

Memorandum

Date: 04.21.2016 RE: Citizens Advisory Committee April 27, 2016

To: Citizens Advisory Committee

Anna LaForte - Deputy Director for Policy and Programming From:

Subject: ACTION – Adopt a Motion of Support for Allocation of \$9,599,451 in Prop K Funds, with

Conditions, for Three Requests, Subject to the Attached Fiscal Year Cash Flow Distribution

Schedules

Summary

As summarized in Attachments 1 and 2, we have three requests totaling \$9,599,451 in Prop K funds to present to the Citizens Advisory Committee. The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) is requesting \$5 million in Prop K funds and a commitment to allocate another \$7 million to leverage Federal Transit Administration funds for the procurement of 33 60-foot New Flyer electric trolley coaches. The committed funds would be available for allocation once the SFMTA secures the remaining federal funds for the project. The SFMTA has also requested \$4,400,000 in Prop K funds for planning and design work to renovate its Burke Avenue industrial building to increase the efficiency of the central warehouse for its Materials Management section and to provide a new headquarters for its Overhead Lines group. Finally, the SFMTA has requested \$199,451 in Prop K Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Program (NTIP) capital funds for bicycle and pedestrian safety improvements on Arguello Boulevard, including bike lane striping, continental crosswalks and design of sidewalk bulbouts to be constructed through the paving project in 2017.

BACKGROUND

We have received three requests for a total of \$9,599,451 in Prop K funds to present to the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) at its April 27, 2016 meeting, for potential Board approval on May 24, 2016. As shown in Attachment 1, the requests come from the following Prop K categories:

- New and Renovated Vehicles Muni
- Rehab/Upgrades Existing Facilities Muni
- Bicycle Circulation/Safety
- Pedestrian Circulation/Safety

Transportation Authority Board adoption of a 5-Year Prioritization Program (5YPP) for Prop K programmatic categories is a prerequisite for allocation of funds from these categories.

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this memorandum is to present three Prop K requests totaling \$9,599,451 to the CAC and to seek a motion of support to allocate the funds as requested. Attachment 1 summarizes the three requests, including information on proposed leveraging (i.e. stretching Prop K dollars further by matching them with other fund sources) compared with the leveraging assumptions in the Prop K Expenditure Plan. Attachment 2 provides a brief description of each project. A detailed scope, schedule, budget and funding plan for each project are included in the attached Allocation Request Forms.

Staff Recommendation: Attachment 3 summarizes the staff recommendations for the requests, highlighting special conditions and other items of interest.

Transportation Authority staff and project sponsors will attend the CAC meeting to provide brief presentations on some of the specific requests and to respond to any questions that the CAC may have.

ALTERNATIVES

- 1. Adopt a motion of support for allocation of \$9,599,451 in Prop K funds, with conditions, for three requests, subject to the attached Fiscal Year Cash Flow Distribution Schedules, as requested.
- 2. Adopt a motion of support for allocation of \$9,599,451 in Prop K funds, with conditions, for three requests, subject to the attached Fiscal Year Cash Flow Distribution Schedules, with modifications.
- 3. Defer action, pending additional information or further staff analysis.

FINANCIAL IMPACTS

This action would allocate \$9,599,451 in Fiscal Year (FY) 2015/16 Prop K sales tax funds, with conditions, for three requests. The allocations would be subject to the Fiscal Year Cash Flow Distribution Schedules contained in the attached Allocation Request Forms.

Attachment 4, Prop K Allocation Summaries – FY 2015/16, shows the total approved FY 2015/16 Prop K allocations and appropriations to date, with associated annual cash flow commitments as well as the recommended allocations and cash flows that are the subject of this memorandum.

Sufficient funds are included in the adopted FY 2015/16 budget to accommodate the recommendation actions. Furthermore, sufficient funds will be included in future budgets to cover the recommended cash flow distribution for those respective fiscal years.

RECOMMENDATION

Adopt a motion of support for allocation of \$9,599,451 in Prop K funds, with conditions, for three requests, subject to the attached Fiscal Year Cash Flow Distribution Schedules.

Attachments (5):

- 1. Summary of Applications Received
- 2. Project Descriptions
- 3. Staff Recommendations
- 4. Prop K Allocation Summaries FY 2015/16
- 5. Prop K/Prop AA Allocation Request Forms (3)

Attachment 1: Summary of Applications Received

								Leve	raging		
Source	EP Line No./ Category ¹	Project Sponsor ²	Project Name		irrent K Request	Current Prop AA Request	otal Cost for Requested Phase(s)	Expected Leveraging by EP Line ³	Actual Leveraging by Project Phase(s) ⁴	Phase(s) Requested	District
Prop K	17M	SFMTA	Replace 14 60-Foot Trolley Coaches	\$	5,000,000	\$ -	\$ 25,000,000	84%	80%	Procurement	Citywide
Prop K	20M	SFMTA	Burke Facility Renovation	\$	4,400,000		\$ 4,550,000	90%	3%	Planning, Design	10
Prop K	39, 40	SFMTA	Arguello Boulevard Near-term Improvements [NTIP Capital]	\$	199,451	\$ -	\$ 199,451	NA	0%	Design, Construction	1
		•	TOTAL	\$ 9	9,599,451	\$ -	\$ 29,749,451	84%	68%		·

Footnotes

¹ "EP Line No./Category" is either the Prop K Expenditure Plan line number referenced in the 2014 Prop K Strategic Plan or the Prop AA Expenditure Plan category referenced in the 2012 Prop AA Strategic Plan, including: Street Repair and Reconstruction (Street), Pedestrian Safety (Ped), and Transit Reliability and Mobility Improvements (Transit).

² Acronym: SFMTA (San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency)

³ "Expected Leveraging By EP Line" is calculated by dividing the total non-Prop K funds expected to be available for a given Prop K Expenditure Plan line item (e.g. Pedestrian Circulation and Safety) by the total expected funding for that Prop K Expenditure Plan line item over the 30-year Expenditure Plan period. For example, expected leveraging of 90% indicates that on average non-Prop K funds should cover 90% of the total costs for all projects in that category, and Prop K should cover only 10%.

⁴ "Actual Leveraging by Project Phase" is calculated by dividing the total non-Prop K or non-Prop AA funds in the funding plan by the total cost for the requested phase or phases. If the percentage in the "Actual Leveraging" column is lower than in the "Expected Leveraging" column, the request (indicated by yellow highlighting) is leveraging fewer non-Prop K dollars than assumed in the Expenditure Plan. A project that is well leveraged overall may have lower-than-expected leveraging for an individual or partial phase.

Attachment 2: Brief Project Descriptions ¹

EP Line No./ Category	Project Sponsor	Project Name	Prop K Funds Requested	Prop AA Funds Requested	Project Description
17M	SFMTA	Replace 14 60-Foot Trolley Coaches	\$ 5,000,000	\$	The SFMTA is requesting allocation of \$5 million and a commitment to allocate \$7 million in Prop K funds to match \$48 million in federal funds for procurement of 33 60-foot articulated trolley coaches from New Flyer Inc. This allocation would help fund the procurement of 14 of the 33 buses and would match federal funds currently available to SFMTA. The SFMTA will request the remaining Prop K funds and issue a second Notice to Proceed for the last 19 buses after the Metropolitan Transportation Commission programs sufficient FY16/17 federal funds to the project (expected fall 2016). The new coaches will replace 60-foot trolley coaches that have reached the end of their useful lives. The subject procurement is the second tranche in the planned purchase of up to 333 trolley coaches from New Flyer. The first vehicle that is funded by the subject request should be delivered June 2017 and all vehicles to be in service by October 2017.

Attachment 2: Brief Project Descriptions ¹

EP Line No./ Category	Project Sponsor	Project Name	Prop K Funds Requested	Prop AA Funds Requested	Project Description
20M	SFMTA	Burke Facility Renovation	\$ 4,400,000	\$ -	Funds will be used for the planning and design phases for the SFMTA's \$30 million project to renovate 1570-1580 Burke Avenue. This facility currently functions as the central warehouse for SFMTA's Materials Management section, which distributes parts and supplies to all vehicle maintenance facilities throughout the city. The renovation will make more efficient use of the building, with about half of the space being remodeled to improve the capacity of the central warehouse through improved shelving systems and inventory management systems, and half of the space being remodeled as the new headquarters of SFMTA's Overhead Lines division. The project schedule is highly compressed, with completion of design scheduled for December 2016 and project completion by May 2018, when the Overhead Lines division must vacate 1401 Bryant Street to allow the building to be renovated for the new Animal Care and Control facility. The project will be delivered through a Construction Manager/General Contractor (CMGC) delivery method.
39, 40	SFMTA	Arguello Boulevard Near-term Improvements [NTIP Capital]	\$ 199,451	\$ -	Funds would be used for construction of bicycle and pedestrian safety improvements on Arguello Boulevard between Fulton Street and West Pacific Avenue. Improvements for this Bicycle High Injury Corridor were developed through a Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Program (NTIP) planning grant to improve safety and access to Golden Gate Park. The paint-only treatments include striping a 2-ft. buffer alongside the existing bike lanes, and continental crosswalks and daylighting at intersections to improve pedestrian visibility. This request would also fund the design phase for concrete bulbouts to be constructed through the SFPW's paving project in 2017. Near-term paint improvements will be constructed by August 2016.
		TOTAL	\$ 9,599,451	\$ -	

¹ See Attachment 1 for footnotes.


Project Category Project Sponsor Project Name Prop K Funds Recommended Recommended Recommendation includes a commitment to allocate million in Prop K funds to match \$28 million in FY16/17. Transit Administration funds anticipated to be programm in fall 2016. 17M	
Our recommendation includes a commitment to allocat million in Prop K funds to match \$28 million in FY16/17. Transit Administration funds anticipated to be programm in fall 2016. 17M SFMTA Replace 14 60-Foot Trolley Coaches \$ 5,000,000 \$ 5-Year Prioritization Program (5YPP) Amendment: Trecommended allocation is contingent on an amendment Vehicles 5YPP to reprogram \$5,000,000 in FY15/16 fund Replace 100 ETT 40' Trolley Coaches to the subject proje attached 5YPP amendment for details. Multi-phase allocation is recommended given the overl schedules of the two phases and the compressed schedule project as a whole. Due to the highly compressed project schedule and uncer conditions, our recommendation places \$2,335,000 in cor and final design funds on reserve pending receipt of upda schedule and budget upon completion of 35% design. 5YPP Amendment: The recommended allocation is con upon amendment of the Facilities-Muni 5YPP to reprogram	
million in Prop K funds to match \$28 million in FY16/17. Transit Administration funds anticipated to be programm in fall 2016. 17M SFMTA Replace 14 60-Foot Trolley Coaches \$ 5,000,000 \$ - 5-Year Prioritization Program (5YPP) Amendment: Trecommended allocation is contingent on an amendment Vehicles 5YPP to reprogram \$5,000,000 in FY15/16 fund Replace 100 ETH 40' Trolley Coaches to the subject project attached 5YPP amendment for details. Multi-phase allocation is recommended given the overlischedules of the two phases and the compressed schedule project as a whole. Due to the highly compressed project schedule and uncerconditions, our recommendation places \$2,335,000 in contained and final design funds on reserve pending receipt of updates schedule and budget upon completion of 35% design. 5YPP Amendment: The recommended allocation is contained and upon amendment of the Facilities-Muni 5YPP to reprogramment.	
schedules of the two phases and the compressed schedule project as a whole. Due to the highly compressed project schedule and uncer conditions, our recommendation places \$2,335,000 in cor and final design funds on reserve pending receipt of update schedule and budget upon completion of 35% design. 5YPP Amendment: The recommended allocation is contupon amendment of the Facilities-Muni 5YPP to reprogramments.	The to the ds from
FY14/15 funds from the Implementation of Various Fac Placeholder and cumulative remaining programming capa subject project. See attached 5YPP amendment for detail	e of the rtain site ntingency ated scope, attingent am ility Plans acity to the
Arguello Boulevard Near-term Improvements [NTIP Capital] SFMTA Arguello Boulevard Near-term Improvements [NTIP Capital] \$ 199,451 \$ Our recommendation includes a commitment to allocate in Prop K funds for pedestrian and bicycle paint improve will be constructed through the SFPW's Arguello paving 2017. These improvements include green bike boxes and lane treatments, and khaki pedestrian safety zones. The project will pay for restriping all the near-term paint work funded by this request.	gn of ork). te \$78,696 cments that project in green bike paving
TOTAL \$ 9,599,451 \$ -	


¹ See Attachment 1 for footnotes.

