Prop K Grouped Allocation Requests July 2018 Board Action

Table of Contents

No.	Fund Source	Project Sponsor ¹	Expenditure Plan Line Item/ Category Description	Project Name	Phase	Funds Requested	Page No.
1	Prop K	SFMTA	Bus Rapid Transit/Transit Preferential Streets/MUNI Metro Network	Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Phase 1 (Geary Rapid)	Construction	\$ 1,392,213	1
2	Prop K	SFCTA	Bus Rapid Transit/Transit Preferential Streets/MUNI Metro Network	Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Additional Funds	Environmental	\$ 854,000	15
3	Prop K	BART	Balboa Park BART/ Muni Station Access	Balboa Park Station Area Improvements	Design	\$ 700,000	33
4	Prop K	SFMTA	New Signals & Signs	Alemany and Rousseau Traffic Signal Conduits	Design, Construction	\$ 150,000	41
5	Prop K	SFMTA	Traffic Calming	Local Track Application-Based Traffic Calming Program	Planning	\$ 200,000	49
		•	•	Total Requested	•	\$ 3,296,213	

¹ Acronyms: BART (Bay Area Rapid Transit District); SFCTA (Transportation Authority); SFCTA (Transportation Authority); SFMTA (San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency).



This Page Intentionally Left Blank

San Francisco County Transportation Authority Prop K/Prop AA Allocation Request Form

FY of Allocation Action:	FY2018/19
Project Name:	Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Phase 1 (Geary Rapid)
Grant Recipient:	SFMTA - Department of Parking and Traffic

EXPENDITURE PLAN INFORMATION

Prop K EP categories:	Rapid Bus Network
Current Prop K Request:	\$1,392,213
Supervisorial District(s):	District 01, District 02, District 03, District 05, District 06

REQUEST

Brief Project Description

The Geary Rapid project will make traveling on Geary a more reliable and safer experience with dedicated bus-lanes and safety improvements for people walking between Market and Stanyan streets. The requested funds are for one element of the Geary Rapid scope - fiber optic conduit infrastructure - which is necessary to construct prior to surface improvements and will allow for reliable traffic signal coordination on the corridor to optimize traffic flow for all users.

Detailed Scope, Project Benefits and Community Outreach

See attachment.

Project Location

Along the 38 Geary corridor (Geary Street, Geary Boulevard and O'Farrell Street) between Market and Stanyan Streets.

Project Phase(s)

Construction

5YPP/STRATEGIC PLAN INFORMATION

Type of Project in the Prop K 5YPP/Prop AA Strategic Plan?	
Is requested amount greater than the amount programmed in the relevant 5YPP or Strategic Plan?	Less than or Equal to Programmed Amount
Prop K 5YPP Amount:	\$8,718,054

Scope for SFMTA Allocation for Geary BRT Phase 1 (now called Geary Rapid)

The SFMTA is requesting Proposition K funds for implementation of Phase 1 Geary Bus Rapid Transit improvements. Specifically, this request is for the funding of the fiber optic conduit infrastructure which is necessary to construct prior to surface improvements and will allow for reliable traffic signal coordination on the corridor to optimize traffic flow for all users. All other implementation scope, including the installation of fiber optic cables, is currently planned to be funded through future allocation requests or by other federal, state and local sources.

Background

Following the adoption of the Geary Corridor BRT Study (Feasibility Study) in May 2007, through Resolution 07-65 the San Francisco County Transportation Authority Board appropriated the first installment of Prop K funds for the environmental and advanced conceptual engineering phase for the BRT project. The environmental review phase of this project is being led by the San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA); the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), the City agency responsible under the San Francisco Charter for developing and providing transportation facilities and services, is working in close coordination with the SFCTA to complete this project.

The Geary BRT Project is a coordinated set of transit and pedestrian improvements along the 6.5-mile Geary corridor between the Transbay Transit Center and 48th Avenue. Key BRT features include dedicated bus lanes, transit signal priority, boarding improvements, consolidated bus stops, high-amenity stations, and pedestrian safety enhancements. Geary BRT is a signature project in the voter-approved Prop K Expenditure Plan.

The Geary BRT Project environmental review phase is complete. In January 2017, the SFCTA Board certified the Environmental Impact Report and selected a Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA) (and amended the LPA in June 2017). The SFMTA Board concurred in the selection of the amended LPA and adopted California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) findings in July 2017. The environmental phase concluded with the publication of a combined Final Environmental Impact Statement and Record of Decision (FEIS/ROD) on June 15 2018, an action by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) completing the federal environmental review requirements. With the completion of the environmental review phase, the SFMTA is working to transition the project into design and implementation.

The implementation is planned to occur in two phases: Phase 1 – Near-term / Initial Construction Phase improvements (branded as the Geary Rapid Project), which includes all scope between Market and Stanyan Streets such as some key segments of transit-only lanes, pedestrian and transit bulb-outs, signal modifications, and a 5-block road diet; and Phase 2 – the Full BRT project that includes the remainder of the proposed improvements between Stanyan and 34th Avenue. The reason for this phasing is to provide travel and other community benefits to the Geary corridor on a rolling basis so that the community does not need to wait until the full BRT project starts construction after 2020 for the public to benefit from improvements. The section below describes the anticipated Phase 1 improvements.

Scope – Geary Rapid

The SFMTA requests a construction phase Prop K allocation of \$1,392,213 to help fund the implementation of the Phase 1 Geary Rapid improvements. The agencies crafted the near-term Improvements to be a subset of, and otherwise compatible with, the project's LPA. The proposed near-term improvements included in the Initial Construction Phase respond to Board and public input asking for travel and other community benefits to be delivered to the corridor while the full project continues through the project development process. The implementation of the near-term proposals will be phased such that the elements with faster lead-times, such

as transit-only lane treatments, bus zone changes, and pedestrian safety improvements, will be implemented soon after the EIS completion, while other elements requiring more time for design and contracting work, such as concrete bulb-outs, will be implemented later.

The previous SFMTA Geary BRT Phase 1 Prop K funding request, requested in December 2014, included funding to complete the conceptual engineering and detailed design phases. With detailed design nearing completion, this phase will be to implement the subset of Initial Construction phase improvements. This phase of the project includes SFMTA-implemented scope such as red transit-only lane installation, bus stop changes and traffic signal re-timing, as well as publicly bid construction contract scope such as transit bulbs, traffic signal upgrades and fiber optic conduit installation, and includes construction support costs.

SFMTA and SFCTA are working closely with staff from San Francisco Public Works (PW) and the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (PUC) to coordinate on the implementation of both the Near-term Improvements and the Full project. SFMTA, PW and PUC have coordinated to ensure utilities are accounted for, including all modifications or relocations needed to utilities due to proposed changes. Deliverables from this phase include delivery of the SFMTA-led and contractor-led scope of work.

Geary Rapid construction includes the following scope of improvements (this request covers the installation of fiber-optic conduit in item B. and is scheduled for construction prior to the remaining elements):

A. Concrete work: bus and pedestrian bulb-out improvements. The near-term improvements include approximately 10 new bus bulb-out installations, one new transit island, and modifications to approximately four existing bus bulbs. The work here accounts for necessary relocations of water and sewer utilities, as well as concrete bus pads. This also includes over 30 pedestrian bulb-outs, as well as needed accompanying curb ramp upgrades. The pedestrian improvements along this corridor have been coordinated with Vision Zero Walk First's efforts.


B. Traffic signal improvements. The near-term improvements will install upgraded equipment at nine intersections along the corridor, including new vehicle and pedestrian countdown signal heads, and new poles. At most of these locations complete upgrades are needed in order to install pedestrian countdown capability. At other locations, the upgrades support smoother bus and traffic operations. At one location, a signalized queue jump would be provided for transit. The near-term improvements will also include a new signalized pedestrian crossing at Buchanan and a new traffic signal at Cook. New fiber optic conduits and cables would also be installed along the corridor connecting all traffic signal controller cabinets to improve the reliability and capabilities of communication systems along the 38 Geary routes, which benefits Transit Signal Priority (TSP) technology.

C. Dedicated bus lanes. From Van Ness Avenue to Stanyan Street, the near-term improvements include siderunning bus lanes, with a few exceptions.¹ Work would be limited to this segment of the corridor only. The near-term/initial construction phase cost estimate does not account for curb-to-curb pavement resurfacing, which will be funded by Public Works' Paving Program. The lanes will be delineated with red color treatment.

D. Japantown Transportation Improvements. Other improvements include a package of improvements to address the long blocks and few crossing opportunities between residences and sites of interest on either side of Geary in the Japantown area. Currently, 18% of pedestrians at Webster cross illegally at the surface without crosswalks, which has resulted in two fatalities since 2008. The package of improvements includes:

¹ For a few blocks near the Masonic Avenue and Fillmore Street intersections, the buses would operate on narrow frontage roads adjacent to the grade-separated Geary tunnels at those locations. Some blocks of the frontage roads lack sufficient width for a bus lane and the mixed-flow travel lane needed to provide access to adjacent land uses and side streets. In such cases, the buses will share the lane with mixed-flow traffic.

Roadway redesign between Gough and Scott, where the roadway currently expands to provide additional travel lanes. Phase 1 will convert one travel lane in each direction into a transit only lane, and remove an additional travel lane. These changes will calm traffic and prioritize transit, while providing a consistent number of travel lanes throughout the corridor.



- Adding at-grade, ADA-accessible crosswalks at Webster and Steiner with large pedestrian refuges. The above-mentioned roadway redesign allows for large pedestrian median refuges to be installed in the space reallocated from a through-traffic lane. Additionally, the Steiner Street pedestrian overcrossing structure would be removed. This would remove potential blind spots caused by the bridge piers and provide space for large pedestrian median refuges. The area around the bridge touch-down ramps is adjacent to the Raymond Kimbell Playground and Hamilton Recreation Center Rec & Park facilities and will be improved through a design developed with Rec & Park, Public Works and SFMTA collaboration.
- New pedestrian signal at Buchanan / Peace Plaza will be installed as a twophase crossing with a large, protected median refuge where school groups and other pedestrians can gather safely. The two-phase, "Z" design is intentional in order to provide good sight lines between pedestrians and oncoming traffic.



E. Right-turn pockets. At 12 locations with

heavy right-turning vehicle demand and high pedestrian crossing activity, where there will be side-running bus lanes, the project will install right-turn pockets so that right-turning vehicles that are stopped to wait for pedestrians to cross can queue in a pocket adjacent to the side-running bus lane, leaving the bus lane clear for buses.

F. Bus operation improvements. The near-term improvements also lengthen six bus zones to facilitate vehicle maneuvers around bus stops and stations, as well as relocations of six stops from the near side of intersections to the far side, for improved bus flows through traffic to maximize the benefit of transit signal priority. This scope element includes stop pattern changes such as removal of seven local stops and conversion of two Rapid stops to local stops.

Outreach

During the environmental phase, the project team conducted four major rounds of outreach, held over 250 meetings with over 65 stakeholder groups, and participated in 34 Citizens Advisory Committee meetings over the course of a multi-year environmental review process to collaborate and share ideas in the development of the project. The team has also used corridor surveys, OWL visualization kiosks, fliering and ambassadors at

bus stops, corridor postings, and web, email and social media updates, and newspaper ads. The project's design has benefited significantly from the important input received from the community. As such, the design elements of the BRT project that emerged from this outreach process have helped gain community support.

SFMTA has worked in close coordination with the SFCTA to refine the Phase 1 proposals in response to stakeholder feedback from the initial staff design recommendation to the LPA which was approved by the SFCTA and SFMTA Boards. Since 2017, SFMTA has begun leading most project communication and outreach with stakeholders. SFMTA has established a project website (<u>www.sfmta.com/Geary</u>), a project email (gearyrapid@sfmta.com) and phone number (415.646.2300), and has conducted several initial outreach efforts including: 1) conducting a pedestrian intercept survey to understand general perceptions about the Geary corridor from people traveling in the area in March 2017, with over 1,400 valid responses 2) conducting stakeholder meetings with adjacent residences, businesses and property owners in close proximity to parts of the street where major changes are proposed to vet and refine design proposals; 3) conducting a door-to-door merchant loading survey; and 4) establishing the new SFMTA-led Geary Community Advisory Committee, with 15 members, to guide the project through its design and implementation stages as a successor to the SFCTA-led CAC that guided the project through its planning and environmental review stages. SFMTA is preparing for additional outreach to vet the near-term proposals with the community in Summer 2018 before seeking SFMTA parking and traffic legislation for the near-term improvements anticipated in Summer 2018.

Benefits

Key benefits of the project include:

- **Transit reliability and travel time improvements, and legibility:** New transit-only lanes, bus stop consolidations and removals, and bus bulbs will all help prevent bus bunching, meaning bus riders will experience fewer gaps in service and a faster, more reliable travel time. Bus stops will have upgraded amenities including new signage.
- **Pedestrian safety:** People walking along Geary are eight times more likely to be hit by a car than the citywide average. A suite of features proven to save lives will be implemented to address this trend including: new pedestrian bulbs to shorten intersection crossing distances and improve visibility to motorists; new pedestrian countdown signals to improve intersection safety; and daylighting every intersection to increase the visibility of pedestrians crossing the street.
- **Geary Expressway calming:** The eight-lane Geary Expressway creates quality of life and traffic safety challenges for the neighborhoods surrounding it. Reducing the number of travel lanes, plus introducing new surface-level crosswalks and new signalized crossings, will serve to improve livability.
- **Optimized traffic flow:** Traffic signals will be upgraded, and the corridor communication system will have improved reliability and capabilities as a result of the new fiber optic infrastructure. Signals will also be re-timed providing improved traffic flow for all users.
- Accessibility upgrades: Concrete ADA-compliant curb ramps, Accessible Pedestrian Signals, and new median refuges will improve the corridor's accessibility for all street users.
- **Bicycling upgrades:** Safety improvements, such as new green-backed sharrows, will be implemented at intersections where cross streets are a part of the Bicycle Network.

Implementation

This current Prop K request is only for construction of the fiber optic conduit infrastructure which is planned to be delivered via the Public Utility Commission's (PUC) construction contract, which consists primarily of underground water and sewer improvements. This PUC contract would be advertised before the Geary Rapid Surface contract. This delivery plan allows better pricing, more flexible design, and streamlined construction. Fiber optic conduit infrastructure is required to enhance the capabilities of the Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) along the corridor, including Transit Signal Priority (TSP), as described in the project scope.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority Prop K/Prop AA Allocation Request Form

Project Name: Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Phase 1 (Geary Rapid)

ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE

Environmental Type: EIR/EIS

PROJECT DELIVERY MILESTONES

Phase	9	Start	E	End	
	Quarter	Calendar Year	Quarter	Calendar Year	
Planning/Conceptual Engineering	Apr-Mar-Jun	2007	Apr-Mar-Jun	2008	
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)	Jul-Aug-Sep	2011	Apr-Mar-Jun	2018	
Right of Way					
Design Engineering (PS&E)	Jul-Aug-Sep	2015	Oct-Nov-Dec	2018	
Advertise Construction	Jan-Feb-Mar	2018			
Start Construction (e.g. Award Contract)	Jul-Aug-Sep	2018			
Operations					
Open for Use			Jan-Feb-Mar	2021	
Project Completion (means last eligible expenditure)			Jul-Aug-Sep	2021	

SCHEDULE DETAILS

Schedule shown above is for the Phase 1 (Geary Rapid).

Community outreach has been ongoing for the past several years. SFMTA is preparing for a final round of design outreach in Summer 2018 to seek community feedback on this phase of design before final changes are considered for approval by the SFMTA Board in Summer 2018. SFMTA plans on a multi-channel pre-construction and construction phase campaign to inform stakeholders of what to expect during construction and to continue bi-monthly meetings with the SFMTA-led Geary CAC that was formed in July 2017.

The Geary Rapid project has been closely coordinated with SF Public Works (PW), the SF Public Utilities Commission (PUC) and the Department of Technology (DT) to minimize construction impacts to the community and reduce funding needs. PUC is improving its sewer and water mains along the corridor, PW is repaving the roadway, and DT is installing fiber optic conduit. This multi-disciplinary work will be delivered via four separate construction contracts. The first two overlap in location (Stanyan - Van Ness) and follow each other sequentially, the third, demolition of the Steiner pedestrian overcrossing, also overlaps in location with the first two contracts but is planned to be be constructed primarily over a single long weekend, while the fourth contract encompasses the eastern project limits (Van Ness - Market). PUC will administer the first contract which includes sewer, water and fiber optic conduit work, and PW will administer the other three contracts. Additionally, some striping and traffic changes to improve transit performance and pedestrian safety will be implemented by the SFMTA field shops soon after legislation in a manner compatible with subsequent construction work.

