

Caltrain Modernization EMU Procurement Boarding Height

SFCTA CAC May 27, 2015




Context



Average Weekday Ridership

Since 2004 143% increase





Exceeding Capacity Today



Northbound		
	Percent of	Percent of
	Seated Capacity	Seated Capacity
Depart SJ	(low season)	(high season)
7:03 AM	135%	158%
7:45 AM	128%	150%
8:03 AM	127%	149%
5:23 PM	122%	143%
6:57 AM	122%	142%
7:50 AM	117%	137%
6:45 AM	108%	126%
6:50 AM	106%	124%
4:39 PM	106%	124%
7:55 AM	103%	121%
8:40 AM	102%	119%
4:23 PM	96%	113%



Regional Transportation Needs

- US 101 and Interstate 280 Congested
- Corridor supports growing economy
 - 14% CA GDP; 52% CA patents; 25% CA tax revenue
- Caltrain Commuter Coalition (formed 2014)
 - 75% caltrain rider's commute to work; 60% choice riders

















Need to Maximize Capacity

- Add Metrolink Cars to Diesel Trains (Now)
- Caltrain Electrification (2020)
 - More trains / serve more riders
 - Increase station stops and/or reduced travel times
- Level Boarding and Longer Trains (Future)








Caltrain Modernization



Key Regional Benefits



Note: 2013 BAC Report, generates \$2.5B economic activity and 9,600 jobs




PCEP Service Benefits

Metric	Today	PCEP
Trains / peak hour / direction	5	6
Passengers / peak hour / direction	5,100	6,300
Example Baby Bullet Train		
Retain 5-6 stops	60 minutes	45 minutes
Retain SF to SJ 60 minutes	6 stops	13 stops
Example RWC Station		
Train stops / peak hour	3	5



2020 Revenue Service



Important milestones to meet 2020 service date



Request for Information


Summer 2014

Attributes	Industry Confirmation	
Maximize Capacity	Bi-level (versus single level)	
Previously Made	Service proven optionsSaves costs / time	
US Regulation Compliance	 ADA Buy America FRA Waiver / Alternative Compliant Vehicles Criteria Meet Caltrain Technical / Quality Standards 	
Floor Threshold	 2 double doors per car (low level boarding) ~22" to ~25" most common 	

Note: Anticipate adequate competition for the RFP



Recommended EMU




- Bi-level car
- 2 double doors (located: ~25" floor)
- Passengers step (1-2) from platform
- ADA passengers and bikes located ~25" level
- ADA use mini highs and wayside lifts



Similar to Today's Bombardier













Future Level Boarding

(Beyond Electrification)



No steps, use at 27 stations






Request for EMU Modification



Request for EMU Modifications


- Stakeholder request for car modification
- Caltrain bi-level EMU ~25" boarding height
- HSR single level cars ~50" boarding height (different needs than Caltrain)
- Can Caltrain modify EMUs to not preclude ~50" boarding in the future?





Modification A (2020)

Cars with More Doors












Trap: 3-5


steps

Modification B (2020)

Cars with Traps










Close Trap



Single Door w/ Trap




Full Fleet EMU Service


(HSR and Modified Level Boarding Stations)



Scenario 1: Shared Platform at HSR Stations Only




2-3 Stations: Caltrain / HSR Stations Common Platforms ~50"



25 Stations: Caltrain Level Boarding ~25"



Scenario 2: Share Platforms at All Stations




27 Stations: Caltrain / HSR Stations Common Platforms ~50"



Modification A (Future)

Scenario 1 Shared at 2 – 3 (seat loss w/ both doors open)



Scenario 2 Shared at All




No steps (use at 27 stations)

Interior steps navigated by bikes; potential ADA interior lift needed



Modification B (Future)


Scenario1 Shared at 2 - 3



Less steps (use at 25 stations)

Interior steps navigated by bikes;

Scenario 2 Shared at All



Seal Traps No steps (use at 27 stations)

Interior steps navigated by bikes



Potential Path Forward



Framework

- HSR / Caltrain blended system partnership
- Blended system not yet defined
 - Community planning
 - Environmental evaluation
- Early investment program (defined / environmentally cleared)
 - CBOSS PTC (2015)
 - Electrification Project (2020)
- Need to make EMU design decision now to not preclude common platforms w/ HSR in future



Cars with More Doors Option

- Challenges Associated with More Doors
 - Seat loss
 - Passenger circulation inside car
- Short-Term Solution (2020)
 - Design car with 2 sets of doors
 - Keep high doors sealed / use low doors
 - Car configured similar to original EMUs (mitigate challenges)
 - Request HSR to fund modification costs
- Future Blended System (TBD)
 - Community planning / environmental review
 - Define blended service plan and capital improvements
 - Evaluate use of high doors / interior reconfiguration



Next Steps



May – July Activities

- Public Meetings
- Release Draft RFP to Car Builders
- June JPB
 - Update on proposed path forward
 - Seats/Standees/Bikes/Bathroom balance
- July JPB
 - Release EMU RFP
 - Regional funding plan update



Questions

website: www.caltrain.com/calmod

email: calmod@caltrain.com