Attachment 4. Prop K/ Prop AA Allocation Summaries - FY 2015/16

PROP K SALES TAX												
											CAS	H FLOW
	Total		F	FY 2015/16		FY 2016/17]	FY 2017/18	F	Y 2018/19		2019/20
Prior Allocations	\$	189,376,527	\$	91,177,712	\$	83,708,529	\$	14,026,822	\$	333,487	\$	32,495
Current Request(s)	\$	9,599,451	\$	500,000	\$	9,099,451	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
New Total Allocations	\$	198,975,978	\$	91,677,712	\$	92,807,980	\$	14,026,822	\$	333,487	\$	32,495

The above table shows maximum annual cash flow for all FY 2015/16 allocations approved to date, along with the current recommended





Attachment 5 Prop K Grouped Allocation Requests May 2016 Board Action

Table of Contents

No.	Fund Source	Project Sponsor ¹	Expenditure Plan Line Item/ Category Description	Project Name	Phase	Funds quested
1	Prop K	SFMTA	Vehicles - SFMTA	Replace 14 60-Foot Trolley Coaches	Procurement	\$ 5,000,000
2	Prop K	SFMTA	Facilities - Muni	Burke Facility Renovation	Planning, Design	\$ 4,400,000
3	Prop K	SFMTA	Bicycle Circulation/ Safety, Pedestrian Circulation/ Safety	Arguello Boulevard Near-term Improvements [NTIP Capital]	Design, Construction	\$ 199,451
		-		Total Requested	-	\$ 9,599,451

Acronym: SFMTA (San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency)



FY of Allocation Action:	2015/16					
Project Name:	Replace 14 60-Foot Trolley Coaches					
Implementing Agency:	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency					
	EXPENDITURE PLAN INFORMATION					
D WEDD 1 (D	a 1 Vahialas Tuensit yishiala ganlagament and genevation					
Prop K EP Project/Program:	a.1 Vehicles-Transit vehicle replacement and renovation					
Prop K EP Line Number (Primary):	17 Current Prop K Request: \$ 5,000,000					
Prop K Other EP Line Numbers:						
Prop AA Category:						
	Current Prop AA Request: \$ -					
	Supervisorial District(s): Citywide					
	SCOPE I to allow Authority staff to evaluate the reasonableness of the proposed budget and					
included in the scope. Long scopes may be provided in a separate Word file. Maps. If a project is not already name Project sponsors shall provide a brief explanation of how the project was prioritized for funding, highlighting: 1) project benefits, 2) level of public input into the prioritization process, and 3) whether the project is included in any adopted plans, including Prop K/Prop AA 5-Year Prioritization Program (5YPPs). Justify any inconsistencies with the adopted Prop K/Prop AA Strategic Plans and/or relevant 5YPPs. Indicate whether work is to be performed by outside consultants and/or by force account.						
allocate \$7,023,785 for procurement of a Transit Inc. (ETI) 60-foot trolley coache	The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) requests \$5 million in Prop K funds and a commitment to allocate \$7,023,785 for procurement of a total of 33 60-foot articulated New Flyer electric trolley coaches to replace 33 Electric Transit Inc. (ETI) 60-foot trolley coaches that have reached the end of their useful lives.					
Please see details on the following pages	•					

Background

The SFMTA currently has a fleet of 93 60-ft articulated trolley coaches consisting of 60 New Flyer trolleys that were placed into service in 1993 - 1994 and 33 ETI Trolleys that were placed into service in 2003. The useful life of trolley coaches per FTA Circular C5010.1D is 15 years. Therefore, the New Flyer Trolley coaches are soon to meet or have already exceeded their useful life and are overdue for replacement. The SFMTA has entered into a joint procurement contract with King Country Metro in Seattle (the second largest trolley coach operator in the United States). Through options to the multi-year contract the SFMTA plans to purchase up to 220 40-foot and 105 60-foot trolley coaches. A contract (CPT 632) for an initial purchase of 60 articulated trolley coaches from New Flyer Inc. was signed on February 26, 2014, partially funded by a \$20,831,776 Prop K allocation. Deliveries have begun for those 60 trolleys, which will replace the older 60-foot New Flyer trolley coaches.

Performance of the 33 ETI 60-ft articulated trolley coaches has been declining due to mechanical or electrical system failures and maintenance costs have been increasing exponentially as the fleet has reached the end of its service lifespan. Therefore, SFMTA has made an economical decision to retire these ETI 60-ft articulated coaches now.

To replace these vehicles, the SFMTA proposes to amend the joint procurement contract with King County Metro to purchase an additional 33 articulated trolley coaches from New Flyer Inc. This Contract Amendment No.1 includes related tools, training and spare parts, for a total amount not to exceed approximately \$57.5 million, and for a term not to exceed six years.

CPT 632

	Date of	# Vehicles		
	Notice to	to be		Placed in
Contract Option	Proceed	Procured	Vehicles to be Replaced	Service
Base Contract	Feb-2014	60	Replacement for 60 New Flyer 60-foot trolley coaches	1993-94
Contract Amendment #1			Replacement for 33 ETI 60-foot trolley coaches	2003
NTP 1	Jun-2016	14		
NTP 2	Jan-2017 *	19		
Future Contract Amendment	TBD	240	Replacement for 240 ETI 40-foot trolley coaches	2001-2003
TOTAL	-	333	_	

^{*} or following approval of FY17 funds in the Transportation Improvement Program

Scope of Subject Request

The requested funds will provide the local match for \$20 million in Federal Transit Administration (FTA) funds that the SFMTA has secured to fund the \$25 million cost for replacing 14 of the 33 ETI 60-foot trolley coaches. The SFMTA expects to secure an additional \$28 million in FTA funds to procure the remaining coaches when the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) programs FY 2016/17 FTA funds through its Transit Capital Priorities process in Fall 2016. The SFMTA will request a Prop K match when it has secured the additional FTA funds. Note that the full cost includes SFMTA staff labor, consultant costs and other non-contract costs. See major line item budget for more cost information.

The Replace 14 60-Foot Trolley Coaches project will ensure that there are enough vehicles available to transport passengers throughout the City. A portion of the replacement trolley coaches will be used for the bus rapid transit (BRT) service being planned on the Van Ness corridor. The Van Ness BRT project will allow a faster mode of transportation through one of the busiest corridors in the city. The replacement trolley coaches are anticipated to have a useful life of 15 years. This project is included in the Muni Vehicles Prop K 5-Year Prioritization Program (5YPPs) and the 2014 SFMTA Transit Fleet Management Plan.

FY 2015/16	Y	2015/16
------------	---	---------

Project Name:	Replace 14 (60-Foot Tro	olley Coaches				
,			·			,	
Implementing Agency:	San Franciso	co Municipa	al Transportatio	n Agen	су	İ	
	ENVIRONM	ENTAL C	CLEARANCE				
Type:	Categoricall	y Exempt					
Status:	Completed						
P	ROIECT DE	LIVERY N	MILESTONES	<u> </u>			
Enter dates for ALL project phase					as the sta	art of the fiscal	
year. Use 1, 2, 3, 4 to denote quarted detail may be provided in the text be		/XX for the	e fiscal year (e.g	. 2010/	11). Addi	ional schedule	
, 1							
		Star	t Date		Enc	d Date	
		Quarter	Fiscal Year		Quarter	Fiscal Year	
Planning/Conceptual Engineering							
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)							
R/W Activities/Acquisition							
Design Engineering (PS&E)		3	2012/13		2	2014/15	
Prepare Bid Documents							
Advertise Construction							
Start Construction (e.g., Award Con	ıtract)	4	2015/16				
Procurement (e.g. rolling stock)		3	2016/17		2	2017/18	
Project Completion (i.e., Open for U	IJse)				1	2019/20	
Project Closeout (i.e., final expenses	; incurred)				4	2024/25	
SC	HEDULE CO	ORDINA	TION/NOT	ES			
Provide project delivery milestones					schedule	for public	
involvement, if appropriate. For pla Describe coordination with other pr							
the project schedule, if relevant.							
The first vehicle is anticipated to be October 2017.	delivered by Ju	une 2017 an	nd all vehicles an	e antici	pated to b	oe delivered by	

FY	2015	/16
T. T	2013	/ 10

Project Name:	Replace 14 60-Foot Trolley Coaches
Implementing Agency:	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
	COST SUMMARY BY PHASE - CURRENT REQUEST
Allocations will generally be	for one phase only. Multi-phase allocations will be considered on a case-by-case basis.

Enter the total cost for the phase or partial (but useful segment) phase (e.g. Islais Creek Phase 1 construction) covered by the CURRENT funding request.

Planning/Conceptual Engineering
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)
Design Engineering (PS&E)
R/W Activities/Acquisition
Construction
Procurement (e.g. rolling stock)

Yes/No					
_					
Yes					

Cost for Current Request/Phase					
Total Cost	Prop K - Current Request	Prop AA - Current Request			
\$ 25,000,000	\$ 5,000,000				
\$ 25,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	\$ -			

COST SUMMARY BY PHASE - ENTIRE PROJECT

Show total cost for ALL project phases based on best available information. **Source of cost estimate** (e.g. 35% design, vendor quote) is intended to help gauge the quality of the cost estimate, which should improve in reliability the farther along a project is in its development.

Planning/Conceptual Engineerin
Environmental Studies (PA&ED
Design Engineering (PS&E)
R/W Activities/Acquisition
Construction
Procurement (e.g. rolling stock)

		Total Cost
	\$	60,118,923
Total:	\$	60,118,923
Total:	Ф	00,118,923

as of

9	Source	of Cos	st Estir	nate		
Fro	m cont	ract an	d engin	eer's est	imate	

% Complete of Design:	90	
Expected Useful Life:	15	Years

3/30/16

Includes the total cost of Contract Amendment No 1 to the New Flyer contract (33 60-foot trolley coaches).

MAJOR LINE ITEM BUDGET

- 1. Provide a major line item budget, with subtotals by task and phase. More detail is required the farther along the project is in the development phase. Planning studies should provide task-level budget information.
- 2. Requests for project development should include preliminary estimates for later phases such as construction.
- 3. Support costs and contingencies should be called out in each phase, as appropriate. Provide both dollar amounts and % (e.g. % of construction) for support costs and contingencies.
- 4. For work to be performed by agency staff rather than consultants, provide base rate, overhead multiplier, and fully burdened rates by position with FTE (full-time equivalent) ratio. A sample format is provided below.
- 5. For construction costs, please include budget details. A sample format is provided below. Please note if work will be performed through a contract.
- 6. For any contract work, please provide the LBE/SBE/DBE goals as applicable to the contract.

Budget for CPT632 Contract Amendment No. 1

SUMMARY

PROCUREMENT	Provide by		Amount	% of Contract	Labor Detail
Vehicle (33 60-ft electric trolley buses)	Vendor	\$48,985,133	111104111	85.3%	2000
Tools, Training, & Manuals	Vendor	\$3,903,884		6.8%	
Sale Tax (8.75%)		\$4,540,290		7.9%	
Total contract			\$57,429,307		
Consultant Support	Consultant		\$299,960	0.5%	
Engineering & Project Management	SFMTA		\$640,011	1.1%	<u>l</u>
Maintenance Support	SFMTA		\$1,113,043	1.9%	<u>II</u>
Operations Support	SFMTA		\$55,808	0.1%	<u>III</u>
Warranty Support	SFMTA		\$554,143	1.0%	<u>IV</u>
Other Direct Cost (Travel & Per Diem)	SFMTA		\$26,400	0.0%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Contingency			\$68,825		
City Attorney Review Fees 2 hrs x \$250/hr.	CAO		\$250	0.0%	
Total Amondment No	o 1.		¢60 197 749		

Total Amendment No. 1:

\$60,187,748

BUDGET DETAILS

Procurement Phase for Contract Amendment No. 1 (33 60-ft.)

I. Engineering & Project Management	No. of FTEs	Total No. of Hours	Fully Burdened Cost/Hour	Total Cost
Program Manager (5211)	1	330	254.45	\$83,969
Resident Engineer (5241)	1	660	221.78	\$146,375
Lead Engineer (5207)	1	660	193.52	\$127,723
Fleet Engineer (5203)	1	660	168.91	\$111,481
Administrative Support (1824)	1	330	195.25	\$64,433
Administrative Support (1822)	1	330	148.52	\$49,012
Administrative Support (1820)	1	330	116.3	\$38,379
Subtotal				\$621,370
Total				\$640,011
II. Maintenance Support				
Auto Transit Shop Supv (7228)	1	165	198	\$32,670
Auto Mech Assist Sup (7249)	1	660	181.01	\$119,467
Automotive Mechanic (7381)	10	660	140.68	\$928,488
Subtotal				\$1,080,625
Total				\$1,113,043

III. Operations Support				
Transit Manager (9141)	1	66	197.84	\$13,057
Transit Supervisor (9139)	1	66	152.67	\$10,076
Transit Operator (9163)	4	66	117.61	\$31,049
Subtotal				\$54,183
Total				\$55,808
Warranty Support				
2 Year Warranty				
Resident Engineer (5241)	1	417	221.78	\$92,482
Lead Engineer (5207)	1	417	193.52	\$80,698
Auto Mech Assist Sup (7249)	1	417	181.01	\$75,481
Automotive Mechanic (7381)	1	417	140.68	\$58,664
Subtotal				\$307,325
Total 2 Year Warranty				\$316,545
Extended Warranty				
Resident Engineer (5241)	1	313	221.78	\$69,417
Lead Engineer (5207)	1	313	193.52	\$60,572
Auto Mech Assist Sup (7249)	1	313	181.01	\$56,656
Automotive Mechanic (7381)	1	313	140.68	\$44,033
Subtotal				\$230,678
Total Extended Warranty				\$237,598
IV. Total Warranty Support				\$554,142.78

	FY 2015/16
Project Name: Replace 14 60-Foot Trolley Coaches	
FUNDING PLAN - FOR (CURRENT PROP K REQUEST
Prop K Funds Requested:	\$5,000,000
5-Year Prioritization Program Amount:	\$0 (enter if appropriate)
FUNDING PLAN - FOR C	URRENT PROP AA REQUEST
Prop AA Funds Requested:	\$0
5-Year Prioritization Program Amount:	(enter if appropriate)
Prioritization Program (5YPP), provide a justification in the	th the Prop K/Prop AA Strategic Plan amount and/or the 5-Year space below including a detailed explanation of which other project e current request and maintain consistency with the 5YPP and/or
The recommended allocation is contingent upon an amendm \$5,000,000 in Fiscal Year 2015/16 funds from Replace 100 E	ent to the Vehicles 5YPP to reprogram TI 40' Trolley Coaches to the subject project. See attached 5YPP

Enter the funding plan for the phase or phases for which Prop K/Prop AA funds are currently being requested. Totals should match those shown on the Cost worksheet.