Geary Rapid Project (BRT Phase 1):	100% design	Advertise	Notice To Proceed
PUC Sewer and Water Improvements (Stanyan - Van Ness)	12/2017	3/2018	8/2018
PW Geary Rapid West Surface (Stanyan - Van Ness)	10/2018	1/2019	7/2019
PW Steiner Bridge Demolition	6/2018	8/2018	2/2019
PW Geary Rapid East (Van Ness - Market)	7/2018	8/2018	2/2019

San Francisco County Transportation Authority	Prop K/Prop AA Allocation Request Form	roject Name: Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Phase 1
		Project Name

MAJOR LINE ITEM BUDGET

CONSTRUCTION

SUMMARY BY MAJOR LINE ITEM (B)	—	AGENCY LABOR BY TASK)			
Budget Line Item	Totals	% of contract	SFPUC	SFMTA	Contractor
1. Contract					
Task 1: Fiber Optic Conduit	\$ 618,710				\$ 618,710
Task 2: Traffic Routing/other	\$ 390,140				\$ 390,140
Subtotal	\$ 1,008,850				\$ 1,008,850
2. Construction					
Management/Support	\$ 151,328	15%	\$ 151,328		
3. Contingency	\$ 231,536	23%		\$ 231,536	
4. Legal Fees	\$ 500			\$ 500	
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION PHASE	\$ 1,392,213		\$ 151,328 \$	\$ 232,036	\$ 1,008,850

San Francisco County Transportation Authority Prop K/Prop AA Allocation Request Form

Project Name: Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Phase 1 (Geary Rapid)

FUNDING PLAN - FOR CURRENT REQUEST

Fund Source	Planned	Programmed	Allocated	Project Total
PROP K: Rapid Bus Network	\$0	\$1,392,213	\$0	\$1,392,213
Phases in Current Request Total:	\$0	\$1,392,213	\$0	\$1,392,213

FUNDING PLAN - ENTIRE PROJECT (ALL PHASES)

Fund Source	Planned	Programmed	Allocated	Project Total
PROP K	\$0	\$1,392,213	\$1,978,946	\$3,371,159
TSIP GENERAL FUND	\$0	\$0	\$94,600	\$94,600
TRANSIT PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE - INVESTMENT (FEDERAL)	\$0	\$9,609,241	\$0	\$9,609,241
PROP A T2030 GO - PED	\$0	\$11,692,500	\$1,606,500	\$13,299,000
OBAG 2	\$0	\$6,939,000	\$0	\$6,939,000
MTA REV BOND 2014	\$0	\$0	\$700,000	\$700,000
Funding Plan for Entire Project Total:	\$0	\$29,632,954	\$4,380,046	\$34,013,000

COST SUMMARY

Phase	Total Cost	Prop K - Current Request	Source of Cost Estimate
Planning/Conceptual Engineering	\$0	\$0	
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)	\$0	\$0	
Right of Way	\$0	\$0	
Design Engineering (PS&E)	\$3,680,046	\$0	Actual cost, plus cost to complete
Construction	\$30,332,954	\$1,392,213	Engineer's Estimates
Operations	\$0	\$0	
Total:	\$34,013,000	\$1,392,213	

% Complete of Design:	95.0%
As of Date:	06/15/2018

Expected Useful Life: 30 Years

PROPOSED REIMBURSEMENT SCHEDULE FOR CURRENT REQUEST

Fund Source	Phase	FY 2018/19	FY 2019/20	FY 2020/21	FY 2021/22	FY 2022/23	Fund Source Total
PROP K	Construction	\$1,392,213	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,392,213
	Total:	\$1,392,213	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,392,213

San Francisco County Transportation Authority Prop K/Prop AA Allocation Request Form

Project Name: Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Phase 1 (Geary Rapid)

SFCTA RECOMMENDATION

	Resolution Date:		Resolution Number:
\$0	Total Prop AA Requested:	\$1,392,213	Total Prop K Requested:
\$0	Total Prop AA Recommended:	\$1,392,213	Total Prop K Recommended:

	SGA Project Number:	101-xxxxx	Name:	Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Phase 1 Near Term
	Sponsor:	SFMTA - Department of Parking and Traffic	Expiration Date:	12/31/2021
	Phase:	Construction	Fundshare:	100.0
ſ				

Cash Flow Distribution Schedule by Fiscal Year

Fund Source	FY 2018/19	FY 2019/20	FY 2020/21	FY 2021/22	FY 2022/23	Total
PROP K EP-101	\$1,392,213	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,392,213

Deliverables

1. Provide 2-3 digital photos during construction.

Special Conditions

1. SFMTA may not incur expenses for the construction phase until Transportation Authority staff releases the funds (\$1,392,213) pending receipt of evidence of completion of design (e.g. copy of certifications page).

2. The Transportation Authority will only reimburse SFMTA up to the approved overhead multiplier rate for the fiscal year that SFMTA incurs charges.

Notes

1. Prop K funds will only be used for the fiber optic conduit portion of the project scope.

Metric	Prop K	Prop AA
Actual Leveraging - Current Request	0.0%	No Prop AA
Actual Leveraging - This Project	90.09%	No Prop AA

Status
Allocated
Programmed
Planned
Allocated
Programmed
Planned
Allocated
Programmed
Planned
Allocated
Programmed
Planned
Allocated
Programmed
Planned
Allocated
Programmed
Planned
Allocated
Programmed
Planned
Allocated
Programmed Planned
Allocated
Programmed
Planned
Allocated
Programmed
Planned

			ц Т	Iune 2018	0			
Full BRT (Remainder of Project) ¹) ¹			Project Phases	Phases ²			
Source ³	Type	Status	NYTd	ENV	CER/PS&E	CON	Total by Status	TOTAL
		Allocated						
FTA 5309 Small Starts ⁴	Federal	Programmed						\$100,000,000
		Planned				\$100,000,000	\$100,000,000	
		Allocated	\$780,000	\$8,693,146	\$6,319,470		\$15,792,616	
Prop K Sales Tax	Local	Programmed			\$17,553,655	\$13,226,737	\$30,780,392	\$47,427,008
		Planned		\$854,000			\$854,000	
		Allocated						
Prop AA	Local	Programmed			\$2,064,919		\$2,064,919	\$2,064,919
		Planned						
		Allocated						
(TBD 5	TBD	Programmed						\$85,852,073
		Planned			\$9,846,552	\$76,005,521	\$85,852,073	
		Allocated	\$780,000	\$8,693,146	\$6,319,470		\$15,792,616	

Geary Bus Rapid Transit Funding Plan

1 The Near-Term Improvements include a potential initial set of project elements between Market and Stanyan, including side-running bus-only lanes, stop upgrades, repaving, traffic signal and striping work, pedestrian crossing enhancements, and water and sewer upgrades. The Full BRT package includes all remaining Geary BRT project elements, including the proposed center bus-only lanes through the Richmond district.

\$235,344,000

\$186,706,073 \$235,344,000

\$189,232,258

\$9,547,146

\$780,000

Programmed

Planned

Total Phase 2

\$854,000

\$32,845,311

\$13,226,737 \$176,005,521

\$19,618,574 \$9,846,552 \$35,784,596 2 Acronyms for project phases include: PLAN - pre-environmental planning, ENV - Environmental Documentation, CER/PS&E - Conceptual Engineering Report/Plans, Specifications & Estimates or Final Design, CON - Construction. The construction phase includes the incremental cost for procuring new BRT vehicles for the project. 3 Acronyms for funding sources include: FTA - Federal Transit Administration, SFMTA - San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, and SFPUC - San Francisco Public Utilities Commission.

4 The Geary BRT project team plans to apply for Small Starts funds in 2018. Small Starts projects must be seeking no more than \$100 million.

5 Potential sources for the Full BRT package include, but are not limited to MTC Transit Performance Initiative, cap and trade funds, Senate Bill 1 funded programs such as the Local Partnership Program program, other state or federal discretionary funds, or a new local revenue measure(s)



This Page Intentionally Left Blank

San Francisco County Transportation Authority Prop K/Prop AA Allocation Request Form

FY of Allocation Action:	FY2018/19
Project Name:	Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Additional Funds
Grant Recipient:	San Francisco County Transportation Authority

EXPENDITURE PLAN INFORMATION

Prop K EP categories:	Rapid Bus Network
Current Prop K Request:	\$854,000
Supervisorial District(s):	District 01, District 02, District 03, District 05, District 06

REQUEST

Brief Project Description

The Geary BRT Project would create dedicated bus-only lanes along the seven-mile 38/38R route. This Project would enhance the existing bus-only lanes on Geary and O'Farrell Streets from Market Street to Gough Street, and new bus-only lanes on Geary Boulevard from Gough Street to 34th Avenue. The Project would also provide other pedestrian- and transit-supportive improvements such as bulb-outs, high-amenity stations, and signal improvements.

Detailed Scope, Project Benefits and Community Outreach

See attached scope of work.

Project Location

Geary Corridor from Transbay Terminal to 48th Avenue

Project Phase(s)

Environmental Studies (PA&ED)

5YPP/STRATEGIC PLAN INFORMATION

Type of Project in the Prop K 5YPP/Prop AA Strategic Plan?	
Is requested amount greater than the amount programmed in the relevant 5YPP or Strategic Plan?	
Prop K 5YPP Amount:	\$0
Justification for Necessary Amendment	
	Rapid Transit/Transit Preferential Streets/Muni Metro Network 5YPP to

reprogram \$854,000 from the Planning/Conceptual Engineering phase to the Environmental phase of the subject project.

Geary Bus Rapid Transit Project Environmental Studies and Initial Preliminary Engineering San Francisco County Transportation Authority Scope of Work Amendment May 25, 2018

The following scope of work describes revised and additional activities required to complete the environmental and initial preliminary engineering phase of the Geary Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Project. The Transportation Authority is leading this phase of work, in close coordination with the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA). The SFMTA will lead the engineering design and construction phases of the project, during which the Transportation Authority will be responsible for environmental compliance.

In May 2007, the Transportation Authority approved the Geary Corridor BRT Feasibility Study, and through Resolution 07-65 it committed \$1,183,000 in Prop K funds to the environmental and initial preliminary engineering phase of the project. The original scope of work included:

- A. Project Management and External Coordination
- B. Environmental Impact Analysis and Documentation
- C/D. Alternatives Analysis/ Initial Preliminary Engineering

In July 2015, through Resolution 16-06, the Transportation Authority approved an amended scope that added the following task:

E. Environmental Compliance

This amendment adds scope to these existing tasks as detailed below and adds two new tasks as follows:

- F. CEQA Litigation
- G. Records Litigation

Previous Scope Installments

The current environmental phase budget, including environmental compliance, is \$8,719,526.

Since inception of the environmental phase, the scope of work has been amended to add work items as needs surfaced as a result of project refinement and public input, including:

- Development of improvements on Geary and O'Farrell Streets ("Inner Geary") east of Van Ness Avenue
- Analysis for the complex Fillmore and Masonic grade-separated intersections, including engineering and transportation modeling
- Additional build alternatives Alternative 3-Consolidated and the Hybrid Alternative that responded to previous community feedback to preserve parking

- Additional detailed technical analysis on design options responding to community concerns, and designation of the Hybrid Alternative as the Staff-Recommended Alternative
- Focused community outreach and coordination with more than 60 community groups, including with Geary merchants, transit advocacy groups, and disability advocacy groups
- In-depth inter-agency coordination to build early consensus on the project, including local stakeholder agencies and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA)

Progress Since April 2017

Since the last appropriation request in 2017, the project team has made substantial progress, as follows:

<u>Coordinated with FTA to complete the Final EIS.</u> After working with the project team to resolve comments on several administrative drafts, FTA published its Record of Decision in the Federal Register on June 15, 2018, concluding that all requirements of NEPA and associated regulations have been met.

<u>Continued coordination with the project design team.</u> Environmental review staff has worked closely with the SFMTA project design team to ensure all changes to the project made in response to public input during the environmental review process are reflected in design work for the project, which is proceeding in parallel with environmental approvals.

Scope of Work for Current Funding Request

As the project has progressed, the project team has identified additional work items necessary to complete this phase of project development, including original scope items that have been initiated but require further resources and newly identified remaining work to be done. The requested funds represent an addition to the previous total funds as shown in Table 1 below. This request would be retroactive to the beginning of FY17-18 since expenses, including legal fees, have been higher than anticipated.

Previous and Current Funding Requests	Amount
R07-65	\$1,183,000
R08-81	\$1,125,000
R11-32	\$1,647,515
R14-17	\$2,790,598
R15-29	\$872,859
R16-06	\$471,920
R17-39	\$602,254
All Previous Requests	\$8,693,145
Current Funding Request	\$854,000
Total	\$9,547,146

Table 1. Geary BRT Environmental Phase Funding

In Table 2 and the sections below, we provide details regarding the work for each task that would be covered by this request.

Task	Original scope items remaining	Original scope items requiring additional funds	Newly identified scope items
Task A. Project Management and		Ongoing project management	
External Coordination		Federal, state, regional agency coordination	
Task B. Environmental Impact Analysis and Documentation		Nine rounds of FTA review of Final EIS (increase from 5 rounds expected) and associated revisions)
Tasks C/D. Initial Preliminary Engineering/ Alternatives Analysis	None		
Task E. Environmental Compliance		Monitoring of the engineering design process for environmental compliance and completion of any supplemental documentation	
Task F. CEQA Litigation		Respond to litigation regarding the project's CEQA clearance (Note: Previous appropriation combined this task with Task B.)	
Task G. Records Litigation			Respond to litigation regarding requests for project records

Table 2. Geary	BRT	Environ	mental	Phase	Remaining	Work Items

The increased scope items requiring additional work and newly identified additional scope items are described below.

Task A. Project Management and External Coordination

- Ongoing project management. This task includes providing internal and external periodic project updates, managing the technical consultant and overall inter-agency project team, and other administrative project support. As the project schedule has extended, the need for ongoing management has also extended.
- *Federal, state, regional agency coordination.* Continued coordination is needed with the FTA and other agencies in order to ensure compliance with the Federal Record of Decision (ROD) and state Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP).

Task B. Environmental Impact Analysis and Documentation

• *Final Environmental Document.* The FTA and local agencies previously agreed to prepare the Final EIR separate from a Final EIS. Following approval of the EIR, the Transportation Authority and SFMTA collaborated with FTA in the subsequent preparation of a Final EIS and ROD for the Project in compliance with NEPA. Preparing separate documents entailed additional local agency review cycles and additional FTA review cycles, as well as project team work to incorporate agency comments.

Task E. Environmental Compliance

- Implementation of the MMRP. This task includes review of draft plans and preliminary engineering documents to be used during construction, oversight of the continued Federal Section 106 cultural resources consultation process, review of parking legislation and required mitigations replacing color loading zones for community impacts, and review of updated Construction Plan for construction impacts. This request covers this effort through the end of 2018, with further MMRP implementation funding to accompany future SFMTA allocation requests for design and construction.
- *Supplemental Environmental Documentation.* This task entails staff and consultant engagement to ensure SFMTA project designs are in environmental compliance, including preparation of any supplemental documentation, in order to enable completion of final design for Phase 1 of the project.

Task F. CEQA Litigation

• Legal. A CEQA legal challenge was filed on February 6, 2017. Staff and legal counsel are preparing the necessary documents to support a response to this challenge.

Task G. Records Litigation

• *Legal.* A public records legal challenge was filed on January 29, 2018. Staff and legal counsel are preparing the necessary documents to support a response to this challenge.

Contingency

• This request includes a contingency to account for the possibility of legal fees or environmental compliance costs that could be higher than anticipated.

Environmental Review Schedule

Following publication of the Final EIS and FTA ROD in the Federal Register on June 15, 2018, SFMTA will continue engineering design activities for both phases of the project. Schedules for these activities are provided in the schedule section of this Prop K appropriation request form.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority Prop K/Prop AA Allocation Request Form

Project Name: Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Additional Funds

ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE

Environmental Type: EIR/EIS

PROJECT DELIVERY MILESTONES

Phase	S	start	E	End
	Quarter	Calendar Year	Quarter	Calendar Year
Planning/Conceptual Engineering	Apr-Mar-Jun	2007	Apr-Mar-Jun	2008
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)	Jul-Aug-Sep	2011	Jul-Aug-Sep	2018
Right of Way				
Design Engineering (PS&E)	Jul-Aug-Sep	2015	Oct-Nov-Dec	2018
Advertise Construction	Jan-Feb-Mar	2018		
Start Construction (e.g. Award Contract)	Jul-Aug-Sep	2018		
Operations				
Open for Use			Jan-Feb-Mar	2021
Project Completion (means last eligible expenditure)			Jul-Aug-Sep	2021

SCHEDULE DETAILS

This funding request is to complete the environmental phase of the project, which is in parallel with SFMTA design of both the Phase 1 and Phase 2 portions of the project. The schedule above shows Phase 1. Phase 2 schedule - PS&E: Early 2018 - Summer 2020, Advertise: Summer 2020, Construction: Fall 2020 - Summer 2022.

