



# DRAFT MINUTES

## CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

April 8, 2015 WORKSHOP

### 1. Committee Meeting Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Chair Christopher Waddling at 6:03 p.m. CAC members present were Myla Ablog, Brian Larkin, John Larson, Santiago Lerma, Raymon Smith, Peter Tannen and Chris Waddling. Transportation Authority staff members present were Erika Cheng, Cynthia Fong, Anna LaForte and Mike Pickford.

### 2. Public Comment

Roger Bazeley said that the eastbound ramp merging to I-80 from Yerba Buena Island did not provide adequate space for drivers to accelerate up to freeway speed. He said that the smooth new pavement on I-80 encouraged drivers to speed, making merging more difficult. He suggested installing signs on I-80 to alert drivers that vehicles would be entering the roadway from Yerba Buena Island.

### 3. Principles of Ethics Training – INFORMATION

Amber Maltbie, Legal Counsel for the Transportation Authority, presented the item.

Erika Cheng, Clerk of the Authority, discussed CAC parliamentary procedures relating to motions, voting and the consent calendar.

Chair Waddling asked if items removed from the consent calendar would then be voted on separately. Ms. Cheng said the CAC would first consider adoption of the consent calendar, and at the discretion of the chair, items could be removed from the consent calendar to be considered immediately after the consent calendar was voted on, or later on the agenda.

Raymon Smith asked how a member should go about tabling an item (i.e. to continue the item for consideration at another time). Ms. Cheng said that the member may move to table an item, [and with a second by another member, the motion may be passed by a simple majority vote]. John Larson asked whether tabling an item would be considered an amendment to the item. Ms. Cheng clarified that tabling an item was not an amendment to the item, but continuing the item for consideration at another time.

Mr. Smith said that in the interest of transparency, the community might want to know how individual members of the CAC voted and asked why that information was not shown in the minutes and whether it could be. Ms. Cheng said that there were not usually objections, but that votes could be shown individually. Anna LaForte added that memos to Board committees included information on CAC positions on items. Ms. Cheng said that individual vote results were shown in the minutes for Committee and Board votes and would be added to future CAC minutes.

### 4. Public Comment

There was no additional public comment.

**5. Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned at 7:06 p.m.