Fund Source	Planned	Programmed	Allocated	Total
Prop K	\$5,000,000			\$5,000,000
Transit Capital Priorites (federal) funds			\$20,000,000	\$20,000,000
				\$0
				\$0
				\$0
				\$0
Total:	\$0	\$0	\$20,000,000	\$25,000,000

Actual Prop K Leveraging - This Phase: Expected Prop K Leveraging per Expenditure Plan

amendment for details.

80.00%
83.73%

\$25,000,000 Total from Cost worksheet

Is Prop K/Prop AA providing **local match funds** for a state or federal grant?

Yes - Prop K

		Required I	ocal Match
Fund Source	\$ Amount	%	\$
Prop K	\$25,000,000	20.00%	\$5,000,000

FUNDING PLAN - FOR ENTIRE PROJECT (ALL PHASES)

Enter the funding plan for all phases (environmental studies through construction) of the project. This section may be left blank if the current request covers all project phases. Totals should match those shown on the Cost worksheet.

Fund Source	Planned	Programmed	Allocated	Total
Prop K	\$12,023,785			\$12,023,785
Transit Capital Priorities (federal) funds	\$28,095,138		\$20,000,000	\$48,095,138
				\$0
				\$0
				\$0
				\$0
				\$0
Total:	\$0	\$0	\$20,000,000	\$ 60,118,923

Actual Prop K Leveraging - Entire Project: Expected Prop K Leveraging per Expenditure Plan: Actual Prop AA Leveraging - Entire Project:

80.00%
83.73%
NA

\$ 60,118,923 Total from Cost worksheet

FISCAL YEAR CASH FLOW DISTRIBUTION FOR CURRENT PROP K REQUEST

Use the table below to enter the proposed cash flow distribution schedule (e.g. the maximum Prop K/Prop AA funds that are guaranteed to be available for reimbursement each fiscal year) for the current request. If the schedule is more aggressive than the Prop K/Prop AA Strategic Plan and/or 5YPP, please explain in the text box below how cash flow for other projects and programs will be slowed down to accommodate the current request without exceeding annual cash flow assumptions made in the Strategic Plan.

Prop K Funds Requested:

\$5,000,000

Sponsor Rec	quest - Proposed F	Prop K Cash Flow	Distribution Sched	lule
T' 137			% Reimbursed	
Fiscal Year	(Cash Flow	Annually	Balance
FY 2016/17		\$5,000,000	100.00%	\$0
			0.00%	\$0
			0.00%	\$0
			0.00%	\$0
			0.00%	\$0
	Total:	\$5,000,000		

AUTHORITY RECOMMENDATION

This section is to be completed by Authority Staff.

Last Updated:	4/20/2016	Resolution. No.	Res. Date:
Project Name:	Replace 14 60-Foot T	rolley Coaches	
Implementing Agency:	San Francisco Munic	pal Transportatio	on Agency
-		Amount	Phase:
Funding Recommended:	Prop K Allocation	\$5,000,000	Procurement (e.g. rolling stock)
	•		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
İ			
	Total:	\$5,000,000	
Notes (e.g., justification for multi-phase	e recommendations,		
notes for multi-EP line item or multi-sp	oonsor		
recommendations):			
	<u> </u>		

Cash Flow Distribution Schedule by Fiscal Year (for entire allocation/appropriation)

Source	Fiscal Year		Maximum Reimbursement	% Reimbursable	Balance
Prop K EP 17	FY 2016/17		\$500,000	10%	\$4,500,000
Prop K EP 17	FY 2017/18		\$4,500,000	90%	\$0
				0%	\$0
				0%	\$0
				0%	\$0
		Total:	\$5,000,000	100%	

Cash Flow Distribution Schedule by Fiscal Year & Phase (for entire allocation/appropriation)

Source	Fiscal Year	Phase	Maximum Reimbursement	Cumulative % Reimbursable	Balance
Prop K EP 17	FY 2016/17	Procurement (e.g. rolling stock)	\$500,000	10%	\$4,500,000
Prop K EP 17	FY 2017/18	Procurement (e.g. rolling stock)	\$4,500,000	100%	\$0
				100%	\$0
				100%	\$0
				100%	\$0
		Total:	\$5,000,000		

		1	
Prop K/Prop AA Fund Expiration Date:	3/31/2020	Eligible expenses must be incurred	prior to this date

AUTHORITY RECOMMENDATION

			This section	is to be complete	ed by Authority	Staff.	
		Last Updated:	4/20/2016	Resolution. No.		Res. Date	2:
		Project Name: F	Replace 14 60-Foot	Trolley Coaches			
		_		·			
	Impleme	nting Agency: S	San Francisco Mun	icipal Transportati	on Agency		
			Action	Amount	Fiscal Year	Phase	
	Future Co	mmitment to:		\$7,023,785	FY 2016/17	Procurement (e.	g. rolling stock)
			Trigger:	Flyer contract. The	FTA TCP funds inder of Contract he SFMTA anticip the MTC-led TC	Amendment No pates that these f	. 1 to the New unds will become
Deliverables:							
	vehic quart	les accepted for	ports shall provide service and total en the requirements	xpenses incurred (not necessarily in	voiced to Prop K	() in the previous
	_		t vehicle into rever e decal with Prop K	-	~ .	tos of the accepto	ed vehicle, with at
Special Condi	tions:						
	\$5,00	0,000 in Fiscal Y	location is conting Year 2015/16 fund mendment for deta	s from Replace 10		•	
	coacl	nes in a state of g	location is conting good repair, include useful lives per F1	ing a mid-life over	tment by the SFN	MTA to maintain allow them to me	the 14 new trolle eet or exceed
			Authority will only : MTA incurs charg		up to the appro	ved overhead mu	ltiplier rate for
Notes:							
	be afi	Exed to equipment the following ded by the San I	tion: A decal identi ent purchased with statement: "This p Francisco County T	Prop K funds. In project was made p	addition, press re possible in part wi	eleases related to th Proposition K	the project should Sales Tax dollars
	-	K funds from the placement vehice	he New and Renov cles only.	rated Vehicles - M	uni Expenditure l	Plan category wil	cover expenses
S	upervisori	al District(s):	Citywide		Prop K proporti expenditures - th Prop AA propor	nis phase:	20.00%
	Sub-p	roject detail?	No	If yes, see next p	expenditures - the age(s) for sub-pro	•	NA

Project # from SGA:

P&PD

SFCTA Project Reviewer:

MAPS AND DRAWINGS





FY of Allocation Action:		rent Prop K Request: nt Prop AA Request:	
Project Name:	Replace 14 60-Foot Tro	lley Coaches	
Implementing Agency:	San Francisco Municipa	Transportation Agence	ey
	Project Manager		Grants Section Contact
Name (typed):	Gary Chang, P.E.		Joel Goldberg
Title:	Project Manager		Manager, Capital Procurement & Mgmt
Phone:	(415) 401-3173		(415) 701-4499
Fax:			(415) 701-4734
Email:	gary.chang@sfmta.com	1	Joel.Goldberg@sfmta.com
Address:	700 Pennsylvania Ave, F 200, San Francisco, CA	0	1 South Van Ness Avenue, 8th floor, San Francisco, CA 94103
Signature:			
Date:			

5-Year Project List (FY 2014/15 - FY 2018/19) Vehicles - Muni (EP 17M) Programming and Allocations to Date Pending 05.24.16

Agency									
11801103	Droiect Name	Dhace	Statue			Fiscal Year			Total
	TOJECT INMINE	riiasc	Status	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	10121
Subcategory	ry								
SFMTA	Historic Vehicle Rehabilitation/Replacement (16 PCC)	PROC	Programmed			\$4,785,063			\$4,785,063
SFMTA	Historic Vehicle Rehabilitation/Replacement (Milan and Vintage)	PROC	Programmed			\$3,304,749			\$3,304,749
SFMTA	Replace 34 Neoplan 40' Motor Coaches and Replace 76- Neoplan 60' Buses ^{2,3}	PROC	Programmed		0\$				0\$
SFMTA	Replace 41 Neoplan 40' Motor Coaches and Replace 48 Neoplan 60' Motor Coaches (2015/16) 3,4	PROC	Programmed		0\$				0\$
SFMTA	61 60-ft Low Floor Diesel Hybrid Motor Coaches (26 replace+35 expand) ²	PROC	Allocated		\$12,352,094				\$12,352,094
SFMTA	48 40-ft and 50 60-ft Low Floor Diesel Hybrid Motor Coaches ³	PROC	Allocated		\$33,405,243				\$33,405,243
SFMTA	Replace 30 Orion 30' Motor Coaches and Replace 56 Orion 40' Motor Coaches (2018/19)	PROC	Programmed					\$26,433,627	\$26,433,627
SFMTA	85 40-ft and 63 60-ft Low-Floor Hybrid Diesel Motor Coaches ⁴	PROC	Allocated		\$47,641,538				\$47,641,538
SFMTA	85 40-ft and 63 60-ft Low-Floor Hybrid Diesel Motor Coaches ⁴	Warranty	Allocated		\$227,462				\$227,462
SFMTA	Motor Coach Replacement Warrany: 30' Motor Coaches (30), 40' Motor Coaches (211), 60' Motor Coaches (124)	Warranty	Programmed		\$150,000				\$150,000
SFMTA	Replace 60 New Flyer 60' Trolley Coaches (2014/15)	PROC	Programmed	\$168,224					\$168,224
SFMTA	Replace 60 New Flyer 60' Trolley Coaches (2014/15)	PROC	Allocated	\$20,831,776					\$20,831,776
SFMTA	Replace 100 ETI 40' Trolley Coaches (2015/16) 4.5	PROC	Programmed		\$37,943,313				\$37,943,313
SFMTA	Replace 14 60-Foot Trolley Coaches ⁵	PROC	Pending		\$5,000,000				\$5,000,000
SFMTA	Replace 33 ETI 60' Trolley Coaches	PROC	Programmed			\$16,111,653			\$16,111,653
SFMTA	Replace 75 ETI 40' Trolley Coaches	PROC	Programmed			\$40,208,302			\$40,208,302
SFMTA	Replace 65 E11 40' Trolley Coaches with 12 60' Trolley Coaches	PROC	Programmed				\$5,858,783		\$5,858,783
SFMTA	Trolley Coach Replacement Warranty: 40' Trolley Coaches (175); 60' Trolley Coaches (105)	Warranty	Programmed			\$150,000			\$150,000
SFMTA	Paratransit Van Replacement: Class B Vehicle (35)	PROC	Programmed					\$931,019	\$931,019
SFMTA	LRV Procurement*	PROC	Allocated	\$60,116,310					\$60,116,310

V		Ē	3			Fiscal Year			H
Agency	rtoject iname	rnase	Status	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	LOIZII
		Progran	Programmed in 5YPP	\$81,116,310	\$136,719,650	\$64,559,767	\$5,858,783	\$27,364,646	\$315,619,156
	Total A	Total Allocated and Pending in 5YPP	nding in 5YPP	\$80,948,086	\$98,626,337	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$179,574,423
		Total Deobli	tal Deobligated in 5YPP	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$
		Total Unallo	tal Unallocated in 5YPP	\$168,224	\$38,093,313	\$64,559,767	\$5,858,783	\$27,364,646	\$136,044,733
	Total Programmed	mmed in 2014 S	l in 2014 Strategic Plan	\$77,536,310	\$136,719,650	\$64,559,767	\$5,858,783	\$27,364,646	\$312,039,156
	Deobligated	Deobligated from Prior 5YPP Cycles **	(PP Cycles **	\$4,721,316					\$4,721,316
	Cumulative Remaining 1		rogramming Capacity	\$1,141,316	\$1,141,316	\$1,141,316	\$1,141,316	\$1,141,316	\$1,141,316

rogrammed ending Allocation/Appropriation

3oard Approved Allocation/Appropriation

Footnotes:

Strategic Plan and comprehensive 5YPP Amendment to accommodate SFWIA's LRV Procurement project (Res. 15-12, 10.21.14).

² Reduced funds for Replace 34 Neoplan 40' Motor Coaches and Replace 76 Neoplan 60' Buses by \$12,352,094 and programmed to Procure 61 60' Low Floor Diesel Hybrid Coaches. (Res. 15-61, 06.23.15)

'5YPP Amendment to accommodate allocation of \$33,405,094 for the Procure 48 40' and 50 60' Low Floor Diesel Hybrid Coaches project (Res. 15-61, 06.23.15):

⁴ SYPP Amendment to accommodate allocation of \$47,869,000 for the 85 40-ft and 63 60-ft Low-Hoor Hybrid Diesel Motor Coaches project (Res. 16-040, 02.23.2016): Replace 34 Neoplan 40' Motor Coaches and Replace 76 Neoplan 60' Buses: Reduced by \$33,113,072 in Fiscal Year 2015/16.