Page 7 of 14

San Francisco County Transportation Authority Prop K/Prop AA Allocation Request Form

Project Name: Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Additional Funds

FUNDING PLAN - FOR CURRENT REQUEST

Fund Source	Planned	Programmed	Allocated	Project Total
PROP K: Rapid Bus Network	\$854,000	\$0	\$8,693,146	\$9,547,146
Phases in Current Request Total:	\$854,000	\$0	\$8,693,146	\$9,547,146

FUNDING PLAN - ENTIRE PROJECT (ALL PHASES)

Fund Source	Planned	Programmed	Allocated	Project Total
PROP K	\$854,000	\$32,172,605	\$17,771,562	\$50,798,167
PROP AA	\$0	\$4,462,048	\$0	\$4,462,048
TRANSPORTATION AND STREET INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM - FOLLOW THE PAVING (GENERAL FUND)	\$0	\$0	\$94,600	\$94,600
TRANSIT PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE - INVESTMENT	\$0	\$9,609,241	\$0	\$9,609,241
TBD	\$85,852,073	\$0	\$0	\$85,852,073
SF PUC	\$26,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$26,000,000
SFMTA REVENUE BOND SERIES 2014	\$0	\$0	\$700,000	\$700,000
PROP A T2030 GO BOND PEDESTRIAN SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS	\$0	\$3,847,494	\$9,451,506	\$13,299,000
ONE BAY AREA GRANT SECOND ROUND (OBAG 2)	\$0	\$6,939,000	\$0	\$6,939,000
GENERAL FUND	\$1,885,571	\$0	\$360,300	\$2,245,871
FTA 5309 SMALL STARTS	\$100,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$100,000,000
Funding Plan for Entire Project Total:	\$214,591,644	\$57,030,388	\$28,377,968	\$300,000,000

Geary Bus Rapid Transit Funding Plan June 2018

			, ,					
Near-Term Improvements ¹				Project	Project Phases ²			
Source ³	Type	Status	PLAN	ENV	CER/PS&E	CON	Total by Status	TOTAL
Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) - EIR/S scope	'S scope							
		Allocated			\$2,051,506	\$7,400,000	\$9,451,506	
Prop A 12030 GU Bond	Local	Programmed				\$3,847,494	\$3,847,494	\$13,299,000
r edestrian sarety improvenients		Planned						
Tonneit Doufromnera Laitintiva	Fadaral	Allocated						
Transic 1 CLIOIIIIalICC IIIIUaUVC - Tavestment	r Ctata	Programmed				\$9,609,241	\$9,609,241	\$9,609,241
TITY COULDED	טומור	Planned						
One Boy Arm Great Second	Endowal	Allocated						
One Day Area Grant Second Round (OBAC 2)	reueral, Stata	Programmed				\$6,939,000	\$6,939,000	\$6,939,000
	טומור	Planned						
		Allocated			\$1,978,946		\$1,978,946	
Prop K Sales Tax	Local	Programmed				\$1,392,213	\$1,392,213	\$3,371,159
		Planned						
		Allocated				\$700,000	\$700,000	
SFMTA Revenue Bond Series 2014	Local	Programmed						\$700,000
		Planned						
Transportation and Street		Allocated			\$94,600		\$94,600	
Infrastructure Program - Follow	Local	Programmed						\$94,600
the Paving (General Fund)		Planned						
SFMTA Scope Sub-Total								\$34,013,000
		Allocated			\$360,300		\$360,300	
General Fund	Local	Programmed						\$2,245,871
		Planned				\$1,885,571	\$1,885,571	
		Allocated						
Prop AA Vehicle Registration Fee	Local	Programmed				\$2,397,129	\$2,397,129	\$2,397,129
		Planned						
SFPW Paving Sub-Total								\$4,643,000
		Allocated						
SF PUC	Local	Programmed						\$26,000,000
		Planned			\$2,600,000	\$23,400,000	\$26,000,000	
PUC Scope Sub-Total								\$26,000,000
		Allocated			\$4,485,352	\$8,100,000	\$12,585,352	
	Total	Programmed				\$24,185,077	\$24,185,077	\$64,656,000
	Phase 1				\$2,600,000	\$25,285,571	\$27,885,571	
					\$7,085,352	\$57,570,648	\$64,656,000	

			It	Iune 2018				
Full BRT (Remainder of Project) ¹	1			Project Phases ²	hases ²			
Source ³	Type	Status	NYTd	ENV	CER/PS&E	CON	Total by Status	TOTAL
		Allocated						
FTA 5309 Small Starts ⁴	Federal	Programmed						\$100,000,000
		Planned				\$100,000,000	\$100,000,000	
		Allocated	\$780,000	8,693,146	\$6,319,470		\$15,792,616	
Prop K Sales Tax	Local	Programmed			\$17,553,655	\$13,226,737	\$30,780,392	\$47,427,008
		Planned		\$854,000			\$854,000	
		Allocated						
Prop AA	Local	Programmed			\$2,064,919		\$2,064,919	\$2,064,919
I		Planned						
		Allocated						
TBD^{5}	TBD	Programmed						\$85,852,073
		Planned			\$9,846,552	\$76,005,521	\$85,852,073	
		Allocated	\$780,000	\$8,693,146	\$6,319,470		\$15,792,616	
	Total	Programmed			\$19,618,574	\$13,226,737	\$32,845,311	\$235,344,000
	Phase 2	Planned		\$854,000	\$9,846,552	\$176,005,521	\$186,706,073	
			\$780,000	\$9,547,146	\$35,784,596	\$189,232,258	\$235,344,000	
1 The Near-Term Improvements include a potential initial set of project elements between Market and Stanyan, including side-running bus-only lanes, stop upgrades, repaving, traffic signal and striping work, pedestrian crossing enhancements, and water and sewer upgrades. The Full BRT package includes all remaining Geary BRT project elements, including the proposed center bus-only lanes through the Richmond district.	lude a pot strian cros nly lanes th	tential initial set of pr ssing enhancements, hrough the Richmon	roject elements bet and water and sew id district.	ween Market and ? er upgrades. The F	Stanyan, including ^a ull BRT package i	side-running bus includes all remai	-only lanes, stop up ning Geary BRT pre	grades, repaving, oject elements,
2 Acronyms for project phases include: PLAN - pre-environmental planning, ENV - Environmental Documentation, CER/PS&E - Conceptual Engineering Report/Plans, Specifications & Estimates or Final Design, CON - Construction. The construction phase includes the incremental cost for procuring new BRT vehicles for the project.	de: PLAN Design, CC	- pre-environmental JN - Construction.	l planning, ENV -] The construction p	Environmental Dc	ocumentation, CEl incremental cost fi	R/PS&E - Conce or procuring new	ptual Engineering R · BRT vehicles for th	teport/Plans, 1e project.
3 Acronyms for funding sources include: FTA - Federal Transit Administration, SFMTA - San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, and SFPUC - San Francisco Public Utilities Commission.	lude: FTA	- Federal Transit Ad	lministration, SFM	TA - San Francisco	o Municipal Trans	portation Agency	, and SFPUC - San	Francisco Public
4 The Geary BRT project team plans to apply for Small Starts funds in 2018. Small Starts projects must be seeking no more than \$100 million. 5 Potential sources for the Full BRT package include, but are not limited to MTC Transit Performance Initiative, cap and trade funds, Senate Bill 1 funded programs such as the Local Partnership Program, other state or federal discretionary funds, or a new local revenue measure(s).	s to apply package in other stat	for Small Starts fund nclude, but are not li te or federal discretio	ls in 2018. Small St. mited to MTC Tra. mary funds, or a ne	arts projects must nsit Performance l ew local revenue m	be seeking no moi nitiative, cap and neasure(s).	re than \$100 milli trade funds, Sena	ion. te Bill 1 funded pro	grams such as the

Geary Bus Rapid Transit Funding Plan

COST SUMMARY

Phase

Prop K - Current Request	Prop AA - Current Request	Source of Cost Estimate

E6-25

		Current Request	Current Request	
Planning/Conceptual Engineering	\$780,000	\$0	\$0	Actual costs
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)	\$9,547,146	\$854,000	\$0	Actual costs and cost to complete
Right of Way	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Design Engineering (PS&E)	\$42,424,942	\$17,553,655	\$2,064,919	Actual costs and SFMTA estimate based on previous projects (Note: Subject to review as part of 5YPP update for EP1.)
Construction	\$247,247,912	\$14,618,950	\$2,397,129	SFMTA estimate based on previous projects
Operations	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total:	\$300,000,000	\$33,026,605	\$4,462,048	

Total Cost

% Complete of Design:	45.0%
As of Date:	05/25/2018
Expected Useful Life:	30 Years

Project Name: Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Additional Funds	Geary Bus F	apid	Transit - Ado	ditio	nal Funds	-		-								
MAJOR LINE ITEM BUDGET	DGET															
TASKS																
 A - Project Management and External Coordination B - Environmental Impact Analysis and Documentation C/D - Alternatives Analysis/Advanced Conceptual Engineering 	nd External (Analysis and s/Advanced (Coord	lination umentation eptual Engir	neer	ina											
E - Environmental Compliance*	ance*)											
 F - CEQA Litigation** G - Records Litigation *\$100.000 in previously budgeted Task E funds was used for more time-sensitive work on Task B 	ted Task E fun	ds wa	s used for mor	re tir	ne-sensitive wo	ork on Te	ask B.									
**The existing Task F budget was included in Task B in the previous appropriation request	vas included in	Task	B in the previo	sno	appropriation re	equest.										
					ENVIRONMENTAI		- PHASE BUDGET	JGET	T SUMMARY		l	l				
						Task						Contingency	Contingency		Totol	
	A		В		C/D		ш		ц		G	(Amount)	(%)		1 0tal	
Existing Budget	\$ 1,000,129	\$	4,624,512	\$	2,153,272	\$		\$	250,000	\$		\$ 665,232	%8	\$	8,693,145	
Current Request	\$ 18,000	\$	90,000	\$	I	\$	180,000	\$	312,000	\$	155,000	\$ 99,000	13%	\$	854,000	
Total with This Request	\$ 1,018,129	\$	4,714,512	\$	2,153,272	\$	180,000	\$	562,000	\$	155,000	\$ 764,232	%6	\$	9,547,146	
	l		l		EXIS	TING B	EXISTING BUDGET SUMMARY	MMA	~		l					
		L				Task		L				Contingency	Contingency			
Agency	A		8		C/D		ш		ш		G	(Amount)	(%)		Total	
Transportation Authority	\$ 419,146	ω	536,120	ω	484,586	φ	'	ь	90,000	ь	'	\$ 251,787	16%	ω	1,781,638	
SFMTA	\$ 19,598	φ	419,706	\$	505,556	\$	•	ഗ	•	φ	•	۰ \$	%0	φ	944,860	
Technical Consultants	\$ 363,696	မ	2,947,510	မ	963,695		•	\$	•	φ	•	e	8%	မ	4,602,440	
Legal/Other Consultants	\$ 197,689	-	721,176	ა	199,435		ı	ഗ	160,000	φ	'	\$ 85,907	7%	မ	1,364,207	
Total - Existing Budget	\$ 1,000,129	÷	4,624,512	Ś	2,153,272	\$		\$	250,000	ŝ	•	\$ 665,232	8%	ŝ	8,693,145	
					CURR	ENT RI	CURRENT REQUEST SUMMARY	MMM	"RY							
Adency						Task						Contingency	Contingency		Total	
(alla for			в		C/D		ш		ш		G	(Amo	(%)		1 0141	
Transportation Authority	\$ 18,000	_	6,000	ფ	1		60,000	ഗ	_	ъ	13,000		12%		128,000	
Technical Consultants	' ډ	_	34,000		I		100,000	ഗ	10,000	ω	•		15%		166,000	
Legal/Other Consultants	۔ \$	ფ	50,000	ფ	I	\$	20,000	ഴ	285,000	ъ	142,000	\$ 63,000	13%	\$	560,000	
		•		•				•		•				,		

San Francisco County Transportation Authority Prop K/Prop AA Allocation Request Form 854,000

13% \$

90,000

312,000 \$ 155,000 \$

180,000 \$

Ŷ

•

30,000 \$

Total - Current Request \$ 18,000 \$

San Francisco County Transportation Authority Prop K/Prop AA Allocation Request Form

Project Name: Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Additional Funds

SFCTA RECOMMENDATION

Resolution Number:		Resolution Date:	
Total Prop K Requested:	\$854,000	Total Prop AA Requested:	\$0
Total Prop K Recommended:	\$854,000	Total Prop AA Recommended:	\$0

SGA Project Number	:				Name:		Bus Rapid Trans	
Sponsor	: San Francisco Transportation			Expirat	ion Date:	12/31	/2019	
Phase	: Environmenta	I Studies		Fu	ndshare:	100.0		
	Cas	h Flow Distribut	ion	Schedule by	Fiscal Y	ear		
Fund Source	FY	2020/21	FY 2021	FY 2021/22 FY 2022/23				
PROP K EP-101	\$180,000	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0	\$180,000
Deliverables								
1. Monthly progress re	ports shall provi		•			•		•

1. Monthly progress reports shall provide a percent complete for scope included in the grant, a percent complete for the overall project (through construction), and a listing of completed scope elements. Provide cost reports including both consultant and agency costs, and any updates to the project scope, schedule, budget, or funding plan.

Special Conditions

1. Recommendation is contingent on an amendment to the Bus Rapid Transit/Transit Preferential Streets 5YPP to reprogram \$854,000 in Prop K funds from the Planning/Conceptual Engineering phase to the Environmental phase of the project. See attached 5YPP amendment for details.

E6-27

SGA Project Number	:				Name:		v Bus Rapid Trans onmental Review	sit -
Sponsor	: San Francisco Transportation			Expirat	ion Date:	12/31	/2019	
Phase	: Environmenta	I Studies		Fu	ndshare:	100.0		
	Cas	h Flow Distribut	ion	Schedule by	Fiscal Y	ear		
Fund Source	FY 2018/19	FY 2019/20	FY	2020/21	FY 2021	/22	FY 2022/23	Total
PROP K EP-101	\$674,000	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0	\$674,000
Deliverables								
1. Monthly progress reports shall provide a percent complete for scope included in the grant, a percent complete for the overall project (through construction), and a listing of completed deliverables by task. Provide cost reports including both consultant and agency costs, and any updates to the project scope, schedule, budget, or funding plan.								
Special Conditions								
1. Recommendation is reprogram \$854,000 ir the project. See attach	n Prop K funds fr	om the Planning/						
Notes								
1. Per Prop K Strategie Authority has previous								nsportation
	Metric			Pr	ор К		Prop A	AA

Metric	Prop K	Prop AA
Actual Leveraging - Current Request	0.00%	No Prop AA
Actual Leveraging - This Project	83.07%	98.51%

5-Year Project List (FY 2014/15 – FY 2018/19) Bus Rapid Transit/Transit Preferential Streets/Muni Metro Network (EP 1)	Programming and Allocations to Date	Pending July 24, 2018 Board
---	-------------------------------------	-----------------------------

\$872,859 \$471,920 \$602,254 \$854,000 \$271,500 \$271,500 \$0 \$540,000 \$1,594,280 \$21,541,930 \$1,978,946 \$6,319,470 \$14,500,000 \$1,392,213 \$585,000 \$2,754,000 \$6,200,551 \$7,325,841 Total \$1,392,213 \$854,000 2018/19\$7,325,841 2017/18 \$2,754,000 \$271,500 \$21,541,930 \$540,000 \$602,254 Fiscal Year 2016/17\$300,000 \$ \$1,978,946 \$6,319,470 \$14,500,000 \$271,500 \$471,920 2015/16\$585,000 \$1,594,280 \$6,200,551 \$872,859 2014/15Appropriated Programmed Programmed Programmed Programmed Programmed Programmed Programmed Programmed Programmed Allocated Allocated Allocated Allocated Allocated Allocated Pending Pending Allocated Status PLAN/ CER PLAN/ CER PLAN/CER PS&E, CON PS&E, CON PS&E, CON PLAN/CER PA&ED PA&ED PA&ED Fransit Rapid Network - Transit Effectiveness and Performance Initiatives PA&ED PA&ED PS&E PS&E PS&E PS&E Phase CON CON CON Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Additional Funds 6 Transit Performance Initiative Program Local Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Transit Performance Initiative Program Local Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Additional Funds Geary BRT - Environmental Completion & Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Environmental Geary BRT - Full BRT (Phase 2) - Design Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Phase 1 (Geary Muni Forward Implementation of TEP ⁵ Geary BRT - Near-Term Improvements Muni Forward Implementation of TEP SFMTA Geneva-Harney BRT environmental ⁵ (Phase 1) - Design (CER & PS&E) ³ Van Ness Improvements - EP 1 $^{\rm 2,4}$ Geary Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Van Ness BRT Detailed Design Geary Bus Rapid Transit 1,2,3,6,7 Transit Rapid Network - Bus Rapid Transit Project Name Geary Bus Rapid Transit 2,3 Compliance during Design Geary Bus Rapid Transit Program (NTIP) Phase Support (CER)³ Rapid) Match Match SFMTA SFMTA SFMTA SFMTA SFMTA SFMTA SFMTA SFCTA SFMTA SFMTA SFMTA SFMTA SFMTA SFMTA SFMTA SFCTA SFMTA Any eligible Agency

