## FINANCIAL IMPACT

None. This is an information item.

## CAC POSITION

None. This is an information item.

## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

- Attachment 1 - Balance Sheet (unaudited)
- Attachment 2 - Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance with Budget Comparison (unaudited)
- Attachment 3 - Investment Report

|  | Sales <br> Tax <br> Program |  | Special Revenue Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Governmental Funds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Vehicle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Congestion <br> Management <br> Agency <br> Programs |  | Transportation Fund for Clean Air Program |  | Registration Fee for Transportation Improvements Program |  | Treasure Island Mobility Management Agency |  | Traffic <br> Congestion <br> Mitigation <br> Tax Program |  |  |  |
| ASSETS $-\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash in bank | \$ | 11,591,940 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,304,573 | \$ | 24,520,472 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 37,416,985 |
| Deposits and investments with the City Treasurer |  | 19,388,326 |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | 15,543,562 |  | 34,931,888 |
| Sales tax receivable |  | 18,484,243 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 18,484,243 |
| Vehicle registration fee receivable |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 743,691 |  | - |  | - |  | 743,691 |
| Traffic congestion mitigation tax receivable |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 6,027,531 |  | 6,027,531 |
| Interest receivable from the City and County of San Francisco |  | 1,261,954 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 533,952 |  | 1,795,906 |
| Program receivables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal |  | - |  | 39,152,488 |  | - |  | - |  | 253,757 |  | - |  | 39,406,245 |
| State |  | - |  | 7,669,009 |  | - |  | - |  | 17,511 |  | - |  | 7,686,520 |
| Regional and other |  | - |  | 5,425,546 |  | - |  | - |  | 281,307 |  | - |  | 5,706,853 |
| Receivables from the City and County of San Francisco |  | - |  | 5,105,260 |  | - |  | - |  | 770,857 |  | - |  | 5,876,117 |
| Due from other funds |  | 9,543,056 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 9,543,056 |
| Prepaid costs and deposits |  | 81,580 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 81,580 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 60,351,099 | \$ | 57,352,303 | \$ | 1,304,573 | \$ | 25,264,163 | \$ | 1,323,432 | \$ | 22,105,045 | \$ | 167,700,615 |
| LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 10,735,234 | \$ | 14,299,261 | \$ | 175,000 | \$ | 1,404,744 | \$ | 480,242 | \$ | 399,561 | \$ | 27,494,042 |
| Accounts payable to the City and County of San Francisco |  | 51,876,369 |  | - |  | 167,741 |  | 4,121,901 |  | - |  | 402,531 |  | 56,568,542 |
| Accrued salaries and taxes |  | 499,477 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 499,477 |
| Sales tax revenue bond (Series 2017) |  | 194,228,667 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 194,228,667 |
| Due to other funds |  | - |  | 7,616,566 |  | 74,979 |  | 261,335 |  | 134,798 |  | 1,455,378 |  | 9,543,056 |
| Total liabilities |  | 257,339,747 |  | 21,915,827 |  | 417,720 |  | 5,787,980 |  | 615,040 |  | 2,257,470 |  | 288,333,784 |
| Deferred Inflows of Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unavailable revenues |  | - |  | 35,436,476 |  | - |  | - |  | 708,392 |  | - |  | 36,144,868 |
| Total deferred inflows of resources |  | - |  | 35,436,476 |  | - |  | - |  | 708,392 |  | - |  | 36,144,868 |
| Fund Balances |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonspendable |  | 81,580 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 81,580 |
| Restricted |  | 346,463 |  | - |  | 886,853 |  | 19,476,183 |  | - |  | 19,847,575 |  | 40,557,074 |
| Unassigned |  | $(197,416,691)$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(197,416,691)$ |
| Total Fund Balances |  | $(196,988,648)$ |  | - |  | 886,853 |  | 19,476,183 |  | - |  | 19,847,575 |  | $(156,778,037)$ |
| Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances | \$ | 60,351,099 | \$ | 57,352,303 | \$ | 1,304,573 | \$ | 25,264,163 | \$ | 1,323,432 | \$ | 22,105,045 | \$ | 167,700,615 |

## REVENUES <br> Sales tax

Vehicle registration fee
Traffic congestion mitigation tax
Investment income
Program revenues
Federal
State
Regional and other
Other revenues

## Total Revenues

## EXPENDITURES

Current - transportation improvement
Personnel expenditures
Non-personnel expenditures
Capital improvements related to infrastructure
Debt servic
Principal
Interest and fiscal charges
Total Expenditures
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues
Over (Under) Expenditures
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)
Transfers in
Transfers out
Draw on revolving credit agreement
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES
Fund Balances - Beginning
Sales tax revenue bond (Series 2017)
Fund Balances - Ending

| Sales Tax Program |  | Special Revenue Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Governmental Funds |  | Prorated <br> Amended Budget Fiscal <br> Year 2023/24 |  | Variance With <br> Prorated Adopted <br> Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Congestion Management Agency Programs |  | Transportation Fund for Clean Air Program |  | Vehicle <br> Registration Fee for <br> Transportation Improvements Program |  | Treasure Island Mobility Management Agency |  | Traffic <br> Congestion <br> Mitigation <br> Tax Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | 81,166,679 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 81,166,679 | \$ | 79,623,750 | \$ | 1,542,929 |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  | 3,378,127 |  |  |  |  |  | 3,378,127 |  | 3,484,141 |  | $(106,014)$ |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 6,027,531 |  | 6,027,531 |  | 6,375,000 |  | $(347,469)$ |
|  | 1,283,680 |  | - |  | 655 |  | 20,972 |  | - |  | 533,952 |  | 1,839,259 |  | 1,475,010 |  | 364,249 |
|  | - |  | 17,950,909 |  | - |  | - |  | 486,533 |  | - |  | 18,437,442 |  | 37,248,291 |  | $(18,810,849)$ |
|  | - |  | 5,134,805 |  | - |  | - |  | 17,230 |  | - |  | 5,152,035 |  | 9,698,810 |  | $(4,546,775)$ |
|  | - |  | 1,536,847 |  | 359,460 |  | - |  | 345,177 |  | - |  | 2,241,484 |  | 3,656,190 |  | $(1,414,706)$ |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | . |  |  |  | 49,492 |  | $(49,492)$ |
|  | 82,450,359 |  | 24,622,561 |  | 360,115 |  | 3,399,099 |  | 848,940 |  | 6,561,483 |  | 118,242,557 |  | 141,610,684 |  | $(23,368,127)$ |
|  | 2,753,226 |  | 2,802,237 |  | 22,368 |  | 120,639 |  | 445,110 |  | 59,379 |  | 6,202,959 |  | 7,315,187 |  | 1,112,228 |
|  | 2,202,557 |  | 46,042 |  |  |  | 648 |  | 47,041 |  |  |  | 2,296,288 |  | 2,862,205 |  | 565,917 |
|  | 78,872,660 |  | 27,716,700 |  | 111,966 |  | 1,310,572 |  | 649,982 |  | 1,786,594 |  | 110,448,474 |  | 161,057,487 |  | 50,609,013 |
|  | 14,545,000 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 14,545,000 |  | 10,908,750 |  | $(3,636,250)$ |
|  | 3,589,159 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 3,589,159 |  | 5,460,329 |  | 1,871,170 |
|  | 101,962,602 |  | 30,564,979 |  | 134,334 |  | 1,431,859 |  | 1,142,133 |  | 1,845,973 |  | 137,081,880 |  | 187,603,958 |  | 50,522,078 |
|  | $(19,512,243)$ |  | $(5,942,418)$ |  | 225,781 |  | 1,967,240 |  | $(293,193)$ |  | 4,715,510 |  | $(18,839,323)$ |  | $(45,993,274)$ |  | (73,890,205) |
|  | 6,235,611 |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | 6,235,611 |  | 12,821,594 |  | $(6,585,983)$ |
|  |  |  | 5,942,418 |  | - |  | - |  | 293,193 |  | - |  | 6,235,611 |  | $(12,821,594)$ |  | 19,057,205 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 45,000,000 |  | $(45,000,000)$ |
|  | 6,235,611 |  | 5,942,418 |  | - |  | - |  | 293,193 |  | - |  | 12,471,222 |  | 45,000,000 |  | $(32,528,778)$ |
|  | $(13,276,632)$ |  | - |  | 225,781 |  | 1,967,240 |  | - |  | 4,715,510 |  | $(6,368,101)$ | \$ | $(993,274)$ | \$ | $(106,418,983)$ |
|  | 10,516,651 |  | - |  | 661,072 |  | 17,508,943 |  | - |  | 15,132,065 |  | 43,818,731 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $(194,228,667)$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(194,228,667)$ |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | $(196,988,648)$ | \$ | - | \$ | 886,853 | \$ | 19,476,183 | \$ | - | \$ | 19,847,575 | \$ | $(156,778,037)$ |  |  |  |  |

Office of the Treasurer \& Tax Collector City and County of San Francisco

Tajel Shah, Chief Assistant Treasurer

Hubert R White, III CFA, CTP, Chief Investment Officer



José Cisneros, Treasurer

Investment Report for the month of March 2024
April 15, 2024

The Honorable London N. Breed
Mayor of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Franicsco
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638

Colleagues,
In accordance with the provisions of California State Government Code, Section 53646, we forward this report detailing the City's pooled fund portfolio as of March 31, 2024. These investments provide sufficient liquidity to meet expenditure requirements for the next six months and are in compliance with our statement of investment policy and California Code.

This correspondence and its attachments show the investment activity for the month of March 2024 for the portfolios under the Treasurer's management. All pricing and valuation data is obtained from Interactive Data Corporation.

CCSF Pooled Fund Investment Earnings Statistics *

|  | Current Month |  |  | Prior Month |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in \$ million) | Fiscal YTD | March 2024 |  | Fiscal YTD |  | February 2024 |  |
| Average Daily Balance | \$ 15,611 | \$ | 16,065 | \$ | 15,552 | \$ | 15,649 |
| Net Earnings | 387.95 |  | 48.33 |  | 339.62 |  | 43.88 |
| Earned Income Return | 3.31\% |  | 3.55\% |  | 3.28\% |  | 3.54\% |

CCSF Pooled Fund Statistics *

| $\begin{array}{r} \text { (in \$ million) } \\ \text { Investment Type } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% of } \\ \text { Portfolio } \end{array}$ |  | Book Value |  | Market Value | Wtd. Avg. Coupon | Wtd. Avg. YTM | WAM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Treasuries | 21.70\% |  | 3,551.2 |  | 3,392.1 | 1.20\% | 1.51\% | 616 |
| Federal Agencies | 42.67\% |  | 6,804.0 |  | 6,670.7 | 3.23\% | 3.38\% | 628 |
| Public Time Deposits | 0.26\% |  | 40.0 |  | 40.0 | 5.34\% | 5.34\% | 86 |
| Negotiable CDs | 13.32\% |  | 2,080.0 |  | 2,081.7 | 5.80\% | 5.80\% | 127 |
| Commercial Paper | 7.44\% |  | 1,164.1 |  | 1,163.6 | 0.00\% | 5.52\% | 65 |
| Money Market Funds | 10.80\% |  | 1,688.3 |  | 1,688.3 | 5.24\% | 5.24\% | 1 |
| Supranationals | 3.81\% |  | 608.1 |  | 595.1 | 2.12\% | 2.02\% | 295 |
| Totals | 100.0\% |  | 15,935.7 |  | 15,631.5 | 3.07\% | 3.61\% | 438 |

In the remainder of this report, we provide additional information and analytics at the security-level and portfolio-level, as recommended by the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission.

Respectfully,


## José Cisneros <br> Treasurer

cc: Treasury Oversight Committee: Aimee Brown, Kevin Kone, Brenda Kwee McNulty
Ben Rosenfield - Controller, Office of the Controller
Mark de la Rosa - Director of Audits, Office of the Controller
Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
San Francisco Public Library
San Francisco Health Service System

As of March 31, 2024

| (in \$ million) Security Type | Par Value |  | Book Value |  | Market Value | Market/Book Price | Current \% Allocation | Max. Policy Allocation | Compliant? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ 3,560.0 | \$ | 3,551.2 | \$ | 3,392.1 | 95.52 | 22.28\% | 100\% | Yes |
| Federal Agencies | 6,812.6 |  | 6,804.0 |  | 6,670.7 | 98.04 | 42.70\% | 100\% | Yes |
| State \& Local Government |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agency Obligations | - |  | - |  | - | - | 0.00\% | 20\% | Yes |
| Public Time Deposits | 40.0 |  | 40.0 |  | 40.0 | 100.00 | 0.25\% | 100\% | Yes |
| Negotiable CDs | 2,080.0 |  | 2,080.0 |  | 2,081.7 | 100.08 | 13.05\% | 30\% | Yes |
| Bankers Acceptances | - |  | - |  | - | - | 0.00\% | 40\% | Yes |
| Commercial Paper | 1,175.5 |  | 1,164.1 |  | 1,163.6 | 99.96 | 7.30\% | 25\% | Yes |
| Medium Term Notes | - |  | - |  | - | - | 0.00\% | 30\% | Yes |
| Repurchase Agreements | - |  | - |  | - | - | 0.00\% | 10\% | Yes |
| Reverse Repurchase/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities Lending Agreements | - |  | - |  | - | - | 0.00\% | \$75mm | Yes |
| Money Market Funds - Government | 1,688.3 |  | 1,688.3 |  | 1,688.3 | 100.00 | 10.59\% | 20\% | Yes |
| LAIF | - |  | - |  | - | - | 0.00\% | \$50mm | Yes |
| Supranationals | 607.7 |  | 608.1 |  | 595.1 | 97.86 | 3.82\% | 30\% | Yes |
| TOTAL | \$ 15,964.1 |  | 5,935.7 |  | 5,631.5 | 98.09 | 100.00\% | - | Yes |

The City and County of San Francisco uses the following methodology to determine compliance: Compliance is pre-trade and calculated on a book value basis of the overall portfolio value. Cash balances are included in the City's compliance calculations.

Please note the information in this report does not include cash balances. Due to fluctuations in the market value of the securities held in the Pooled Fund and changes in the City's cash position, the allocation limits may be exceeded on a post-trade compliance basis. In these instances, no compliance violation has occurred, as the policy limits were not exceeded prior to trade execution. The full Investment Policy can be found at https://sftreasurer.org/banking-investments/investments

Totals may not add due to rounding.

City and County of San Francisco

## Pooled Fund Portfolio Statistics

For the month ended March 31, 2024

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Average Daily Balance | $\$ 16,065,434,898$ |
| Net Earnings | $\$ 48,329,017$ |
| Earned Income Return | $3.55 \%$ |
| Weighted Average Maturity | 438 days |


|  |  |  | Par <br> Value | Book <br> Value | Market <br> Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Investment Type | (\$ million) | $\$ 1,560.0$ | $\$$ | $3,551.2$ | $\$$ |
| U.S. Treasuries |  | $6,392.1$ |  |  |  |
| Federal Agencies |  | 40.0 | $6,804.0$ | $6,670.7$ |  |
| Public Time Deposits |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  |  |
| Negotiable CDs | $2,080.0$ | $2,080.0$ | $2,081.7$ |  |  |
| Commercial Paper |  | $1,175.5$ | $1,164.1$ | $1,163.6$ |  |
| Money Market Funds |  | $1,688.3$ | $1,688.3$ | $1,688.3$ |  |
| Supranationals | 607.7 | 608.1 | 595.1 |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 5 , 9 6 4 . 1}$ | $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 9 3 5 . 7}$ | $\$ \mathbf{1 5 , 6 3 1 . 5}$ |  |