Replace 41 Neoplan 40' Motor Coaches and Replace 48 Neoplan 60' Motor Coaches (2015/16): Reduced by \$37,201,244 in Fiscal Year 2015/16.

Replace 100 ETI 40' Trolley Coaches: Reduced by \$10,667,756 in Fiscal Year 2015/16.

85 40-ft and 63 60-ft Low-Floor Hybrid Diesel Motor Coaches: Added project with \$47,869,000 in Fiscal Year 2015/16 funds.

⁵ SYPP Amendment to accommodate allocation of \$5,000,000 for the Replace 14 60-Foot Trolley Coaches project (Res. 16-XXX, xxxx.2016):

Replace 100 ETI 40' Trolley Coaches (2015/16): Reduced by \$5,000,000 in Fiscal Year 2015/16.

Replace 14 60-Foot Trolley Coaches: Added project with \$5,000,000 in Fiscal Year 2015/16 funds.

5-Year Project List (FY 2014/15 - FY 2018/19) Vehicles - Muni (EP 17M) Cash Flow (\$) Maximum Annual Reimbursement Pending 05.24.16

					Pending 05.24.10	.24.10	į						
Deviset Name	Dhase						Fiscal Year		•	•			Total
110)CC INAILIC	r Hase	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	TOTAL
Subcategory					-	-	-					-	
Historic Vehicle Rehabilitation/Replacement (16 PCC)	PROC			\$1,559,931	\$1,612,566	\$1,612,566							\$4,785,063
Historic Vehicle Rehabilitation/Replacement (Milan and Vintage)	PROC			\$376,742	\$1,457,394	\$1,470,613							\$3,304,749
Replace 34 Neoplan 40' Motor Coaches and Replace 76- Neoplan 60' Buses 2, 3	PROC		0\$	0\$									0\$
Replace 41 Neoplan 40' Motor Coaches and Replace 48 Neoplan 60' Motor Coaches (2015/16) 3, 4	PROC		\$0	\$0									80
61 60-ft Low Floor Diesel Hybrid Motor Coaches (26 replace+35 expand) 2	PROC		\$12,352,094										\$12,352,094
48 40-ft and 50 60-ft Low Floor Diesel Hybrid Motor Coaches 3	PROC		\$17,000,000	\$16,405,243									\$33,405,243
Replace 30 Orion 30' Motor Coaches and Replace 56 Orion 40' Motor Coaches (2018/19)	PROC					\$13,216,814	\$13,216,814						\$26,433,627
85 40-ft and 63 60-ft Low-Floor Hybrid Diesel Motor Coaches 4	PROC		\$429,000	\$39,000,000	\$8,212,538								\$47,641,538
85 40-ft and 63 60-ft Low-Floor Hybrid Diesel Motor Coaches 4	Warranty			\$32,495	\$32,495	\$32,495	\$32,495	\$32,495	\$32,495	\$32,495			\$227,462
Motor Coach Replacement Warranty: 30' Motor Coaches (30), 40' Motor Coaches (211), 60' Motor Coaches (124)	Warranty		\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$150,000
Replace 60 New Flyer 60' Trolley Coaches (2014/15)	PROC	0\$	\$168,224										\$168,224
Replace 60 New Flyer 60' Trolley Coaches (2014/15)	PROC	\$2,100,000	\$12,800,000	\$5,931,776									\$20,831,776
Replace 100 ETI 40' Trolley Coaches (2015/16) 4, 5	PROC		\$0.00	\$17,034,996	\$20,908,317								\$37,943,313
Replace 14 60-Foot Trolley Coaches 5	PROC			\$500,000	\$4,500,000								\$5,000,000
Replace 33 ETI 60' Trolley Coaches	PROC			\$8,055,827	\$8,055,827								\$16,111,653
Replace 75 ETI 40' Trolley Coaches Replace 65 ETI 40' Trolley Coaches with 12 60' Trolley	PROC			\$15,548,550	\$24,659,752								\$40,208,302
Coaches	PROC				\$2,929,392	\$2,929,392							\$5,858,783
Trolley Coach Replacement Warranty: 40' Trolley Coaches (175), 60' Trolley Coaches (105)	Warranty			\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$150,000
Paratransit Van Replacement: Class B Vehicle (35)	PROC					\$931,019							\$931,019
LRV Procurement*	PROC						\$382,369	\$2,965,510	\$8,388,642	\$9,784,631	\$10,075,544	\$10,128,849	\$60,116,310

Date: One	Dlagge						Fiscal Year						T. 2421
rtoject iname	rnase	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	IOTAI
Cash Flow Programmed in 5YPP \$2,100,000 \$42,764,318	d in 5YPP	\$2,100,000	\$42,764,318	\$104,475,560	\$72,398,279	\$20,222,898	\$13,661,677	\$3,028,005	\$8,451,137	\$9,847,126	\$10,105,544	\$10,158,849	\$315,619,156
Total Cash Flow Allocated \$2,100,000	Allocated	\$2,100,000	\$42,581,094	\$61,869,514	\$12,745,032	\$32,495	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$119,328,135
Total Cash Flow Deobligated	eobligated	0\$	\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$0
Total Cash Flow Unallocated	nallocated	0\$	\$183,224	\$42,606,046	\$59,653,247	\$20,190,403	\$13,661,677	\$3,028,005	\$8,451,137	\$9,847,126	\$10,105,544	\$10,158,849	\$196,291,021
Total Cash Flow in 2014 Strategic Plan \$2,100,000 \$71,652,615	tegic Plan	\$2,100,000	\$71.652.615	\$88,494,768	\$59.653.247	\$20.190.403	\$10.049.183	\$2.995.510	\$8.418.642	\$9.814.631	\$10.105.544	\$10.158.849	\$312.039.156
Deobligated from Prior 5YPP Cycles ** \$4,721,31	Cycles **	\$4,721,316						_			-		\$4,721,316
Cumulative Remaining Cash Flow Capacity	Capacity	\$4,721,316	\$4,721,316 \$33,609,613	\$17,628,821	\$4,883,789	\$4,851,294	\$1,238,800	\$1,206,305	\$1,173,811	\$1,141,316	\$1,141,316	\$1,141,316	\$1,141,316

Programmed
Pending Allocation/Appropriation
Board Approved Allocation/Appropriation



	•
FY of Allocation Action:	2015/16
Project Name:	Burke Facility Renovation
Implementing Agency:	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
I	EXPENDITURE PLAN INFORMATION
Prop K EP Project/Program:	b.1 Facilities-Rehabilitation, upgrade and replacement of existing facilities
Prop K EP Line Number (Primary):	20 Current Prop K Request: \$ 4,400,000
Prop K Other EP Line Numbers:	
Prop AA Category:	Transit Reliability and Mobility Improvements
	Current Prop AA Request: \$ -
	Supervisorial District(s): 10

SCOPE

Sufficient scope detail should be provided to allow Authority staff to evaluate the reasonableness of the proposed budget and schedule. If there are prior allocations for the same project, provide an update on progress. Describe any outreach activities included in the scope. Long scopes may be provided in a separate Word file. Maps.

If a project is not already name Project sponsors shall provide a brief explanation of how the project was prioritized for funding, highlighting: 1) project benefits, 2) level of public input into the prioritization process, and 3) whether the project is included in any adopted plans, including Prop K/Prop AA 5-Year Prioritization Program (5YPPs). Justify any inconsistencies with the adopted Prop K/Prop AA Strategic Plans and/or relevant 5YPPs.

Indicate whether work is to be performed by outside consultants and/or by force account.

The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) requests \$4,400,000 in Prop K funds for the planning and design phases of a project to renovate a 45 year-old pre-engineered industrial building at 1570-1580 Burke Avenue currently housing the Central Warehouse for the SFMTA Materials Management Section. The scope of work will renovate the existing building including any needed seismic or structural work and include tenant improvements to house the SFMTA Overhead Lines Section. The goal of the project is to relocate Overhead Lines to support their mission to respond to electric trolley service interruptions, maintenance and any unsafe conditions of the overhead electric power lines. The project will also improve, through investment in improved shelving systems and inventory management systems, the capacity of Central Warehouse to carry out its mission.

Background

Project will rehabilitate the 103,231 square feet of the SFMTA Burke Facility, constructed in1969 and purchased by the SFMTA in 2005 using federal and Prop K funds with the intention of renovating it to be a modern facility to house SFMTA's overhead lines maintenance functions and increase parts storage efficiency.

The former 1968 canned goods storage warehouse was converted to a United States Postal Services processing facility in 1989. The property was purchased in 2005 for the SFMTA. It was found to be suitable for SFMTA user needs and in conformity with the SF General Plan. After the property was purchased, the SFMTA moved a significant portion of its storage activities into the building. Currently, the site operates daily parts distribution and maintains the SFMTA's inventory levels. The warehouse also acts as an overflow storage space. The SFMTA Materials Management Section is now utilizing the west wing and other significant portions of the building. The building footprint is 103,231 square feet. The full property area is 105,000 square feet with a portion at the front of the building set back from the sidewalk a distance of 20 feet by 100 feet for parking spaces, access to several doors, and utilities. An electricity transformer is located in this area and two exterior concrete wheelchair access ramps rising 4 to 6 inches from paved grade to the door thresholds at building floor level. The majority of the building is built to the property lines.

The SFMTA's Real Estate and Facilities Vision, completed in 2013, found significant potential for increased efficiency at Burke, which is the SFMTA's central parts storage warehouse. In addition, the SFMTA completed a Facilities Condition Assessment in early 2016, identifying a program of \$2.5 million in improvements needed to continue its current operations. The Board of Supervisors recently approved a plan to move the City's Animal Care and Control (ACC) department into the building at 1401 Bryant Street currently occupied by the Overhead Lines group, and to proceed with its earlier plan to relocate Overhead Lines to the Burke facility. The SFMTA must complete the relocation of Overhead Lines by May 2018 to allow for the remodeling necessary to house ACC, requiring a highly compressed schedule for the Burke Facility Renovation project.

Scope

Rehabilitation of the Burke Avenue facility will include new: roof, building cladding, insulation, foundation improvements, lighting, heating, air conditioning, staff kitchens, training rooms, restrooms, and other interior improvements. Approximately 50,000 square feet of the remodeled facility will be assigned to the Overhead Lines Group. The remaining square footage will be modernized and optimized to serve as the central store of parts and supplies for the SFMTA. The major functions of the rehabilitated facility will be to store and distribute parts used at various SFMTA vehicle maintenance facilities, house shops required for the overhead lines maintenance crew and create sufficient parking for heavy duty equipment and vehicles.

Based on recent facility evaluations, existing defects or limitations at the Burke facility include poor lighting, older and leaky roof, lack of insulation, bowed concrete slabs, inadequate heating and cooling, rusted building exterior and roof leaks. The proposed facility improvements will enhance SFMTA's operational performance and safety by relocating overhead lines personnel, parts and equipment into a seismically safe building. Current accommodations are located in an older brick building at 1401 Bryant Street. Other benefits include more efficient use of space for parts storage and distribution and improved working conditions.

Project Delivery Method

The project requires phased construction within a facility that must maintain continuous operations. San Francisco Public Works (SFPW) will provide design services (with assistance from consultants) and contract and construction management services. The project plan for the Burke Renovation is based on procuring a construction manager/general contractor (CMGC) for the prime contract. Early in the design development phase SFPW will procure the CMGC and award a professional services contract for pre-construction services. This benefits the project by leveraging the expertise of the general contractor who will actually perform the work, while allowing the SFMTA and SFPW managers to exercise control of the design and the way the phased construction work will affect facility operations.

Select components of the project will be delivered by design/build subcontractors. The CMGC will prepare bid packages for the trade subcontractors based on construction documents prepared by SFPW. SFPW and SFMTA will review the trade bid packages for conformance with project requirements prior to advertising. Upon completion of SFPW and the CMGC review of subcontract bids, SFPW will authorize the CMGC to award subcontracts to the lowest responsible responsive bidders.

					FY	2015/16				
Project Name: B	burke Faci	lity Renovati	on							
Implementing Agency:	an Franci	sco Municipa	al Transportation	n Ager	ncy					
E	VVIRON	MENTAL	CLEARANCE							
	BD Jot yet sta	rted		e: W	FMTA antic nvironmenta vill entail a C	al clearance				
_				E	Exemption					
Enter dates for ALL project phases,			MILESTONE							
Use 1, 2, 3, 4 to denote quarters and XX be provided in the text box below.										
		Sta	rt Date		En	d Date				
		Quarter	Fiscal Year		Quarter	Fiscal Year				
Planning/Conceptual Engineering		3	FY 2015/16		2	FY 2016/17				
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)		4	FY 2015/16		3	FY 2016/17				
R/W Activities/Acquisition										
Design Engineering (PS&E)		1	FY 2016/17		3	FY 2016/17				
Prepare Bid Documents		2	FY 2016/17		3	FY 2016/17				
Advertise Construction		1	FY 2016/17		4	FY 2016/17				
	t)		,			1 1 2010/17				
Start Construction (e.g., Award Contract	/	1	FY 2016/17			112010/11				
Start Construction (e.g., Award Contract Procurement (e.g. rolling stock)	,	1				11 2010/11				
, -	,	1			1	FY 2018/19				

SCHEDULE COORDINATION/NOTES

Provide project delivery milestones for each sub-project in the current request and a schedule for public involvement, if appropriate. For planning efforts, provide start/end dates by task here or in the scope (Tab 1). Describe coordination with other project schedules or external deadlines (e.g., obligation deadlines) that impact the project schedule, if relevant.