£6-29

Experimend in STPP Portamend in STPP 9:0:25:001 9:0:3:001 9:0:3:001 9:0:3:001 9:0:3:001 9:0:3:001 9:0:3:001 9:0:3:001 9:0:3:001 9:0:3:001 9:0:0:0:0:0 9:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0	\$7,325,841 \$2,246,213 \$80 \$2,246,213 \$80 \$2,246,213 \$80 \$2,529,000 \$7,325,841 \$0 \$7,325,841 \$0 \$7,325,841 \$0 \$7,325,841 \$0 \$7,325,841 \$0 \$7,325,841 \$0 \$7,325,841 \$0 \$7,325,841 \$0 \$2,529,000 \$0 \$2,529,000 \$0 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$2,731,940 \$5,319,470 \$4,66,319,470 \$5,319,470 \$4,66,319,470 \$5,319,470 \$4,66,319,470 \$5,411 \$4,66							E6-
\$0 \$2,246,213 \$36,167,8 \$0 \$0 \$336,167,8 \$0 \$0 \$336,167,8 \$0 \$32,208,3 \$36,376,2 \$2,529,000 \$0 \$68,376,2 \$2,529,000 \$0 \$68,376,2 \$2,529,000 \$0 \$68,376,2 \$2,529,000 \$0 \$68,376,2 \$335,717 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$435,77 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$435,77 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$435,77 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$435,77 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$435,77 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$435,77 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$435,77 \$436,319,470 \$67,1	\$80 \$2,246,213 \$36,167,8 \$80 \$90 \$33,167,8 \$87,325,841 \$90 \$332,208,3 \$87,325,841 \$90 \$335,76,2 \$82,576,2 \$335,76,2 \$435,776,2 \$8435,717 \$435,776,2 \$435,776,2 \$8435,717 \$435,776,2 \$435,776,2 \$8435,717 \$435,776,2 \$435,776,2 \$8435,717 \$435,777 \$435,776,2 \$8435,717 \$435,777 \$435,776,2 \$8435,717 \$435,777 \$435,776,2 \$8435,717 \$8435,777 \$8435,776,2 \$8435,717 \$8435,777 \$8435,776,2 \$8435,717 \$8435,777 \$8435,776,2 \$8435,717 \$8435,777 \$8435,776,2 \$8435,776,2 \$8435,776,2 \$8435,776,2 \$8435,776,2 \$8435,777 \$8435,776,2 \$8435,777 \$8435,777 \$8435,776,2 \$8435,777 \$8435,777 \$8435,777 \$8435,777 \$8435,777 \$8435,776,2 \$843,776,776	Programmed in 5YPP	\$9,252,690	\$23,841,836	\$25,709,684	\$7,325,841	\$2,246,213	\$68,376,20 4
\$0 \$0 \$33,208,3 \$7,325,841 \$0 \$33,208,3 \$2,529,000 \$0 \$68,376,2 \$2,529,000 \$435,717 \$435,7 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,7 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,7 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,7 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,7 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,7 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,777 \$3,19,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT. \$4,6,319,470 \$4,6,319,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT. \$4,6,319,470 \$4,6,319,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT. \$4,6,319,470	\$0 \$0 \$32,208,3 \$7,325,841 \$0 \$32,208,3 \$2,529,000 \$0 \$68,376,2 \$2,529,000 \$0 \$68,376,2 \$2,529,000 \$0 \$68,376,2 \$2,529,000 \$1,030 \$8435,717 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,77 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$435,717 \$4,66,319,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT. for number of the second to the se	Total Allocated and Pending in 5YPP	\$2,467,139	\$8,770,336	\$22,684,184	\$0	\$2,246,213	\$36,167,872
\$7,325,841 \$0 \$2,529,000 \$0 \$2,529,000 \$0 \$2,529,000 \$435,717 \$2,581,930 \$435,717 struct 5YPP period. \$435,717 aining Prop K funds currently programmed Van Ness BRT. Van Ness BRT. d \$6,319,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT. d near-term priorities.	\$7,325,841 \$0 \$2,529,000 \$0 \$0 \$2,529,000 \$0 \$0 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$0 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$0 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$0 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$0 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$0 aining Prop K funds currently programmed \$0,319,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT. \$0 d \$6,319,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT. \$0 \$0 \$0 d near-term priorities. \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Total Deobligated in 5YPP	80	\$0	80	\$0	80	80
\$2,529,000 \$0 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 "surrent 5YPP period." \$435,717 "urrent 5YPP period." \$435,717 Van Ness BRT. \$435,717 d \$6,319,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT. d near-term priorities.	\$2,529,000 \$0 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 \$2,681,930 \$435,717 urrent 5YPP period. \$435,717 Van Ness BRT. \$435,717 Van Ness BRT. \$435,717 d \$6,319,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT. d near-term priorities.	Total Unallocated in 5YPP	\$6,785,551	\$15,071,500	\$3,025,500	\$7,325,841	\$0	\$32,208,392
weight of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second	aining Prop K funds currently programmed d \$6,319,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT. d near-term priorities.	Total Programmed in 2014 Strategic Plan	\$20.019.280	\$42 802 484	\$3.025.500	\$2 529 000	0 g	\$68 376 764
\$2,681,930 \$435,717 current 5YPP period. aining Prop K funds currently programmed to Van Ness BRI'. d \$6,319,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT. d near-term priorities.	\$2,681,930 \$435,717 current 5YPP period. aining Prop K funds currently programmed to Van Ness BRT. d \$6,319,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT. d near-term priorities.	Deohlioated from Prior 5 VPP Cycles **	\$435717	P. (200(2) *	000,000,00	2000,717,14 0000,717,14	Ç.	\$435.717
 **Deabligated from prior 5XPP cycles* includes deabligations from allocations approved prior to the current 5XPP period. Pretamati Pretamati Pretamati Pretamati Pretamati Provide a management of the current 5XPP period. SYPP Amendment to the Geary BKT project (Resolution 15-29, Project 101-91065) SYPP Amendment to the Geary BKT franching phase placeholder to Geary BKT franching phase support Reprogram 85/2505 from the planning phase placeholder to Geary BKT franching for design/construction of the Initial Construction Phase and reserves all the remaining Prop K funds currently programmed to Geary BKT for the Fall Project (Resolution 15-40) SYPP Amendment to Van Ness BKT to Geary BKT franching for design/construction of the Initial Construction Phase and reserves all the remaining Prop K funds currently programmed to Geary BKT for the Fall Project. SYPP Amendment to Van Ness BKT to Geary BKT franching for design/construction of the Initial Construction Phase and reserves all the remaining Prop K funds currently programmed to Geary BKT for the Fall Project. SYPP Amendment to Van Ness BKT to Geary BKT transformation of the Initial Construction Phase and reserves all the remaining Prop K funds currently programmed to Geary BKT for the Algoriton to Accompliance during Design SYPP Amendment to Ness BKT to Fall Project. SYPP Amendment to Ness BKT to Fall Project (Resolution 17-16, Project 101-900165) SyPP Amendment to Seary BKT fravitonmental Dhase for two Information Extensions S17/95/4 from Ness BKT. SYPP Amendment to Geary BKT - Additional Final (Seary Dimonsci 17-16, Project 101-90056) SYPP Amendment to Geary BKT - Additional Final (Seary Dimonsci 17-16, Project 101-90056) SYPP Amendment to Geary BKT - Additional Final (Seary Dimonsci 17-16, Project 101-90056) <l< td=""><td> ** Deoldigated from the recent SYUP period. ** Deoldigated from prior SYUP period. Fording Maternal Algebrainian Fording Maternal Algebrainian ** Deolding Maternal Algebrainian ** De</td><td>Cumulative Remaining Programming Capacity</td><td>\$11,202,307</td><td>\$30,162,955</td><td>\$7,478,771</td><td>\$2,681,930</td><td>\$435,717</td><td>\$435,717</td></l<>	 ** Deoldigated from the recent SYUP period. ** Deoldigated from prior SYUP period. Fording Maternal Algebrainian Fording Maternal Algebrainian ** Deolding Maternal Algebrainian ** De	Cumulative Remaining Programming Capacity	\$11,202,307	\$30,162,955	\$7,478,771	\$2,681,930	\$435,717	\$435,717
Redue, Maction/Appropriation Band Approved Allocation/Appropriation Band Approved Allocation/Appropriation Band Approved Allocation/Appropriation FOOTNOTES FOOTNOTES * 5YPP Amendment to the Genry BRT project (Resolution 15-29, Project 101-91063) * SYPP Amendment to van Ness and Genry BRT (anding for design/construction of the Initial Construction Phase and reserves all the remaining Prop K funds currently pogrammed to Genry RRT for the Full Project. * SYPP Amendment to Van Ness and Genry BRT (approxed) an equivalent amount of Cycle 4 Lifeliae Prop 1B finds to Van Ness BRT (* SYPP Amendment to Van Ness and Genry BRT (approxed) and equivalent amount of Cycle 4 Lifeliae Prop 1B finds to Van Ness BRT * * Symptoment to Genry BRT (Resolution 15-40, Project 101-91075) * SYPP Amendment to Genry BRT (Resolution 15-40) * Reprogram \$5/30,100 from planning phase placeholder to Genry BRT approxements \$5,175,946 to Phase 1 Near Term Imporvements and \$6,519,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT. * Van Ness Inprovement (ranned from Y m Yes SHC) * The Stationamental * Van Ness Inprovement (ranned from Ym Y 15) for was allocations \$1,073,946 to Phase 1 Near Term Imporvements and \$6,519,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT. * Van Ness Inprovement (ranned from Ym Y 15) for was allocations \$1,073,946 to Phase 1 Near Term Imporvements and \$6,519,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT. * Van Ness Inprovement (ranned from Ym Y 15) for was allocations \$1,073,946 to Phase 1 Near Term Imporvements and \$6,519,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT. * Van Ness Inprovement (ranned from Ym 733,040 to 117-902, Project 101-90705) * SYTP Amendment to add Geneer-Hamry BRT Flancing Transis for enricomental * Van Reprogram \$0,0224 from planning phase to the enricommental * SYTP Amendment to Genry BRT - Additional Franks (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-90105) * SYTP Amendment to Genry BRT - Additional Franks Project 101-90105) * SYTP Amendment to Genry BRT - Additional Franks (Preverption \$6,000 franks the section 17-39, Project 101-90105) * Geneer-Hamry BRT - Additional Franks (Resolution 17-39, Pro	 In the second Algorithm of the second and the second and the second algorithm of the large of the second and the second algorithm of the large of the second and the second algorithm of the large of the second and the second algorithm of the large of the second algorithm of the large of the second and the second algorithm of the large of the second algorithm of the second algorithm of the second algorithm of the large of the second algorithm of the large of the second algorithm of the second algorithm of the second algorithm of the large of the second algorithm of the second algorithm of the large of the second algorithm of the large of the second algorithm of the second algorithm of the large of the second algorithm of the large of the second algorithm of		" includes deobligation	ns from allocations a	pproved prior to the	current 5YPP perio	d.	
Bond Approved Minerator Appropriation Bond Approved Minerator Appropriation Bond Approved Minerator Appropriation FOTNOTES * 1 SYPP Amendment to the Gaay BRT project (Queohtion 15-20), Project (101-910051) Reprogram \$872.689 from the planning place placeholder to Gaary Bwt findulag for design/construction of the Initial Construction Phase and reserves all the remaining Prop K funds currently programmed to Gaary BWT for the Full Project. 2 SYPP Amendment to Van Ness BWT to Gaary BWT (modung for design/construction of the Initial Construction Phase and reserves all the remaining Prop K funds currently programmed to Gaary BWT (presonance) 2 SYPP Amendment to Van Ness BWT to Gaary BWT (panot concurrent programming of an equivalent amount of Cycle 4 Lifeline Prop 1B funds to Van Ness BWT. 3 SYPP Amendment to Van Ness BWT to Gaary BWT (panot concurrent programming of an equivalent amount of Cycle 4 Lifeline Prop 1B funds to Van Ness BWT. 4 Van Ness Improvement (from planning place placeholder to the find design phase for compliance during Design 4 Van Ness Improvement (from Mark (Resolution 17-40), Project 101-907055) 5 SYPP Amendment to Geary BWT (from Name Resolution 17-40), Project 101-907055) 6 SYPP Amendment to Geary BWT (from Name Resolution 17-40, Project 101-907055) 7 YVAN Ness Improvement (from Name Resolution 17-400, Project 101-907055) 6 SYPP Amendment	Band Aground Machine Aground Machine Manual Science (Machine) (Machine Machine Mach	Programmed Pending Allocation/Appropriation						
 FOOTNOTES. FOOTNOTES. ¹ SYPP Amendment to the Geary BRT project (Resolution 15-29, Project 101-910051) Reprogram \$872,859 from the planning phase placeholder to Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Environmental Phase Support Reprogram \$872,859 from the planning phase placeholder to Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Environmental Phase Support Reprogram \$872,859 from the planning phase placeholder to Geary BUT (inding for design/construction of the Initial Construction Phase and reserves all the remaining Prop K funds currently programmed to Geary BUT for the Final Project. ² SYPP Amendment to Van Ness BRT (Resolution 15-40) ³ SYPP Amendment to Van Ness BRT (Resolution 15-40) ³ SYPP Amendment to Van Ness BRT (Resolution 15-40) ⁴ Van Ness IRT poject (Resolution 15-40) ⁴ Nan Ness IRT poject (Resolution 15-40) ⁵ SYPP Amendment to Geary BUT (project 101-907052,4) ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT (Resolution 16-40) ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Ceary BRT - Environmental Phase (Resolution 17-10, Project 101-907052) ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT - Additional Hoas (Resolution 17-10, Project 101-907055) ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT - Additional Phase (Resolution 17, 16, 16 we allocated in FY 16/17 (Resolution 17-002, Project 101-907055) ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT - Additional Phase (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-907055) ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT - Additional Funds project (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-907055) ⁷ Repogram \$802.54 from planning phase to the final design phase of the sum of the host of the sum of	FOOTNETS. 5. Styrp Amendment to the Geary BKT project (Resolution 15.2), Project (01-91065) 4. Styrp Amendment to the Geary BKT project (Resolution 15.2), Project (01-91065) Reprogram SX2369) found the phonongo prese placeholder to Geary BKS (Ender). Environmental Phase Support Restrograms 25.20 (Scient) BKS (Courd Dec), PKT (Endeling for design/construction of the Initial Construction Phase and reserves all the remaining Prop K finals currently programmed to Geary RKS (for the Final Project. ² . STVP Amendment to Van Ness and Geary BKT (Endeling for design/construction of the Initial Construction Phase and reserves all the remaining Prop K finals currently programmed to Geary RKS (for Name and Geary BKT) (for plating plate placeholder to for equival pusing Repogram \$471,920 (for phonong phase placeholder to for equival pusing Repogram \$471,920 (for phonong phase placeholder to for environmental Repogram \$471,950 (for phonong phase placeholder to for environmental Repogram \$471,920 (for phonong phase placeholder to for environmental Repogram \$471,950 (for phase RT) (for phonong phase placeholder to for environmental Repogram \$471,950 (for phase RT) (for phonong phase placeholder to for environmental Repogram \$471,950 (for phase RT) (for phase RT) (for thest Construction of T-0,02, Project 101-907055) ⁴ Yun Ness Improvement (remaind from V an Ness BKT), \$51,64 (for environmental Repogram \$42,900 (for Project 101-901056) ⁵ STPP Amendment to Geary BKT (for phaning phase placeholder to for environmental Repogram \$62,190,70 (for Project 101-901056) ⁶ STPP Amendment to Geary BKT (for phane RT) (for Resolution 17-002, Project 101-907055) ⁶ STPP Amendment to Geary BKT (for phase RT) (for Resolution 17-002, Project 101-907055) ⁶ STPP Amendment to Geary BKT (for phase RT) (for Resolution 17-002, Project 101-907055) ⁶ STPP Amendment to Geary BKT (for phase RT) (for Resolution 17-002, Project 101-907055) ⁶ STPP Amendment t	Board Approved Allocation/Appropriation						
 ¹ FiPP Amendment to the Geary BRT project (Resolution 15-29, Project 101-91005) ¹ Reprogram 8872,859 from the planning phase placeholder to Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Environmental Phase Support Resolution 15-20 reserves \$10 million from current Geary BRT funding for design/construction of the Initial Construction Phase and reserves all the remaining Prop K funds currently programmed to Geary Resolution 15-20 reserves \$10 million from current Geary BRT houlding for design/construction phase and reserves all the remaining Prop K funds currently programmed to Geary Reprogram \$6,189,054 from Van Ness BRT to Geary BRT upon concurrent programming of an equivalent amount of Cycle 4 Lifeline Prop 1B funds to Van Ness BRT. ³ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT project (Resolution 16-40) ³ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT project (Resolution 16-40) ⁴ Nan Ness BRT project (Resolution 16-40, Project 101-907052-4) ⁵ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT project (Resolution 16-40, Project 101-907052-4) ⁶ NP Amendment to Geary BRT project (Resolution 17-40), Project 101-907054, Reprogram \$5,19,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT: ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT invite Trainformental Completion & Compliance during Design ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT: Added project with \$540,000 in FY2016/17 funds for two allocations \$1,176, Project 101-907055) ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT: Added project with \$540,000 in FY2016/17 funds for environmental. ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT: Added project with \$540,000 in FY2016/17 funds for environmental. ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT: Added project with \$540,000 in FY2014/15. With this amendment to Geary BRT: Additional Funds project (101-910055) ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT: Added project with \$540,000 in FY2014/15. With this amendment structure provements and \$6,319,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT: Additional Funds provect (Resolution 17-40). P	 ¹ SYPP Amendment to the Grany BRT project (Resolution 15.29, Project 101-91005) ¹ Reprogram SY2SS9 from the planning phase phase holds to Geary BR Rapid Tansa : Environmental Phase Support Reprogram SY2SS9 from the planning phase phase holds to Geary BB Rapid Tansa : Environmental Phase Support Resolution 15.20 reserves \$10 million from current Geary BRT (finding for design/construction of the Initial Construction Phase and reserves all the remaining Prop K finds currently programmed to Geary RT for the FigH Project. ² SYPP Amendanent to Van Ness and Geary BRT (Resolution 15.44) ² SYPP Amendanent to Van Ness and Geary BRT (Resolution 15.44) ² SYPP Amendanent to Van Ness and Geary BRT (Resolution 15.44) ² Reprogram \$4,1900 from planning phase placeholder to Geary BRT (Provised Intel Amount of Cycle 4 Lifeline Prop IB finds to Van Ness BRT. ³ SYPP Amendanent to Carry BRT (Resolution 15.44) ⁴ Van Ness Improvement (rearand filt any Intel Resolution 17.10, Project 101-100165) ⁵ SYPP Amendanent to add Geares-Hamry BRT Leivenomental. ⁴ Van Ness Improvement (rearand filt Environmental Phase (Resolution 17.16, Project 101-100165) ⁵ SYPP Amendanent to add Geares-Hamry BRT Leivenomental. ⁶ SYPP Amendanent to add Geares-Hamry BRT. Leivenomental. ⁶ SYPP Amendanent to accas BRP. Add Project 101-001057) ⁶ Reprogram 5602.2	FOOTNOTES:						