## Portfolio Analysis




| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Settle Date | $\frac{\text { Maturity }}{\text { Date }}$ | Coupon | Par Value |  | Original Cost |  | Amortized <br> Book Value |  | Market Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912797JP3 | U.S. Treasury Bill | 12/26/2023 | 4/23/2024 | 0.00 | \$ | 100,000,000 | \$ | 98,274,500 | \$ | 99,681,000 | \$ | 99,678,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912797JQ1 | U.S. Treasury Bill | 3/5/2024 | 4/30/2024 | 0.00 |  | 35,000,000 |  | 34,712,457 |  | 34,851,094 |  | 34,851,600 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCC3 | U.S. Treasury Note | 7/2/2021 | 5/15/2024 | 0.25 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,718,750 |  | 49,988,192 |  | 49,694,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828XT2 | U.S. Treasury Note | 7/6/2021 | 5/31/2024 | 2.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 52,263,672 |  | 50,128,132 |  | 49,730,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCL3 | U.S. Treasury Note | 8/6/2021 | 7/15/2024 | 0.38 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,998,047 |  | 49,999,809 |  | 49,292,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCL3 | U.S. Treasury Note | 8/9/2021 | 7/15/2024 | 0.38 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,960,938 |  | 49,996,170 |  | 49,292,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCL3 | U.S. Treasury Note | 4/12/2022 | 7/15/2024 | 0.38 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 47,572,266 |  | 49,691,016 |  | 49,292,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828Y87 | U.S. Treasury Note | 3/30/2021 | 7/31/2024 | 1.75 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 52,210,938 |  | 50,219,461 |  | 49,412,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCT6 | U.S. Treasury Note | 8/25/2021 | 8/15/2024 | 0.38 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,898,438 |  | 49,987,281 |  | 49,089,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912797GL5 | U.S. Treasury Bill | 3/12/2024 | 9/5/2024 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 48,745,832 |  | 48,887,546 |  | 48,884,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828YM6 | U.S. Treasury Note | 4/15/2021 | 10/31/2024 | 1.50 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 51,746,094 |  | 50,287,195 |  | 48,929,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828G38 | U.S. Treasury Note | 3/9/2021 | 11/15/2024 | 2.25 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 53,160,156 |  | 50,534,904 |  | 49,094,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828G38 | U.S. Treasury Note | 3/12/2021 | 11/15/2024 | 2.25 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 53,228,516 |  | 50,547,695 |  | 49,094,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828YY0 | U.S. Treasury Note | 3/15/2021 | 12/31/2024 | 1.75 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 52,226,563 |  | 50,439,854 |  | 48,754,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | $912828 Z 52$ | U.S. Treasury Note | 3/30/2021 | 1/31/2025 | 1.38 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 51,515,625 |  | 50,329,484 |  | 48,484,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | $912828 Z 52$ | U.S. Treasury Note | 4/15/2021 | 1/31/2025 | 1.38 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 51,507,813 |  | 50,331,567 |  | 48,484,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZC7 | U.S. Treasury Note | 3/15/2021 | 2/28/2025 | 1.13 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 51,011,719 |  | 50,232,989 |  | 48,246,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZC7 | U.S. Treasury Note | 3/31/2021 | 2/28/2025 | 1.13 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,998,047 |  | 50,232,412 |  | 48,246,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZF0 | U.S. Treasury Note | 4/15/2021 | 3/31/2025 | 0.50 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,779,297 |  | 49,944,443 |  | 47,822,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZF0 | U.S. Treasury Note | 4/19/2021 | 3/31/2025 | 0.50 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,839,844 |  | 49,959,572 |  | 47,822,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZL7 | U.S. Treasury Note | 5/18/2021 | 4/30/2025 | 0.38 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,615,234 |  | 49,894,943 |  | 47,595,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828XB1 | U.S. Treasury Note | 9/2/2021 | 5/15/2025 | 2.13 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 52,849,609 |  | 50,862,687 |  | 48,461,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZW3 | U.S. Treasury Note | 3/8/2021 | 6/30/2025 | 0.25 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,140,625 |  | 49,751,736 |  | 47,209,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZW3 | U.S. Treasury Note | 3/9/2021 | 6/30/2025 | 0.25 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,042,969 |  | 49,723,349 |  | 47,209,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZW3 | U.S. Treasury Note | 5/12/2021 | 6/30/2025 | 0.25 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,281,250 |  | 49,783,423 |  | 47,209,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZW3 | U.S. Treasury Note | 5/13/2021 | 6/30/2025 | 0.25 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,183,594 |  | 49,753,834 |  | 47,209,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZW3 | U.S. Treasury Note | 5/18/2021 | 6/30/2025 | 0.25 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,253,906 |  | 49,774,287 |  | 47,209,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZW3 | U.S. Treasury Note | 7/12/2021 | 6/30/2025 | 0.25 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,310,547 |  | 49,783,505 |  | 47,209,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZW3 | U.S. Treasury Note | 8/5/2021 | 6/30/2025 | 0.25 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,500,000 |  | 49,840,351 |  | 47,209,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZW3 | U.S. Treasury Note | 8/6/2021 | 6/30/2025 | 0.25 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,406,250 |  | 49,810,284 |  | 47,209,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZW3 | U.S. Treasury Note | 12/7/2021 | 6/30/2025 | 0.25 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 48,628,906 |  | 49,520,486 |  | 47,209,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CHL8 | U.S. Treasury Note | 2/6/2024 | 6/30/2025 | 4.63 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,976,563 |  | 49,979,090 |  | 49,824,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CAB7 | U.S. Treasury Note | 8/5/2021 | 7/31/2025 | 0.25 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,458,984 |  | 49,819,414 |  | 47,041,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CAB7 | U.S. Treasury Note | 8/6/2021 | 7/31/2025 | 0.25 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,363,281 |  | 49,787,323 |  | 47,041,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CFK2 | U.S. Treasury Note | 10/7/2022 | 9/15/2025 | 3.50 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 48,968,750 |  | 49,489,176 |  | 49,074,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CAM3 | U.S. Treasury Note | 5/12/2021 | 9/30/2025 | 0.25 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,109,375 |  | 49,695,898 |  | 46,732,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CAM3 | U.S. Treasury Note | 7/26/2021 | 9/30/2025 | 0.25 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,281,250 |  | 49,742,530 |  | 46,732,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CAT8 | U.S. Treasury Note | 2/25/2021 | 10/31/2025 | 0.25 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,298,828 |  | 49,762,857 |  | 46,584,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CAT8 | U.S. Treasury Note | 3/2/2021 | 10/31/2025 | 0.25 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,078,125 |  | 49,687,298 |  | 46,584,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CAT8 | U.S. Treasury Note | 3/4/2021 | 10/31/2025 | 0.25 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,048,828 |  | 49,676,982 |  | 46,584,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CBC4 | U.S. Treasury Note | 2/25/2021 | 12/31/2025 | 0.38 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,455,078 |  | 49,803,274 |  | 46,385,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CBC4 | U.S. Treasury Note | 2/26/2021 | 12/31/2025 | 0.38 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,271,484 |  | 49,736,845 |  | 46,385,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CBW0 | U.S. Treasury Note | 6/28/2021 | 4/30/2026 | 0.75 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,662,109 |  | 49,854,862 |  | 46,205,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CBW0 | U.S. Treasury Note | 7/2/2021 | 4/30/2026 | 0.75 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,730,469 |  | 49,883,962 |  | 46,205,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828R36 | U.S. Treasury Note | 7/23/2021 | 5/15/2026 | 1.63 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 52,203,125 |  | 50,970,529 |  | 47,008,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828 R36 | U.S. Treasury Note | 8/27/2021 | 5/15/2026 | 1.63 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 51,890,625 |  | 50,849,793 |  | 47,008,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCJ8 | U.S. Treasury Note | 7/2/2021 | 6/30/2026 | 0.88 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,931,641 |  | 49,969,268 |  | 46,115,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCJ8 | U.S. Treasury Note | 7/14/2021 | 6/30/2026 | 0.88 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,070,313 |  | 50,031,819 |  | 46,115,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCJ8 | U.S. Treasury Note | 7/22/2021 | 6/30/2026 | 0.88 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,345,703 |  | 50,157,138 |  | 46,115,500 |



|  |  |  |  | Maturity |  |  |  | Amortized |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Settle Date | Date | Coupon | Par Value | Original Cost | Book Value | Market Value |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMV25 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 8/6/2021 | 7/23/2024 | 0.45 | 50,000,000 | 50,092,000 | 50,009,608 | 49,264,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPBF1 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 2/21/2023 | 8/21/2024 | 4.88 | 10,000,000 | 9,995,700 | 9,998,884 | 9,984,600 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPBF1 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 2/21/2023 | 8/21/2024 | 4.88 | 20,000,000 | 19,992,000 | 19,997,923 | 19,969,200 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPBF1 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 2/21/2023 | 8/21/2024 | 4.88 | 25,000,000 | 24,990,000 | 24,997,404 | 24,961,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENJ84 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 8/26/2022 | 8/26/2024 | 3.38 | 50,000,000 | 49,916,500 | 49,983,209 | 49,631,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ATVD6 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 11/10/2022 | 9/13/2024 | 4.88 | 50,000,000 | 50,062,000 | 50,015,201 | 49,902,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EM5X6 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 9/23/2021 | 9/23/2024 | 0.43 | 25,000,000 | 24,974,750 | 24,995,968 | 24,460,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EM5X6 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 9/23/2021 | 9/23/2024 | 0.43 | 50,000,000 | 49,949,500 | 49,991,937 | 48,921,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EM5X6 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 9/23/2021 | 9/23/2024 | 0.43 | 50,000,000 | 49,949,500 | 49,991,937 | 48,921,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENP79 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 9/26/2022 | 9/26/2024 | 4.25 | 50,000,000 | 49,996,000 | 49,999,026 | 49,765,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ATT31 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 11/1/2022 | 10/3/2024 | 4.50 | 50,000,000 | 49,860,500 | 49,963,237 | 49,806,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135GAFY2 | Fannie Mae | 4/3/2023 | 10/3/2024 | 5.32 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 24,979,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135GAFY2 | Fannie Mae | 4/3/2023 | 10/3/2024 | 5.32 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 24,979,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135GAFY2 | Fannie Mae | 4/3/2023 | 10/3/2024 | 5.32 | 50,000,000 | 50,000,000 | 50,000,000 | 49,958,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 313384K32 | Federal Home Loan Bank Discount | 3/26/2024 | 10/11/2024 | 0.00 | 25,000,000 | 24,306,264 | 24,327,181 | 24,317,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPHD0 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 4/28/2023 | 10/28/2024 | 4.50 | 20,000,000 | 19,968,400 | 19,987,913 | 19,939,400 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPHD0 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 4/28/2023 | 10/28/2024 | 4.50 | 25,000,000 | 24,959,000 | 24,984,317 | 24,924,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENEJ5 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 11/18/2021 | 11/18/2024 | 0.88 | 10,000,000 | 9,988,500 | 9,997,576 | 9,733,400 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENEJ5 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 11/18/2021 | 11/18/2024 | 0.88 | 10,000,000 | 9,988,500 | 9,997,576 | 9,733,400 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENEJ5 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 11/18/2021 | 11/18/2024 | 0.88 | 50,000,000 | 49,942,500 | 49,987,881 | 48,667,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENZ94 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 11/18/2022 | 11/18/2024 | 4.50 | 25,000,000 | 24,973,500 | 24,991,626 | 24,889,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELCP7 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 12/3/2019 | 12/3/2024 | 1.63 | 25,000,000 | 24,960,000 | 24,994,614 | 24,427,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENGQ7 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 12/9/2021 | 12/9/2024 | 0.92 | 50,000,000 | 49,985,000 | 49,996,551 | 48,590,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENGQ7 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 12/9/2021 | 12/9/2024 | 0.92 | 50,000,000 | 49,963,000 | 49,991,493 | 48,590,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN4N7 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 12/20/2022 | 12/20/2024 | 4.25 | 10,000,000 | 9,982,900 | 9,993,848 | 9,937,400 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN4N7 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 12/20/2022 | 12/20/2024 | 4.25 | 25,000,000 | 24,954,500 | 24,983,630 | 24,843,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN4N7 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 12/20/2022 | 12/20/2024 | 4.25 | 25,000,000 | 24,954,500 | 24,983,630 | 24,843,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135GAG39 | Fannie Mae | 3/30/2023 | 12/30/2024 | 5.38 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 24,964,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135GAG39 | Fannie Mae | 3/30/2023 | 12/30/2024 | 5.38 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 24,964,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135GAG39 | Fannie Mae | 3/30/2023 | 12/30/2024 | 5.38 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 24,964,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135GAG39 | Fannie Mae | 3/30/2023 | 12/30/2024 | 5.38 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 24,964,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENKS8 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 1/11/2022 | 1/6/2025 | 1.13 | 20,000,000 | 19,955,000 | 19,988,451 | 19,399,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENKS8 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 1/11/2022 | 1/6/2025 | 1.13 | 25,000,000 | 24,943,750 | 24,985,564 | 24,248,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENKS8 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 1/11/2022 | 1/6/2025 | 1.13 | 25,000,000 | 24,943,750 | 24,985,564 | 24,248,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G0X24 | Fannie Mae | 4/21/2021 | 1/7/2025 | 1.63 | 39,060,000 | 40,632,556 | 39,385,636 | 38,028,816 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENZ37 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 11/10/2022 | 1/10/2025 | 4.88 | 10,000,000 | 9,999,400 | 9,999,785 | 9,977,400 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENZ37 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 11/10/2022 | 1/10/2025 | 4.88 | 20,000,000 | 19,998,800 | 19,999,570 | 19,954,800 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENZ37 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 11/10/2022 | 1/10/2025 | 4.88 | 20,000,000 | 19,999,580 | 19,999,849 | 19,954,800 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130B0MZ9 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 3/27/2024 | 1/27/2025 | 5.10 | 115,000,000 | 115,000,000 | 115,000,000 | 114,990,800 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPAG0 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 2/10/2023 | 2/10/2025 | 4.25 | 10,000,000 | 9,947,200 | 9,977,248 | 9,929,300 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPAG0 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 2/10/2023 | 2/10/2025 | 4.25 | 29,875,000 | 29,716,065 | 29,806,512 | 29,663,784 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | Freddie Mac | 2/14/2020 | 2/12/2025 | 1.50 | 5,000,000 | 4,996,150 | 4,999,331 | 4,847,150 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | Freddie Mac | 2/14/2020 | 2/12/2025 | 1.50 | 5,000,000 | 4,996,150 | 4,999,331 | 4,847,150 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | Freddie Mac | 2/14/2020 | 2/12/2025 | 1.50 | 5,000,000 | 4,996,150 | 4,999,331 | 4,847,150 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | Freddie Mac | 2/14/2020 | 2/12/2025 | 1.50 | 15,000,000 | 14,988,450 | 14,997,994 | 14,541,450 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | Freddie Mac | 2/14/2020 | 2/12/2025 | 1.50 | 50,000,000 | 49,961,500 | 49,993,313 | 48,471,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | Freddie Mac | 4/21/2021 | 2/12/2025 | 1.50 | 53,532,000 | 55,450,052 | 53,968,484 | 51,895,527 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AUVZ4 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 2/13/2023 | 2/13/2025 | 4.50 | 50,000,000 | 49,921,500 | 49,965,851 | 49,762,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AV7L0 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 3/3/2023 | 2/28/2025 | 5.00 | 25,000,000 | 24,967,000 | 24,984,905 | 24,964,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AV7L0 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 3/3/2023 | 2/28/2025 | 5.00 | 35,000,000 | 34,953,800 | 34,978,867 | 34,949,950 |


