



# TREASURE ISLAND MOBILITY MANAGEMENT AGENCY COMMITTEE

Tuesday, April 3, 2018

### 1. Roll Call

Chair Kim called the meeting to order at 10:38 a.m.

**Present at Roll Call:** Commissioners Kim, Ronen and Yee (3)

### Consent Agenda

- 2. Approve the Minutes of the October 24, 2017 Meeting ACTION
- Internal Accounting and Investment Report for the Six Months Ended December 31, 2017

   INFORMATION

There was no public comment.

Commissioner Yee moved to approve the Consent Agenda, seconded by Commissioner Ronen.

The Consent Agenda was approved without objection by the following vote:

Ayes: Commissioners Kim, Ronen and Yee (3)

## End of Consent Agenda

## 4. Recommend Amending the Adopted Fiscal Year 2017/18 Budget – ACTION

Rachel Hiatt, Principal Planner, presented the item per the staff memorandum.

Commissioner Yee asked if the Treasure Island Mobility Management Agency (TIMMA) was fully staffed.

Ms. Hiatt said the San Francisco County Transportation Authority Board adopted a new organization in December 2016 and established two positions associated with the TIMMA work, TIMMA Program Manager and TIMMA Systems Manager. She said TIMMA expected to hire the TIMMA Program Manager in fiscal year 2017/18 but would now be filling that position until fiscal year 2018/19.

Commissioner Ronen asked for the reason for the delay.

Bob Beck, Treasure Island Development Authority (TIDA) Treasure Island Director, stated that there were some delays in getting the final permit approvals and final maps for the initial sub phases of the development. He reported that Treasure Island Community Development had wrapped that up for Yerba Buena Island (YBI), with the final subdivision map for YBI expected to appear before the San Francisco Board of Supervisors on April 10, 2018. He said that would release the street improvement permit and over the next year, there would be a lot of work on the horizontal infrastructure on the island and would allow the vertical development to start the following year. Chair Kim asked for additional information on the horizontal infrastructure and permits required before vertical development could begin.

Mr. Beck said new water storage reservoirs would be constructed on YBI to supply both Yerba Buena and Treasure Islands. He said YBI's Macalla Road, a main artery on the island, would need to be widened and the alignment needed to be shifted. He said there were other minor roads that would be constructed and that as part of the development of both islands new utility infrastructure was being entirely rebuilt. He said many of the roadways were either being replaced or significantly rehabilitated.

Chair Kim asked if the permits were primarily acquired through San Francisco Department of Public Works (DPW) and the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC)

Mr. Beck said that DPW and SFPUC were the primary agencies, with additional involvement with the San Francisco Planning Department, Mayor's Office of Disability and the Fire Department.

Chair Kim asked if there was any work with the State required to obtain permits.

Mr. Beck said that the permits obtained did not require working with the State.

Chair Kim asked how the process of working with various city departments was going.

Mr. Beck said that it had been challenging but that there was a city-wide effort to improve the process. He said the developer was shifting to start preparing the next sub phase application which he expected to come next fall and hoped to learn from the first few maps to avoid delays on the next sub phase.

Chair Kim asked how financing was going for the infrastructure development.

Mr. Beck said the Treasure Island Community Development was self-financing the development and had all the funds in place for the initial sub phase of development. He said some parcels for development would be sold to continue to finance the subsequent phases of infrastructure.

There was no public comment.

Commissioner Yee moved to approve the item, seconded by Commissioner Ronen.

The item was approved without objection by the following vote:

Ayes: Commissioners Kim, Ronen and Yee (3)

# 5. Update on the Transit Pass Design – INFORMATION

Priyoti Ahmed, Transportation Planner, presented the item.

Chair Kim asked if the Treasure Island transit pass was part of a rent or home owners association fee.

Ms. Ahmed replied in the affirmative.

Chair Kim asked if the market rate for the transit pass considered lower income residents.

Ms. Ahmed said the interim pass cost proposed was between \$95 to \$115 and would be determined by AC Transit. She said the fare was based on customer value, available retail products and the program's financial feasibility. She said the Treasure Island pass would grant access on AC Transit and Muni.

Chair Kim asked why transit passes with agency or directional restrictions existed if the public had the option to upload cash value onto their clipper cards.

Ms. Ahmed said the idea for the alternate transit passes was to see how they would perform with access to both Muni and ferry services.

Chair Kim asked why the Clipper cash option could not be used for all public transportation.

Ms. Hiatt said the transit passes were developed in response to the Water Emergency Transit Authority's (WETA) request to develop a retail pass that did not exist and was a Muni plus local ferry pass.

Chair Kim asked if the retail pass would be a better price point.

Ms. Hiatt said that it would not necessarily be a better price point but that it would draw more people to use ferry.

Chair Kim asked if the all access pass was unlimited.

Ms. Hiatt said it was unlimited and was the option that TIMMA recommended to WETA.

Commissioner Ronen asked if a mandatory transit pass for home owners and renters had ever been done before.

Ms. Ahmed said that the Bay View Hunter's Point would have home owners and renters choose between a cash value Clipper card or a Muni fast pass.

Commissioner Ronen asked if the mandatory transit pass was included in the development agreement.

Ms. Hiatt responded in the affirmative and said that it was something the city was including as a requirement on all the major new development agreement areas.

Mr. Beck said for the development of Treasure Island in particular, the transportation and transit challenges of being connected to the Bay Bridge were a significant hurdle. He said the mandatory transit pass would be put into the development agreement with Treasure Island Community Development and then passed through the vertical disposition development agreement for each development parcel. He said that would be incorporated into homeowner association dues or rent.

Ms. Hiatt said the mandatory transit pass was viewed as a benefit for resident because it would be an automatic monthly pass that would provides access to all the transportation modes that would be serving the area.

Commissioner Yee said the mandatory transit pass should be discounted similar to San Francisco State University's Gator Pass. He said one of the advantages to the Gator Pass was that everybody paid into the program and allowed students to receive a discounted pass.

Ms. Ahmed said an analysis was done on the mandatory transit passes and found that some passes would be unused.

Commissioner Yee asked what the maximum population would be on Treasure Island.

Ms. Ahmed said 8,000 units with a resident population of 20,000 was expected to be the maximum.

Commissioner Yee asked if planning around a second Transbay tube had included a Treasure Island BART station.

Director Chang said it was too early to say yes or no or preclude any option and said no one had suggested or highlighted that level of detail in the planning.

Commissioner Yee said that if 20,000 people were going to live on Treasure Island, it would make sense have a station. He added that a potential Treasure Island BART station could serve more people than some of the current stations.

There was no public comment.

After public comment Chair Kim stated she had been received feedback on the Mobility Management Program and the Access Pass Program from Treasure Island residents and there continued to be concern for low income and market rate residents. She said there was a great amount of work to do but noted that because the program was many years away, the public was not providing feedback. She suggested income or means programming for market rate residents and said market rate covered a wide range of salaries. She said the transit pass program was interesting and was looking forward to seeing the innovative ways transit and housing development would be paired together.

## Chair Kim called Items 6 and 7 together.

## 6. Introduction of New Items – INFORMATION

## 7. Public Comment

There were no new items introduced.

During public comment Andrew Yip spoke about economic development and the need to fight for justice.

## 8. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 11:13 a.m.