 ² SYPP Amendment to Van Ness BRT (Resolution 15-40) ² SYPP Amendment to Van Ness and Gary BRT (Resolution 15-40) ² SYPP Amendment to Van Ness and Gary BRT (Resolution 15-40) ² SYPP Amendment to Van Ness and Gary BRT (Resolution 15-40) ³ SYPP Amendment to Gary BRT project (Resolution 16-06, Project 101-907052-4) ⁵ SYPP Amendment to Gary BRT project (Resolution 16-06, Project 101-907052-4) ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Gary BRT project (Resolution 16-06, Project 101-907052-4) ⁷ SYPP Amendment to Gary BRT project (Resolution 16-06, Project 101-907052-4) ⁸ SYPP Amendment to Gary BRT project (Resolution 17-16, Project 101-907052-4) ⁸ Notest Improvement (renamed from Van Ness BRT): \$21,541,930 programmed in FY 16/17 (Resolution 17-1002, Project 101-907055) ⁴ Van Ness Improvement (renamed from Van Ness BRT): \$21,541,930 programmed in FY 16/17 (Resolution 17-1002, Project 101-907055) ⁶ SYPP Amendment to add Gereva-Hamey BRT Environmental Phase (Resolution 17-16, Project 101-901056) ⁶ Growa-Hamey BRT: Added project with S540,000 in FY2016/17 funds for environmental. ⁶ Growa-Hamey BRT: Added project with S540,000 in FY2016/17 funds for environmental. ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Gary BRT: Additional Funds project with his amendment SFMTA has sufficient funds to advance Muni Forward near-term priorities. ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT: Additional Funds project (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-901057) ⁷ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT: Additional Funds project with his amendment SFMTA has sufficient funds to advance Muni Forward near-term priorities. ⁷ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT: Additional Foreiton FV2016/17 funds for environmental Forward near-term priorities. ⁷ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT: Additional Foreicon FV2016/17 funds for environmental Forward Foreiton FV201	 ¹² SYP Amendment to Van Ness BRU: to Geany BRU to Geany BRU is the antimate construction trans and recovers and the any National (free bluic). ² SYP Amendment to Van Ness and Geany BRU to Geany BRU is the antimate of an equivalent amount of Cycle 4 Lifeline Peop 1B funds to Van Ness BRU: Geany BRU: Disconting programming of an equivalent amount of Cycle 4 Lifeline Peop 1B funds to Van Ness BRU: Second Geany BRU: Disconting programming of an equivalent amount of Cycle 4 Lifeline Peop 1B funds to Van Ness BRU: Second Second Environmental Part (amount of Cycle 4 Lifeline Peop 1B funds to Van Ness BRU: Second Second Environmental Completion & Compliance during Design Reprogram 93(2)(3)(7) from planning phase placeholder to Geany BRU: Environmental Part (2000) (amount of Cycle 4 Lifeline Peop 1B funds to Van Ness BRU: S21,541,930 programmental for Nat. Ness Environmental Part (2000) (amount of Cycle 4 Lifeline Peop 1B funds to Carety Environmental Part (2000) (amount of P	¹ 5YPP Amendment to the Geary BRT project (Resolution 15-29, Project 101-910051) Reprogram \$872,859 from the planning phase placeholder to Geary Bus Rapid Transit - E	Invironmental Phase S	Jupport	een off IIe correction for	n de contraction de c		
 ² SYPP Amendment to Van Ness and Geary BKT (Resolution 15-40) ² Reprogram \$6,189,054 from Van Ness BRT to Geary BRT upon concurrent programming of an equivalent amount of Cycle 4 Lifeline Prop 1B funds to Van Ness BRT. ³ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT project (Resolution 16-06, Project 101-907052-4) ⁴ Reprogram \$5,189,054 from planning phase placeholder to Geary BRT - Environmental Completion & Compliance during Design Reprogram \$71,920 from planning phase placeholder to the final design phase for two allocations: \$1,978,946 to Phase 1 Near Term Improvements and \$6,319,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT: Reprogram \$8,298,416 from planning phase placeholder to the final design phase for two allocations: \$1,978,946 to Phase 1 Near Term Improvements and \$6,319,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT: ⁴ Van Ness Improvement (renamed from Van Ness BRT): \$21,541,930 programmed in FY 16/17 (Resolution 17-002, Project 101-907055) ⁵ SYPP Amendment to add Geneva-Hamey BRT Environmental Phase (Resolution 17-16, Project 101-101056) ⁶ Geneva-Hamey BRT - Additional Funds project (Resolution 17-16, Project 101-101056) ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT - Additional Funds project (Resolution 17-16, Project 101-101056) ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT - Additional Funds project (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-901057) ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT - Additional Funds project (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-901057) ⁷ Reprogram \$602,254 from planning phase to the environmental review phase. ⁷ SYPP Amendment to Geary Bus Rapid Transit (Resolution 18-xs) ⁷ Reprogram \$60,070,070,070,070,070,070,070,070,070,0	 ² SYPP Amendment to Van Ness BRT focsolution 15-40) Reprogram 86,189/054 from Van Ness BRT to Grayn BRT project 101-907052-4) ³ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT project (Resolution 16-46, Project 101-907052-4) ³ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT project (Resolution 16-46, Project 101-907052-4) ³ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT project (Resolution 16-46, Project 101-907052-4) ⁴ Reprogram 58,19,09 from planning phase placeholder to the final design phase for two allocation & Compliance during Design Reprogram 58,298,416 from planning phase placeholder to the final design phase for two allocations 81,978,946 to Phase 1 Near Term Improvements and 56,319,470 for Phase 2 Fiell BRT. ⁴ Van Ness Improvement (renamed from Van Ness BRT): \$21,541,930 programmed in FY 16/17 (Resolution 17-402, Project 101-907055) ⁵ SYPP Amendment to add Geners-Hancy BRT Environmental Phase (Resolution 17-16), Project 101-907055) ⁵ SYPP Amendment to det of Descrivable and France Resolution 17-17 (Inde for environmental Main Forward Implementation of TEP: Reduced by \$540,000 in FY2014/15 (Inde for environment SPMTA has sufficient funds to advance Muni Forward near-term priorities. ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT - Additional Funds Phase to the environment Interverbance (Interverbance) Reprogram 802-254 from planning phase to the environment Interverbance. ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRS Repid Transit (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-901057) ⁷ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRS Repid Transit (Resolution 18-xs) ⁷ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRS Repid Transit (Resolution 17-16). ⁷ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRS Repid Transit (Resolution 17-16). ⁷ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRS Repid Transit (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-301057) ⁸ Reprogram 854,000 from Planning (Onceptual Engineeing phase to the Environmental phase. 	BRT for the Full Project.				dor - Smmm	a current frequencies	men w vem j
³ 5YPP Amendment to Geary BKT project (Resolution 16-06, Project 101-907052-4) Reprogram \$471,920 from planning phase placeholder to Geary BKT - Environmental Completion & Compliance during Design Reprogram \$471,920 from planning phase placeholder to Geary BKT - Environmental Completion & Compliance during Design Reprogram \$8,298,416 from planning phase placeholder to the final design phase for two allocations: \$1,978,946 to Phase 1 Near Term Improvements and \$6,319,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT. 4 Van Ness Improvement (renamed from Van Ness BRT): \$21,541,930 programmed in FY 15/16 was allocated in FY 16/17 (Resolution 17-002, Project 101-907055) ⁵ 5YPP Amendment to add Geneva-Harney BRT Environmental Phase (Resolution 17-16, Project 101-91056) ⁵ 5YPP Amendment to add Geneva-Harney BRT: Added project with \$540,000 in FY2016/17 funds for environmental. Muni Forward Implementation of TEP: Reduced by \$540,000 in FY2014/15. With this amendment SFMTA has sufficient funds to advance Muni Forward near-term priorities. ⁶ 5YPP Amendment to Geary BRT: Additional Funds project (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-901057) Reprogram \$602,254 from planning phase to the environmental review phase. ⁷ 5YPP Amendment to Geary Bus Rapid Transit (Resolution 18-xx) Reprogram \$602,254 from planning phase to the Environmental phase.	³ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT project (Resolution 16-06, Project 101-907052-4) Reprogram 8771/205 from planning phase placeholder to Geary BRT - Environmental Completion & Compliance during Design Reprogram 82/98,416 from planning phase placeholder to Geary BRT - Environmental Completion 17-002, Project 101-907055) * Van Ness Improvement (renamed from Van Ness BRU): 821,541,930 programmed in FY 15/16 was allocated in FY 16/17 (Resolution 17-002, Project 101-907055) * Van Ness Improvement (renamed from Van Ness BRU): 821,541,930 programmed in FY 15/16 was allocated in FY 16/17 (Resolution 17-002, Project 101-907055) 5 SYPP Amendment to add Geneva-Harney BRT: Addred project with 5540,000 in FY2016/17 funds for environmental. Muni Forward Implementation of TEP: Reduced by 5540,000 in FY2016/17 funds for environmental. Muni Forward Implementation of TEP: Reduced by 5540,000 in FY2016/17 funds for environmental. Muni Forward Implementation of TEP: Reduced by 540,000 in FY2014/15. With this amendment SFMTA has sufficient funds to advance Muni Forward near-term priorities. * SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT: Addie project (Resolution 17-16, Project 101-91057) Reprogram 5002,254 from planning phase to the environmental review phase. * SYPP Amendment to Geary Brs Rapid Transit (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-910157) Reprogram 5854,000 from Planning (Pase to the environmental proview phase.	² 5YPP Amendment to Van Ness and Geary BRT (Resolution 15-40) Reprogram \$6,189,054 from Van Ness BRT to Geary BRT upon concurrent programmin,	ig of an equivalent am	ount of Cycle 4 Life	ine Prop 1B funds to	Van Ness BRT.		
 Reprogram \$8,298,416 from planning phase placeholder to the final design phase for two allocations: \$1,978,946 to Phase 1 Near Term Improvements and \$6,319,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT. ⁴ Van Ness Improvement (renamed from Van Ness BRT): \$21,541,930 programmed in FY 15/16 was allocated in FY 16/17 (Resolution 17-002, Project 101-907055) ⁵ 5YPP Amendment to add Geneva-Harney BRT Environmental Phase (Resolution 17-16, Project 101-910056) ⁶ 5YPP Amendment to add Geneva-Harney BRT Environmental Phase (Resolution 17-16, Project 101-910056) ⁶ 5YPP Amendment to add Geneva-Harney BRT - Additional FY2014/17 funds for environmental. ⁶ 5YPP Amendment to Geary BRT - Additional Funds project (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-901057) ⁷ 5YPP Amendment to Geary BRT - Additional Funds project (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-901057) ⁷ 5YPP Amendment to Geary BRT - Additional Funds project (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-901057) ⁷ 5YPP Amendment to Geary BRT - Additional Funds project (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-901057) ⁷ 5YPP Amendment to Geary BRT - Additional Funds project (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-901057) ⁷ 5YPP Amendment to Geary BRT - Additional Funds project (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-901057) ⁷ 5YPP Amendment to Geary Bus Rapid Transit (Resolution 18-xx) 	 Reprogram \$8.298,416 from planning phase placeholder to the final design phase for two allocations: \$1,978,946 to Phase 1 Near Term Improvements and \$6,319,470 for Phase 2 Full BRT. ⁴ Van Ness Improvement (renamed from Van Ness BRT): \$21,541,930 programmed in FY 15/16 was allocated in FY 16/17 (Resolution 17-002, Project 101-907055) ⁵ SYPP Amendment to add Geneva-Harney BRT Environmental Phase (Resolution 17-16, Project 101-910056) ⁶ Geneva-Harney BRT: Added project with \$540,000 in FY2016/17 funds for environmental. ⁶ Muni Forward Implementation of TEP: Reduced by \$540,000 in FY2014/15. With this amendment SFMTA has utificient funds to advance Muni Forward near-term priorities. ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT: Added project (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-901057) ⁷ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT: Additional Funds project (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-901057) ⁷ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT: Additional Funds project (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-901057) ⁷ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRS 4,000 from planning phase to the environmental review phase. ⁷ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRS 7,000 from Planning phase to the Environmental phase. 	³ 5YPP Amendment to Geary BRT project (Resolution 16-06, Project 101-907052-4) Reprogram \$471,920 from planning phase placeholder to Geary BRT - Environmental Cc	ompletion & Complian	1ce during Design				
⁵ SYPP Amendment to add Geneva-Harney BRT Environmental Phase (Resolution 17-16, Project 101-910056) ⁵ SYPP Amendment to add Geneva-Harney BRT Environmental Phase (Resolution 17-16, Project 101-910056) Geneva-Harney BRT: Added project with \$540,000 in FY2016/17 funds for environmental. Muni Forward Implementation of TEP: Reduced by \$540,000 in FY2014/15. With this amendment SFMTA has sufficient funds to advance Muni Forward near-term priorities. ⁶ SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT - Additional Funds project (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-901057) Reprogram \$602,254 from planning phase to the environmental review phase. ⁷ SYPP Amendment to Geary Bus Rapid Transit (Resolution 18-xx) Reprogram \$854,000 from Planning Conceptual Engineering phase to the Environmental phase.	⁵ Yran Wes Improvential (relation of Mar Ness DAL); 54:1,54:1,50:00,56:1,57:10,17:00,56:101-2005,57:10,17:00,51:101-50:00,55:101-50:00,57:10,17:00,57:101-50:05:101-50:05:101-5	Reprogram \$8,298,416 from planning phase placeholder to the final design phase for two	allocations: \$1,978,94	6 to Phase 1 Near T	erm Improvements a	nd \$6,319,470 for P.	hase 2 Full BRT.	
51PF Amendment to add Geneva-Hamey BK1 Environmental Phase (Resolution 17-10, Project 101-910050) Geneva-Harney BRT: Added project with \$540,000 in FY2016/17 funds for environmental. Muni Forward Implementation of TEP: Reduced by \$540,000 in FY2014/15. With this amendment SFMTA has sufficient funds to advance Muni Forward near-term priorities. ⁶ 5YPP Amendment to Geary BRT - Additional Funds project (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-901057) Reprogram \$602,254 from planning phase to the environmental review phase. ⁷ 5YPP Amendment to Geary Bus Rapid Transit (Resolution 18-xx) Reprogram \$854,000 from Planning/Conceptual Engineering phase to the Environmental phase.	⁵⁷ PY Amendment to add Coerova-Hanrey RY. Envronmental Prase (resolution 17-10, Froject 101-91000) Geneval-Harney BRT: Added project with \$540,000 in FY2014/15. With this amendment SFMTA has sufficient funds to advance Muni Forward Implementation of TEP: Redect 000 in FY2014/15. With this amendment SFMTA has sufficient funds to advance Muni Forward near-term priorities. Muni Forward Implementation of TEP: Recolution 17-39, Project 101-901057) % SYPP Amendment to Geary BRT - Additional Funds project (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-901057) Reprogram \$602,254 from planning phase to the environmental review phase. ⁷ 5YPP Amendment to Geary Bus Rapid Transit (Resolution 18-xx) Reprogram \$854,000 from Planning/Conceptual Engineering phase to the Environmental phase.	Van Ness Improvement (renamed from Van Ness BKL): \$21,541,551 programmed in FY 15/10 was 5-renamed in FY 15/10 was	s allocated in FY 16/1	/ (Kesolution 1 /-00	2, Project 101-90/05:	(0		
⁶ 5YPP Amendment to Geary BRT - Additional Funds project (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-901057) Reprogram \$602,254 from planning phase to the environmental review phase. ⁷ 5YPP Amendment to Geary Bus Rapid Transit (Resolution 18-xx) Reprogram \$854,000 from Planning/Conceptual Engineering phase to the Environmental phase.	⁶ 5YPP Amendment to Geary BRT - Additional Funds project (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-901057) Reprogram \$602,254 from planning phase to the environmental review phase. ⁷ 5YPP Amendment to Geary Bus Rapid Transit (Resolution 18-xx) Reprogram \$854,000 from Planning/Conceptual Engineering phase to the Environmental phase.	5YPP Amendment to add Geneva-Harney BKT Environmental Phase (Resolution 1/-16, Project 10 Geneva-Harney BRT: Added project with \$540,000 in FY2016/17 funds for environment Muni Forward Implementation of TEP: Reduced by \$540,000 in FY2014/15. With this ar	11-910056) tal. mendment SFMTA h:	is sufficient funds to	advance Muni Forw:	ard near-term priorit	ties.	
⁷ 5YPP Amendment to Geary Bus Rapid Transit (Resolution 18-xx) Reprogram \$854,000 from Planning/Conceptual Engineering phase to the Environmental phase.	⁷ 5YPP Amendment to Geary Bus Rapid Transit (Resolution 18-xx) Reprogram \$854,000 from Planning/Conceptual Engineering phase to the Environmental phase.	⁶ 5YPP Amendment to Geary BRT - Additional Funds project (Resolution 17-39, Project 101-901057) Reprovem \$602 254 from algoritor obsec to the environmental review obsec				•		
Reprogram \$854,000 from Planning/Conceptual Engineering phase to the Environmental phase.	Reprogram \$854,000 from Planning/Conceptual Engineering phase to the Environmental phase.	⁷ 5YPP Amendment to Geary Bus Rapid Transit (Resolution 18-xx)						
		Reprogram \$854,000 from Planning/Conceptual Engineering phase to the Environmenta	ll phase.					