|  |  |  |  | Maturity |  |  |  | Amortized |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Settle Date | Date | Coupon | Par Value | Original Cost | Book Value | Market Value |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELQY3 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 3/23/2020 | 3/3/2025 | 1.21 | 16,000,000 | 15,990,720 | 15,998,273 | 15,456,960 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELQY3 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 3/23/2020 | 3/3/2025 | 1.21 | 24,000,000 | 23,964,240 | 23,993,347 | 23,185,440 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMWT5 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 4/21/2021 | 4/21/2025 | 0.60 | 50,000,000 | 49,973,500 | 49,993,017 | 47,847,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G03U5 | Fannie Mae | 12/8/2021 | 4/22/2025 | 0.63 | 37,938,000 | 37,367,792 | 37,759,202 | 36,223,582 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G03U5 | Fannie Mae | 7/12/2021 | 4/22/2025 | 0.63 | 50,000,000 | 50,108,000 | 50,030,209 | 47,740,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G03U5 | Fannie Mae | 12/8/2021 | 4/22/2025 | 0.63 | 50,000,000 | 49,243,950 | 49,762,928 | 47,740,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENXE5 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 5/23/2022 | 5/23/2025 | 2.85 | 6,000,000 | 5,991,600 | 5,996,804 | 5,866,560 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENXE5 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 5/23/2022 | 5/23/2025 | 2.85 | 20,000,000 | 19,972,000 | 19,989,347 | 19,555,200 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AWER7 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 6/12/2023 | 6/6/2025 | 4.63 | 10,000,000 | 9,991,700 | 9,995,066 | 9,963,600 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AWER7 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 6/12/2023 | 6/6/2025 | 4.63 | 15,000,000 | 14,987,550 | 14,992,599 | 14,945,400 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AWER7 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 6/12/2023 | 6/6/2025 | 4.63 | 25,000,000 | 24,979,250 | 24,987,664 | 24,909,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AWER7 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 6/12/2023 | 6/6/2025 | 4.63 | 52,000,000 | 51,956,840 | 51,974,342 | 51,810,720 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ASG86 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 8/4/2022 | 6/13/2025 | 3.38 | 11,940,000 | 12,000,178 | 11,965,247 | 11,729,975 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ASG86 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 8/3/2022 | 6/13/2025 | 3.38 | 12,700,000 | 12,806,045 | 12,744,448 | 12,476,607 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ATST5 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 5/10/2023 | 6/13/2025 | 4.38 | 3,000,000 | 3,012,270 | 3,007,025 | 2,981,730 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ATST5 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 5/8/2023 | 6/13/2025 | 4.38 | 9,915,000 | 9,975,878 | 9,949,765 | 9,854,618 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ATST5 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 5/8/2023 | 6/13/2025 | 4.38 | 10,000,000 | 10,065,000 | 10,037,119 | 9,939,100 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ATST5 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 5/11/2023 | 6/13/2025 | 4.38 | 10,000,000 | 10,036,000 | 10,020,639 | 9,939,100 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ATST5 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 5/17/2023 | 6/13/2025 | 4.38 | 24,000,000 | 24,079,440 | 24,045,903 | 23,853,840 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ATST5 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 5/9/2023 | 6/13/2025 | 4.38 | 25,500,000 | 25,624,695 | 25,571,301 | 25,344,705 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AWLY4 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 7/25/2023 | 6/13/2025 | 5.13 | 10,800,000 | 10,818,036 | 10,811,466 | 10,820,196 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AWLY4 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 7/25/2023 | 6/13/2025 | 5.13 | 48,150,000 | 48,241,967 | 48,208,463 | 48,240,041 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN4B3 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 12/13/2022 | 6/13/2025 | 4.25 | 15,000,000 | 14,988,383 | 14,994,427 | 14,897,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN4B3 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 12/13/2022 | 6/13/2025 | 4.25 | 15,000,000 | 14,989,800 | 14,995,107 | 14,897,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN4B3 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 12/13/2022 | 6/13/2025 | 4.25 | 15,000,000 | 14,989,050 | 14,994,747 | 14,897,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENYQ7 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 6/13/2022 | 6/13/2025 | 2.95 | 50,000,000 | 49,975,500 | 49,990,209 | 48,889,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G04Z3 | Fannie Mae | 12/8/2021 | 6/17/2025 | 0.50 | 4,655,000 | 4,556,640 | 4,621,220 | 4,415,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G04Z3 | Fannie Mae | 12/8/2021 | 6/17/2025 | 0.50 | 10,000,000 | 9,789,600 | 9,927,741 | 9,485,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AN4A5 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 7/12/2021 | 6/30/2025 | 0.70 | 17,680,000 | 17,734,631 | 17,697,155 | 16,791,934 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPKA2 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 5/18/2023 | 8/18/2025 | 4.00 | 25,000,000 | 24,982,000 | 24,988,977 | 24,734,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPKA2 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 5/18/2023 | 8/18/2025 | 4.00 | 26,500,000 | 26,483,835 | 26,490,101 | 26,218,835 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPKA2 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 5/18/2023 | 8/18/2025 | 4.00 | 30,000,000 | 29,981,700 | 29,988,793 | 29,681,700 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G05X7 | Fannie Mae | 3/4/2021 | 8/25/2025 | 0.38 | 25,000,000 | 24,684,250 | 24,901,316 | 23,497,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G05X7 | Fannie Mae | 2/25/2021 | 8/25/2025 | 0.38 | 72,500,000 | 71,862,000 | 72,301,451 | 68,143,475 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130B0AD1 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 3/4/2024 | 9/4/2025 | 5.50 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,004,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130B0AD1 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 3/4/2024 | 9/4/2025 | 5.50 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,004,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130B0AD1 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 3/4/2024 | 9/4/2025 | 5.50 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,004,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130B0AD1 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 3/4/2024 | 9/4/2025 | 5.50 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,004,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130A8ZQ9 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 11/2/2021 | 9/12/2025 | 1.75 | 10,295,000 | 10,575,333 | 10,400,175 | 9,852,727 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPVY8 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 9/15/2023 | 9/15/2025 | 5.00 | 8,230,000 | 8,224,074 | 8,225,688 | 8,241,440 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPVY8 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 9/15/2023 | 9/15/2025 | 5.00 | 15,000,000 | 14,981,850 | 14,986,791 | 15,020,850 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPVY8 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 9/15/2023 | 9/15/2025 | 5.00 | 20,000,000 | 19,975,800 | 19,982,388 | 20,027,800 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEX3 | Freddie Mac | 3/4/2021 | 9/23/2025 | 0.38 | 22,600,000 | 22,295,352 | 22,501,136 | 21,173,262 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPDL6 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 3/15/2023 | 10/1/2025 | 4.85 | 50,000,000 | 50,000,000 | 50,000,000 | 50,033,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPYW9 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 10/20/2023 | 10/20/2025 | 5.13 | 24,000,000 | 23,923,440 | 23,940,616 | 24,119,760 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPYW9 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 10/20/2023 | 10/20/2025 | 5.13 | 25,000,000 | 24,985,500 | 24,988,753 | 25,124,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPYW9 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 10/20/2023 | 10/20/2025 | 5.13 | 35,000,000 | 34,972,350 | 34,978,553 | 35,174,650 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPYW9 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 10/20/2023 | 10/20/2025 | 5.13 | 50,000,000 | 49,972,000 | 49,978,282 | 50,249,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENEG1 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 11/17/2021 | 11/17/2025 | 1.05 | 39,675,000 | 39,622,232 | 39,653,510 | 37,333,778 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENEG1 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 11/17/2021 | 11/17/2025 | 1.05 | 55,000,000 | 54,923,000 | 54,968,641 | 51,754,450 |


|  |  |  |  | Maturity |  |  |  | Amortized |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Settle Date | Date | Coupon | Par Value | Original Cost | Book Value | Market Value |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENHM5 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 12/16/2021 | 12/16/2025 | 1.17 | 45,000,000 | 44,954,100 | 44,980,396 | 42,337,800 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENHM5 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 12/16/2021 | 12/16/2025 | 1.17 | 50,000,000 | 49,949,000 | 49,978,218 | 47,042,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN5E6 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 12/29/2022 | 12/29/2025 | 4.00 | 15,000,000 | 14,954,700 | 14,973,671 | 14,796,300 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN5E6 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 12/29/2022 | 12/29/2025 | 4.00 | 20,000,000 | 19,939,600 | 19,964,895 | 19,728,400 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN5E6 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 12/29/2022 | 12/29/2025 | 4.00 | 25,000,000 | 24,923,750 | 24,955,683 | 24,660,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN6A3 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 1/13/2023 | 1/13/2026 | 4.00 | 20,000,000 | 19,982,400 | 19,989,530 | 19,745,600 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN6A3 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 1/13/2023 | 1/13/2026 | 4.00 | 30,000,000 | 29,977,200 | 29,986,436 | 29,618,400 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AUTC8 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 2/9/2023 | 2/6/2026 | 4.01 | 21,100,000 | 20,985,427 | 21,029,139 | 20,807,554 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPJX4 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 5/17/2023 | 2/17/2026 | 3.63 | 25,000,000 | 24,928,500 | 24,951,221 | 24,525,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPJX4 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 5/17/2023 | 2/17/2026 | 3.63 | 30,000,000 | 29,905,500 | 29,935,530 | 29,430,600 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPBJ3 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 2/23/2023 | 2/23/2026 | 4.38 | 25,000,000 | 24,953,500 | 24,970,598 | 24,859,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPBJ3 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 2/23/2023 | 2/23/2026 | 4.38 | 28,000,000 | 27,954,080 | 27,970,965 | 27,842,920 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPBJ3 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 2/23/2023 | 2/23/2026 | 4.38 | 50,000,000 | 49,918,000 | 49,948,151 | 49,719,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENJ35 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 8/25/2022 | 2/25/2026 | 3.32 | 35,000,000 | 34,957,650 | 34,977,005 | 34,136,900 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AXB31 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 11/2/2023 | 3/13/2026 | 4.88 | 10,000,000 | 9,953,900 | 9,961,976 | 10,036,800 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AXB31 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 11/2/2023 | 3/13/2026 | 4.88 | 10,000,000 | 9,950,700 | 9,959,336 | 10,036,800 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AXB31 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 11/2/2023 | 3/13/2026 | 4.88 | 10,000,000 | 9,950,700 | 9,959,336 | 10,036,800 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMZ21 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 8/9/2021 | 4/6/2026 | 0.69 | 15,500,000 | 15,458,150 | 15,481,917 | 14,319,985 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENUD0 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 4/8/2022 | 4/8/2026 | 2.64 | 20,000,000 | 19,961,200 | 19,980,427 | 19,217,400 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENUD0 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 4/8/2022 | 4/8/2026 | 2.64 | 30,000,000 | 29,941,800 | 29,970,641 | 28,826,100 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AVWS7 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 5/10/2023 | 6/12/2026 | 3.75 | 17,045,000 | 16,991,479 | 17,006,980 | 16,749,099 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AVWS7 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 5/17/2023 | 6/12/2026 | 3.75 | 20,000,000 | 19,939,200 | 19,956,540 | 19,652,800 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AWAH3 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 6/1/2023 | 6/12/2026 | 4.00 | 10,000,000 | 9,934,300 | 9,952,402 | 9,878,100 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AWAH3 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 6/1/2023 | 6/12/2026 | 4.00 | 15,000,000 | 14,899,350 | 14,927,081 | 14,817,150 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AWLZ1 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 7/10/2023 | 6/12/2026 | 4.75 | 50,000,000 | 49,856,000 | 49,891,865 | 50,158,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPMU6 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 6/15/2023 | 6/15/2026 | 4.25 | 20,000,000 | 19,969,200 | 19,977,378 | 19,844,600 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPMU6 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 6/15/2023 | 6/15/2026 | 4.25 | 24,700,000 | 24,640,226 | 24,656,097 | 24,508,081 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPMU6 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 6/15/2023 | 6/15/2026 | 4.25 | 30,000,000 | 29,951,400 | 29,964,304 | 29,766,900 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPNG6 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 6/23/2023 | 6/23/2026 | 4.38 | 25,000,000 | 24,986,750 | 24,990,171 | 24,868,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPNG6 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 6/23/2023 | 6/23/2026 | 4.38 | 25,000,000 | 24,986,750 | 24,990,171 | 24,868,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPNG6 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 6/23/2023 | 6/23/2026 | 4.38 | 50,000,000 | 49,973,500 | 49,980,343 | 49,737,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPVP7 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 9/8/2023 | 7/8/2026 | 4.75 | 10,000,000 | 9,991,700 | 9,993,354 | 10,019,100 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPVP7 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 9/8/2023 | 7/8/2026 | 4.75 | 19,000,000 | 18,984,800 | 18,987,828 | 19,036,290 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPVP7 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 9/8/2023 | 7/8/2026 | 4.75 | 21,000,000 | 20,982,780 | 20,986,211 | 21,040,110 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANNM8 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 8/19/2021 | 7/13/2026 | 1.05 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,035,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANNM8 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 8/19/2021 | 7/13/2026 | 1.05 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,035,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANNM8 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 8/19/2021 | 7/13/2026 | 1.05 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,035,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANNM8 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 8/19/2021 | 7/13/2026 | 1.05 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,035,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANMP2 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 8/20/2021 | 7/27/2026 | 1.07 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,003,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANMP2 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 8/20/2021 | 7/27/2026 | 1.07 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,003,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANMP2 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 8/20/2021 | 7/27/2026 | 1.07 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,003,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANMP2 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 8/20/2021 | 7/27/2026 | 1.07 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,003,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPZY4 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 10/30/2023 | 7/30/2026 | 5.00 | 3,000,000 | 2,991,930 | 2,993,168 | 3,026,520 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPZY4 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 10/30/2023 | 7/30/2026 | 5.00 | 9,615,000 | 9,589,136 | 9,593,103 | 9,699,997 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPZY4 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 10/30/2023 | 7/30/2026 | 5.00 | 16,000,000 | 15,956,960 | 15,963,562 | 16,141,440 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPZY4 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 10/30/2023 | 7/30/2026 | 5.00 | 25,000,000 | 24,936,750 | 24,946,452 | 25,221,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANTG5 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 9/13/2021 | 8/10/2026 | 1.05 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 22,963,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANTG5 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 9/13/2021 | 8/10/2026 | 1.05 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 22,963,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANTG5 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 9/13/2021 | 8/10/2026 | 1.05 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 22,963,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANTG5 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 9/13/2021 | 8/10/2026 | 1.05 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 22,963,250 |

Investment Inventory
Pooled Fund

|  |  |  |  | Maturity |  |  |  | Amortized |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Settle Date | Date | Coupon | Par Value | Original Cost | Book Value | Market Value |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPSW6 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 8/14/2023 | 8/14/2026 | 4.50 | 50,000,000 | 49,885,000 | 49,909,238 | 49,937,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AP6T7 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 10/1/2021 | 9/3/2026 | 1.08 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 22,925,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AP6T7 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 10/1/2021 | 9/3/2026 | 1.08 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 22,925,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AP6T7 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 10/1/2021 | 9/3/2026 | 1.08 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 22,925,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AP6T7 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 10/1/2021 | 9/3/2026 | 1.08 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 22,925,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EM4X7 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 12/12/2023 | 9/10/2026 | 0.80 | 28,975,000 | 26,174,277 | 26,484,227 | 26,465,475 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AXCP1 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 10/18/2023 | 9/11/2026 | 4.88 | 11,895,000 | 11,821,965 | 11,833,413 | 11,992,063 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130APPR0 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 11/18/2021 | 10/19/2026 | 1.43 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,073,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130APPR0 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 11/18/2021 | 10/19/2026 | 1.43 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,073,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130APPR0 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 11/18/2021 | 10/19/2026 | 1.43 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,073,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130APPR0 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 11/18/2021 | 10/19/2026 | 1.43 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,073,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPZA6 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 10/20/2023 | 10/20/2026 | 4.88 | 14,000,000 | 13,904,940 | 13,919,164 | 14,112,980 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPZA6 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 10/20/2023 | 10/20/2026 | 4.88 | 30,000,000 | 29,834,100 | 29,858,924 | 30,242,100 |
| Federal Agencies | 3134GYRY0 | Freddie Mac | 5/9/2023 | 11/2/2026 | 5.29 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 24,894,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3134GYRY0 | Freddie Mac | 5/9/2023 | 11/2/2026 | 5.29 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 24,894,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3134GYRY0 | Freddie Mac | 5/9/2023 | 11/2/2026 | 5.29 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 24,894,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3134GYRY0 | Freddie Mac | 5/9/2023 | 11/2/2026 | 5.29 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 24,894,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AQ7L1 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 12/16/2021 | 11/16/2026 | 1.61 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,184,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AQ7L1 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 12/16/2021 | 11/16/2026 | 1.61 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,184,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AQ7L1 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 12/16/2021 | 11/16/2026 | 1.61 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,184,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AQ7L1 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 12/16/2021 | 11/16/2026 | 1.61 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,184,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AXU63 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 11/17/2023 | 11/17/2026 | 4.63 | 50,000,000 | 49,911,500 | 49,922,482 | 50,144,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AQJ95 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 1/14/2022 | 12/14/2026 | 1.65 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,155,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AQJ95 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 1/14/2022 | 12/14/2026 | 1.65 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,155,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AQJ95 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 1/14/2022 | 12/14/2026 | 1.65 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,155,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AQJ95 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 1/14/2022 | 12/14/2026 | 1.65 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,155,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AYPN0 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 1/29/2024 | 1/15/2027 | 4.13 | 12,000,000 | 11,973,000 | 11,974,572 | 11,900,160 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AYPN0 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 1/29/2024 | 1/15/2027 | 4.13 | 25,000,000 | 24,943,750 | 24,947,025 | 24,792,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AYPN0 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 1/29/2024 | 1/15/2027 | 4.13 | 29,350,000 | 29,283,963 | 29,287,808 | 29,105,808 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AYPN0 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 1/29/2024 | 1/15/2027 | 4.13 | 50,000,000 | 49,887,500 | 49,894,050 | 49,584,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPX91 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 1/25/2024 | 1/25/2027 | 4.13 | 5,000,000 | 4,992,850 | 4,993,287 | 4,955,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPX91 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 1/25/2024 | 1/25/2027 | 4.13 | 10,000,000 | 9,986,600 | 9,987,419 | 9,911,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPX91 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 1/25/2024 | 1/25/2027 | 4.13 | 25,000,000 | 24,968,500 | 24,970,426 | 24,777,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPX91 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 1/25/2024 | 1/25/2027 | 4.13 | 35,000,000 | 34,955,900 | 34,958,596 | 34,688,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPX91 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 1/25/2024 | 1/25/2027 | 4.13 | 50,000,000 | 49,933,000 | 49,937,096 | 49,555,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ARB59 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 3/22/2022 | 3/8/2027 | 2.35 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,493,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ARB59 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 3/22/2022 | 3/8/2027 | 2.35 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,493,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ARB59 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 3/22/2022 | 3/8/2027 | 2.35 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,493,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ARB59 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 3/22/2022 | 3/8/2027 | 2.35 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 23,493,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENRD4 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 3/16/2022 | 3/10/2027 | 1.68 | 48,573,000 | 47,432,020 | 47,900,323 | 44,886,795 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENTS9 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 4/6/2022 | 4/5/2027 | 2.60 | 22,500,000 | 22,392,338 | 22,435,167 | 21,333,600 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENTS9 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 4/6/2022 | 4/5/2027 | 2.60 | 24,500,000 | 24,377,010 | 24,425,936 | 23,229,920 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENTS9 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 4/6/2022 | 4/5/2027 | 2.60 | 25,000,000 | 24,804,000 | 24,881,970 | 23,704,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN2L3 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 11/17/2022 | 5/17/2027 | 4.13 | 4,650,000 | 4,646,792 | 4,647,770 | 4,605,267 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN2L3 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 11/17/2022 | 5/17/2027 | 4.13 | 5,000,000 | 4,996,550 | 4,997,603 | 4,951,900 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN2L3 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 11/17/2022 | 5/17/2027 | 4.13 | 21,000,000 | 20,987,001 | 20,990,967 | 20,797,980 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN2L3 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 11/17/2022 | 5/17/2027 | 4.13 | 25,000,000 | 24,982,750 | 24,988,013 | 24,759,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPP66 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 12/20/2023 | 5/20/2027 | 4.00 | 31,000,000 | 30,905,760 | 30,913,544 | 30,589,560 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPP66 | Federal Farm Credit Bank | 12/20/2023 | 5/20/2027 | 4.00 | 58,850,000 | 58,662,269 | 58,677,775 | 58,070,826 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ASGU7 | Federal Home Loan Bank | 7/19/2022 | 6/11/2027 | 3.50 | 10,000,000 | 10,141,500 | 10,092,276 | 9,734,800 |