The schedule for advertising includes both CMGC selection, which happens early in design phase so prime contractor can provide input, and bidding out the trade subcontractors, which happens after 100% design.

See project schedule attachment, next page.

Schedule Attachment

					۲	Spuel	Calandar Vaare	Ų				
·		9					1	,			3	
Task	•	2016	او		Ì	20	2017			20	2018	
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
SFMTA 1570 Burke Facility Renovation 3-Phase Construction; Completion target: 7/1/18												
Concept Design, SFMTA select preferred scenario												
Submit Preliminary Project Assessment and Environmental Evaluation to Planning Department	×	x —										
Complete CEQA Categorical Exemption												
Schematic Design, Design Development, Construction Documents												
Permits												
Hire CMGC; CMGC Preconstruction Services; Bid Trade Pkgs												
Construction Phase 1 - 5 Mos.												
Construction Phase 2 - 4 Mos.												
Construction Phase 3 - 4 Mos.												
Moves (Materials Management activites to different parts of the Burke facility for different phases of construction and Overhead Lines group from Bryant to Burke)												

FY	2015/	16
1 1	4013/	10

Project Name: Burke Facility Renovation

Implementing Agency: San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

COST SUMMARY BY PHASE - CURRENT REQUEST

Allocations will generally be for one phase only. Multi-phase allocations will be considered on a case-by-case basis.

Enter the total cost for the phase or partial (but useful segment) phase (e.g. Islais Creek Phase 1 construction) covered by the CURRENT funding request.

Planning/Conceptual Engineering Environmental Studies (PA&ED) Design Engineering (PS&E) R/W Activities/Acquisition Construction Procurement (e.g. rolling stock)

Yes/No
Yes
Yes

	Cost f	or Cu	rrent Reques	t/Phase
		F	Prop K -	Prop AA -
Total C	Cost	Curre	ent Request	Current Request
\$ 6	10,000	\$	470,000	
\$ 3,9	40,000	\$	3,930,000	
\$ 4,5	50,000	\$	4,400,000	\$ -

COST SUMMARY BY PHASE - ENTIRE PROJECT

Show total cost for ALL project phases based on best available information. **Source of cost estimate** (e.g. 35% design, vendor quote) is intended to help gauge the quality of the cost estimate, which should improve in reliability the farther along a project is in its development.

Planning/Conceptual Engineering Environmental Studies (PA&ED) Design Engineering (PS&E) R/W Activities/Acquisition Construction Procurement (e.g. rolling stock) Total Cost

\$ 610,000

\$ 3,940,000

\$ 25,450,000

Total: \$ 30,000,000

Source of Cost Estimate
Department of Public Works/Pre-Development Report
Department of Public Works/Pre-Development Report
Department of Public Works/Pre-Development Report

% Complete of Design: Expected Useful Life: 0 30 Years

as of

4/12/16

MAJOR LINE ITEM BUDGET

- 1. Provide a major line item budget, with subtotals by task and phase. More detail is required the farther along the project is in the development phase. Planning studies should provide task-level budget information.
- 2. Requests for project development should include preliminary estimates for later phases such as construction.
- 3. Support costs and contingencies should be called out in each phase, as appropriate. Provide both dollar amounts and % (e.g. % of construction) for support costs and contingencies.
- 4. For work to be performed by agency staff rather than consultants, provide base rate, overhead multiplier, and fully burdened rates by position with FTE (full-time equivalent) ratio. A sample format is provided below.
- 5. For construction costs, please include budget details. A sample format is provided below. Please note if work will be performed through a contract.
- 6. For any contract work, please provide the LBE/SBE/DBE goals as applicable to the contract.

BURKE RENOVATION PROJECT SUMMARY BY T	ASI	K						
Task		Totals	SFMTA	F	Public Works	Co	onsultants & CMGC	% of Request
Perform Geotechnical Investigation	\$	190,000	\$ 20,000	\$	50,000	\$	120,000	4.3%
Perform Structural Investigation Existing								
Building Members and Foundations	\$	270,000	\$ 20,000	\$	50,000	\$	200,000	6.1%
Develop CMGC Criteria Package *	\$	430,000	\$ 30,000	\$	200,000	\$	200,000	9.8%
Develop 35% Design for CMGC contractor	\$	995,000	\$ 100,000	\$	395,000	\$	500,000	22.6%
Develop 100% Design for CMGC Contractor	\$	1,850,315	\$ 226,909	\$	540,343	\$	1,083,063	42.1%
Environmental and Regulatory Approvals (Permits, etc.)	\$	170,000						3.9%
Phase Contigency (Pre-Engineering/Detail Design)	\$	494,685						11.2%
TOTAL	\$	4,400,000	\$ 396,909	\$	1,235,343	\$	2,103,063	

^{*} SFPW anticipates enlisting its on-call architectural/engineering consultant to help develop the CMGC criteria package

MFB = Mandatory Fringe Benefits, FTE = Full Time Equivalent

BURKE RENOVATION PROJECT LABOR DETAIL	_ _							
Position		burdened Salary	MFB	Overhead = 1.143 * (Salary + MFB)	Burdened Salary	FTE Ratio	Hours	Cost
SFMTA Staff								
Associate Engineer (5207)	\$	126,443	\$ 65,969	219,927	\$ 412,340	0.250	520	\$ 103,085
Senior Engineer (5211)	\$	169,430	\$ 83,434	289,023	\$ 541,887	0.077	160	\$ 41,684
Project Manager II (5504)	\$	156,959	\$ 78,357	268,966	\$ 504,281	0.500	1040	\$ 252,141
Subtotal SFMTA CP&C Division Labor	•			•	 '		1720	\$ 396,909

Position		ourdened Salary	MFB	Overhead = .73564 * (Salary + MFB)	Burdened Salary	FTE Ratio	Hours	Cost
Public Works Staff Estimate								
Associate Engineer (5207)	\$	126,443	\$ 65,969	141,558	\$ 333,970	0.500	1040	\$ 166,985
Senior Engineer (5211)	\$	169,430	\$ 83,434	186,032	\$ 438,896	0.173	360	\$ 75,963
Architectural Assoicate I (5207)	\$	103,116	\$ 53,799	115,442	\$ 272,357	1.000	2080	\$ 272,357
Architectural Associate II (5266)	\$	120,042	\$ 62,629	134,391	\$ 317,063	1.000	2080	\$ 317,063
Architect (5268)	\$	138,970	\$ 72,505	155,582	\$ 367,056	0.500	1040	\$ 183,528
Senior Architect (5211)	\$	169,430	\$ 83,434	186,032	\$ 438,896	0.500	1040	\$ 219,448
Subtotal SFMTA CP&C Division Labor	•				•		6240	\$ 1,235,343

TOTAL CURRENT SFMTA PROP K REQUEST (ROUNDED)

	Total Prop K Request: \$	4,400,000
OTHER PROJECT PHASES NOT PART OF CURRENT PROP K REQUEST		
Planning Phase/Building Program	\$	150,000
Construction Contract Estimate	\$	19,600,000
Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment	\$	600,000
Moving Expenses	\$	400,000
Construction Management	\$	920,000
Construction Phase/Market Contingency (18.3%)	\$	3,930,000

PROJECT TOTAL \$ 30,000,000

	FY 2015/16
Project Name: Burke Facility Renovation	
FUNDING PLAN - FOR CURRENT PROP K R	EQUEST
Prop K Funds Requested: \$4,400,000]
5-Year Prioritization Program Amount: \$0	(enter if appropriate)
FUNDING PLAN - FOR CURRENT PROP AA R	EQUEST
Prop AA Funds Requested: \$0	
5-Year Prioritization Program Amount:	(enter if appropriate)
If the amount requested is inconsistent (e.g., greater than) with the Prop K/Prop AA Prioritization Program (5YPP), provide a justification in the space below including a dor projects will be deleted, deferred, etc. to accommodate the current request and main Strategic Plan annual programming levels.	etailed explanation of which other project

Funding the subject request requires an amendment to the Facilities - Muni 5YPP to reprogram \$1,903,327 in FY2014/15 funds from the Implementation of Various Facility Plans: Placeholder and \$2,596,673 from cumulative remaining programming capacity to the subject project. See attached 5YPP amendment for details.

Enter the funding plan for the phase or phases for which Prop K/Prop AA funds are currently being requested. Totals should match those shown on the Cost worksheet.

Fund Source	Planned	Programmed	Allocated	Total
Prop K	\$4,400,000			\$4,400,000
SFMTA operating		\$150,000		\$150,000
				\$0
				\$0
				\$0
				\$0
Total:	\$4,550,000	\$0	\$0	\$4,550,000

Actual Prop K Leveraging - This Phase: Expected Prop K Leveraging per Expenditure Plan

3.30%
89.66%

\$4,550,000 Total from Cost worksheet

Īs	Prop	K	/Prot	n A A	providing	local	match	funds	for a	state or	federal	grant?
10	1100	1 2	/ 1 10	J 1111	providing	1000	IIIMICII	Iuliuo	IOI a	State OI	rcacrai	. SIMIL.

О

	Required	Required Local Match		
Fund Source	\$ Amount	%	\$	

FUNDING PLAN - FOR ENTIRE PROJECT (ALL PHASES)

Enter the funding plan for all phases (environmental studies through construction) of the project. This section may be left blank if the current request covers all project phases. Totals should match those shown on the Cost worksheet.

Fund Source	Planned	Programmed	Allocated	Total
Prop K	\$4,400,000			\$4,400,000
SFMTA Operating Funds		\$150,000		\$150,000
Prop A General Obligation Bond		\$25,450,000		\$25,450,000
				\$0
				\$0
				\$0
Т	otal:	\$25,600,000	\$30,000,000	\$ 30,000,000

Actual Prop K Leveraging - Entire Project: Expected Prop K Leveraging per Expenditure Plan: Actual Prop AA Leveraging - Entire Project:

	85.33%
	89.66%
NA	

\$ 30,000,000 Total from Cost worksheet

FISCAL YEAR CASH FLOW DISTRIBUTION FOR CURRENT PROP K REQUEST

Use the table below to enter the proposed cash flow distribution schedule (e.g. the maximum Prop K/Prop AA funds that are guaranteed to be available for reimbursement each fiscal year) for the current request. If the schedule is more aggressive than the Prop K/Prop AA Strategic Plan and/or 5YPP, please explain in the text box below how cash flow for other projects and programs will be slowed down to accommodate the current request without exceeding annual cash flow assumptions made in the Strategic Plan.

Prop K Funds Requested:

\$4,400,000

rop it i unas requestea.			Ψ1,100,000	
Sponsor Req	uest - Proposed	Prop K Cash Flow	Distribution Sched	lule
E:1 V			% Reimbursed	
Fiscal Year		Cash Flow	Annually	Balance
FY 2015/16		\$500,000	11.00%	\$3,900,000
FY 2016/17		\$3,900,000	89.00%	\$0
			0.00%	\$0
			0.00%	\$0
			0.00%	\$0
	Total:	\$4,400,000		

AUTHORITY RECOMMENDATION

This section is to be completed by Authority Staff.

Last Updated:	4/21/2016	Resolution. No.	Res. Date:	
Project Name:	Burke Facility Renov	vation		
Implementing Agency:	San Francisco Muni	cipal Transportatio	on Agency	
		Amount	Phase:	
Funding Recommended:	Prop K Allocation	\$470,000	Planning/Conceptual Engineering	
_	Prop K Allocation	\$3,930,000	Design Engineering (PS&E)	
	Total:	\$4,400,000		
Notes (e.g., justification for multi-phase r notes for multi-EP line item or multi-spo recommendations):		Multi-phase allocation is recommended given the overlapping schedules of the phases and the compressed schedule for the project as a whole.		

Cash Flow Distribution Schedule by Fiscal Year (for entire allocation/appropriation)

Source	Fiscal Year		Maximum Reimbursement	% Reimbursable	Balance
Prop K EP 20	FY 2015/16		\$500,000	11%	\$3,900,000
Prop K EP 20	FY 2016/17		\$3,900,000	89%	\$0
				0%	\$0
				0%	\$0
				0%	\$0
		Total:	\$4,400,000	100%	

Cash Flow Distribution Schedule by Fiscal Year & Phase (for entire allocation/appropriation)

Source	Fiscal Year	Phase	Maximum Reimbursement	Cumulative % Reimbursable	Balance
Prop K EP 20	FY 2015/16	Planning/Conceptual Engineering	\$470,000	11%	\$3,930,000
Prop K EP 20	FY 2015/16	Design Engineering (PS&E)	\$30,000	11%	\$3,900,000
Prop K EP 20	FY 2016/17	Design Engineering (PS&E)	\$3,900,000	100%	\$0
				100%	\$0
				100%	\$0
Total:			\$4,400,000		


Prop K/Prop AA Fund Expiration Date: 9/30/2017 Eligible expenses must be incurred prior to this date.