5-Year Project List (FY 2014/15 – FY 2018/19) Bus Rapid Transit/Transit Preferential Streets/Muni Metro Network (EP 1) Cash Flow (\$) Maximum Annual Reimbursement Pending July 24, 2018 Board

		-	renuing July 24, 2010 Doard	o Duaru				
Driviert Name	Dhase			Fiscal Year	ar			'T'otal
	Jean I	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	10.01
Geary Bus Rapid Transit (BRT)	PLAN/ CER		\$0	\$0				\$0
Transit Rapid Network - Bus Rapid Transit								
Van Ness BRT Detailed Design	PS&E	\$1,275,424	\$318,856					\$1,594,280
Van Ness Improvements - EP 1 2,4	CON			\$9,085,977	\$7,219,098	\$5,236,855		\$21,541,930
Geary Bus Rapid Transit 1,2,3,6,7	PLAN/ CER	\$0	\$6,200,551					\$6,200,551
Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Environmental Phase Support 1	PA&ED	\$872,859						\$872,859
Geary BRT - Environmental Completion & Compliance during Design 3	PA&ED		\$401,920	\$70,000				\$471,920
Geary BRT - Near-Term Improvements (Phase 1) - Design (CER & PS&E) 3	PS&E		\$1,978,946					\$1,978,946
Geary BRT - Full BRT (Phase 2) - Design (CER) 3	PS&E		\$3,159,735	\$3,159,735				\$6,319,470
Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Additional Funds 6	PA&ED			\$452,254	\$150,000			\$602,254
Geary Bus Rapid Transit	PS&E		\$4,785,000	\$9,715,000				\$14,500,000
Geary Bus Rapid Transit 2,3	CON				\$787,301	\$4,359,027	\$2,179,513	\$7,325,841
Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Phase 1 (Geary Rapid)	CON					\$1,392,213		\$1,392,213
Geary Bus Rapid Transit - Additional Funds 7	PA&ED					\$854,000		\$854,000
Geneva-Harney BRT environmental 5	PA&ED					\$540,000		\$540,000
Transit Rapid Network - Transit Effectiveness and Performance Initiatives	and Performance Ini	tiatives		-				
Muni Forward Implementation of TEP 5	PLAN/CER	\$292,500	\$292,500					\$585,000
Muni Forward Implementation of TEP	PLAN/CER			\$2,754,000				\$2,754,000
Transit Performance Initiative Program Local Match	PS&E, CON		\$271,500					\$271,500
Transit Performance Initiative Program Local Match	PS&E, CON			\$271,500				\$271,500
Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Program (NTIP)	PS&E, CON		\$150,000	\$150,000				\$300,000

Cash Flow Programmed in 5YPP	\$2,440,783	\$17,559,008	\$25,658,466	\$8,156,399	\$12,382,095	\$2,179,513	\$68,376,264
Total Cash Flow Allocated	\$2,148,283	\$5,859,457	\$12,767,966	\$7,369,098	\$8,023,068	\$0	\$36,167,872
Total Cash Flow Deobligated	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$0	\$0	0\$
Total Cash Flow Unallocated	\$292,500	\$11,699,551	\$12,890,500	\$787,301	\$4,359,027	\$2,179,513	\$32,208,392
Cash Flow Programmed in 2014 Strategic Plan	\$10,806,780	\$19,965,197	\$23,982,894	\$11,724,644	\$1,264,500	\$632,250	\$68,376,264
Deobligated from Prior 5YPP Cycles **	<mark>\$</mark> 435,717						\$435,717
Cumulative Remaining Cash Flow Capacity	\$8,801,714	\$11,207,903	\$9,532,331	\$13,100,575	\$1,982,980	\$435,717	\$435,717

See 2014 Prop K 5YPP - Program of Projects Programming and Allocations to Date table for programming footnotes.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority Prop K/Prop AA Allocation Request Form

FY of Allocation Action:	FY2018/19
Project Name:	Balboa Park Station Area Improvements
Grant Recipient:	Bay Area Rapid Transit District

EXPENDITURE PLAN INFORMATION

Prop K EP categories:	Balboa Park BART/MUNI Station Access
Current Prop K Request:	\$700,000
Supervisorial District(s):	District 07, District 11

REQUEST

Brief Project Description

This project will create an open space plaza at the southern end of the Balboa Park Station in the current passenger dropoff area. The plaza will function as a multi-modal transit hub and a public open-space.

Detailed Scope, Project Benefits and Community Outreach

This project will create an open space plaza at the southern end of the Balboa Park Station in the current passenger dropoff area. There will be a transit oriented development project located in the current SFMTA parking area that will create low-income housing with retail spaces at street/ground level. The plaza will function as a multi-modal transit hub and a public open-space. The new plaza area will redesign the vehicular access through San Jose Avenue that creates a reduced passenger drop-off area loop, while closing off vehicular access to Geneva Avenue. This will create flexible space that meets the needs of the community, enhances safety and encourages multi-modal access to the station. This project is being planned and designed in coordination with multiple stakeholders including the Balboa Park Station Community Advisory Committee, BART, SFMTA, and the Mayor's Office. The project is included in the Balboa Park Station Area CAC Proposed 2018 Work Plan.

Project Location

Balboa Park Station

Project Phase(s)

Design Engineering (PS&E)

SYPP/STRATEGIC PLAN INFORMATION Type of Project in the Prop K 5YPP/Prop AA Strategic Plan? Project Drawn from Placeholder Is requested amount greater than the amount programmed in the relevant 5YPP or Strategic Plan? Less than or Equal to Programmed Amount Prop K 5YPP Amount: \$700,000

F6-33

San Francisco County Transportation Authority Prop K/Prop AA Allocation Request Form

Project Name: Balboa Park Station Area Improvements

ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE

Environmental Type: Categorically Exempt

PROJECT DELIVERY MILESTONES

Phase	S	start	End	
	Quarter	Calendar Year	Quarter	Calendar Year
Planning/Conceptual Engineering	Oct-Nov-Dec	2017	Apr-Mar-Jun	2018
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)				
Right of Way				
Design Engineering (PS&E)	Apr-Mar-Jun	2018	Oct-Nov-Dec	2019
Advertise Construction	Oct-Nov-Dec	2019		
Start Construction (e.g. Award Contract)	Jan-Feb-Mar	2020		
Operations				
Open for Use			Oct-Nov-Dec	2021
Project Completion (means last eligible expenditure)			Oct-Nov-Dec	2021

SCHEDULE DETAILS

Completion of 65% design expected in April 2019, completion of 100% design expected in November 2019

BART will meet with the Balboa Station CAC at key project milestones and upon request to provide updates on the project.

Since 2017, BART, MOHCD, SFMTA, and the Mission Housing team have held monthly coordination meetings on planning, design, outreach, process, real estate, legal and other issues. The monthly meetings will continue through the design and construction phases. The construction of this project is closely tied to the affordable housing project at the station.

Project Name: Balboa Park Station Area Improvements

FUNDING PLAN - FOR CURRENT REQUEST

Fund Source	Planned	Programmed	Allocated	Project Total
PROP K: Balboa Park BART/MUNI Station Access	\$700,000	\$0	\$0	\$700,000
BART FUNDS	\$0	\$0	\$350,000	\$350,000
Phases in Current Request Total:	\$700,000	\$0	\$350,000	\$1,050,000

FUNDING PLAN - ENTIRE PROJECT (ALL PHASES)

Fund Source	Planned	Programmed	Allocated	Project Total
PROP K	\$700,000	\$0	\$0	\$700,000
MAYOR'S OFFICE OF HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT	\$4,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$4,000,000
BART FUNDS	\$0	\$0	\$410,000	\$410,000
Funding Plan for Entire Project Total:	\$4,700,000	\$0	\$410,000	\$5,110,000

COST SUMMARY

Phase	Total Cost	Prop K - Current Request	Source of Cost Estimate
Planning/Conceptual Engineering	\$60,000	\$0	Based on actual cost
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)	\$0	\$0	
Right of Way	\$0	\$0	
Design Engineering (PS&E)	\$1,050,000	\$700,000	BART staff
Construction	\$4,000,000	\$0	Initial concept design, may be revised based on final design specifications
Operations	\$0	\$0	
Total:	\$5,110,000	\$700,000	

% Complete of Design:	20.0%
As of Date:	05/21/2018
Expected Useful Life:	100 Years

MAJOR LINE ITEM BUDGET

SUMMARY BY MAJOR LIN	BY MAJOR LINE ITEM - DESIGN	
Budget Line Item	Totals	% of phase
1. Total Labor	\$ 100,000	14%
2. Consultant	\$ 530,000	26%
3. Other Direct Costs *	\$ 20,000	3%
4. Contingency	\$ 50,000	7%
TOTAL PHASE	\$ 700,000	

TOTAL LABOR C	OST BY AGENCY
BART	\$ 100,000

100,000

φ

TOTAL

mitting costs from the City and		ntv of San Francisco PLIC/DPW/DRL a traffic study and outr
A Permitting costs from the		City and Coun
	TAGE	mitting costs from the
		C

* e.g. Permitting costs from the City and County of San Francisco PUC/DPW/DBI, a traffic study, and outreach materials.

Project Name: Balboa Park Station Area Improvements

SFCTA RECOMMENDATION

Resolution Number:		Resolution Date:	
Total Prop K Requested:	\$700,000	Total Prop AA Requested:	\$0
Total Prop K Recommended:	\$700,000	Total Prop AA Recommended:	\$0

SGA Project Number	:				Name:		a Park Station Ar vements	ea
Sponsor: Bay Area Rapid Transit District			Expirat	tion Date:	06/30	/2020		
Phase: Design Engineering			Fu	undshare:	66.7			
Cash Flow Distribution Schedule by Fiscal Year								
Fund Source	FY 2018/19	FY 2019/20	FY	2020/21	FY 2021	/22	FY 2022/23	Total
PROP K EP-113	\$500,000	\$200,000		\$0		\$0	\$0	\$700,000
Deliverables								
1. Upon project completion, provide evidence of completion of 100% design (e.g. copy of certifications page) and an updated scope, schedule, budget and funding plan for construction.								

Metric	Prop K	Prop AA
Actual Leveraging - Current Request	33.33%	No Prop AA
Actual Leveraging - This Project	86.3%	No Prop AA

E6-37


BETTER STATIONS.

BALBOA PARK STATION: PATRON DROP-OFF + PLAZA


The upper yard adjacent to the Balboa Park Station is the site of future affordable housing and community space. BART is collaborating with San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community **Development, Mission Housing Development Corporation, Related California and SFMTA to repurpose the** patron drop-off area adjacent to the south entrance to create a shared public space that is:

- Flexible to meet the needs of the community and coordinates with the planned community /commercial space;
- Safe and inviting for transit passengers; and
- **Meets BART's maintenance and operation needs.**


Existing Site Layout



Proposed Site Layout



Potential Plaza Features





6 of 7

Pedestrian-Scaled Lighting

Specialty Pavement in Plaza Area



Public Seating Areas

Terraced Plaza





BETTER STATIONS.

Alternative 1: Roundabout

Entrance and Exit onto (1)San Jose Avenue

Vehicular access with 2 Roundabout


 $(\mathbf{3})$ Landscape Barrier to Freeway

(4 Passenger Drop-off Area


Plaza area - potential (5) area for events (farmers markets)

 $(\mathbf{6})$ **Bicycle Parking**

SFMTA - only (7)Boarding



7 of 7



Conceptual Drawings - for discussion purposes. Final project will necessitate further design and coordination


Alternative 2: Roundabout with Shared Street

San Jose Avenue

Curbless Shared street vehicular access potential area closed to SFMTA - only Boarding



Café Seating or other commercial plaza area



Conceptual Drawings - for discussion purposes. Final project will necessitate further design and coordination







This Page Intentionally Left Blank

San Francisco County Transportation Authority Prop K/Prop AA Allocation Request Form

FY of Allocation Action:	FY2018/19
Project Name: Alemany and Rousseau Traffic Signal Conduits	
Grant Recipient: SFMTA - Department of Parking and Traffic	

EXPENDITURE PLAN INFORMATION

Prop K EP categories:	New Signals and Signs
Current Prop K Request:	\$150,000
Supervisorial District(s):	District 08, District 11

REQUEST

Brief Project Description

Design of a new signal at the intersection of Alemany Boulevard and Rousseau Street and installation of signal conduits and pullboxes to support the future signal installation. The subsurface work will be coordinated with the planned re-paving of Alemany Boulevard (SB 1 funded), allowing SFMTA to comply with the City's 5-year moratorium when it is ready to construct the signal. The future signal would improve pedestrian connections between the Glen Park BART station area with the Muni bus stops and a supermarket at Mission/Trumbull.

Detailed Scope, Project Benefits and Community Outreach

Design of a new signal at the intersection of Alemany Boulevard and Rousseau Street and construction work to install signal conduits and pullboxes for the signal. The work will be done in conjunction with an SB1 funded paving project on Alemany Boulevard between Seneca Avenue and Congdon Street. Coordinating the subsurface work with the paving project will allow SFMTA to comply with the City's 5-year moratorium on post-paving excavations and still signalize the Alemany/Rousseau intersection within the next few years. This intersection is on the Vision Zero High Injury Network, with six reported injury collisions in the five-year period between 2012 and 2016, one of which was a pedestrian collision. The future signal would improve pedestrian connections between the Glen Park BART station area with the Muni bus stops and a supermarket at Mission/Trumbull.

Project Location

Intersection of Alemany Boulevard and Rousseau Street

Project Phase(s)

Construction, Design Engineering (PS&E)

Justification for Multi-phase Request

Multi-phase allocation is recommended given the short duration of the design phase and the concurrent schedule for SFMTA's design of the signal and SFPW's construction phase to install conduit to support future signal construction.