Investment Inventory
Pooled Fund

| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Maturity |  |  | Par Value |  | Original Cost |  | Amortized |  | Market Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Settle Date | Date | Coupon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Negotiable CDs | 13606KZN9 | Canadian Imperial Bank/NY | 8/2/2023 | 7/29/2024 | 5.92 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 60,050,400 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367DDS1 | Bank of Montreal/CHI | 10/10/2023 | 8/9/2024 | 5.88 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,051,000 |
| Negotiable CDs | 13606KD78 | Canadian Imperial Bank/NY | 9/20/2023 | 8/12/2024 | 5.92 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,059,500 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78015J7F8 | Royal Bank of Canada/NY | 9/20/2023 | 8/12/2024 | 5.93 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 60,085,200 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367DCF0 | Bank of Montreal/CHI | 8/28/2023 | 8/14/2024 | 6.01 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,069,500 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78015JE37 | Royal Bank of Canada/NY | 10/31/2023 | 8/15/2024 | 5.86 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,066,500 |
| Negotiable CDs | 13606KF92 | Canadian Imperial Bank/NY | 10/10/2023 | 8/16/2024 | 5.88 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,058,500 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78015JE78 | Royal Bank of Canada/NY | 10/31/2023 | 8/26/2024 | 5.86 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,071,500 |
| Negotiable CDs | 13606KC38 | Canadian Imperial Bank/NY | 9/11/2023 | 9/9/2024 | 5.94 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,079,000 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78015J5K9 | Royal Bank of Canada/NY | 9/12/2023 | 9/9/2024 | 5.90 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 60,090,000 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89115DC61 | Toronto Dominion Bank/NY | 3/6/2024 | 9/10/2024 | 5.37 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,983,500 |
| Negotiable CDs | 13606KW51 | Canadian Imperial Bank/NY | 3/6/2024 | 9/11/2024 | 5.37 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,987,000 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367DD44 | Bank of Montreal/CHI | 9/22/2023 | 9/23/2024 | 5.97 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,089,500 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78015JAK3 | Royal Bank of Canada/NY | 9/22/2023 | 9/23/2024 | 5.96 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 60,114,000 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89115DCA2 | Toronto Dominion Bank/NY | 3/6/2024 | 9/25/2024 | 5.36 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,981,000 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367DE43 | Bank of Montreal/CHI | 11/2/2023 | 10/21/2024 | 5.86 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 60,109,800 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89115BH52 | Toronto Dominion Bank/NY | 10/26/2023 | 10/21/2024 | 5.93 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,106,500 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367DFA8 | Bank of Montreal/CHI | 12/1/2023 | 10/24/2024 | 5.58 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,023,000 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78015JJ73 | Royal Bank of Canada/NY | 12/13/2023 | 10/24/2024 | 5.48 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,006,500 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89115BP95 | Toronto Dominion Bank/NY | 12/11/2023 | 10/24/2024 | 5.58 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,022,500 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367DEK7 | Bank of Montreal/CHI | 11/8/2023 | 11/6/2024 | 5.80 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,087,000 |
| Subtotals |  |  |  |  | 5.80 | \$ | 2,080,000,000 | \$ | 2,080,000,000 | \$ | 2,080,000,000 | \$ | 2,081,723,400 |
| Commercial Paper | 59515MD85 | Microsoft | 11/29/2023 | 4/8/2024 | 0.00 | \$ | 50,000,000 | \$ | 49,030,236 | \$ | 49,948,181 | \$ | 49,919,000 |
| Commercial Paper | 62479LD85 | MUFG Bank Ltd/NY | 12/1/2023 | 4/8/2024 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,014,583 |  | 49,946,528 |  | 49,919,000 |
| Commercial Paper | 62479LD85 | MUFG Bank Ltd/NY | 12/11/2023 | 4/8/2024 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,095,931 |  | 49,946,819 |  | 49,919,000 |
| Commercial Paper | 59515MDA0 | Microsoft | 12/12/2023 | 4/10/2024 | 0.00 |  | 55,000,000 |  | 54,021,000 |  | 54,926,575 |  | 54,894,400 |
| Commercial Paper | 59515MDN2 | Microsoft | 1/3/2024 | 4/22/2024 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,193,333 |  | 49,846,000 |  | 49,816,500 |
| Commercial Paper | 62479LDQ5 | MUFG Bank Ltd/NY | 1/2/2024 | 4/24/2024 | 0.00 |  | 75,000,000 |  | 73,735,813 |  | 74,742,688 |  | 74,702,250 |
| Commercial Paper | 89233GE36 | Toyota Motor Credit | 8/8/2023 | 5/3/2024 | 0.00 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 57,489,333 |  | 59,701,333 |  | 59,681,400 |
| Commercial Paper | 62479LE68 | MUFG Bank Ltd/NY | 3/4/2024 | 5/6/2024 | 0.00 |  | 51,000,000 |  | 50,521,620 |  | 50,734,233 |  | 50,707,770 |
| Commercial Paper | 89233GE69 | Toyota Motor Credit | 8/15/2023 | 5/6/2024 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 47,938,889 |  | 49,727,778 |  | 49,712,000 |
| Commercial Paper | 59515ME84 | Microsoft | 12/13/2023 | 5/8/2024 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 48,909,750 |  | 49,725,583 |  | 49,699,000 |
| Commercial Paper | 59515ME84 | Microsoft | 12/13/2023 | 5/8/2024 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 48,909,750 |  | 49,725,583 |  | 49,699,000 |
| Commercial Paper | 89233GEL6 | Toyota Motor Credit | 1/16/2024 | 5/20/2024 | 0.00 |  | 80,000,000 |  | 78,536,111 |  | 79,426,156 |  | 79,373,600 |
| Commercial Paper | 62479LEQ4 | MUFG Bank Ltd/NY | 2/20/2024 | 5/24/2024 | 0.00 |  | 40,000,000 |  | 39,440,178 |  | 39,684,356 |  | 39,664,000 |
| Commercial Paper | 62479LFE0 | MUFG Bank Ltd/NY | 2/20/2024 | 6/14/2024 | 0.00 |  | 20,000,000 |  | 19,658,833 |  | 19,780,467 |  | 19,769,400 |
| Commercial Paper | 59157TFH1 | MetLife Short term | 3/5/2024 | 6/17/2024 | 0.00 |  | 41,000,000 |  | 40,376,982 |  | 40,538,727 |  | 40,517,020 |
| Commercial Paper | 62479LFJ9 | MUFG Bank Ltd/NY | 2/20/2024 | 6/18/2024 | 0.00 |  | 55,000,000 |  | 54,029,158 |  | 54,363,650 |  | 54,332,850 |
| Commercial Paper | 62479LG17 | MUFG Bank Ltd/NY | 10/26/2023 | 7/1/2024 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 48,046,042 |  | 49,285,903 |  | 49,296,500 |
| Commercial Paper | 89233GG18 | Toyota Motor Credit | 10/23/2023 | 7/1/2024 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 48,036,500 |  | 49,290,958 |  | 49,298,000 |
| Commercial Paper | 89233GG18 | Toyota Motor Credit | 11/7/2023 | 7/1/2024 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 48,169,833 |  | 49,297,278 |  | 49,298,000 |
| Commercial Paper | 59515MGF6 | Microsoft | 3/5/2024 | 7/15/2024 | 0.00 |  | 10,000,000 |  | 9,808,600 |  | 9,847,750 |  | 9,840,100 |
| Commercial Paper | 59157TGQ0 | MetLife Short term | 3/5/2024 | 7/24/2024 | 0.00 |  | 48,500,000 |  | 47,508,418 |  | 47,698,295 |  | 47,675,500 |
| Commercial Paper | 59157TK44 | MetLife Short term | 3/28/2024 | 10/4/2024 | 0.00 |  | 15,000,000 |  | 14,588,333 |  | 14,597,000 |  | 14,584,650 |
| Commercial Paper | 89233GKP0 | Toyota Motor Credit | 3/26/2024 | 10/23/2024 | 0.00 |  | 75,000,000 |  | 72,714,167 |  | 72,779,167 |  | 72,762,000 |
| Commercial Paper | 62479LKQ7 | MUFG Bank Ltd/NY | 3/25/2024 | 10/24/2024 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 48,455,750 |  | 48,506,500 |  | 48,478,000 |
| Subtotals |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | \$ | 1,175,500,000 | \$ | 1,147,229,143 | \$ | 1,164,067,507 | \$ | 1,163,558,940 |


| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Settle Date | $\frac{\text { Maturity }}{\text { Date }}$ | Coupon |  | Par Value |  | Original Cost |  | Amortized Book Value |  | Market Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Money Market Funds | 09248U718 | BlackRock Liquidity Funds T-Fund | 3/31/2024 | 4/1/2024 | 5.19 | \$ | 13,184,772 | \$ | 13,184,772 | \$ | 13,184,772 | \$ | 13,184,772 |
| Money Market Funds | 31607A703 | Fidelity Govt Portfolio | 3/31/2024 | 4/1/2024 | 5.26 |  | 773,910,117 |  | 773,910,117 |  | 773,910,117 |  | 773,910,117 |
| Money Market Funds | 608919718 | Federated Hermes Govt Obligations FI | 3/31/2024 | 4/1/2024 | 5.24 |  | 398,285,003 |  | 398,285,003 |  | 398,285,003 |  | 398,285,003 |
| Money Market Funds | 262006208 | Dreyfus Government Cash Manageme | 3/31/2024 | 4/1/2024 | 5.20 |  | 12,556,693 |  | 12,556,693 |  | 12,556,693 |  | 12,556,693 |
| Money Market Funds | 85749T517 | State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MN | 3/31/2024 | 4/1/2024 | 5.23 |  | 465,377,458 |  | 465,377,458 |  | 465,377,458 |  | 465,377,458 |
| Money Market Funds | 61747 C 319 | Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity F | 3/31/2024 | 4/1/2024 | 5.22 |  | 25,017,884 |  | 25,017,884 |  | 25,017,884 |  | 25,017,884 |
| Subtotals |  |  |  |  | 5.17 | \$ | 1,688,331,927 | \$ | 1,688,331,927 | \$ | 1,688,331,927 | \$ | 1,688,331,927 |
| Supranationals | 45906M3B5 | Int'I Bank for Recon and Dev | 3/23/2022 | 6/14/2024 | 1.98 | \$ | 100,000,000 | \$ | 100,000,000 | \$ | 100,000,000 | \$ | 99,284,000 |
| Supranationals | 4581X0EE4 | Inter-American Development Bank | 7/1/2022 | 7/1/2024 | 3.25 |  | 80,000,000 |  | 79,992,000 |  | 79,999,004 |  | 79,582,400 |
| Supranationals | 459056HV2 | Int'l Bank for Recon and Dev | 11/2/2021 | 8/28/2024 | 1.50 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,984,250 |  | 50,142,382 |  | 49,236,000 |
| Supranationals | 4581X0DZ8 | Inter-American Development Bank | 11/4/2021 | 9/23/2024 | 0.50 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,595,500 |  | 49,932,839 |  | 48,854,500 |
| Supranationals | 45950VQG4 | International Finance Corp | 10/22/2021 | 9/23/2024 | 0.44 |  | 10,000,000 |  | 9,918,700 |  | 9,986,666 |  | 9,768,400 |
| Supranationals | 4581X0CM8 | Inter-American Development Bank | 4/26/2021 | 1/15/2025 | 2.13 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 105,676,000 |  | 101,206,150 |  | 97,658,000 |
| Supranationals | 459058HT3 | Int'I Bank for Recon and Dev | 3/22/2024 | 1/15/2025 | 1.63 |  | 29,314,000 |  | 28,488,811 |  | 28,516,409 |  | 28,506,106 |
| Supranationals | 459058JB0 | Int'l Bank for Recon and Dev | 7/23/2021 | 4/22/2025 | 0.63 |  | 40,000,000 |  | 40,086,000 |  | 40,024,248 |  | 38,234,800 |
| Supranationals | 4581X0DN5 | Inter-American Development Bank | 11/1/2021 | 7/15/2025 | 0.63 |  | 28,900,000 |  | 28,519,098 |  | 28,767,586 |  | 27,370,901 |
| Supranationals | 45950VRU2 | International Finance Corp | 1/26/2023 | 1/26/2026 | 4.02 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 98,495,000 |
| Supranationals | 45818WDG8 | Inter-American Development Bank | 8/25/2021 | 2/27/2026 | 0.82 |  | 19,500,000 |  | 19,556,907 |  | 19,524,083 |  | 18,123,495 |
| Subtotals |  |  |  |  | 2.12 | \$ | 607,714,000 | \$ | 612,817,266 | \$ | 608,099,367 | \$ | 595,113,602 |
| Grand Totals |  |  |  |  | 3.06 | \$ | 15,964,112,927 | \$ | 15,922,571,630 | \$ | 15,935,727,102 | \$ | 15,631,491,302 |