AUTHORITY RECOMMENDATION


						This	section	is to l	oe comp	plete	d by	Auth	ority	Staff.				
		L	ast Up	dated:		4/21/2	2016	Res	solution.	No.]	Res. Da	ite:		
		P	roject	Name	Burk	ke Faci	lity Reno	ovatio	ı									
	Im	plemen	ting A	gency:	San	Franci	sco Mun	iicipal	Transpo	ortatio	on Ag	gency						
						Acti	on	,	Amount	t	F	iscal Y	/ear	Phase	2			
	Futi	ure Con	nmitm	ent to:	:		Trigger:	<u> </u>										
							riiggei.											
Deliverables:																		
	1.	milesto	nes, ir	ncludir	ng 35%	% desig	rogress r gn, 65% t Agreen	design	n and 10	0% d	desigr	n in ad	dition	to all o				roject
	2.			-			erview o ory Com			_	gram :	at an u	pcom	ing Plar	ns and I	Progr	rams	
	3.						gn (antici See Spec					provid	le upc	lated sco	ope, scł	nedu	le, maj	or line
	4.	Upon 1	oroject	t comp	oletion	ı, prov	ide evide	ence o	f compl	etion	of 10	00% d	esign	(e.g. cop	y of ce	rtific	ations	page).
Special Condit	tions	:																
	1.	\$1,903	,327 in ,673 fr	FY 20	014/1 ımulat	5 func	conting ls from t maining	the Im	plement	tation	n of V	Various	s Facil	ity Plan	s: Place	hold	ler and	
	2.	-					or its Pro		_			_			-	-		
	3.	release	s the f	unds p	endir	ng rece	design ar ipt of up icipated	dated	scope, s	sched	lule, r	major l	ine ite	m budg				rity staff an on
Notes:																		
	1.	adverti indicat	semen ed tha	t of c o t it wa	ontrac nts to	ts func adver	st that thed with tise the (ect by M	Prop	K prior	to all	locati	on by	the A	uthority	Board.	. The	e SFM'	ΓA has
S	uper	visorial	Distr	rict(s):		10					expe Prop	АА р	es - th	on of is phase tion of is phase			96.7	0% NA
		Sub-pro	oject (letail?	•	Ye	s	If ye	s, see ne	ext pa	ıge(s)	for su	b-pro	ject deta	uil.			
SF	СТА	Projec	t Rev	iewer:	:	P&F	D]		Proj	ject ‡	# from	SGA	:				

	т.	T٦	- 11			·т	١,	-	x 7	т	٠.			٦,	$\overline{}$. 7			Æ			т.	•		, ,	•	r 4	$\overline{}$	•	т
Α	١ı)		-	•) H	ΚI	Т	Y	·	₹	н	ď			ж	И	I۱	И	н	'n	v	Н.) P	١		К		1	J

		This section is to be complete	d by Authority S	taff.	
	Last Updated	d: 4/21/2016 Resolution. No.		Res. Date:	
	Project Name	e: Burke Facility Renovation			
T	1	C E : M :: 175	<u> </u>		
11	mplementing Agency	y: San Francisco Municipal Transportation	on Agency		
		SUB-PROJECT DETAIL			
Sub Dusings # from	SCA.	Namo	1570 D. d.o A	. Essilita Domonatio	Dlamaina
Sub-Project # from	SGA:	Supervisorial District(s):	1570 Burke Avenue	10	n - Planning
Cash Flow Distrib	oution Schedule by	Fiscal Year & Phase (for entire alloca			
	T	,	Maximum	Cumulative %	
Source	Fiscal Year	Phase	Reimbursement	Reimbursable	Balance
Prop K EP 20	FY 2015/16	Planning/Conceptual Engineering	\$470,000	100%	\$0
				100%	\$0
				100%	\$0
		<u> </u>		100%	\$0
		Total:	\$470,000		
Sub-Project # from	SGA:		1570 Burke Avenue	: Facility Renovatio	n - Design
Cook Flore Distrik	hartion Cobodulo bar	Supervisorial District(s):			
Cash Flow Distric	The schedule by	Fiscal Year & Phase (for entire alloca	Maximum	Cumulative %	
EP Line	Fiscal Year	Phase	Reimbursement	Reimbursable	Balance
Prop K EP 20	FY 2015/16	Design Engineering (PS&E)	\$30,000	1%	\$3,900,000
Prop K EP 20	FY 2016/17	Design Engineering (PS&E)	\$3,900,000	100%	\$0
				100%	\$0
				100%	\$0
	·	Total:	\$3,930,000		



SFMTA Facilities Locations



FY of Allocation Action:	2015/16 Current Prop K Request: Current Prop AA Request:	
Project Name:	Burke Facility Renovation	
Implementing Agency:	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Ag	rency
	Project Manager	Grants Section Contact
Name (typed):	David Greenaway	Joel Goldberg
Title:	Project Manager	Manager, Capital Procurement & Management
Phone:	415-701-4237	415-701-4499
Fax:		
Email:	david.greenaway@sfmta.com	joel.goldberg@sfmta.com

Address: 1 South Van Ness Ave, 3rd floor

1 South Van Ness Ave, 8th floor

Page 1 of 3

5-Year Project List (FY 2014/15 – FY 2018/19) Rehab/Upgrade Existing Facilities - MUNI (EP 20M)

Programming and Allocations to Date Pending 5/24/16 Board Action

			Pending 5/24/	Pending 5/24/16 Board Action					
						Fiscal Year			
Agency	Project Name	Phase	Status	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	Total
Subcategory									
SFMTA	Various Facility Plans Predevelopment	PLAN/CER, PA&ED	Programmed	\$400,000					\$400,000
SFMTA	Implementation of Various Facility Plans ⁵	PS&E, CON	Programmed	\$1,096,673					\$1,096,673
SFMTA	1570 Burke Avenue Facility Renovation ⁵	PS&E	Pending		\$4,400,000				\$4,400,000
SFMTA	Paint Booth Upgrade (Woods and Potrero)	PLAN/CER, PA&ED	Programmed	\$850,000					\$850,000
SFMTA	Muni Metro East Paint and Body Shop ¹	PLAN/CER, PA&ED	Programmed	\$3,428,500					\$3,428,500
SFMTA	Muni Metro East (MME) Phase 2 ¹	PA&ED	Allocated	\$2,598,500					\$2,598,500
SFMTA	Woods Renovation Hoists and Bays ^{2, 4}	PLAN/CER	Programmed	\$4,132,826					\$4,132,826
SFMTA	Fall Protection Systems - Presidio Division ⁴	CON	Allocated		\$706,397				\$706,397
SFMTA	Upgrade Life and Fire Safety Systems	PLAN/CER	Programmed	0\$					0\$
SFMTA	Upgrade Life and Fire Safety Systems 3	PS&E	Allocated		\$400,000				\$400,000
SFMTA	Fall Protection ²	PLAN/CER, PS&E	Allocated	\$2,160,777					\$2,160,777
		Prog	Programmed in 5YPP	\$14,667,276	\$5,506,397	\$0	\$	\$0	\$20,173,673
	T	Total Allocated and Pending in 5YPP	Pending in 5YPP	\$4,759,277	\$5,506,397	\$0	8	0\$	\$10,265,674
		Total Dec	Total Deobligated in 5YPP	80	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		Total Un	Total Unallocated in 5YPP	\$9,907,999	\$0	\$0	\$0	80	\$9,907,999
	Total	Total Programmed in 2014 Strategic Plan	114 Strategic Plan	\$17,277,000	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$17,277,000
	Dec	Deobligated from Prior 5YPP Cycles **	r 5YPP Cycles **	\$2,996,673					\$2,996,673
	Cumulative	Cumulative Remaining Programming Capacity	umming Capacity	\$5,606,397	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000
J									

Programmed

Page 2 of 3

5-Year Project List (FY 2014/15 – FY 2018/19) Rehab/Upgrade Existing Facilities - MUNI (EP 20M) Cash Flow (\$) Maximum Annual Reimbursement

				Fiscal Year	ear			
Project Name	Phase	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	Total
Subcategory								
Various Facility Plans Predevelopment	PLAN/CER, PA&ED	\$400,000						\$400,000
Implementation of Various Facility Plans 5	PS&E, CON	0\$	\$96,673	\$1,000,000				\$1,096,673
1570 Burke Avenue Facility Renovation 5	PS&E			\$4,400,000				\$4,400,000
Paint Booth Upgrade (Woods and Potrero)	PLAN/CER, PA&ED	\$725,000	\$125,000					\$850,000
Muni Metro East Paint and Body Shop 1	PLAN/CER, PA&ED	\$1,560,500	\$959,000	\$909,000				\$3,428,500
Muni Metro East (MME) Phase 2 1	PA&ED	\$998,500	\$1,600,000					\$2,598,500
Woods Renovation Hoists and Bays 2, 4	PLAN/CER	\$1,498,603	\$1,284,223	\$1,350,000				\$4,132,826
Fall Protection Systems - Presidio Division 4	CON			\$206,397	\$500,000			\$706,397
Upgrade Life and Fire Safety Systems	PLAN/CER	0\$	0\$					\$0
Upgrade Life and Fire Safety Systems 3	PS&E		\$132,000	\$268,000				\$400,000
Fall Protection 2	PLAN/CER, PS&E	\$400,000	\$1,760,777					\$2,160,777
Cash Flow Progr	Cash Flow Programmed in 5YPP	\$5,582,603	\$5,957,673	\$8,133,397	\$500,000	0\$	0\$	\$20,173,673
Total Casi	Total Cash Flow Allocated	\$1,398,500	\$3,492,777	\$4,874,397	\$500,000	0\$	0\$	\$10,265,674
Total Cash F	Total Cash Flow Deobligated	0\$	0\$	80	80	0\$	0\$	0\$
Total Cash I	Total Cash Flow Unallocated	\$4,184,103	\$2,464,896	\$3,259,000	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$9,907,999
Cash Flow Programmed in 2014 Strategic Plan	14 Strategic Plan	\$7,509,000	\$6,509,000	\$3,259,000	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$17,277,000
Deobligated from Prior 5YPP Cycles **	r 5YPP Cycles **	\$2,996,673						\$2,996,673
Cumulative Remaining Cash Flow Capacity	sh Flow Capacity	\$4,923,070	\$5,474,397	\$600,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000
Programmed								
Dending Allocation / Appropriation								

Pending Allocation/Appropriation

Board Approved Allocation/Appropriation

5-Year Project List (FY 2014/15 – FY 2018/19)

Rehab/Upgrade Existing Facilities - MUNI (EP 20M) Programming and Allocations to Date

Pending 5/24/16 Board Action

	Total				
	2018/19				
	2017/18				
Fiscal Year	2016/17				
	2015/16				
2014/15					
	Status				
	Phase				
	Project Name				
	Agency				

Footnotes

To accommodate allocation of \$2,598,500 in FY 14/15 funds for the environmental phase of Muni Metro East (MME) Phase 2 (Res. 15-034, 1.27.15): Muni Metro East Paint and Body Shop: Reduced the planning/environmental placeholder from \$6,027,000 to \$3,428,500.

² 5YPP Amendment to fully fund the planning and design of the Fall Protection Systems project (Res. 15-041, 2.24.15):

Woods Renovation Hoists and Bays: Reduced by \$1,910,777 in FY 2014/15. The SFMTA will identify additional funding for the Woods project through its Capital Improvement Program updated in Spring 2015.

Upgrade Life and Fire Safety Systems placeholder for construction: Reduced by \$250,000.

Fall Protection: Added project with \$2,996,673.

³ 5YPP amendment to fully fund the Upgrade Life and Fire Safety Systems project (Res. 16-040, 2.23.16): Cumulative remaining programming capacity: Reduced by \$400,000.

Upgrade Life and Fire Safety Systems (design): Added project with \$400,000 in FY 2015/16 funds.

Woods Renovation Hoists and Bays: Reduced by \$706,397in FY 2014/15. The SFMIA is reprioritizing planned facilities imporvements as part of its Capital Improvements Program update, anticipated to ⁴ 5YPP amendment to fully fund the Fall Protection Systems - Presidio Division project (Res. 16-047, 3.22.15): be complete in Spring 2016, and the Woods Division project is not expected to move forward.

Fall Protection Systems - Presidio Division: added project with \$706,397 in FY 2015/16 funds for construction.

⁵ 5YPP amendment to fully fund the Burke Facility Renovation (Res. 16-XXX, 5.24.15):

Implementation of Various Facility Plans: Placeholder reduced by \$1,903,327 in FY 2014/15

Cumulative Remaining Programming Capacity: Reduced by \$2,596,673

Burke Facility Renovation: added project with \$4,400,000 in FY 2015/16 funds for planning and design.



FY of Allocation Action:	2015/16							
Project Name:	Arguello Boulevard Near-term Improvements [NTIP Capital]							
Implementing Agency:	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency							
	EXPENDITURE PLAN INFORMATION							
Prop K EP Project/Program:	b. Bicycle Circulation/Safety							
Prop K EP Line Number (Primary):	39 Current Prop K Request: \$ 199,451							
Prop K Other EP Line Numbers:	40							
Prop AA Category:								
	Current Prop AA Request: \$ -							
	Supervisorial District(s): 1							
Scope Sufficient scope detail should be provided to allow Authority staff to evaluate the reasonableness of the proposed budget and								
schedule. If there are prior allocations for the same project, provide an update on progress. Describe any outreach activities included in the scope. Long scopes may be provided in a separate Word file. Maps. If a project is not already name Project sponsors shall provide a brief explanation of how the project was prioritized for funding, highlighting: 1) project benefits, 2) level of public input into the prioritization process, and 3) whether the project is included in any adopted plans, including Prop K/Prop AA 5-Year Prioritization Program (5YPPs). Justify any inconsistencies with the adopted Prop K/Prop AA Strategic Plans and/or relevant 5YPPs. Indicate whether work is to be performed by outside consultants and/or by force account.								
and a commitment to allocate \$78,696								

The SFMTA requests \$199,451 in Prop K Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Programming (NTIP) capital funds and a commitment to allocate \$78,696 in NTIP capital funds to implement bicycle and pedestrian safety upgrades on Arguello Boulevard between Fulton Street and West Pacific Avenue. This project will utilize funds from the NTIP, which is intended to strengthen project pipelines and advance the delivery of community-supported neighborhood-scale projects, especially in Communities of Concern and other neighborhoods with high unmet needs. This project's recommended upgrades are the result of a community engagement process funded by a District 1 NTIP Planning grant.