5YPP/STRATEGIC PLAN INFORMA	TION
Type of Project in the Prop K 5YPP/Prop AA Strategic Plan?	Project Drawn from Placeholder
Is requested amount greater than the amount programmed in the relevant 5YPP or Strategic Plan?	Less than or Equal to Programmed Amount

5YPP/STRATEGIC PLAN INFORMATION

Prop K 5YPP Amount:

San Francisco County Transportation Authority Prop K/Prop AA Allocation Request Form

Project Name: Alemany and Rousseau Traffic Signal Conduits

ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE

Environmental Type: TBD

PROJECT DELIVERY MILESTONES

Phase	S	start	E	End
	Quarter	Calendar Year	Quarter	Calendar Year
Planning/Conceptual Engineering				
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)	Apr-Mar-Jun	2018	Jul-Aug-Sep	2018
Right of Way				
Design Engineering (PS&E)	Jul-Aug-Sep	2018	Jul-Aug-Sep	2018
Advertise Construction	Oct-Nov-Dec	2018		
Start Construction (e.g. Award Contract)	Jan-Feb-Mar	2019		
Operations				
Open for Use			Jan-Feb-Mar	2020
Project Completion (means last eligible expenditure)			Jul-Aug-Sep	2020

SCHEDULE DETAILS

This project is coordinated with SFPW's Alemany Boulevard Paving between Seneca Avenue and Congdon Street (contract 2925J).

The paving project is expected to reach 100% design in September 2018 and start construction early 2019. SFPW will lead the construction phase that includes installation of the signal conduit and pull boxes as part of the Alemany paving contract.

SFMTA will design the signal in 2018.

SFMTA will start environmental clearance in June 2018 through a request for CEQA Categorical Exemption to the SF Planning Department and expects completion in August 2018 prior to the start of construction.

Project Name: Alemany and Rousseau Traffic Signal Conduits

FUNDING PLAN - FOR CURRENT REQUEST

Fund Source	Planned	Programmed	Allocated	Project Total
PROP K: New Signals and Signs	\$0	\$150,000	\$0	\$150,000
Phases in Current Request Total:	\$0	\$150,000	\$0	\$150,000

FUNDING PLAN - ENTIRE PROJECT (ALL PHASES)

Fund Source	Planned	Programmed	Allocated	Project Total
PROP K	\$0	\$150,000	\$0	\$150,000
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Funding Plan for Entire Project Total:	\$0	\$150,000	\$0	\$150,000

COST SUMMARY

Phase	Total Cost	Prop K - Current Request	Source of Cost Estimate
Planning/Conceptual Engineering	\$0	\$0	
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)	\$0	\$0	
Right of Way	\$0	\$0	
Design Engineering (PS&E)	\$20,000	\$20,000	Engineer's cost estimate at 30% design and past bid prices
Construction	\$130,000	\$130,000	Engineer's cost estimate at 30% design and past bid prices
Operations	\$0	\$0	
Total:	\$150,000	\$150,000	

% Complete of Design:	30.0%
As of Date:	05/03/2018
Expected Useful Life:	30 Years

E6-43

PROPOSED REIMBURSEMENT SCHEDULE FOR CURRENT REQUEST

Fund Source	Phase	FY 2018/19	FY 2019/20	FY 2020/21	FY 2021/22	FY 2022/23	FY 2023/24 +	Fund Source Total
PROP K	Design Engineering (PS&E)	\$20,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$20,000
PROP K	Construction	\$130,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$130,000
	Total:	\$150,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$150,000

MAJOR LINE ITEM BUDGET

ALEMANY AND ROUSSEAU TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONDUITS

SUMMARY BY MAJOR LINE ITEM - DESIGN	L N N	rem - Desig	N
Budget Line Item		Totals	% of phas
1. Total Labor	\$	17,000	
2. Consultant	s		
3. Other Direct Costs *	\$	500	
4. Contingency	Υ	2,500	14%
TOTAL PHASE	\$	20,000	

10,000 **17,000** 7,000

မာ မာ

SFMTA SFPW TOTAL

Se

FOTAL LABOR COST BY AGENCY

1SOS
ם כ
-intii
4

costs
ting
Ľ.
*

SUMMARY BY MAJOR LII	DR LINE ITEM - CONSTRUCTION	STRUCTION			
Budget Line Item	Totals	% of contract	SFPW	SFMTA	Contractor
1. Contract					
a: Pullboxes/Conduits	\$ 44,000				\$ 44,000
b: Traffic Routing	\$ 15,000				\$ 15,000
c: Misc Electrical	\$ 24,000				\$ 24,000
Subtotal	\$ 83,000				\$ 83,000
3. Construction					
Management/Support	\$ 33,500	40%	\$ 23,500 \$	\$ 10,000	
4. Other Direct Costs *	\$ 3,488	%†	\$ 3,488		
5. Contingency	\$ 10,012	12%	\$ 10,012		
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION PHASE	\$ 130,000		\$ 37,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 83,000

* Bureau of Street Use and Mapping Survey Monument reference fee

San Francisco County Transportation Authority Prop K/Prop AA Allocation Request Form

Project Name: Alemany and Rousseau Traffic Signal Conduits

SFCTA RECOMMENDATION

	Resolution Date:		Resolution Number:
\$0	Total Prop AA Requested:	\$150,000	Total Prop K Requested:
\$0	Total Prop AA Recommended:	\$150,000	Total Prop K Recommended:

SGA Project Number:	131-907xxx	Name:	Alemany and Rousseau Traffic Signal Conduits
Sponsor:	SFMTA - Department of Parking and Traffic	Expiration Date:	03/31/2021
Phase:	Construction	Fundshare:	100.0

Cash Flow Distribution Schedule by Fiscal Year

Fund Source	FY 2018/19	FY 2019/20	FY 2020/21	FY 2021/22	FY 2022/23	Total
PROP K EP-131	\$65,000	\$65,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$130,000

Deliverables

1. Quarterly progress reports shall provide the percent complete of the conduit project, in addition to all other requirements described in the Standard Grant Agreement (SGA). Over the course of the project quarterly progress reports should include 2-3 photos of work in progress and completed work. See SGA for definitions.

Special Conditions

1. SFMTA may not incur expenses for the construction phase until Transportation Authority staff releases the funds (\$130,000) pending receipt of evidence of completion of design (e.g. copy of certifications page).

2. The Transportation Authority will only reimburse SFMTA up to the approved overhead multiplier rate for the fiscal year that SFMTA incurs charges.

SGA Project Number:	133-907xxx				Name:	Name: Alemany and Roussea Signal Conduits		seau	Traffic
Sponsor	SFMTA - Department of Parking and Traffic			Expiratio	on Date:	06/30/2019			
Phase	Design Engine	Design Engineering		Fun	dshare:	100.0			
	Casl	n Flow Distributi	ion Scl	hedule by	Fiscal Y	ear			
Fund Source	FY 2018/19	FY 2019/20 FY		020/21	FY 2021/22		FY 2022/2	3	Total
PROP K EP-131	\$20,000	\$0 \$0			\$0		\$0	\$20,000	
Deliverables									

1. Quarterly progress reports shall provide the percent complete of the conduit project, in addition to all other requirements described in the Standard Grant Agreement (SGA).


2. Upon completion (anticipated December 2018) provide evidence of completion of 100% design (e.g. copy of signed seals page of the design package).

Special Conditions

1. The Transportation Authority will only reimburse SFMTA up to the approved overhead multiplier rate for the fiscal year that SFMTA incurs charges.

Metric	Prop K	Prop AA
Actual Leveraging - Current Request	0.0%	No Prop AA
Actual Leveraging - This Project	0.0%	No Prop AA

San Francisco County Transportation Authority Prop K/Prop AA Allocation Request Form MAPS AND DRAWINGS



FY of Allocation Action:	FY2018/19	
Project Name: Local Track Application-Based Traffic Calming Program		
Grant Recipient:	SFMTA - Department of Parking and Traffic	

EXPENDITURE PLAN INFORMATION

Prop K EP categories:	es: Traffic Calming	
Current Prop K Request:	\$200,000	
Supervisorial District(s):	Citywide	

REQUEST

Brief Project Description

Citywide application-based traffic calming program outreach, evaluation and prioritization of all eligible applications (up to 100 per year), planning recommendations for traffic calming devices, project development including balloting and targeted community outreach where needed, and conceptual engineering of traffic calming measures in up to 50 site-specific locations. The traffic calming projects that will be developed as a result of this allocation are intended to slow speeding traffic and reduce collisions to improve safety and enhance the quality of life for neighborhood residents.

Detailed Scope, Project Benefits and Community Outreach

Project Background

The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) requests an allocation of \$200,000 in Proposition K funds for the Local-Track Application-Based Traffic Calming program. This allocation will cover citywide program outreach, evaluation and prioritization of all eligible applications (up to 100 per year), planning recommendations for traffic calming devices, project development including balloting and targeted community outreach where needed, and conceptual engineering of traffic calming measures in approximately 50 site-specific locations. Further funds will be requested for detailed design and construction of these measures. The traffic calming projects that will be developed as a result of this allocation are intended to slow speeding traffic and reduce collisions to improve safety and enhance the quality of life for neighborhood residents.

In 2012, SFMTA received Proposition K funding to conduct an analysis of the program and to develop a revised methodology for selecting and implementing Traffic Calming projects to improve response and delivery, and to realign the program's focus with the original program intent and City priorities. Thus far, Proposition K has funded five cycles of the planning phase of this program. In the first application cycle SFMTA received 44 applications and completed design and construction of traffic calming measures at 17 locations. In the second year SFMTA received 55 applications, 17 of which were constructed. The popularity of and demand for the program has increased substantially since then. In the last year of the program (FY17/18) a total of 97 applications were received. Each year approximately 45% - 50% of applications are ultimately accepted based on the program's data-driven evaluation process and go through design and construction.

Scope

The following deliverables will result from this allocation request:

- Evaluation of up to 100 applications, including speed surveys at all applicable locations.
- Ranked list of eligible projects based on speeds, collisions, schools, etc.
- Project list of up to 50 locations that will be constructed in 2019 with notification letters sent to all applicants (accepted
- and not accepted into the traffic calming program)
- Community meetings for up to 10 locations
- Ballots and notification letters sent to residents for up to 50 project sites

• Conceptual design of up to 50 traffic calming devices, including an estimated 5-10 traffic islands and up to 50 speed humps, speed cushions and raised crosswalks.

• \$26,953 will fund outreach and ongoing correspondence with traffic calming applicants.

• \$136,449 will fund project selection and development. This includes evaluation and ranking of submitted applications, of which \$90,000 will cover up to 300 uni-directional speed surveys at 100 locations. It also includes project development for up to 50 traffic calming locations, including recommendation of appropriate device(s) for each selected location, community outreach to finalize device selection, conceptual engineering of the devices, as well as balloting, legislation, and public hearing to approve the devices.

• \$36,301 will fund conceptual design engineering of up to 50 traffic calming devices.

Process

A. Program Outreach and Correspondence

1. Program Outreach

This portion of the allocation will fund outreach efforts to disseminate and collect information regarding potential traffic calming projects. SFMTA will update and print applications and a brochure, and update the traffic calming program website as needed. SFMTA staff will also reach out to neighborhood organizations to inform them of the traffic calming application, planning and implementation process and share the announcements with each district supervisor.

The website will include:

- An overview of the residential traffic calming program
- Information about ranking and criteria for inclusion
- Detailed instructions for applying
- · Links to resources that residents can pursue independently
- Traffic calming application

• Brochure that neighborhood champions can use as a talking point tool to help describe the benefits of traffic calming to their neighbors

Application materials will be made available in English, Spanish and Chinese.

2. Year-Round Correspondence

This portion of the allocation will allow SFMTA staff to be available to respond to questions throughout the year about the traffic calming process and about whether their neighborhood might be an be appropriate candidate for these requests. In addition, if residents submit applications in advance of the annual deadline, SFMTA staff will review the applications for completeness within 30 days of receipt, and request missing information if applicable.

B. Project Selection and Development

3. Evaluation and Ranking

This portion of the allocation will fund the evaluation and ranking of traffic calming applications from the general public. If a member of the public contacts the SFMTA to request traffic calming in their neighborhood and gathers the necessary 20 signatures (or 50 percent of addresses for blocks with fewer than 40 residential units) from their neighbors to submit an application on or before June 30, 2018, SFMTA staff will perform an evaluation to establish whether that location could be considered for traffic calming. The SFMTA will contract with an outside firm to conduct speed surveys for each eligible location (excluding locations that are not local-access residential streets), and staff will review application information for accuracy and will compile additional data needed for the ranking process.

Each application requires staff to perform the following tasks:

- Contact the applicant to acknowledge receipt and to ask follow-up questions;
- Conduct a field investigation;
- Review a traffic speed and volume survey;
- Research previous correspondence and history;
- Review collision history;
- Review adjacent complementary land uses;
- Review street designation and layout;
- Investigate whether engineering or other measure can address problem(s);

Once all data is collected, project locations will be ranked based on the following criteria:

- Evidence of speeding
- Traffic volumes
- Collision history
- Presence of a school, playground, senior center, etc.
- Opportunities for increasing walking and biking

The SFMTA will rank all eligible locations from the year's batch of applications.

4. Planning Recommendations

Once the locations with greatest need for traffic calming are identified, SFMTA staff will begin the process of reviewing locations for the most appropriate engineering solution. Blocks will first be evaluated for whether a speed hump would be appropriate for the location and possible given street geometry. If a speed hump is not an appropriate solution, staff would consider other traffic calming devices such as chicanes, traffic islands, medians and traffic circles. The budget estimate is based on approximately up to 50 traffic calming projects constructed per year. If the top locations result in a significantly higher or lower proportion of speed humps, or the total number of accepted locations is fewer than expected, the total number of devices would change for that year.

After the list of projects is identified, SFMTA staff will inform applicants of the results. These responses could take one of these forms:

• Accepted - top ranked locations recommended for devices in the current cycle

• Not Accepted – locations that do not rank for the current cycle. Applicants wishing to be considered in future years must re-apply.

5. Community Outreach for Island/Chicane Locations

For locations where the recommended device would require parking removal or displacement, or is considered a potentially controversial choice for the location, SFMTA staff will offer to meet with interested residents. SFMTA staff would work with the primary applicant to find a meeting location, and would send the meeting announcement to all residents on the affected block.

The purpose of these meeting would be for SFMTA staff to present the pros and cons of one or two devices that would be appropriate for the location, and take feedback from neighbors to advise the projects' final design.

For locations requiring this additional community outreach, the implementation cycle may be delayed compared to locations receiving standard speed humps.

6. Project Development

Project development includes funding for SFMTA staff to finalize community approval for specific traffic calming measures – which typically includes a balloting process and a public hearing. In the balloting process SFMTA staff typically mails letters to all addresses on the block where changes are proposed and asks the neighbors on the block to vote 'yes' or 'no' on the possible location of a traffic calming measure (such as a speed hump). To move forward, at least 50% of those voting have to approve the installation, with at least 20% of the ballots having been returned. Signatures from the application petition will count as "yes" votes unless a "no" vote is received from that household at ballot. In addition to determining if a traffic calming measure will be installed, the votes also influence where a measure is sited. The SFMTA makes every effort to avoid installing measures in front of a property which submitted a 'no' vote, to minimize opposition during or after construction.

C. Design Engineering

7. Design Engineering

SFMTA staff will perform conceptual design of all proposed devices that are approved by residential ballot. This does not include detailed design for complex measures (such as chicanes), striping drawing updates, or work order preparations, which will be included in a future Prop K allocation request to be submitted in January of 2019.

Schedule

Applications for traffic calming are due June 30, 2018. At that point, SFMTA staff will begin the process of evaluating the applications. The SFMTA plans to complete rankings by the end of the December 2018, and make planning recommendations by the end of January 2019. Community outreach, project development, and initial design will take place in winter and spring 2019.Upon completion of planning recommendations, the SFMTA will submit the project list to the SFCTA along with a Proposition K Allocation Request for the design and construction phases.

Completion of the associated construction phase of this project is expected to take place in winter 2020.

Project Location

Citywide

Project Phase(s) Planning/Conceptual Engineering

5YPP/STRATEGIC PLAN INFORMATION

Type of Project in the Prop K 5YPP/Prop AA Strategic Plan?	Named Project
Is requested amount greater than the amount programmed in the relevant 5YPP or Strategic Plan?	Less than or Equal to Programmed Amount
Prop K 5YPP Amount:	\$600,000

San Francisco County Transportation Authority Prop K/Prop AA Allocation Request Form

Project Name: Local Track Application-Based Traffic Calming Program

ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE

Environmental Type: TBD

PROJECT DELIVERY MILESTONES

Phase	Start		End	
	Quarter	Calendar Year	Quarter	Calendar Year
Planning/Conceptual Engineering	Jul-Aug-Sep	2018	Apr-Mar-Jun	2019
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)				
Right of Way				
Design Engineering (PS&E)	Apr-Mar-Jun	2019	Jul-Aug-Sep	2019
Advertise Construction				
Start Construction (e.g. Award Contract)	Jul-Aug-Sep	2019		
Operations				
Open for Use			Jan-Feb-Mar	2020
Project Completion (means last eligible expenditure)			Apr-Mar-Jun	2020

SCHEDULE DETAILS

June 30, 2018: Applications and petitions due

September - November 2018: Data collection process

January - March 2019: Notify applicants whether their applications have been accepted into the program

May - June 2019: Start to ballot residents

April - August 2019: Possible community meetings for more complex measures.