Monthly Investment Earnings
Pooled Fund

For month ended March 31, 2024

| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name |  | Par Value | Accured Interest Earned | (Amortization) $/$ <br> Accretion | Realized Gain/(Loss) | Total Earnings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912797GL5 | B 0.000 09/05/2024 | \$ | 50,000,000 |  | 141,714 |  | \$ | 141,714 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912797JP3 | B 0.000 04/23/2024 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 449,500 |  |  | 449,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912797JQ1 | B 0.000 04/30/2024 |  | 35,000,000 |  | 138,637 |  |  | 138,637 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828G38 | T 2.250 11/15/2024 |  | 50,000,000 | 95,810 | $(72,728)$ |  |  | 23,082 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828G38 | T 2.250 11/15/2024 |  | 50,000,000 | 95,810 | $(74,467)$ |  |  | 21,343 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828R36 | T 1.625 05/15/2026 |  | 50,000,000 | 69,196 | $(38,871)$ |  |  | 30,325 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828R36 | T 1.625 05/15/2026 |  | 50,000,000 | 69,196 | $(34,036)$ |  |  | 35,161 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828XB1 | T $2.12505 / 15 / 2025$ |  | 50,000,000 | 90,488 | $(65,387)$ |  |  | 25,101 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828XT2 | T 2.000 05/31/2024 |  | 50,000,000 | 84,699 | $(66,202)$ |  |  | 18,498 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828Y87 | T 1.750 07/31/2024 |  | 50,000,000 | 74,519 | $(56,226)$ |  |  | 18,294 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828YM6 | T 1.500 10/31/2024 |  | 50,000,000 | 63,874 | $(41,798)$ |  |  | 22,075 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828YY0 | T 1.750 12/31/2024 |  | 50,000,000 | 74,519 | $(49,765)$ |  |  | 24,755 |
| U.S. Treasuries | $912828 Z 52$ | T 1.375 01/31/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 58,551 | $(33,489)$ |  |  | 25,062 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828752 | T 1.375 01/31/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 58,551 | $(33,700)$ |  |  | 24,851 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZC7 | T $1.12502 / 28 / 2025$ |  | 50,000,000 | 47,385 | $(21,690)$ |  |  | 25,695 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZC7 | T $1.12502 / 28 / 2025$ |  | 50,000,000 | 47,385 | $(21,636)$ |  |  | 25,749 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZF0 | T 0.500 03/31/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 21,175 | 4,732 |  |  | 25,906 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZF0 | T 0.500 03/31/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 21,175 | 3,443 |  |  | 24,618 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZL7 | T 0.375 04/30/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 15,968 | 8,266 |  |  | 24,234 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZW3 | T 0.250 06/30/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 10,646 | 16,915 |  |  | 27,560 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZW3 | T 0.250 06/30/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 10,646 | 18,849 |  |  | 29,494 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZW3 | T 0.250 06/30/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 10,646 | 14,756 |  |  | 25,401 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZW3 | T 0.250 06/30/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 10,646 | 16,772 |  |  | 27,417 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZW3 | T 0.250 06/30/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 10,646 | 15,378 |  |  | 26,024 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZW3 | T 0.250 06/30/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 10,646 | 14,750 |  |  | 25,396 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZW3 | T 0.250 06/30/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 10,646 | 10,877 |  |  | 21,523 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZW3 | T 0.250 06/30/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 10,646 | 12,926 |  |  | 23,571 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZW3 | T 0.250 06/30/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 10,646 | 32,670 |  |  | 43,316 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CAB7 | T 0.250 07/31/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 10,646 | 11,519 |  |  | 22,164 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CAB7 | T 0.250 07/31/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 10,646 | 13,566 |  |  | 24,211 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CAM3 | T 0.250 09/30/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 10,587 | 17,234 |  |  | 27,822 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CAM3 | T 0.250 09/30/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 10,587 | 14,592 |  |  | 25,179 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CAT8 | T 0.250 10/31/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 10,646 | 12,719 |  |  | 23,364 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CAT8 | T 0.250 10/31/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 10,646 | 16,771 |  |  | 27,417 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CAT8 | T 0.250 10/31/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 10,646 | 17,325 |  |  | 27,970 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CBC4 | T 0.375 12/31/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 15,968 | 9,544 |  |  | 25,512 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CBC4 | T 0.375 12/31/2025 |  | 50,000,000 | 15,968 | 12,767 |  |  | 28,735 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CBR1 | T 0.250 03/15/2024 |  |  | 4,808 | 24,491 |  |  | 29,299 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CBW0 | T 0.750 04/30/2026 |  | 50,000,000 | 31,937 | 5,928 |  |  | 37,865 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CBW0 | T 0.750 04/30/2026 |  | 50,000,000 | 31,937 | 4,739 |  |  | 36,676 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCC3 | T 0.250 05/15/2024 |  | 50,000,000 | 10,646 | 8,319 |  |  | 18,965 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCJ8 | T 0.875 06/30/2026 |  | 50,000,000 | 37,260 | 1,162 |  |  | 38,421 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCJ8 | T 0.875 06/30/2026 |  | 50,000,000 | 37,260 | $(1,203)$ |  |  | 36,057 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCJ8 | T 0.875 06/30/2026 |  | 50,000,000 | 37,260 | $(5,941)$ |  |  | 31,319 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCJ8 | T 0.875 06/30/2026 |  | 50,000,000 | 37,260 | $(5,639)$ |  |  | 31,621 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCJ8 | T 0.875 06/30/2026 |  | 50,000,000 | 37,260 | $(7,040)$ |  |  | 30,220 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCJ8 | T 0.875 06/30/2026 |  | 50,000,000 | 37,260 | $(4,172)$ |  |  | 33,087 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCJ8 | T 0.875 06/30/2026 |  | 50,000,000 | 37,260 | 1,114 |  |  | 38,373 |


| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Par Value | Accured <br> Interest Earned |  | $\frac{\text { (Amortization) } /}{\text { Accretion }}$ |  | Realized Gain/(Loss) | Total Earnings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCJ8 | T 0.875 06/30/2026 | 50,000,000 |  | 37,260 |  | 7,322 |  |  | 44,582 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCJ8 | T 0.875 06/30/2026 | 50,000,000 |  | 37,260 |  | 18,408 |  |  | 55,668 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCL3 | T 0.375 07/15/2024 | 50,000,000 |  | 15,968 |  | 56 |  |  | 16,025 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCL3 | T 0.375 07/15/2024 | 50,000,000 |  | 15,968 |  | 1,131 |  |  | 17,099 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCL3 | T 0.375 07/15/2024 | 50,000,000 |  | 15,968 |  | 91,224 |  |  | 107,192 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCT6 | T 0.375 08/15/2024 | 50,000,000 |  | 15,968 |  | 2,899 |  |  | 18,868 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCW9 | T 0.750 08/31/2026 | 50,000,000 |  | 31,590 |  | 9,496 |  |  | 41,086 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCZ2 | T 0.875 09/30/2026 | 50,000,000 |  | 37,056 |  | 5,295 |  |  | 42,351 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCZ2 | T 0.875 09/30/2026 | 50,000,000 |  | 37,056 |  | 5,595 |  |  | 42,651 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCZ2 | T 0.875 09/30/2026 | 50,000,000 |  | 37,056 |  | 11,694 |  |  | 48,750 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CDK4 | T 1.250 11/30/2026 | 50,000,000 |  | 52,937 |  | $(1,229)$ |  |  | 51,708 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CDK4 | T 1.250 11/30/2026 | 50,000,000 |  | 52,937 |  | $(1,997)$ |  |  | 50,940 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CDK4 | T 1.250 11/30/2026 | 50,000,000 |  | 52,937 |  | 53,063 |  |  | 106,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CDQ1 | T 1.250 12/31/2026 | 50,000,000 |  | 53,228 |  | 51,594 |  |  | 104,822 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CEF4 | T 2.500 03/31/2027 | 25,000,000 |  | 52,937 |  | 4,125 |  |  | 57,062 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CEW7 | T 3.250 06/30/2027 | 50,000,000 |  | 49,107 |  | 16,526 |  |  | 65,634 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CFK2 | T 3.500 09/15/2025 | 50,000,000 |  | 148,150 |  | 29,766 |  |  | 177,916 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CHK0 | T 4.000 06/30/2028 | 50,000,000 |  | 170,330 |  | 481 |  |  | 170,810 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CHK0 | T 4.000 06/30/2028 | 50,000,000 |  | 170,330 |  | 1,379 |  |  | 171,708 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CHK0 | T 4.000 06/30/2028 | 50,000,000 |  | 170,330 |  | 1,826 |  |  | 172,155 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CHK0 | T 4.000 06/30/2028 | 50,000,000 |  | 170,330 |  | 6,221 |  |  | 176,550 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CHK0 | T 4.000 06/30/2028 | 50,000,000 |  | 170,330 |  | 13,714 |  |  | 184,043 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CHL8 | T 4.625 06/30/2025 | 50,000,000 |  | 196,944 |  | 1,425 |  |  | 198,368 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CHX2 | T 4.375 08/31/2028 | 50,000,000 |  | 184,273 |  | $(2,072)$ |  |  | 182,201 |
| Subtotals |  |  | \$ 3,560,000,000 | \$ | 3,506,846 | \$ | 765,925 | \$ | \$ | 4,272,770 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130A1XJ2 | FHLB 2.875 06/14/2024 | \$ 15,955,000 | \$ | 38,226 | \$ | $(2,186)$ |  | \$ | 36,040 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130A1XJ2 | FHLB 2.875 06/14/2024 | 17,980,000 |  | 43,077 |  | $(2,610)$ |  |  | 40,467 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130A1XJ2 | FHLB 2.875 06/14/2024 | 25,500,000 |  | 61,094 |  | $(2,131)$ |  |  | 58,962 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130A1XJ2 | FHLB 2.875 06/14/2024 | 50,000,000 |  | 119,792 |  | $(8,321)$ |  |  | 111,471 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130A8ZQ9 | FHLB 1.750 09/12/2025 | 10,295,000 |  | 15,014 |  | $(6,163)$ |  |  | 8,850 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AN4A5 | FHLB 0.700 06/30/2025 | 17,680,000 |  | 10,313 |  | $(1,169)$ |  |  | 9,145 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANMP2 | FHLB 1.070 07/27/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 22,292 |  |  |  |  | 22,292 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANMP2 | FHLB 1.070 07/27/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 22,292 |  |  |  |  | 22,292 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANMP2 | FHLB 1.070 07/27/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 22,292 |  |  |  |  | 22,292 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANMP2 | FHLB 1.070 07/27/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 22,292 |  |  |  |  | 22,292 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANNM8 | FHLB 1.050 07/13/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 21,875 |  |  |  |  | 21,875 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANNM8 | FHLB 1.050 07/13/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 21,875 |  |  |  |  | 21,875 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANNM8 | FHLB 1.050 07/13/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 21,875 |  |  |  |  | 21,875 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANNM8 | FHLB 1.050 07/13/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 21,875 |  |  |  |  | 21,875 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANTG5 | FHLB 1.050 08/10/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 21,875 |  |  |  |  | 21,875 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANTG5 | FHLB 1.050 08/10/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 21,875 |  |  |  |  | 21,875 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANTG5 | FHLB 1.050 08/10/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 21,875 |  |  |  |  | 21,875 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ANTG5 | FHLB 1.050 08/10/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 21,875 |  |  |  |  | 21,875 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AP6T7 | FHLB 1.075 09/03/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 22,396 |  |  |  |  | 22,396 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AP6T7 | FHLB 1.075 09/03/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 22,396 |  |  |  |  | 22,396 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AP6T7 | FHLB 1.075 09/03/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 22,396 |  |  |  |  | 22,396 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AP6T7 | FHLB 1.075 09/03/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 22,396 |  |  |  |  | 22,396 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130APPR0 | FHLB 1.430 10/19/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 29,792 |  |  |  |  | 29,792 |

Monthly Investment Earnings
Pooled Fund

| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Par Value | Accured Interest Earned | $\frac{(\text { Amortization) } /}{\text { Accretion }}$ | Realized Gain/(Loss) | Total Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Agencies | 3130APPR0 | FHLB 1.430 10/19/2026 | 25,000,000 | 29,792 |  |  | 29,792 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130APPR0 | FHLB 1.430 10/19/2026 | 25,000,000 | 29,792 |  |  | 29,792 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130APPR0 | FHLB 1.430 10/19/2026 | 25,000,000 | 29,792 |  |  | 29,792 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AQ7L1 | FHLB 1.605 11/16/2026 | 25,000,000 | 33,438 |  |  | 33,438 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AQ7L1 | FHLB 1.605 11/16/2026 | 25,000,000 | 33,438 |  |  | 33,438 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AQ7L1 | FHLB 1.605 11/16/2026 | 25,000,000 | 33,438 |  |  | 33,438 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AQ7L1 | FHLB 1.605 11/16/2026 | 25,000,000 | 33,438 |  |  | 33,438 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AQJ95 | FHLB 1.645 12/14/2026 | 25,000,000 | 34,271 |  |  | 34,271 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AQJ95 | FHLB 1.645 12/14/2026 | 25,000,000 | 34,271 |  |  | 34,271 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AQJ95 | FHLB 1.645 12/14/2026 | 25,000,000 | 34,271 |  |  | 34,271 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AQJ95 | FHLB 1.645 12/14/2026 | 25,000,000 | 34,271 |  |  | 34,271 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ARB59 | FHLB 2.350 03/08/2027 | 25,000,000 | 48,958 |  |  | 48,958 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ARB59 | FHLB 2.350 03/08/2027 | 25,000,000 | 48,958 |  |  | 48,958 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ARB59 | FHLB 2.350 03/08/2027 | 25,000,000 | 48,958 |  |  | 48,958 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ARB59 | FHLB 2.350 03/08/2027 | 25,000,000 | 48,958 |  |  | 48,958 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ASG86 | FHLB 3.375 06/13/2025 | 11,940,000 | 33,581 | $(1,787)$ |  | 31,794 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ASG86 | FHLB 3.375 06/13/2025 | 12,700,000 | 35,719 | $(3,146)$ |  | 32,573 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ASGU7 | FHLB 3.500 06/11/2027 | 10,000,000 | 29,167 | $(2,453)$ |  | 26,713 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ASGU7 | FHLB 3.500 06/11/2027 | 12,375,000 | 36,094 | $(3,083)$ |  | 33,011 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ASGU7 | FHLB 3.500 06/11/2027 | 21,725,000 | 63,365 | $(5,058)$ |  | 58,307 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ASHK8 | FHLB 3.125 06/14/2024 | 28,000,000 | 72,917 | 4,271 |  | 77,188 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ASHK8 | FHLB 3.125 06/14/2024 | 28,210,000 | 73,464 | 4,253 |  | 77,716 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ASME6 | FHLB 3.000 07/08/2024 | 10,000,000 | 25,000 | 823 |  | 25,823 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ASME6 | FHLB 3.000 07/08/2024 | 15,000,000 | 37,500 | 1,234 |  | 38,734 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ASME6 | FHLB 3.000 07/08/2024 | 17,500,000 | 43,750 | 1,440 |  | 45,190 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ATST5 | FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025 | 3,000,000 | 10,938 | (497) |  | 10,440 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ATST5 | FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025 | 9,915,000 | 36,148 | $(2,461)$ |  | 33,688 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ATST5 | FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025 | 10,000,000 | 36,458 | $(2,627)$ |  | 33,831 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ATST5 | FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025 | 10,000,000 | 36,458 | $(1,461)$ |  | 34,998 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ATST5 | FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025 | 24,000,000 | 87,500 | $(3,249)$ |  | 84,251 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ATST5 | FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025 | 25,500,000 | 92,969 | $(5,046)$ |  | 87,922 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ATT31 | FHLB 4.500 10/03/2024 | 50,000,000 | 187,500 | 6,160 |  | 193,660 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ATUQ8 | FHLB 4.750 03/08/2024 |  | 9,236 | (194) |  | 9,042 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ATUQ8 | FHLB 4.750 03/08/2024 |  | 18,472 | (12) |  | 18,460 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ATUQ8 | FHLB 4.750 03/08/2024 |  | 27,708 | (26) |  | 27,682 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ATUQ8 | FHLB 4.750 03/08/2024 |  | 27,708 | 332 |  | 28,040 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ATUQ8 | FHLB 4.750 03/08/2024 |  | 23,090 | 276 |  | 23,367 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ATVD6 | FHLB 4.875 09/13/2024 | 50,000,000 | 203,125 | $(2,856)$ |  | 200,269 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AUTC8 | FHLB 4.010 02/06/2026 | 21,100,000 | 70,509 | 3,250 |  | 73,759 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AUVZ4 | FHLB 4.500 02/13/2025 | 50,000,000 | 187,500 | 3,329 |  | 190,829 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AV7L0 | FHLB 5.000 02/28/2025 | 25,000,000 | 104,167 | 1,405 |  | 105,572 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AV7L0 | FHLB 5.000 02/28/2025 | 35,000,000 | 145,833 | 1,967 |  | 147,801 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AVWS7 | FHLB 3.750 06/12/2026 | 17,045,000 | 53,266 | 1,470 |  | 54,735 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AVWS7 | FHLB 3.750 06/12/2026 | 20,000,000 | 62,500 | 1,680 |  | 64,180 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AWAH3 | FHLB 4.000 06/12/2026 | 10,000,000 | 33,333 | 1,840 |  | 35,173 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AWAH3 | FHLB 4.000 06/12/2026 | 15,000,000 | 50,000 | 2,819 |  | 52,819 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AWER7 | FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025 | 10,000,000 | 38,542 | 355 |  | 38,897 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AWER7 | FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025 | 15,000,000 | 57,813 | 532 |  | 58,345 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AWER7 | FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025 | 25,000,000 | 96,354 | 887 |  | 97,241 |


| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Par Value | Accured <br> Interest Earned | $\frac{(\text { Amortization ) / }}{\text { Accretion }}$ | Realized Gain/(Loss) | Total Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AWER7 | FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025 | 52,000,000 | 200,417 | 1,845 |  | 202,262 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AWLY4 | FHLB 5.125 06/13/2025 | 10,800,000 | 46,125 | (811) |  | 45,314 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AWLY4 | FHLB 5.125 06/13/2025 | 48,150,000 | 205,641 | $(4,138)$ |  | 201,503 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AWLZ1 | FHLB 4.750 06/12/2026 | 50,000,000 | 197,917 | 4,180 |  | 202,096 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AXB31 | FHLB 4.875 03/13/2026 | 10,000,000 | 40,625 | 1,658 |  | 42,283 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AXB31 | FHLB 4.875 03/13/2026 | 10,000,000 | 40,625 | 1,773 |  | 42,398 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AXB31 | FHLB 4.875 03/13/2026 | 10,000,000 | 40,625 | 1,773 |  | 42,398 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AXCP1 | FHLB 4.875 09/11/2026 | 11,895,000 | 48,323 | 2,138 |  | 50,461 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AXU63 | FHLB 4.625 11/17/2026 | 50,000,000 | 192,708 | 2,503 |  | 195,212 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AYPN0 | FHLB 4.125 01/15/2027 | 12,000,000 | 41,250 | 774 |  | 42,024 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AYPN0 | FHLB 4.125 01/15/2027 | 25,000,000 | 85,938 | 1,612 |  | 87,549 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AYPN0 | FHLB 4.125 01/15/2027 | 29,350,000 | 100,891 | 1,892 |  | 102,783 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AYPN0 | FHLB 4.125 01/15/2027 | 50,000,000 | 171,875 | 3,223 |  | 175,098 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130B0AD1 | FHLB 5.500 09/04/2025 | 25,000,000 | 103,125 |  |  | 103,125 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130B0AD1 | FHLB 5.500 09/04/2025 | 25,000,000 | 103,125 |  |  | 103,125 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130B0AD1 | FHLB 5.500 09/04/2025 | 25,000,000 | 103,125 |  |  | 103,125 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130B0AD1 | FHLB 5.500 09/04/2025 | 25,000,000 | 103,125 |  |  | 103,125 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130B0MZ9 | FHLB 5.100 01/27/2025 | 115,000,000 | 65,167 |  |  | 65,167 |
| Federal Agencies | 313384K32 | FHDN 0.000 10/11/2024 | 25,000,000 |  | 20,917 |  | 20,917 |
| Federal Agencies | 313384UM9 | FHDN 0.000 03/19/2024 |  |  | 7,333 |  | 7,333 |
| Federal Agencies | 313384UM9 | FHDN 0.000 03/19/2024 |  |  | 7,333 |  | 7,333 |
| Federal Agencies | 313384YV5 | FHDN 0.000 07/01/2024 | 25,000,000 |  | 112,913 |  | 112,913 |
| Federal Agencies | 313384YV5 | FHDN 0.000 07/01/2024 | 25,000,000 |  | 112,913 |  | 112,913 |
| Federal Agencies | 313384YV5 | FHDN 0.000 07/01/2024 | 25,000,000 |  | 112,913 |  | 112,913 |
| Federal Agencies | 313384YZ6 | FHDN 0.000 07/05/2024 | 25,000,000 |  | 110,653 |  | 110,653 |
| Federal Agencies | 313384ZT9 | FHDN 0.000 07/23/2024 | 15,000,000 |  | 66,004 |  | 66,004 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELCP7 | FFCB 1.625 12/03/2024 | 25,000,000 | 33,854 | 679 |  | 34,533 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELQY3 | FFCB 1.210 03/03/2025 | 16,000,000 | 16,133 | 159 |  | 16,293 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELQY3 | FFCB 1.210 03/03/2025 | 24,000,000 | 24,200 | 614 |  | 24,814 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EM4X7 | FFCB 0.800 09/10/2026 | 28,975,000 | 19,317 | 86,563 |  | 105,879 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EM5X6 | FFCB 0.430 09/23/2024 | 25,000,000 | 8,958 | 714 |  | 9,673 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EM5X6 | FFCB 0.430 09/23/2024 | 50,000,000 | 17,917 | 1,428 |  | 19,345 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EM5X6 | FFCB 0.430 09/23/2024 | 50,000,000 | 17,917 | 1,428 |  | 19,345 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMTW2 | FFCB 0.300 03/18/2024 |  | 7,083 | 938 |  | 8,022 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMTW2 | FFCB 0.300 03/18/2024 |  | 7,083 | 939 |  | 8,023 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMV25 | FFCB 0.450 07/23/2024 | 50,000,000 | 18,750 | $(2,636)$ |  | 16,114 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMWT5 | FFCB 0.600 04/21/2025 | 50,000,000 | 25,000 | 562 |  | 25,562 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMWV0 | FFCB 0.350 04/22/2024 | 16,545,000 | 4,826 | (132) |  | 4,693 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMWV0 | FFCB 0.350 04/22/2024 | 29,424,000 | 8,582 | (236) |  | 8,346 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMWV0 | FFCB 0.350 04/22/2024 | 39,000,000 | 11,375 | (312) |  | 11,063 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMZ21 | FFCB 0.690 04/06/2026 | 15,500,000 | 8,913 | 763 |  | 9,675 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN2L3 | FFCB 4.125 05/17/2027 | 4,650,000 | 15,984 | 61 |  | 16,045 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN2L3 | FFCB 4.125 05/17/2027 | 5,000,000 | 17,188 | 65 |  | 17,253 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN2L3 | FFCB 4.125 05/17/2027 | 21,000,000 | 72,188 | 245 |  | 72,433 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN2L3 | FFCB 4.125 05/17/2027 | 25,000,000 | 85,938 | 326 |  | 86,263 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN4B3 | FFCB 4.250 06/13/2025 | 15,000,000 | 53,125 | 394 |  | 53,519 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN4B3 | FFCB 4.250 06/13/2025 | 15,000,000 | 53,125 | 346 |  | 53,471 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN4B3 | FFCB 4.250 06/13/2025 | 15,000,000 | 53,125 | 372 |  | 53,497 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN4N7 | FFCB 4.250 12/20/2024 | 10,000,000 | 35,417 | 725 |  | 36,142 |


| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Par Value | Accured Interest Earned | $\frac{(\text { Amortization) } /}{\text { Accretion }}$ | Realized Gain/(Loss) | Total Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN4N7 | FFCB 4.250 12/20/2024 | 25,000,000 | 88,542 | 1,930 |  | 90,471 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN4N7 | FFCB 4.250 12/20/2024 | 25,000,000 | 88,542 | 1,930 |  | 90,471 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN5E6 | FFCB 4.000 12/29/2025 | 15,000,000 | 50,000 | 1,281 |  | 51,281 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN5E6 | FFCB 4.000 12/29/2025 | 20,000,000 | 66,667 | 1,708 |  | 68,375 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN5E6 | FFCB 4.000 12/29/2025 | 25,000,000 | 83,333 | 2,157 |  | 85,490 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN6A3 | FFCB 4.000 01/13/2026 | 20,000,000 | 66,667 | 498 |  | 67,164 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EN6A3 | FFCB 4.000 01/13/2026 | 30,000,000 | 100,000 | 645 |  | 100,645 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENEG1 | FFCB 1.050 11/17/2025 | 39,675,000 | 34,716 | 1,120 |  | 35,835 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENEG1 | FFCB 1.050 11/17/2025 | 55,000,000 | 48,125 | 1,634 |  | 49,759 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENEJ5 | FFCB 0.875 11/18/2024 | 10,000,000 | 7,292 | 325 |  | 7,617 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENEJ5 | FFCB 0.875 11/18/2024 | 10,000,000 | 7,292 | 325 |  | 7,617 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENEJ5 | FFCB 0.875 11/18/2024 | 50,000,000 | 36,458 | 1,626 |  | 38,085 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENGQ7 | FFCB 0.920 12/09/2024 | 50,000,000 | 38,333 | 424 |  | 38,758 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENGQ7 | FFCB 0.920 12/09/2024 | 50,000,000 | 38,333 | 1,047 |  | 39,380 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENHM5 | FFCB 1.170 12/16/2025 | 45,000,000 | 43,875 | 974 |  | 44,849 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENHM5 | FFCB 1.170 12/16/2025 | 50,000,000 | 48,750 | 1,082 |  | 49,832 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENJ35 | FFCB 3.320 02/25/2026 | 35,000,000 | 96,833 | 1,026 |  | 97,859 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENJ84 | FFCB 3.375 08/26/2024 | 50,000,000 | 140,625 | 3,541 |  | 144,166 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENKS8 | FFCB 1.125 01/06/2025 | 20,000,000 | 18,750 | 1,279 |  | 20,029 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENKS8 | FFCB 1.125 01/06/2025 | 25,000,000 | 23,438 | 1,598 |  | 25,036 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENKS8 | FFCB 1.125 01/06/2025 | 25,000,000 | 23,438 | 1,598 |  | 25,036 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENP79 | FFCB 4.250 09/26/2024 | 50,000,000 | 177,083 | 170 |  | 177,253 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENRD4 | FFCB 1.680 03/10/2027 | 48,573,000 | 68,002 | 19,434 |  | 87,436 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENTS9 | FFCB 2.600 04/05/2027 | 22,500,000 | 48,750 | 1,829 |  | 50,579 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENTS9 | FFCB 2.600 04/05/2027 | 24,500,000 | 53,083 | 2,089 |  | 55,172 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENTS9 | FFCB 2.600 04/05/2027 | 25,000,000 | 54,167 | 3,329 |  | 57,496 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENUD0 | FFCB 2.640 04/08/2026 | 20,000,000 | 44,000 | 823 |  | 44,823 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENUD0 | FFCB 2.640 04/08/2026 | 30,000,000 | 66,000 | 1,235 |  | 67,235 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENWP1 | FFCB 2.625 05/16/2024 | 45,000,000 | 98,438 | 2,576 |  | 101,014 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENWP1 | FFCB 2.625 05/16/2024 | 50,000,000 | 109,375 | 2,863 |  | 112,238 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENXE5 | FFCB 2.850 05/23/2025 | 6,000,000 | 14,250 | 238 |  | 14,488 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENXE5 | FFCB 2.850 05/23/2025 | 20,000,000 | 47,500 | 792 |  | 48,292 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENYH7 | FFCB 2.625 06/10/2024 | 100,000,000 | 218,750 | 5,471 |  | 224,221 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENYQ7 | FFCB 2.950 06/13/2025 | 50,000,000 | 122,917 | 693 |  | 123,610 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENYX2 | FFCB 3.250 06/17/2024 | 25,000,000 | 67,708 | 1,251 |  | 68,959 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENYX2 | FFCB 3.250 06/17/2024 | 25,000,000 | 67,708 | 1,240 |  | 68,949 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENYX2 | FFCB 3.250 06/17/2024 | 50,000,000 | 135,417 | 1,272 |  | 136,689 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENZ37 | FFCB 4.875 01/10/2025 | 10,000,000 | 40,625 | 23 |  | 40,648 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENZ37 | FFCB 4.875 01/10/2025 | 20,000,000 | 81,250 | 47 |  | 81,297 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENZ37 | FFCB 4.875 01/10/2025 | 20,000,000 | 81,250 | 16 |  | 81,266 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENZ94 | FFCB 4.500 11/18/2024 | 25,000,000 | 93,750 | 1,124 |  | 94,874 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENZK9 | FFCB 3.240 06/28/2027 | 27,865,000 | 75,236 | $(3,993)$ |  | 71,242 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENZS2 | FFCB 3.100 06/28/2024 | 25,000,000 | 64,583 | 530 |  | 65,113 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENZS2 | FFCB 3.100 06/28/2024 | 25,000,000 | 64,583 | 573 |  | 65,156 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ENZS2 | FFCB 3.100 06/28/2024 | 50,000,000 | 129,167 | 1,145 |  | 130,312 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPAG0 | FFCB 4.250 02/10/2025 | 10,000,000 | 35,417 | 2,239 |  | 37,656 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPAG0 | FFCB 4.250 02/10/2025 | 29,875,000 | 105,807 | 6,740 |  | 112,547 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPBF1 | FFCB 4.875 08/21/2024 | 10,000,000 | 40,625 | 244 |  | 40,869 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPBF1 | FFCB $4.87508 / 21 / 2024$ | 20,000,000 | 81,250 | 453 |  | 81,703 |


| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Par Value | Accured Interest Earned | (Amortization) / Accretion | Realized Gain/(Loss) | Total Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPBF1 | FFCB 4.875 08/21/2024 | 25,000,000 | 101,563 | 567 |  | 102,129 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPBJ3 | FFCB 4.375 02/23/2026 | 25,000,000 | 91,146 | 1,315 |  | 92,461 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPBJ3 | FFCB 4.375 02/23/2026 | 28,000,000 | 102,083 | 1,299 |  | 103,382 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPBJ3 | FFCB 4.375 02/23/2026 | 50,000,000 | 182,292 | 2,319 |  | 184,611 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPBM6 | FFCB 4.125 08/23/2027 | 10,000,000 | 34,375 | 491 |  | 34,866 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPC45 | FFCB 4.625 11/13/2028 | 12,000,000 | 46,250 | 271 |  | 46,521 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPC45 | FFCB 4.625 11/13/2028 | 20,000,000 | 77,083 | 482 |  | 77,565 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPC45 | FFCB 4.625 11/13/2028 | 55,000,000 | 211,979 | 1,319 |  | 213,298 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPC60 | FFCB 4.625 11/15/2027 | 27,950,000 | 107,724 | 2,461 |  | 110,185 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPC60 | FFCB 4.625 11/15/2027 | 33,300,000 | 128,344 | 2,939 |  | 131,283 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPDL6 | FFCB 4.850 10/01/2025 | 50,000,000 | 202,083 |  |  | 202,083 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPHD0 | FFCB 4.500 10/28/2024 | 20,000,000 | 75,000 | 1,784 |  | 76,784 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPHD0 | FFCB 4.500 10/28/2024 | 25,000,000 | 93,750 | 2,315 |  | 96,065 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPJX4 | FFCB 3.625 02/17/2026 | 25,000,000 | 75,521 | 2,201 |  | 77,722 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPJX4 | FFCB 3.625 02/17/2026 | 30,000,000 | 90,625 | 2,909 |  | 93,534 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPKA2 | FFCB 4.000 08/18/2025 | 25,000,000 | 83,333 | 678 |  | 84,011 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPKA2 | FFCB 4.000 08/18/2025 | 26,500,000 | 88,333 | 609 |  | 88,942 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPKA2 | FFCB 4.000 08/18/2025 | 30,000,000 | 100,000 | 689 |  | 100,689 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPMU6 | FFCB 4.250 06/15/2026 | 20,000,000 | 70,833 | 871 |  | 71,705 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPMU6 | FFCB 4.250 06/15/2026 | 24,700,000 | 87,479 | 1,691 |  | 89,170 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPMU6 | FFCB 4.250 06/15/2026 | 30,000,000 | 106,250 | 1,375 |  | 107,625 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPMV4 | FFCB $4.12506 / 15 / 2027$ | 28,940,000 | 99,481 | 596 |  | 100,077 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPNG6 | FFCB 4.375 06/23/2026 | 25,000,000 | 91,146 | 375 |  | 91,521 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPNG6 | FFCB $4.37506 / 23 / 2026$ | 25,000,000 | 91,146 | 375 |  | 91,521 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPNG6 | FFCB 4.375 06/23/2026 | 50,000,000 | 182,292 | 750 |  | 183,041 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPP66 | FFCB 4.000 05/20/2027 | 31,000,000 | 103,333 | 2,343 |  | 105,676 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPP66 | FFCB 4.000 05/20/2027 | 58,850,000 | 196,167 | 4,667 |  | 200,834 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPSK2 | FFCB 4.250 08/07/2028 | 19,500,000 | 69,063 | 1,489 |  | 70,551 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPSW6 | FFCB 4.500 08/14/2026 | 50,000,000 | 187,500 | 3,253 |  | 190,753 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPUN3 | FFCB 4.500 08/28/2028 | 10,000,000 | 37,500 | 355 |  | 37,855 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPUN3 | FFCB 4.500 08/28/2028 | 15,000,000 | 56,250 | 631 |  | 56,881 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPUN3 | FFCB 4.500 08/28/2028 | 25,000,000 | 93,750 | 959 |  | 94,709 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPUN3 | FFCB 4.500 08/28/2028 | 33,000,000 | 123,750 | 1,613 |  | 125,363 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPVP7 | FFCB 4.750 07/08/2026 | 10,000,000 | 39,583 | 249 |  | 39,832 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPVP7 | FFCB 4.750 07/08/2026 | 19,000,000 | 75,208 | 456 |  | 75,664 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPVP7 | FFCB 4.750 07/08/2026 | 21,000,000 | 83,125 | 516 |  | 83,641 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPVY8 | FFCB 5.000 09/15/2025 | 8,230,000 | 34,292 | 251 |  | 34,543 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPVY8 | FFCB 5.000 09/15/2025 | 15,000,000 | 62,500 | 770 |  | 63,270 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPVY8 | FFCB 5.000 09/15/2025 | 20,000,000 | 83,333 | 1,026 |  | 84,360 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPX91 | FFCB 4.125 01/25/2027 | 5,000,000 | 17,188 | 202 |  | 17,390 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPX91 | FFCB $4.12501 / 25 / 2027$ | 10,000,000 | 34,375 | 379 |  | 34,754 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPX91 | FFCB $4.12501 / 25 / 2027$ | 25,000,000 | 85,938 | 891 |  | 86,828 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPX91 | FFCB $4.12501 / 25 / 2027$ | 35,000,000 | 120,313 | 1,247 |  | 121,560 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPX91 | FFCB 4.125 01/25/2027 | 50,000,000 | 171,875 | 1,895 |  | 173,770 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPYW9 | FFCB 5.125 10/20/2025 | 24,000,000 | 102,500 | 3,247 |  | 105,747 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPYW9 | FFCB 5.125 10/20/2025 | 25,000,000 | 106,771 | 615 |  | 107,386 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPYW9 | FFCB 5.125 10/20/2025 | 35,000,000 | 149,479 | 1,173 |  | 150,652 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPYW9 | FFCB 5.125 10/20/2025 | 50,000,000 | 213,542 | 1,187 |  | 214,729 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPZA6 | FFCB 4.875 10/20/2026 | 14,000,000 | 56,875 | 2,689 |  | 59,564 |


| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Par Value | Accured Interest Earned |  | (Amortization) / Accretion |  | Realized Gain/(Loss) | Total Earnings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPZA6 | FFCB 4.875 10/20/2026 | 30,000,000 |  | 121,875 |  | 4,692 |  |  | 126,567 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPZY4 | FFCB 5.000 07/30/2026 | 3,000,000 |  | 12,500 |  | 249 |  |  | 12,749 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPZY4 | FFCB 5.000 07/30/2026 | 9,615,000 |  | 40,063 |  | 799 |  |  | 40,861 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPZY4 | FFCB 5.000 07/30/2026 | 16,000,000 |  | 66,667 |  | 1,329 |  |  | 67,996 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EPZY4 | FFCB 5.000 07/30/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 104,167 |  | 1,953 |  |  | 106,120 |
| Federal Agencies | 3134GYRY0 | FHLMC 5.290 11/02/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 110,208 |  |  |  |  | 110,208 |
| Federal Agencies | 3134GYRY0 | FHLMC 5.290 11/02/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 110,208 |  |  |  |  | 110,208 |
| Federal Agencies | 3134GYRY0 | FHLMC 5.290 11/02/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 110,208 |  |  |  |  | 110,208 |
| Federal Agencies | 3134GYRY0 | FHLMC 5.290 11/02/2026 | 25,000,000 |  | 110,208 |  |  |  |  | 110,208 |
| Federal Agencies | 3134H1NT6 | FHLMC 5.410 01/10/2028 | 25,000,000 |  | 112,708 |  |  |  |  | 112,708 |
| Federal Agencies | 3134H1NT6 | FHLMC 5.410 01/10/2028 | 25,000,000 |  | 112,708 |  |  |  |  | 112,708 |
| Federal Agencies | 3134H1NT6 | FHLMC 5.410 01/10/2028 | 65,000,000 |  | 293,042 |  |  |  |  | 293,042 |
| Federal Agencies | 3134H1YE7 | FHLMC 5.910 03/14/2029 | 20,000,000 |  | 9,850 |  |  |  |  | 9,850 |
| Federal Agencies | 3134H1YE7 | FHLMC 5.910 03/14/2029 | 20,000,000 |  | 9,850 |  |  |  |  | 9,850 |
| Federal Agencies | 3134H1YE7 | FHLMC 5.910 03/14/2029 | 20,000,000 |  | 9,850 |  |  |  |  | 9,850 |
| Federal Agencies | 3134H1YE7 | FHLMC 5.910 03/14/2029 | 55,000,000 |  | 27,088 |  |  |  |  | 27,088 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G03U5 | FNMA 0.625 04/22/2025 | 37,938,000 |  | 19,759 |  | 14,359 |  |  | 34,119 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G03U5 | FNMA 0.625 04/22/2025 | 50,000,000 |  | 26,042 |  | $(2,426)$ |  |  | 23,616 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G03U5 | FNMA 0.625 04/22/2025 | 50,000,000 |  | 26,042 |  | 19,039 |  |  | 45,081 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G04Z3 | FNMA 0.500 06/17/2025 | 4,655,000 |  | 1,940 |  | 2,369 |  |  | 4,309 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G04Z3 | FNMA 0.500 06/17/2025 | 10,000,000 |  | 4,167 |  | 5,068 |  |  | 9,235 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G05X7 | FNMA 0.375 08/25/2025 | 25,000,000 |  | 7,813 |  | 5,987 |  |  | 13,799 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G05X7 | FNMA 0.375 08/25/2025 | 72,500,000 |  | 22,656 |  | 12,045 |  |  | 34,701 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G0X24 | FNMA 1.625 01/07/2025 | 39,060,000 |  | 52,894 |  | $(35,924)$ |  |  | 16,969 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135GAFY2 | FNMA 5.320 10/03/2024 | 25,000,000 |  | 110,833 |  |  |  |  | 110,833 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135GAFY2 | FNMA 5.320 10/03/2024 | 25,000,000 |  | 110,833 |  |  |  |  | 110,833 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135GAFY2 | FNMA 5.320 10/03/2024 | 50,000,000 |  | 221,667 |  |  |  |  | 221,667 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135GAG39 | FNMA 5.375 12/30/2024 | 25,000,000 |  | 111,979 |  |  |  |  | 111,979 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135GAG39 | FNMA 5.375 12/30/2024 | 25,000,000 |  | 111,979 |  |  |  |  | 111,979 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135GAG39 | FNMA 5.375 12/30/2024 | 25,000,000 |  | 111,979 |  |  |  |  | 111,979 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135GAG39 | FNMA 5.375 12/30/2024 | 25,000,000 |  | 111,979 |  |  |  |  | 111,979 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135GANG2 | FNMA 5.130 02/18/2028 | 25,000,000 |  | 106,875 |  |  |  |  | 106,875 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135GANG2 | FNMA 5.130 02/18/2028 | 25,000,000 |  | 106,875 |  |  |  |  | 106,875 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135GANG2 | FNMA 5.130 02/18/2028 | 50,000,000 |  | 213,750 |  |  |  |  | 213,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | FHLMC 1.500 02/12/2025 | 5,000,000 |  | 6,250 |  | 65 |  |  | 6,315 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | FHLMC 1.500 02/12/2025 | 5,000,000 |  | 6,250 |  | 65 |  |  | 6,315 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | FHLMC 1.500 02/12/2025 | 5,000,000 |  | 6,250 |  | 65 |  |  | 6,315 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | FHLMC 1.500 02/12/2025 | 15,000,000 |  | 18,750 |  | 196 |  |  | 18,946 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | FHLMC 1.500 02/12/2025 | 50,000,000 |  | 62,500 |  | 654 |  |  | 63,154 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | FHLMC 1.500 02/12/2025 | 53,532,000 |  | 66,915 |  | $(42,685)$ |  |  | 24,230 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEX3 | FHLMC 0.375 09/23/2025 | 22,600,000 |  | 7,063 |  | 5,676 |  |  | 12,738 |
| Subtotals |  |  | \$ 6,812,567,000 | \$ | 17,257,660 | \$ | 783,744 | \$ | \$ | 18,041,404 |
| Public Time Deposits | PPG1KB100 | BKSANF 5.440 06/03/2024 | \$ 10,000,000 | \$ | 46,844 |  |  |  | \$ | 46,844 |
| Public Time Deposits | PPG2JA6N9 | BRIDGE 5.360 06/17/2024 | 10,000,000 |  | 45,523 |  |  |  |  | 45,523 |
| Public Time Deposits | PPG5M8MH8 | BKSANF 5.300 07/08/2024 | 10,000,000 |  | 45,639 |  |  |  |  | 45,639 |
| Public Time Deposits | PPGG8E735 | BRIDGE 5.260 07/15/2024 | 10,000,000 |  | 44,674 |  |  |  |  | 44,674 |
| Subtotals |  |  | \$ 40,000,000 | \$ | 182,681 | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | 182,681 |


| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name |  | Par Value | Accured <br> Interest Earned |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ization) / } \\ & \text { retion } \end{aligned}$ | Realized Gain/(Loss) | Total Earnings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367DAU9 | BMOCHG 5.870 06/21/2024 | \$ | 100,000,000 | \$ | 505,472 |  |  |  | \$ | 505,472 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367DAX3 | BMOCHG 6.000 07/01/2024 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 516,667 |  |  |  |  | 516,667 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367DBJ3 | BMOCHG 5.890 06/07/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 253,597 |  |  |  |  | 253,597 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367DBR5 | BMOCHG 5.930 07/01/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 255,319 |  |  |  |  | 255,319 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367DBW4 | BMOCHG 5.970 07/29/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 257,042 |  |  |  |  | 257,042 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367DCF0 | BMOCHG 6.010 08/14/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 258,764 |  |  |  |  | 258,764 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367DD44 | BMOCHG 5.970 09/23/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 257,042 |  |  |  |  | 257,042 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367DDS1 | BMOCHG 5.880 08/09/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 253,167 |  |  |  |  | 253,167 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367DE43 | BMOCHG 5.860 10/21/2024 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 302,767 |  |  |  |  | 302,767 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367DEK7 | BMOCHG 5.800 11/06/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 249,722 |  |  |  |  | 249,722 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367DFA8 | BMOCHG 5.580 10/24/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 240,250 |  |  |  |  | 240,250 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367DFX8 | BMOCHG 5.560 07/01/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 239,389 |  |  |  |  | 239,389 |
| Negotiable CDs | 13606KC38 | CIBCNY 5.940 09/09/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 255,750 |  |  |  |  | 255,750 |
| Negotiable CDs | 13606KD78 | CIBCNY 5.920 08/12/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 254,889 |  |  |  |  | 254,889 |
| Negotiable CDs | 13606KF92 | CIBCNY 5.880 08/16/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 253,167 |  |  |  |  | 253,167 |
| Negotiable CDs | 13606KW51 | CIBCNY 5.370 09/11/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 193,917 |  |  |  |  | 193,917 |
| Negotiable CDs | 13606KZN9 | CIBCNY 5.920 07/29/2024 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 305,867 |  |  |  |  | 305,867 |
| Negotiable CDs | 13606KZR0 | CIBCNY 5.890 07/01/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 253,597 |  |  |  |  | 253,597 |
| Negotiable CDs | 65603APG0 | NORNY 5.830 04/23/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 251,014 |  |  |  |  | 251,014 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78015J5K9 | RY 5.900 09/09/2024 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 304,833 |  |  |  |  | 304,833 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78015J7F8 | RY 5.930 08/12/2024 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 306,383 |  |  |  |  | 306,383 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78015JAK3 | RY 5.960 09/23/2024 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 307,933 |  |  |  |  | 307,933 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78015JE37 | RY 5.860 08/15/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 252,306 |  |  |  |  | 252,306 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78015JE78 | RY 5.860 08/26/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 252,306 |  |  |  |  | 252,306 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78015JHT7 | RY 5.530 06/03/2024 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 285,717 |  |  |  |  | 285,717 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78015JJ73 | RY 5.480 10/24/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 235,944 |  |  |  |  | 235,944 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78015JXW2 | RY 5.890 06/28/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 253,597 |  |  |  |  | 253,597 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89115BH52 | TDNY 5.930 10/21/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 255,319 |  |  |  |  | 255,319 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89115BNG1 | TDNY 5.850 06/05/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 251,875 |  |  |  |  | 251,875 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89115BNV8 | TDNY 5.560 07/01/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 239,389 |  |  |  |  | 239,389 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89115BP95 | TDNY 5.580 10/24/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 240,250 |  |  |  |  | 240,250 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89115BRG7 | TDNY 6.050 07/01/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 260,486 |  |  |  |  | 260,486 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89115BS84 | TDNY 5.910 07/01/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 254,458 |  |  |  |  | 254,458 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89115BSQ4 | TDNY 5.930 07/01/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 255,319 |  |  |  |  | 255,319 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89115BV80 | TDNY 5.900 07/03/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 254,028 |  |  |  |  | 254,028 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89115BXF2 | TDNY 5.600 03/06/2024 |  |  |  | 38,889 |  |  |  |  | 38,889 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89115DC20 | TDNY 5.380 07/15/2024 |  | 70,000,000 |  | 282,450 |  |  |  |  | 282,450 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89115DC61 | TDNY 5.370 09/10/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 193,917 |  |  |  |  | 193,917 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89115DCA2 | TDNY 5.360 09/25/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 193,556 |  |  |  |  | 193,556 |
| Subtotals |  |  | \$ | 2,080,000,000 | \$ | 10,276,353 | \$ | - | \$ | \$ | 10,276,353 |
| Commercial Paper | 03785DCF1 | APPINC 0.000 03/15/2024 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 24,754 |  | \$ | 24,754 |
| Commercial Paper | 59157TFH1 | METSHR 0.000 06/17/2024 |  | 41,000,000 |  |  |  | 161,745 |  |  | 161,745 |
| Commercial Paper | 59157TGQ0 | METSHR 0.000 07/24/2024 |  | 48,500,000 |  |  |  | 189,878 |  |  | 189,878 |
| Commercial Paper | 59157TK44 | METSHR 0.000 10/04/2024 |  | 15,000,000 |  |  |  | 8,667 |  |  | 8,667 |
| Commercial Paper | 59515MD85 | MSFT 0.000 04/08/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  |  |  | 229,486 |  |  | 229,486 |
| Commercial Paper | 59515MDA0 | MSFT 0.000 04/10/2024 |  | 55,000,000 |  |  |  | 252,908 |  |  | 252,908 |
| Commercial Paper | 59515MDN2 | MSFT 0.000 04/22/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  |  |  | 227,333 |  |  | 227,333 |
| Commercial Paper | 59515ME84 | MSFT 0.000 05/08/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  |  |  | 229,917 |  |  | 229,917 |

Monthly Investment Earnings
Pooled Fund

| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Par Value |  | Accured <br> Interest Earned |  | $\frac{(\text { Amortization }) /}{\text { Accretion }}$ |  | Realized Gain/(Loss) |  | Total Earnings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial Paper | 59515ME84 | MSFT 0.000 05/08/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  |  |  | 229,917 |  |  |  | 229,917 |
| Commercial Paper | 59515MGF6 | MSFT 0.000 07/15/2024 |  | 10,000,000 |  |  |  | 39,150 |  |  |  | 39,150 |
| Commercial Paper | 62479LCD5 | MUFGBK 0.000 03/13/2024 |  |  |  |  |  | 94,167 |  |  |  | 94,167 |
| Commercial Paper | 62479LCR4 | MUFGBK 0.000 03/25/2024 |  |  |  |  |  | 227,200 |  |  |  | 227,200 |
| Commercial Paper | 62479LCR4 | MUFGBK 0.000 03/25/2024 |  |  |  |  |  | 187,667 |  |  |  | 187,667 |
| Commercial Paper | 62479LCU7 | MUFGBK 0.000 03/28/2024 |  |  |  |  |  | 354,450 |  |  |  | 354,450 |
| Commercial Paper | 62479LD85 | MUFGBK 0.000 04/08/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  |  |  | 236,806 |  |  |  | 236,806 |
| Commercial Paper | $62479 L D 85$ | MUFGBK 0.000 04/08/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  |  |  | 235,514 |  |  |  | 235,514 |
| Commercial Paper | 62479LDQ5 | MUFGBK 0.000 04/24/2024 |  | 75,000,000 |  |  |  | 346,813 |  |  |  | 346,813 |
| Commercial Paper | 62479LE68 | MUFGBK 0.000 05/06/2024 |  | 51,000,000 |  |  |  | 212,613 |  |  |  | 212,613 |
| Commercial Paper | 62479LEQ4 | MUFGBK 0.000 05/24/2024 |  | 40,000,000 |  |  |  | 184,622 |  |  |  | 184,622 |
| Commercial Paper | 62479LFE0 | MUFGBK 0.000 06/14/2024 |  | 20,000,000 |  |  |  | 91,967 |  |  |  | 91,967 |
| Commercial Paper | 62479LFJ9 | MUFGBK 0.000 06/18/2024 |  | 55,000,000 |  |  |  | 252,908 |  |  |  | 252,908 |
| Commercial Paper | $62479 L G 17$ | MUFGBK 0.000 07/01/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  |  |  | 243,264 |  |  |  | 243,264 |
| Commercial Paper | 62479LKQ7 | MUFGBK 0.000 10/24/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  |  |  | 50,750 |  |  |  | 50,750 |
| Commercial Paper | 89233GCF1 | TOYCC 0.000 03/15/2024 |  |  |  |  |  | 106,944 |  |  |  | 106,944 |
| Commercial Paper | 89233GE36 | TOYCC 0.000 05/03/2024 |  | 60,000,000 |  |  |  | 289,333 |  |  |  | 289,333 |
| Commercial Paper | 89233GE69 | TOYCC 0.000 05/06/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  |  |  | 241,111 |  |  |  | 241,111 |
| Commercial Paper | 89233GEL6 | TOYCC 0.000 05/20/2024 |  | 80,000,000 |  |  |  | 363,044 |  |  |  | 363,044 |
| Commercial Paper | 89233GG18 | TOYCC 0.000 07/01/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  |  |  | 241,542 |  |  |  | 241,542 |
| Commercial Paper | 89233GG18 | TOYCC 0.000 07/01/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  |  |  | 239,389 |  |  |  | 239,389 |
| Commercial Paper | 89233GKP0 | TOYCC 0.000 10/23/2024 |  | 75,000,000 |  |  |  | 65,000 |  |  |  | 65,000 |
| Subtotals |  |  | \$ | 1,175,500,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 5,858,858 | \$ |  | \$ | 5,858,858 |
| Money Market Funds | 09248 U718 | BlackRock Liquidity Funds T-Fund | \$ | 13,184,772 | \$ | 85,705 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 85,705 |
| Money Market Funds | 31607 A703 | Fidelity Govt Portfolio |  | 773,910,117 |  | 3,432,198 |  |  |  |  |  | 3,432,198 |
| Money Market Funds | 608919718 | Federated Hermes Govt Obligations Fund |  | 398,285,003 |  | 2,093,865 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,093,865 |
| Money Market Funds | 262006208 | Dreyfus Government Cash Management |  | 12,556,693 |  | 86,995 |  |  |  |  |  | 86,995 |
| Money Market Funds | 85749 T517 | State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF |  | 465,377,458 |  | 2,977,679 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,977,679 |
| Money Market Funds | 61747 C 319 | Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund |  | 25,017,884 |  | 17,884 |  |  |  |  |  | 17,884 |
| Subtotals |  |  | \$ | 1,688,331,927 | \$ | 8,694,326 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 8,694,326 |
| Supranationals | 45818WDG8 | IADB 0.820 02/27/2026 | \$ | 19,500,000 | \$ | 13,325 | \$ | $(1,071)$ |  |  | \$ | 12,254 |
| Supranationals | 4581X0CM8 | IADB 2.125 01/15/2025 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 177,083 |  | $(129,379)$ |  |  |  | 47,704 |
| Supranationals | $4581 \times 0 \mathrm{DN5}$ | IADB 0.625 07/15/2025 |  | 28,900,000 |  | 15,052 |  | 8,734 |  |  |  | 23,786 |
| Supranationals | 4581X0DZ8 | IADB 0.500 09/23/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 20,833 |  | 11,897 |  |  |  | 32,730 |
| Supranationals | 4581 X0EE4 | IADB 3.250 07/01/2024 |  | 80,000,000 |  | 216,667 |  | 339 |  |  |  | 217,006 |
| Supranationals | 459056HV2 | IBRD 1.500 08/28/2024 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 62,500 |  | $(29,623)$ |  |  |  | 32,877 |
| Supranationals | 459058HT3 | IBRD 1.626 01/15/2025 |  | 29,314,000 |  | 11,916 |  | 27,598 |  |  |  | 39,514 |
| Supranationals | 459058JB0 | IBRD 0.626 04/22/2025 |  | 40,000,000 |  | 20,867 |  | $(1,947)$ |  |  |  | 18,919 |
| Supranationals | 45906M3B5 | IBRD 1.980 06/14/2024 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 165,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 165,000 |
| Supranationals | 45906M4C2 | IBRD 5.750 06/15/2026 |  |  |  | 71,556 |  |  |  |  |  | 71,556 |
| Supranationals | 45950VQG4 | IFC 0.440 09/23/2024 |  | 10,000,000 |  | 3,667 |  | 2,362 |  |  |  | 6,029 |
| Supranationals | 45950VRU2 | IFC 4.023 01/26/2026 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 335,250 |  |  |  |  |  | 335,250 |
| Subtotals |  |  | \$ | 607,714,000 | \$ | 1,113,715 | \$ | $(111,091)$ | \$ | - | \$ | 1,002,625 |
| Grand Totals |  |  |  | 5,964,112,927 | \$ | 41,031,581 | \$ | 7,297,436 | \$ | - | \$ | 48,329,017 |