Background

In March of 2015, the Livable Streets subdivision of the SFMTA received \$100,000 in Prop K NTIP funds to engage the community, the Supervisor's Office and other relevant stakeholders to plan and develop conceptual designs for improvements to bicycle and pedestrian safety on Arguello Boulevard and for people walking and biking to Golden Gate Park from District 1.

As part of this planning process, the project team split the NTIP Planning project into three parts: The Arguello Boulevard Safety Project, the Inner Richmond Neighborhood Greenways Project, and the 23rd Avenue Neighborhood Greenway Project. The Arguello Boulevard Safety Project was based on a background of community outreach and fell under a SF Public Works paving deadline, so this project was selected to begin immediately. The Arguello project team conducted a planning and community engagement process to plan bicycle and pedestrian safety improvements for Arguello Boulevard, which resulted in a final, legislated design for changes to the roadway striping. These paint-only treatments are ready to be implemented as early as funding is approved for implementation. These changes include:

- 1. <u>Buffered Bike Lane</u>: Narrowing wide vehicle travel lanes allows for space to paint a 2 foot buffer alongside the existing bike lanes on Arguello Boulevard. This will further separate and clearly delineate spaces on the street for motor vehicles and bicycles
- 2. <u>Green Paint Treatments</u>: Strategic use of green paint will accentuate the bike lane, increase visibility of the bicycle facility, and discourage cars from driving in/double parking in the bike lane.
- 3. <u>Bike Boxes and Two-Stage Left Turn Boxes</u>: These paint treatments will allow bicycles to make safe turns into and out of side streets and will create a space for bicycles waiting at red lights.
- 4. <u>Daylighting at Intersections</u>: "Daylighting" increases the visibility of pedestrians by removing one parking space on the approach to crosswalks.
- 5. <u>New Continental Crosswalks</u>: Brightly painted continental crosswalks increase pedestrian visibility and improve vehicle yield rates.

Additionally, the project team proposed several concrete pedestrian islands and concrete pedestrian safety bulbouts, which would be constructed along with the Public Works paving project in 2017.

This allocation request would fund the implementation of the paint upgrades and the detailed design of concrete elements proposed by the Arguello Boulevard Safety Project. The SFMTA would seek action on the requested commitment to allocate \$78,696 in Prop K funds for post-paving paint work when SFPW's paving project is ready to proceed with construction.

The Inner Richmond Neighborhood Greenways Project and the 23rd Avenue Neighborhood Greenway Project are moving forward on separate timelines. Implementation of those projects will be handled by future funding requests.

Scope

The scope for this funding request can be divided into two parts, (1) the implementation of paint-only upgrades to the roadway striping on Arguello Boulevard, and the (2) detailed design of concrete elements that will be coordinated with the upcoming public works paving project.

It is the recommendation of the SFMTA that the paint-only upgrades be implemented as soon as possible, in advance of the planned Public Works paving project currently scheduled for 2017. Arguello Boulevard is a Cyclist High Injury Corridor, designated by the SFMTA and the SF Department of Public Health, and these safety improvements will increase safety for people riding bikes and walking along Arguello Boulevard. Only necessary striping changes will be implemented before the paving project, with add-on elements like green and khaki paint treatments to be implemented following the paving project.

1. Implementation of paint upgrades:

- \$188,931 of this funding request will pay for SFMTA crews to grind and restripe the roadway striping on Arguello Boulevard in 2016 to install safety improvements for people walking and biking. These paint changes will not include green or khaki StreetBond paint treatments due to the imminent paving project in 2017.
- Following the paving project in 2017, \$78,696 in future Prop K funding will be used to implement the proposed green and khaki StreetBond paint treatments such as bike boxes, green bike lane treatments, and khaki Painted Safety Zones. SFPW will pay for restriping of all the paint work done in the prior bullet.

2. Detailed Design of concrete upgrades:

- \$10,520 of this funding request will be sent to DPW to pay for the design of pedestrian safety bulbouts and pedestrian safety islands.
- This design work will be done by DPW in coordination with their curb ramp design work as part of the Arguello Boulevard paving project.
- Note: Construction of these concrete elements will be funded via a future funding request.

Tasks and Deliverables

Tasks and deliverables included in the scope of the project include the following, assuming funding is available June 1, 2016:

Ta	sk	Timeline	Deliverable
1.	Create work orders for paint upgrades to Arguello Boulevard and obtain signatures	June 2016	
2.	Grind and restripe Arguello Boulevard	July/August 2016	2-3 digital photos of implemented roadway striping upgrades

Task	Timeline	Deliverable
Detailed design by Public Works of concrete upgrades to be coordinated with 2017 paving project	June-September 2016	Final detailed designs and cost estimates for concrete upgrades

Project Results

Firstly, this project will result in the implementation of paint-only safety improvements this summer for people walking and biking on Arguello Boulevard, as planned by the Arguello Boulevard Safety Project. These upgrades will increase the visibility of pedestrians crossing the street, provide a buffer zone to separate people biking from moving vehicle traffic, provide safe waiting zones for people on bikes making left turns, and address documented collision patterns between motor vehicles and people walking and biking on Arguello Boulevard. Second, this project will pay for Public Works to design concrete improvements along with their 2017 paving project that will increase safety for people walking and biking on Arguello Boulevard. This project would result in approximately 30 fewer parking spaces, or about 2% of parking spaces within one block of Arguello.

Benefits

This project will support the following goals from the SFMTA Strategic Plan:

and make the corridor safer for all roadway users.

- Safety: Create a safer transportation experience for everyone.
 This project will address documented collision patterns and community concerns on Arguello Boulevard
- 2. **Travel Choices**: Make transit, walking, bicycling, taxi, ridesharing and carsharing the most attractive and preferred means of travel.
 - The recommended improvements to Arguello Boulevard will create a safe and comfortable environment for people walking and biking on Arguello Boulevard and will make these modes a more popular and attractive choice on this corridor.
- 3. **Livability**: Improve the environment and quality of life in San Francisco. This project will improve access to recreational opportunities in Golden Gate Park.

Prioritization

The project will be funded with NTIP placeholder funds in the Bicycle Safety and Circulation and Pedestrian Safety and Circulation categories.

Environmental

For Paint Work:

Prior to approval of the project for construction, SFMTA will conduct review under the California Environmental Protection Act (CEQA). SFMTA shall not proceed with the approval of the project for construction until there has been complete compliance with CEQA. Prior to billing for any construction funds, if requested by the Transportation Authority, the SFMTA will provide the Authority with documentation confirming that CEQA review has been completed.

For DPW Design Work:

The scope of work for which funding is being requested is not considered a project or is otherwise exempt from environmental review.

Prior to approval of the project for construction, SFMTA will conduct review under the California Environmental Protection Act (CEQA). SFMTA shall not proceed with the approval of the project for construction until there has been complete compliance with CEQA. Prior to billing for any construction funds, if requested by the Transportation Authority, the SFMTA will provide the Authority with documentation confirming that CEQA review has been completed.

FY 2015/16

Project Name: Arguello Boulevard Near-term Improvements [NTIP Capital]

Implementing Agency: San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE

Type: Categorically Exempt

Status: Pending Completion by 6/1/16

PROJECT DELIVERY MILESTONES

Enter dates for ALL project phases, not just for the current request. Use July 1 as the start of the fiscal year. Use 1, 2, 3, 4 to denote quarters and XXXX/XX for the fiscal year (e.g. 2010/11). Additional schedule detail may be provided in the text box below.

Planning/Conceptual Engineering
Environmental Studies (PA&ED)
R/W Activities/Acquisition
Design Engineering (PS&E)
Prepare Bid Documents
Advertise Construction
Start Construction (e.g., Award Contract)
Procurement (e.g. rolling stock)
Project Completion (i.e., Open for Use)
Project Closeout (i.e., final expenses incurred)

Star	t Date
Quarter	Fiscal Year
4	2014/2015
3	2015/2016
4	2015/2016
1	FY 2016/17

Enc	d Date
Quarter	Fiscal Year
3	2015/2016
4	2015/2016
1	2016/2017
1	2016/2017
2	2016/2017

SCHEDULE COORDINATION/NOTES

Provide project delivery milestones for each sub-project in the current request and a schedule for public involvement, if appropriate. For planning efforts, provide start/end dates by task here or in the scope (Tab 1). Describe coordination with other project schedules or external deadlines (e.g., obligation deadlines) that impact the project schedule, if relevant.

MILESTONES

Work orders for paint upgrade June 2016

Grind and restripe Arguello Boulevard July/August 2016

Project Closeout December 2016

FY 2015/16

Project Name:	Arguello Boulevard Near-term Improver	ments [NTIP Capital]

Implementing Agency: San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

COST SUMMARY BY PHASE - CURRENT REQUEST

Allocations will generally be for one phase only. Multi-phase allocations will be considered on a case-by-case basis.

Enter the total cost for the phase or partial (but useful segment) phase (e.g. Islais Creek Phase 1 construction) covered by the CURRENT funding request.

Planning/Conceptual Engineering Environmental Studies (PA&ED) Design Engineering (PS&E) R/W Activities/Acquisition Construction Procurement (e.g. rolling stock)

Yes/No		
Yes		
Yes		

Cost	Cost for Current Request/Phase					
Total Cost	Prop K - Current Request	Prop AA - Current Request				
\$10,520	\$10,520					
\$ 188,931	\$ 188,931					
\$199,451	\$199,451	\$0				

COST SUMMARY BY PHASE - ENTIRE PROJECT

Show total cost for ALL project phases based on best available information. **Source of cost estimate** (e.g. 35% design, vendor quote) is intended to help gauge the quality of the cost estimate, which should improve in reliability the farther along a project is in its development.

Planning/Conceptual Engineering Environmental Studies (PA&ED) Design Engineering (PS&E) R/W Activities/Acquisition Construction Procurement (e.g. rolling stock) Total Cost

\$ 10,520

\$ 188,931

Total: \$ 199,451

Source of Cost Estimate
SFPW design fee proposals
SFMTA Engineer cost estimate

% Complete of Design: Expected Useful Life: 60 as of 5 Years

4/1/16

MAJOR LINE ITEM BUDGET

- 1. Provide a major line item budget, with subtotals by task and phase. More detail is required the farther along the project is in the development phase. Planning studies should provide task-level budget information.
- 2. Requests for project development should include preliminary estimates for later phases such as construction.
- 3. Support costs and contingencies should be called out in each phase, as appropriate. Provide both dollar amounts and % (e.g. % of construction) for support costs and contingencies.
- 4. For work to be performed by agency staff rather than consultants, provide base rate, overhead multiplier, and fully burdened rates by position with FTE (full-time equivalent) ratio. A sample format is provided below.
- 5. For construction costs, please include budget details. A sample format is provided below. Please note if work will be performed through a contract.
- 6. For any contract work, please provide the LBE/SBE/DBE goals as applicable to the contract.