June - September 2019: Public Hearings for all measures

San Francisco County Transportation Authority Prop K/Prop AA Allocation Request Form

Project Name: Local Track Application-Based Traffic Calming Program

FUNDING PLAN - FOR CURRENT REQUEST

Fund Source	Planned	Programmed	Allocated	Project Total
PROP K: Traffic Calming	\$0	\$200,000	\$0	\$200,000
Phases in Current Request Total:	\$0	\$200,000	\$0	\$200,000

FUNDING PLAN - ENTIRE PROJECT (ALL PHASES)

Fund Source	Planned	Programmed	Allocated	Project Total
PROP K	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
Funding Plan for Entire Project Total:	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000

COST SUMMARY

Phase	Total Cost	Prop K - Current Request	Source of Cost Estimate
Planning/Conceptual Engineering	\$200,000	\$200,000	Estimate based on prior requests.
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)	\$0	\$0	
Right of Way	\$0	\$0	
Design Engineering (PS&E)	\$95,000	\$95,000	Estimate based on prior requests.
Construction	\$705,000	\$705,000	Estimate based on prior requests.
Operations	\$0	\$0	
Total:	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	

% Complete of Design:	0.0%
As of Date:	05/22/2018
Expected Useful Life:	20 Years

San Francisco County Transportation Authority	Prop K/Prop AA Allocation Request Form
---	--

Project Name: Local Track Application-Based Traffic Calming Program

RESPONDENCE TOTAL PROJECT CURRENT REQUEST CURRENT REQUEST RESPONDENCE 5 1000.81 300.001 5 36.001 5 36.001 RESPONDENCE 5 64.01 5 36.001 5	WAJOR LINE TEW BUDGE	GET											
TOTAL FROUEST INVECTORTEAGE TOTAL FROUEST INVECTORTEAGE TOTAL FROUEST INVECTORTEAGE TOTAL FROUEST INVECTORTEAGE TOTAL FROUEST INVECTORTEAGE INVECTORTEAGE INVECTOR INVECTOR <td>I. BUDGET SUMMARY BY PHA</td> <td>SE</td> <td></td>	I. BUDGET SUMMARY BY PHA	SE											
1 2 63.19 5 4.644 5 5.00 5 3.63.01				TOTAL SFMTA LABOR	MATERIALS & SURVEY CONTRACT TOTAL		CURRE	INT REQUE	EST				
Image: bit of the sector of secto	A. PROGRAM OUTREACH AND	CORRESPONDENC	ш				6 6	2	6,953				
uest) 5 041/27 5 041	C CONCEPTIAL DESIGN						e e	0.0	6 301				
i 5 51,606 5 705,606 5 19,703 1 AFE to FTE 3alay+MFB 705,606 5 19,703 5 10,77 10 0005 5 19,703 5 17,7 10 0003 5 17,7 10 0003 5 17,7 10 0003 5 17,7 10 10 10,7 17 10 17 10 10 10,7 10 10 10,7 10 11,7 10 10 10,7 10 10 10 10	D. DESIGN ENGINEERING** - (Not in this allocation re	equest)				÷⇔						
Indication Set 250,802 5 748,634 5 999,436 5 199,703 MFB for FTE Salary+MFB Coverhead Indirect Overhead Indirect Overhead Indirect Overhead Indirect Overhead E Overhead Indirect Overhead Indirect Indirect Indirect Indirect Indirect Overhead E Overhead E Overhead Indirect Indirect Indirect Overhead E Overhead Indirect Indirect Indirect Overhead Indirect Indirect <td< td=""><td>E. CONSTRUCTION** - (Not in t</td><td>this allocation request)</td><td></td><td></td><td>÷ S</td><td></td><td>\$</td><td></td><td>ı</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	E. CONSTRUCTION** - (Not in t	this allocation request)			÷ S		\$		ı				
Indicated Overhead Indicated Overhead Approved Rate Overhead Indicated Overhead Overhead	TOTAL						s	19	9,703				
MFB for FTE Salary + MFB Cverhead Fully Burdened) Indirect Overhead FTE Corrended Corrended <th< td=""><td>FTE = Full Time Equivalent; MFE</td><td>3 = Mandatory Fringe E</td><td>3enefits</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	FTE = Full Time Equivalent; MFE	3 = Mandatory Fringe E	3enefits										
Salary Per FTE MEB for FTE Salary Hite Contrade Contrad Contrade Contrade </td <td>A. PROGRAM OUTREACH ANI</td> <td>CORRESPONDENC</td> <td>ų</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	A. PROGRAM OUTREACH ANI	CORRESPONDENC	ų										
5 176,175 5 91,66,4 5 267,800 5 187,461 5 187,461 5 173,056 5 443,433 70% 177 10 0.005 5 5 162,760 5 233,413 5 173,056 5 434,433 70% 177 10 0.005 5 7 163,058 5 43,433 70% 177 172 0.03 5 7 163,058 5 43,433 70% 177 173 0.03 5 7 112,026 5 114,920 5 124,291 5 124,291 5 177,503 5 177,145 177 173 0.03 5 173 0.03 5 173 0.03 5 173 0.03 5 173 0.03 5 173 0.03 5 174 173 0.03 5 174 173 0.03 5 174 173 174	Position	Salary Per FTE	MFB for FTE	Salary + MFB	Overhead = (Salary+MFB) x Approved Rate	(Fully Burdened) Salary + MFB + Overhead	Indirect Cost Rate		Hours	FTF	Cost		
5 167/190 5 88,000 5 225,790 5 173,056 5 34,843 70% 17 10 0.005 5 5 112,926 5 112,926 5 173,96 5 173,96 5 0.019 5 5 112,926 5 112,926 5 173,96 5 0.019 5 7 400 112,926 5 124,391 5 173,96 0.03 9 9 5 112,926 5 14,00 1 5 173,96 0.03 9	Sr. Enaineer (5211)			\$			20%	1.7	10	0.005		2.189	
5 152.206 5 0120 5 0123 5 0124 5 0125 5 0125 5 0125 5 0125 5 0125 5 0125 5 0125 5 0125 5 0125 5 0125 5 0125 5 0125 5 0125 5 00125 5 00125 5 00125 5 00125 5 00125 5 00125 5 00125 5 00125 5 00125 5 00125 5 00125 5 00125 5 00125 5 00125 5 00125 5 00125 5 00135 5 1125 00135 5 1125 00135 5 1125 00135 5 1125 00135 5 1125 00135 5 1125 00135 5 1125 00135 5 1125 1125 1125 1125 1125 1125 1125	Planner V (5283)			• 6 9			%02	1.7	10	0.005		2.091	
5 63.083 5 12,363 5 17,569 5 73,633 5 17,569 5 3 17,759 5 2 3 2 3	Transit Planner IV (5290)						20%	1.7	25	0.012		4.769	
5 112.926 5 64,633 5 127.56 5 127.51 17	Senior Clerk (1406)			· so			70%	1.7	87	0.042		7,465	
Int Cost # Unit Total Int Total Int	Assistant Engineer (5203)			ŝ			%02	1.7	40	0.019		5,805	
Init Cost # Units Total Init Cost # Units Init Cost Init Cost <th c<="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>172</td><td>0.08</td><td></td><td></td></th>	<td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>172</td> <td>0.08</td> <td></td> <td></td>									172	0.08		
Unit Cost # Units Total 5 4,000 1 5 4,000 0 754 634 4.000 2.5 5 634 0 754 634 4.000 2.5 5 634 0 754 4.000 2.5 5 64 66 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7									LABC	DR SUBTOTAL		22,319	
\$\$ 10	Outreach Materials	Unit Cost	# Units	Total									
5 254 2.51 6.34 OUTREACH MATERIALS SUBTOTAL 4.633 4.634 A.	Program Outreach materials		~										
OUTREACH MATERALS SUBTOTAL \$ A. 6.3.4.6.3.4.6.3.4.6.3.4.6.3.4.6.3.4.6.4.6	City Attorney Fee	\$ 254	5.5									_	
A. PROGRAM OUTREACH AND CORRESPONDENCE SUBTOTAL \$ A. PROGRAM OUTREACH AND CORRESPONDENCE SUBTOTAL \$ Development Development Coverhead (Fully Burdened) Indirect Overhead Fully Burdened) Indirect Overhead E Coverhead Coverhead <td></td> <td>OUTREACH MATI</td> <td>ERIALS SUBTOTAL</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		OUTREACH MATI	ERIALS SUBTOTAL										
Development Development Coverhead = salary Hr Coverhead = salary Hr <thcoverhead =<br="">salary Hr Coverhead = salary Hr<td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>A.</td><td>PROGRAM OUTREA</td><td>CH AND C</td><td>ORRESPO</td><td>NDENC</td><td>CE SUBTOTAL</td><td></td><td>26,953</td></thcoverhead>					A.	PROGRAM OUTREA	CH AND C	ORRESPO	NDENC	CE SUBTOTAL		26,953	
Salary Per FTE MFB for FTE Salary + MFB Coverhead Coverhead Salary + MFB + MFB + MFB + MFB + MItiplier Overhead FTE Co \$\$<143.30	B. Project Selection and Devel	opment											
8 141,393 5 76,496 5 217,889 5 152,522 5 370,411 70% 17 80 0.038 5 8 131,462 5 72,169 5 203,631 5 142,542 5 346,173 70% 17 20 0.010 5 8 5 112,926 5 137,659 5 124,291 5 346,173 70% 17 20 0.010 5 8 5 133,968 5 133,968 5 237,746 70% 17 40 0.010 5 9 63,083 5 105,276 5 73,693 5 177 40 0.019 5 75 5 177 34 0.023 5 17 34 0.019 5 17 34 0.019 5 17 34 0.023 5 177,66 70% 17 34 0.023 5 178,96	Position	Salary Per FTE	MFB for FTE	Salary + MFB	Overhead = (Salary+MFB) x Approved Rate	(Fully Burdened) Salary + MFB + Overhead	Indirect Cost Rate		Hours	FTE	Cost		
0 5 131,462 5 72,169 5 203,631 5 142,542 5 346,173 70% 17 20 0.010 5 5 5 64,633 5 177,559 5 124,291 5 301,851 70% 17 10 0.053 5 5 8 8 133,968 5 124,291 5 301,851 70% 17 40 0.053 5 6 63,083 5 105,276 5 73,693 5 178,968 70% 17 40 0.019 5 7 4 5 73,693 5 717,696 70% 17 40 0.019 5 7 1 7 70% 17 70% 17 23 0.2 5 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Transit Planner IV (5290)						20%	1.7	80	0.038		14,247	
(5203) \$ 112,926 \$ 64,633 \$ 177,559 \$ 124,291 \$ 301,861 70% 1.7 10 0.053 \$ \$ <td>Associate Engineer (5207)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>20%</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>20</td> <td>0.010</td> <td></td> <td>3,329</td>	Associate Engineer (5207)						20%	1.7	20	0.010		3,329	
\$ \$	Assistant Engineer (5203)						%02	1.7	110	0.053		15,963	
\$ 63,083 \$ 42,192 \$ 105,276 \$ 73,693 \$ 178,968 70% 1.7 99 0.048 \$ Imact Unit Cost # Units Total 349 0.2 349 0.2 \$ Imact Unit Cost # Units Total \$ \$ 99,000 \$ <	Planner I						%02	1.7	40	0.019		4,380	
Contract Unit Cost # Units Total \$ 300 \$ 90,000 \$ UNVEY CONTRACT SUBTOTAL \$ 90,000	Senior Clerk (1406)						%02	1.7	66	0.048		8,531	
Contract Unit Cost # Units Total LABOR SUBTOTAL \$ * Contract Unit Cost # Units Total \$ <									349	0.2			
Contract Unit Cost # Units Total \$ 300 \$ 90,000 \$ SURVEY CONTRACT SUBTOTAL \$ 90,000									LABC	DR SUBTOTAL		46,449	
\$ 300 300 \$ 90,000 SURVEY CONTRACT SUBTOTAL \$ 90,000	Survey Contract	Unit Cost	# Units	Total									
D Broinet Coloction and Dovelonment ClIDTOTAL &	Speed Surveys	SURVEY	300 TRACT SUBTOTAL	फ फ									
F.													

				LIOD MLIOD AA AIIOCAIIOII KEQUESI FOIIII							
C. Conceptual Design											
Position	Salary Per FTE	MFB for FTE	Salary + MFB	Overhead = (Salary+MFB) x Approved Rate	(Fully Burdened) Salary + MFB + Overhead	Indirect Overhead Cost Rate Multiplier		Hours	FTE	Cost	st
Engineer Principal (5212)	\$ 204,503	\$ 104,000	\$ 308,503	\$ 215,952	\$ 524,455	70%	1.7	10	0.005	¢	2,521
Sr. Engineer (5211)	\$ 176,175	\$ 91,654	\$ 267,830	\$ 187,481	\$ 455,310	70%	1.7	10	0.005	\$	2,189
Transit Planner IV (5290)	\$ 141,393	\$ 76,496	\$ 217,889	\$ 152,522	\$ 370,411	20%	1.7	40	0.019	\$	7,123
Transit Planner III (5289)	\$ 119,243	\$ 66,843	\$ 186,086	\$ 130,261	\$ 316,347	%02	1.7	40	0.019	\$	6,084
Associate Engineer (5207)	\$ 131,462	\$ 72,169	\$ 203,631	\$ 142,542	\$ 346,173	20%	1.7	26	0.013	¢	4,327
Assistant Engineer (5203)	\$ 112,926	\$ 64,633	\$ 177,559	\$ 124,291	\$ 301,851	20%	1.7	20	0.034	¢	10,158
Junior Engineer (5201)	\$ 100,017	\$ 58,945	\$ 158,962	\$ 111,274	\$ 270,236	20%	1.7	30	0.014	\$	3,898
								226	0.11		
								LABOF	LABOR SUBTOTAL	\$	36,301
						C. (Conceptua	I Design	C. Conceptual Design SUBTOTAL	\$	36,301
Construction Materials & Contract Work	Unit Cost	# Units	Total								
Construct Approximately 50 Speed Humps (es <i>timated costs</i> include SFMTA materials and DPW Labor and Materials)	\$ 8,000	20	\$ 400,000	Exact number and lo	Exact number and location to be determined during planning phase	ied during pl	anning phe	ISE			
Construct Approximately 12 Islands (estimated costs include SFMTA materials and DPW Labor and Materials)	\$ 12,000	12	\$ 144,000	Exact number and lo	Exact number and location to be determined during planning phase	ned during pl	anning phe	tse			
Construct traffic circle or other larger infrastructure project	\$ 55,000	2	\$ 110,000	Exact number and lo	Exact number and location to be determined during planning phase	ned during pl	anning phe	ise			

654,000

CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS & CONTRACT WORK SUBTOTAL \$

E6-56

Project Name: Local Track Application-Based Traffic Calming Program

SFCTA RECOMMENDATION

	Resolution Date:		Resolution Number:
\$0	Total Prop AA Requested:	\$200,000	Total Prop K Requested:
\$0	Total Prop AA Recommended:	\$200,000	Total Prop K Recommended:

SGA Project Number:	138-xxxxx	Name:	Local Track Application-Based Traffic Calming
Sponsor:	SFMTA - Department of Parking and Traffic	Expiration Date:	03/31/2021
Phase:	Planning/Conceptual Engineering	Fundshare:	100.0

Cash Flow Distribution Schedule by Fiscal Year

Fund Source	FY 2018/19	FY 2019/20	FY 2020/21	FY 2021/22	FY 2022/23	Total
PROP K EP-138	\$170,000	\$30,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200,000

Deliverables

1. Quarterly progress reports (QPRs) shall describe outreach, evaluation, prioritization, and project development activities (i.e. community meetings, balloting) performed in the prior quarter in addition to the standard requirements for QPRs (see Standard Grant Agreement for details).

2. With the first QPR due October 15, 2018, following the June 2018 deadline for submitting traffic calming requests, submit the full list of applications received.

3. With QPR due January 15, 2019, submit the ranked list of applications, and identify the top locations that will be considered for implementation.

4. Upon completion, please provide an updated scope/schedule/budget. This deliverable can be met with submission of an allocation request for the design and/or construction phases.

Special Conditions

1. The Transportation Authority will only reimburse SFMTA up to the approved overhead multiplier rate for the fiscal year that SFMTA incurs charges.

Notes

1. Reminder: Prop K attribution is required on any public materials developed for the subject project. See Standard Grant Agreement for details.

Metric	Prop K	Prop AA
Actual Leveraging - Current Request	0.0%	No Prop AA
Actual Leveraging - This Project	0.0%	No Prop AA