## For month ended March 31, 2024

| Accounting ID | Transactio n Type | Cusip | Description | Price | Settlement Date | Posted Date | Par Value | Principal | Accrued Interest | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57862 | Buy | 3130B0AD1 | FHLB 5.500 09/04/2025 | 100.00000 | 03/04/2024 | 03/04/2024 | 25,000,000.00 | 25,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 25,000,000.00 |
| 57863 | Buy | 3130B0AD1 | FHLB 5.500 09/04/2025 | 100.00000 | 03/04/2024 | 03/04/2024 | 25,000,000.00 | 25,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 25,000,000.00 |
| 57864 | Buy | 3130B0AD1 | FHLB 5.500 09/04/2025 | 100.00000 | 03/04/2024 | 03/04/2024 | 25,000,000.00 | 25,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 25,000,000.00 |
| 57865 | Buy | 3130B0AD1 | FHLB 5.500 09/04/2025 | 100.00000 | 03/04/2024 | 03/04/2024 | 25,000,000.00 | 25,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 25,000,000.00 |
| 57867 | Buy | 62479LE68 | MUFGBK 0.000 05/06/2024 | 99.06200 | 03/04/2024 | 03/04/2024 | 51,000,000.00 | 50,521,620.00 | 0.00 | 50,521,620.00 |
| 57866 | Buy | 912797JQ1 | B 0.000 04/30/2024 | 99.17845 | 03/05/2024 | 03/05/2024 | 35,000,000.00 | 34,712,457.11 | 0.00 | 34,712,457.11 |
| 57868 | Buy | 59157TFH1 | METSHR 0.000 06/17/2024 | 98.48044 | 03/05/2024 | 03/05/2024 | 41,000,000.00 | 40,376,982.22 | 0.00 | 40,376,982.22 |
| 57869 | Buy | 59157TGQ0 | METSHR 0.000 07/24/2024 | 97.95550 | 03/05/2024 | 03/05/2024 | 48,500,000.00 | 47,508,417.50 | 0.00 | 47,508,417.50 |
| 57870 | Buy | 59515MGF6 | MSFT 0.000 07/15/2024 | 98.08600 | 03/05/2024 | 03/05/2024 | 10,000,000.00 | 9,808,600.00 | 0.00 | 9,808,600.00 |
| 57871 | Buy | 89115DC20 | TDNY 5.380 07/15/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/05/2024 | 03/05/2024 | 70,000,000.00 | 70,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 70,000,000.00 |
| 57872 | Buy | 89115DC61 | TDNY 5.370 09/10/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/06/2024 | 03/06/2024 | 50,000,000.00 | 50,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 50,000,000.00 |
| 57873 | Buy | 89115DCA2 | TDNY 5.360 09/25/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/06/2024 | 03/06/2024 | 50,000,000.00 | 50,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 50,000,000.00 |
| 57874 | Buy | 13606KW51 | CIBCNY 5.370 09/11/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/06/2024 | 03/06/2024 | 50,000,000.00 | 50,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 50,000,000.00 |
| 57875 | Buy | 912797GL5 | B 0.000 09/05/2024 | 97.49166 | 03/12/2024 | 03/12/2024 | 50,000,000.00 | 48,745,832.00 | 0.00 | 48,745,832.00 |
| 57876 | Buy | 313384UM9 | FHDN 0.000 03/19/2024 | 99.98533 | 03/18/2024 | 03/18/2024 | 50,000,000.00 | 49,992,666.67 | 0.00 | 49,992,666.67 |
| 57877 | Buy | 313384UM9 | FHDN 0.000 03/19/2024 | 99.98533 | 03/18/2024 | 03/18/2024 | 50,000,000.00 | 49,992,666.67 | 0.00 | 49,992,666.67 |
| 57879 | Buy | 91282CEW7 | T 3.250 06/30/2027 | 96.40625 | 03/21/2024 | 03/21/2024 | 50,000,000.00 | 48,203,125.00 | 361,607.14 | 48,564,732.14 |
| 57878 | Buy | 459058HT3 | IBRD 1.626 01/15/2025 | 97.18500 | 03/22/2024 | 03/22/2024 | 29,314,000.00 | 28,488,810.90 | 88,709.05 | 28,577,519.95 |
| 57884 | Buy | 62479LKQ7 | MUFGBK 0.000 10/24/2024 | 96.91150 | 03/25/2024 | 03/25/2024 | 50,000,000.00 | 48,455,750.00 | 0.00 | 48,455,750.00 |
| 57885 | Buy | 89233GKPO | TOYCC 0.000 10/23/2024 | 96.95222 | 03/26/2024 | 03/26/2024 | 75,000,000.00 | 72,714,166.67 | 0.00 | 72,714,166.67 |
| 57887 | Buy | 313384K32 | FHDN 0.000 10/11/2024 | 97.22506 | 03/26/2024 | 03/26/2024 | 25,000,000.00 | 24,306,263.89 | 0.00 | 24,306,263.89 |
| 57886 | Buy | 3130B0MZ9 | FHLB 5.100 01/27/2025 | 100.00000 | 03/27/2024 | 03/27/2024 | 115,000,000.00 | 115,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 115,000,000.00 |
| 57880 | Buy | 3134H1YE7 | FHLMC 5.910 03/14/2029 | 100.00000 | 03/28/2024 | 03/28/2024 | 20,000,000.00 | 20,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 20,000,000.00 |
| 57881 | Buy | 3134H1YE7 | FHLMC 5.910 03/14/2029 | 100.00000 | 03/28/2024 | 03/28/2024 | 20,000,000.00 | 20,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 20,000,000.00 |
| 57882 | Buy | 3134H1YE7 | FHLMC 5.910 03/14/2029 | 100.00000 | 03/28/2024 | 03/28/2024 | 55,000,000.00 | 55,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 55,000,000.00 |
| 57883 | Buy | 3134H1YE7 | FHLMC 5.910 03/14/2029 | 100.00000 | 03/28/2024 | 03/28/2024 | 20,000,000.00 | 20,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 20,000,000.00 |
| 57888 | Buy | 59157TK44 | METSHR 0.000 10/04/2024 | 97.25556 | 03/28/2024 | 03/28/2024 | 15,000,000.00 | 14,588,333.33 | 0.00 | 14,588,333.33 |
|  |  |  | Activity Total |  |  |  | 1,129,814,000.00 | 1,118,415,691.96 | 450,316.19 | 1,118,866,008.15 |
| 57860 | Maturity | 313588TT2 | FNMDN 0.000 03/01/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/01/2024 | 03/01/2024 | 15,000,000.00 | 15,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 15,000,000.00 |
| 57604 | Maturity | 89115BXF2 | TDNY 5.600 03/06/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/06/2024 | 03/06/2024 | 50,000,000.00 | 50,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 50,000,000.00 |
| 57507 | Maturity | 3130ATUQ8 | FHLB 4.750 03/08/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/08/2024 | 03/08/2024 | 10,000,000.00 | 10,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 10,000,000.00 |
| 57523 | Maturity | 3130ATUQ8 | FHLB 4.750 03/08/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/08/2024 | 03/08/2024 | 20,000,000.00 | 20,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 20,000,000.00 |
| 57524 | Maturity | 3130ATUQ8 | FHLB 4.750 03/08/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/08/2024 | 03/08/2024 | 30,000,000.00 | 30,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 30,000,000.00 |
| 57537 | Maturity | 3130ATUQ8 | FHLB 4.750 03/08/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/08/2024 | 03/08/2024 | 30,000,000.00 | 30,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 30,000,000.00 |
| 57538 | Maturity | 3130ATUQ8 | FHLB 4.750 03/08/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/08/2024 | 03/08/2024 | 25,000,000.00 | 25,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 25,000,000.00 |
| 57792 | Maturity | 62479LCD5 | MUFGBK 0.000 03/13/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/13/2024 | 03/13/2024 | 50,000,000.00 | 50,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 50,000,000.00 |
| 57859 | Maturity | 03785DCF1 | APPINC 0.000 03/15/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/15/2024 | 03/15/2024 | 12,090,000.00 | 12,090,000.00 | 0.00 | 12,090,000.00 |
| 57684 | Full Call | 45906 M 4 C 2 | IBRD 5.750 06/15/2026 | 100.00000 | 03/15/2024 | 03/15/2024 | 32,000,000.00 | 32,000,000.00 | 460,000.00 | 32,460,000.00 |
| 57794 | Maturity | 89233GCF1 | TOYCC 0.000 03/15/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/15/2024 | 03/15/2024 | 50,000,000.00 | 50,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 50,000,000.00 |
| 47313 | Maturity | 91282CBR1 | T 0.250 03/15/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/15/2024 | 03/15/2024 | 50,000,000.00 | 50,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 50,000,000.00 |
| 46973 | Maturity | 3133EMTW2 | FFCB 0.300 03/18/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/18/2024 | 03/18/2024 | 50,000,000.00 | 50,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 50,000,000.00 |
| 46974 | Maturity | 3133EMTW2 | FFCB 0.300 03/18/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/18/2024 | 03/18/2024 | 50,000,000.00 | 50,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 50,000,000.00 |
| 57876 | Maturity | 313384UM9 | FHDN 0.000 03/19/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/19/2024 | 03/19/2024 | 50,000,000.00 | 50,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 50,000,000.00 |
| 57877 | Maturity | 313384UM9 | FHDN 0.000 03/19/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/19/2024 | 03/19/2024 | 50,000,000.00 | 50,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 50,000,000.00 |
| 57749 | Maturity | 62479LCR4 | MUFGBK 0.000 03/25/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/25/2024 | 03/25/2024 | 60,000,000.00 | 60,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 60,000,000.00 |
| 57796 | Maturity | 62479LCR4 | MUFGBK 0.000 03/25/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/25/2024 | 03/25/2024 | 50,000,000.00 | 50,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 50,000,000.00 |
| 57804 | Maturity | 62479LCU7 | MUFGBK 0.000 03/28/2024 | 100.00000 | 03/28/2024 | 03/28/2024 | 85,000,000.00 | 85,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 85,000,000.00 |
|  |  |  | Activity Total |  |  |  | 769,090,000.00 | 769,090,000.00 | 460,000.00 | 769,550,000.00 |



For month ended March 31, 2024

| Accounting ID | Description | Activity Date | Transaction Type | Transaction Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSTXX | BlackRock Liquidity Funds T-Fund | 03/01/2024 | Interest Received | 158,765.92 |
| TSTXX | BlackRock Liquidity Funds T-Fund | 03/04/2024 | Withdrawal | $(65,000,000.00)$ |
|  | Activity Total |  |  | (64,841,234.08) |
| FRGXX | Fidelity Govt Portfolio | 03/28/2024 | Interest Received | 3,432,197.91 |
|  | Activity Total |  |  | 3,432,197.91 |
| GOFXX | Federated Hermes Govt Obligations | 03/01/2024 | Deposit | 128,000,000.00 |
| GOFXX | Federated Hermes Govt Obligations | 03/05/2024 | Withdrawal | ( 100,000,000.00) |
| GOFXX | Federated Hermes Govt Obligations | 03/06/2024 | Withdrawal | ( 81,000,000.00) |
| GOFXX | Federated Hermes Govt Obligations | 03/07/2024 | Withdrawal | ( 20,000,000.00) |
| GOFXX | Federated Hermes Govt Obligations | 03/11/2024 | Withdrawal | ( 90,000,000.00) |
| GOFXX | Federated Hermes Govt Obligations | 03/12/2024 | Withdrawal | ( 70,000,000.00) |
| GOFXX | Federated Hermes Govt Obligations | 03/15/2024 | Deposit | 150,000,000.00 |
| GOFXX | Federated Hermes Govt Obligations | 03/18/2024 | Deposit | 45,000,000.00 |
| GOFXX | Federated Hermes Govt Obligations | 03/19/2024 | Withdrawal | ( 100,000,000.00) |
| GOFXX | Federated Hermes Govt Obligations | 03/20/2024 | Deposit | 60,000,000.00 |
| GOFXX | Federated Hermes Govt Obligations | 03/21/2024 | Withdrawal | ( 17,000,000.00) |
| GOFXX | Federated Hermes Govt Obligations | 03/22/2024 | Deposit | 25,000,000.00 |
| GOFXX | Federated Hermes Govt Obligations | 03/25/2024 | Deposit | 19,000,000.00 |
| GOFXX | Federated Hermes Govt Obligations | 03/27/2024 | Withdrawal | ( 100,000,000.00) |
| GOFXX | Federated Hermes Govt Obligations | 03/28/2024 | Interest Received | 2,093,865.19 |
|  | Activity Total |  |  | (148,906,134.81) |
| DGCXX | Dreyfus Government Cash Management | 03/04/2024 | Withdrawal | (75,000,000.00) |
| DGCXX | Dreyfus Government Cash Management | 03/28/2024 | Interest Received | 86,994.77 |
|  | Activity Total |  |  | (74,913,005.23) |
| OPGXX | State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF | 03/04/2024 | Deposit | 20,000,000.00 |
| OPGXX | State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF | 03/05/2024 | Withdrawal | ( 95,000,000.00) |
| OPGXX | State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF | 03/08/2024 | Deposit | 115,000,000.00 |
| OPGXX | State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF | 03/14/2024 | Withdrawal | ( 15,000,000.00) |
| OPGXX | State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF | 03/19/2024 | Withdrawal | ( 110,000,000.00) |
| OPGXX | State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF | 03/21/2024 | Withdrawal | ( 17,000,000.00) |
| OPGXX | State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF | 03/26/2024 | Withdrawal | ( 36,000,000.00) |
| OPGXX | State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF | 03/27/2024 | Withdrawal | ( 95,000,000.00) |
| OPGXX | State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF | 03/28/2024 | Withdrawal | ( 40,000,000.00) |
| OPGXX | State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF | 03/28/2024 | Interest Received | 2,977,679.10 |
|  | Activity Total |  |  | (270,022,320.90) |
| IMPXX | Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity | 03/27/2024 | Deposit | 25,000,000.00 |
| IMPXX | Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity | 03/28/2024 | Interest Received | 17,884.40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Activity Total |  |  | 25,017,884.40 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Attachment 1 for footnotes.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Attachment 1 for footnotes.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Attachment 6 for footnotes.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Attachment 6 for footnotes.

[^4]:    *legislated for bike parking only