Summary

Jummury		
Task	Agency	COST
Construction Cost - Grinding	SFPW	\$38,212
Construction Cost - Striping	SFPW	\$115,269
Signs and Meters	SFMTA	\$14,750
Construction Management and Support Subtotal of Implementation	SFMTA	\$20,200 \$188,431
Detailed Design of concrete upgrades Subtotal of Implementation and Design	SFPW	\$10,520 \$198,951
City Attorney Fees	City Attorney	\$500
TOTAL		\$199,451

CONSTRUCTION PHASE

CONSTRUCTION COSTS - GRINDING

Agency: SFPW

Project: Arguello Boulevard Computed by: C.Beck

ITEM #	DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	EXTENSION
1	12" Crosswalk Lines / Stop Bars	189	Lin Ft	\$6.39	\$1,208
2	4" Broken White or Yellow	417	Lin Ft	\$1.82	\$759
3	4" Solid White or Yellow	920	Lin Ft	\$3.20	\$2,944
4	6" Broken White	845	Lin Ft	\$2.63	\$2,222
5	6" Solid White	925	Lin Ft	\$4.00	\$3,700
6	8" Broken White or Yellow	1026	Lin Ft	\$3.60	\$3,694
7	8" Solid White or Yellow	880	Lin Ft	\$4.69	\$4,127
8	Double Yellow	1900	Lin Ft	\$6.27	\$11,913
9	Messages (see page 2)	210	Sq Ft	\$6.08	\$1,277

 Labor:
 \$30,570
 Total:
 \$31,844

 Mat'ls:
 \$7,642
 Added 20% Contingency =
 \$38,212

CONSTRUCTION COSTS - STRIPING

Agency: SFPW

Project: Arguello Boulevard Computed by: C.Beck

ITEM #	DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	EXTENSION
1	12" Crosswalk Lines / Stop Bars	181	Lin Ft	\$6.39	\$1,157
2	4" Broken White or Yellow	312	Lin Ft	\$1.82	\$568
3	4" Solid White or Yellow	636	Lin Ft	\$3.20	\$2,035
4	6" Broken White	1400	Lin Ft	\$2.63	\$3,682
5	6" Solid White	5715	Lin Ft	\$4.00	\$22,860
6	8" Broken White or Yellow	80	Lin Ft	\$3.60	\$288
7	8" Solid White or Yellow	56	Lin Ft	\$4.69	\$263
8	Double Yellow	1800	Lin Ft	\$6.27	\$11,286
	Raised Pavement Markers (White or				
9	Yellow)	197	Each	\$14.66	\$2,886
10	Messages (see page 2)	1035	Sq Ft	\$6.08	\$6,293
11	Bus Zones	360	Lin Ft	\$7.76	\$2,794
12	Color Curb Painting	900	Lin Ft	\$10.21	\$9,189
	Staggered Yellow/White Continental				
13	Crosswalks (see page 3)		Lump Sum	-	\$27,638
14	Green Sharrow Backing - thermoplastic	320	Sq Ft	\$16.00	\$5,120

Total: \$96,058

Added 20% Contingency = \$115,269

PAINT AND SIGN COSTS

Agency: SFMTA

Computed by: C.Beck **Project: Arguello Boulevard**

ITEM #	DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	EXTENSION
1	Meter Change/Modification	8	Each	\$250.00	\$2,000
2	Safe Hit post	40	Each	\$100.00	\$4,000
3	Sign Installation	35	Each	\$250.00	\$8,750

TOTAL \$14,750 includes contingency

CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT AND SUPPORT

Agency: SFMTA

Source: FY 2016 Salaries with FY 2015 Overhead Approved Rate v2

Class		FY16 Salary per	Benefits per	Approved Rate (FY 2015)		· ·	Hourly Rate	Hours	Cost
5203	Assistant Engineer	\$103,246	\$58,644	0.901	\$145,863	\$307,753	\$147.96	40	\$5,918
5207	Associate Engineer	\$120,085	\$65,513	0.901	\$167,225	\$352,824	\$169.63	10	\$1,696
5288	Transit Planner II	\$91,799	\$53,574	0.901	\$130,981	\$276,354	\$132.86	20	\$2,657
5289	Transit Planner III	\$108,942	\$60,633	0.901	\$152,787	\$322,362	\$154.98	50	\$7,749
5290	Transit Planner IV	\$129,182	\$69,498	0.901	\$179,011	\$377,691	\$181.58	12	\$2,179
				•	•				400.000

TOTAL \$20,200

DETAILED DESIGN COSTS

Agency: SFDPW

		Hourly Fully	
Job Class Title	Hours	Burd.	Cost
Assistant Engineer / 5203	66	\$134.06	\$8,848
Division Manager: Senior Engineer/5211	8	\$209.14	\$1,673
SFDPW Labor Subtotal	74		\$10,521

CITY ATTORNEY FEES

Agency: Sf City Attorney

Job Class Title	Hourly Rate	Hours	Cost
City Attorney	\$250	2	\$500
		TOTAL	\$500

	FY 2015/16
Project Name: Arguello Boulevard Near-term Improve	ements [NTIP Capital]
FUNDING PLAN - FOR (CURRENT PROP K REQUEST
Prop K Funds Requested:	\$199,451
5-Year Prioritization Program Amount:	see below (enter if appropriate)
	(11 1 /
FUNDING PLAN - FOR C	URRENT PROP AA REQUEST
Prop AA Funds Requested:	\$0
5-Year Prioritization Program Amount:	(enter if appropriate)
	(care a approximation)
If the amount requested is inconsistent (e.g., greater than) wi	th the Prop K/Prop AA Strategic Plan amount and/or the 5-Year
e ()·1	space below including a detailed explanation of which other project
or projects will be deleted, deferred, etc. to accommodate the	e current request and maintain consistency with the 5YPP and/or

The 5-Year Prioritization Program (5YPP) amount is the amount of Prop K funds available for allocation in Fiscal Year 2015/16 to the subject project in the NTIP Placeholder line in the Bicycle Circulation and Safety 5YPP (\$336,000) and the NTIP Placeholder line in the Pedestrian Circulation and Safety 5YPP (\$1,522,000).

Enter the funding plan for the phase or phases for which Prop K/Prop AA funds are currently being requested. Totals should match those shown on the Cost worksheet.

Fund Source	Planned	Programmed	Allocated	Total
Prop K	\$199,451			\$199,451
				\$0
				\$0
				\$0
Total:	\$199,451	\$0	\$0	\$199,451

Actual Prop K Leveraging - This Phase: Expected Prop K Leveraging per Expenditure Plan

Strategic Plan annual programming levels.

	0.00%
N/A	

\$199,451 Total from Cost worksheet

	•	ransportation Aut cation Request Fo	•	
Is Prop K/Prop AA providing local match fur	nds for a state or f	ederal grant?	No	
		Required	l Local Match	
Fund Source	\$ Amount	9/0	\$	
Enter the funding plan for all phases (environ	mental studies thro		the project. This	
if the current request covers all project phases	1		1	
Fund Source	Planned	Programmed	Allocated	Total
				\$0 \$0
				\$0
				\$0
Total:		\$0	50	\$0 \$0
Actual Prop K Leveraging - Entire Project: Expected Prop K Leveraging per Expenditure F Actual Prop AA Leveraging - Entire Project:	Plan:	#DIV/0! N/A NA		\$ 199,451 Total from Cost workshee
Use the table below to enter the proposed cas guaranteed to be available for reimbursement the Prop K/Prop AA Strategic Plan and/or 5 programs will be slowed down to accommoda the Strategic Plan.	each fiscal year) fo YPP, please explai	or the current request. n in the text box belo	If the schedule in which have the schedule in	is more aggressive than for other projects and
Prop K Funds Requested:		\$199,45	51	
Sponsor Request - Proposed	Prop K Cash Flo			
Fiscal Year	Cash Flow	% Reimbursed Annually	Balance	
FY 2015/16	\$199,45	51 100.00	10%	\$0
		0.00	0%	\$0
		0.00	0%	\$0
		0.00		\$0
Total:	\$199,4	0.00	10%	\$0
	ΨΙΖΖήΤ	_	_	
Prop AA Funds Requested:			50	
Sponsor Request - Proposed	Prop AA Cash Fl	low Distribution Scl % Reimbursed	nedule	
Fiscal Year	Cash Flore	% Reimbursed	_D ,	

Total:

Cash Flow

Annually

#DIV/0! #DIV/0!

#DIV/0!

\$0

Balance

\$199,451

\$199,451 \$199,451

AUTHORITY RECOMMENDATION

This section is to be completed by Authority Staff.

Last Updated:	4/11/2016	Resolution. No.		Res. Date:
Project Name:	Arguello Boulevard	Near-term Improv	vements [NTIP Cap	pital]
Implementing Agency:	San Francisco Muni	cipal Transportatio	on Agency	
•		Amount	P	hase:
Funding Recommended:	Prop K Allocation	\$188,931	C	onstruction
<u> </u>	Prop K Allocation	\$10,520	D	esign Engineering (PS&E)
	Total:	\$199,451		
Notes (e.g., justification for multi-phase r				
notes for multi-EP line item or multi-spo	nsor	A multi-phase allocation is recommended as the work repre		nded as the work represents
recommendations):		two distinct scopes of work with independent utility (e.g. desig		
	concrete bulbs and construction of near-term striping work).			

Cash Flow Distribution Schedule by Fiscal Year (for entire allocation/appropriation)

Source	Fiscal Year		Maximum Reimbursement	% Reimbursable	Balance
Prop K EP 39	FY 2016/17		\$188,931	95.00%	\$10,520
Prop K EP 40	FY 2016/17		\$10,520	5.00%	\$0
				0.00%	\$0
				0.00%	\$0
				0.00%	\$0
		Total:	\$199,451	100%	

Cash Flow Distribution Schedule by Fiscal Year & Phase (for entire allocation/appropriation)

Source	Fiscal Year	Phase	Maximum Reimbursement	Cumulative % Reimbursable	Balance
Prop K EP 39	FY 2016/17	Construction	\$188,931	95%	\$10,520
Prop K EP 40	FY 2016/17	Design Engineering (PS&E)	\$10,520	100%	\$0
				100%	\$0
				100%	\$0
		Total:	\$199,451		

Prop K/Prop AA Fund Expiration Date: 6/30/2017 Eligible expenses must be incurred prior to this date.


AUTHORITY RECOMMENDATION


This section is to be completed by Authority Staff.


	Last Updated:	4/11/2016	Resolution. No.		Res. Date:	
	Project Name: A	Arguello Boulevard	Near-term Impro	vements [NTIP	Capital]	
	Implementing Agency:	San Francisco Muni	icipal Transportati	on Agency		
	Future Commitment to:	Action	Amount \$78,696	Fiscal Year FY 2016/17	Phase Construction	1
	ruture Communent to.		SFPW Arguello p	·	<u> </u>	with
Deliverables:						
	1. For grinding and rest	riping work: Upon	completion, provi	ide 2-3 digital ph	otos of complete	d project.
	2. For detailed design w certifications page).	vork: Upon comple	tion, provide evide	ence of completion	on of 100% desig	n (e.g. copy of
	3.					
Special Condit	tions:					
•	1. The Transportation A the fiscal year that SF2.	•		\ up to the appro	oved overhead mu	lltiplier rate for
	3.					
Notes:						
	1. Quarterly progress re	eports will be shared	d with the District	Supervisor for t	his NTIP project.	
	2.					
S	upervisorial District(s):	1		Prop K proport expenditures - t		100.00%
				Prop AA propo expenditures - t		NA
	Sub-project detail?	Yes	If yes, see next pa	age(s) for sub-pro	oject detail.	
SF	CTA Project Reviewer:	P&PD	Proj	ect # from SGA	.:	
SF	CTA Project Reviewer:	P&PD] Proj	ect # from SGA	::[


AUTHORITY RECOMMENDATION


		I his section i	s to be completed	d by Authority S	staff.	
	Last Update	ed: 4/11/2016	Resolution. No.		Res. Date:	
	Project Nan	ne: Arguello Boulevard	Near-term Impro	vements [NTIP C	Capital]	
Iı	mplementing Agen	cy: San Francisco Mun	icipal Transportati	on Agency		
		SUB-PR	OJECT DETAIL	,		
Sub-Project # from	SGA:		Name:	Arguello Boulevaro Capital] - EP39	d Near-term Impro	ovements [NTIP
Cash Flow Distrib	oution Schedule b	Supervis y Fiscal Year & Phas	sorial District(s):e (for entire alloca		9 on)	
Source	Fiscal Year	Pha	se	Maximum Reimbursement	Cumulative % Reimbursable	Balance
Prop K EP 39	FY 2016/17	Construction		\$188,931	95%	\$10,520
					95%	\$10,520
			Total:	\$188,931		
			_			
Sub-Project # from	SGA:		Name:	Arguello Boulevaro EP40 Design	d Improvements [1	NTIP Capital] -
Supervisorial District(s)			9			
Cash Flow Distrib	oution Schedule b	y Fiscal Year & Phas	e (for entire alloca	tion/appropriatio	n)	
Source	Fiscal Year	Pha	Phase		Cumulative % Reimbursable	Balance
Prop K EP 40	FY 2016/17	Design Engineering	g (PS&E)	\$10,520	100%	\$0
					100%	\$0
			Total:	\$10,520		












Arguello Boulevard Safety Project - Paving Coordination

The below improvements are concrete bulbouts and islands that the SFMTA is seeking to have SFPW design and construct as part of their 2017 paving project. These measures address safety concerns that were identified by the community for people walking across Arguello Boulevard at Cabrillo Street.

The March 2016 NTIP Capital funding request will include \$30,000 to send to DPW to design these concrete traffic calming measures along with their design activities for the 2017 paving project.

Concrete Island and Bulb-outs:

- 1. McAllister, Cabrillo, and Golden Gate Islands
 - Convert 3 painted islands to concrete islands with 6" raised curbs (at McAllister Street, Cabrillo Street, and Golden Gate Avenue)
 - Make design changes to islands where necessary to apply with SF Accessibility guidelines
- 2. Cabrillo Street pedestrian bulb-out
 - · Install a wraparound bulb-out in conjunction with Public Works curb ramp/paving work
 - This location was identified by the community for safety concerns and this bulbout will address key crossing locations of Cabrillo/Arguello



FY of Allocation Action:		Prop K Request: rop AA Request:	
Project Name:	Arguello Boulevard Near-terr	m Improvements	[NTIP Capital]
Implementing Agency:	San Francisco Municipal Tran	nsportation Agend	у
	Project Manager		Grants Section Contact
Name (typed)	: Charlie Ream		Joel Goldberg
Title	:: Planner, Livable Streets		Manager, Capital Procurement & Mgmt
Phone	:: <u>415-701-4695</u>		415-701-4499
Fax	:		
Email	: charlie.ream@sfmta.com		joel.goldberg@sfmta.com
Address	1 South Van Ness, 7th FL, Francisco, CA 94103	San	1 South Van Ness, 8th FL, Sar Francisco, CA 94103
Signature	::		
Date	×		