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## 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The first phase of the Vision Zero Ramp Intersection Study (Study) is a Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Program (NTIP) planning study led by the Transportation Authority, in partnership with the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) and the office of (District 6 City Supervisor and) Transportation Authority Board Commissioner Jane Kim. Commissioner Kim recommended the use of Prop K local transportation sales tax funds from the NTIP program to fund the study. The NTIP is intended to strengthen project pipelines and advance the delivery of community-supported neighborhood-scale projects, especially in Communities of Concern (CoCs) and other underserved neighborhoods and areas with at-risk populations (e.g., seniors, children, and/or people with disabilities).

The preparation of this report has been financed, in part, by grants from the U.S. Department of Transportation. The contents of this report do not necessarily reflect the official views or policy of the U.S. Department of Transportation.
The Study is focused on addressing safety issues at freeway ramp intersections in the San Francisco South of Market (SoMa) neighborhood by proposing design improvements for near-term implementation. The SoMa neighborhood includes more than twenty locations where freeway on- or off-ramps intersect city streets. Many of these intersections are in and around the SoMa Youth and Family Special Use District (SUD). The neighborhood contains several schools, single room occupancy hotels, and senior centers, which attract populations at high risk of injury from traffic collisions. Addressing these collisions is critical to meeting the city's Vision Zero policy goal to end traffic-related deaths by 2024.
For the first phase of the Study, the study teams elected five study intersections based on total collision number rates and where existing planning efforts were not yet addressing safety challenges. The five intersections are:

- I-80 westbound off-ramp at 5th and Harrison streets;
- I-80 eastbound on-ramp at 5th and Bryant streets;
- U.S. 101 southbound on-ramp at 10th and Bryant streets;
- U.S. 101 northbound off-ramp at 9th/Bryant streets; and
- I-80 westbound off-ramp at 8th Street.

These intersections are on the city's Vision Zero High-Injury network, which comprises the 13 percent of streets where over 70 percent of severe injury and fatal collisions occur.
To improve safety at the target intersections quickly, the study team focused on identifying improvements that could be implemented in less than five years, such as curb bulb-outs, leading pedestrian intervals for pedestrian crossing signals, and signal upgrades to reduce conflicts and improve visibility. The study did not include longer-term or corridor-wide analyses and recommendations.

For each of the five intersections, the study team analyzed the traffic collision history between 2011 and 2015 to identify patterns that improvements could address. At least 72 injuries, due to traffic collisions, occurred at Study intersections or on adjacent ramps over this period, including two severe
injuries and five fatalities. While most of the collisions occurred on city streets, all five fatal collisions involved a driver losing control on the ramp or freeway rather than at the intersections themselves. Most collisions (about 60 percent) involved two motor vehicles, while 11 percent involved a vehicle and a bicyclist, and nine percent involved a vehicle and a pedestrian. The most common collision type, overall, was that involving a turning vehicle. For each intersection, the study team identified specific traffic movements and patterns that resulted in collisions.
The team also identified physical conditions at each intersection that may contribute to observed collision patterns. Conditions common to multiple intersections include wide streets with high vehicle volumes and travel speeds; long pedestrian crossing distances; narrow sidewalks; closed crosswalks; queuing vehicles blocking crosswalks; complicated traffic patterns; and limited bicycle infrastructure.

Based on the collision analysis at each intersection, the study team developed safety improvement proposals by applying a toolbox of proven near-term safety treatments, such as:

- Curb bulb-outs (Figure 1) to shorten pedestrian crossing distances and increase pedestrian visibility;
- Leading pedestrian intervals (LPIs) to give pedestrians a head start crossing the street (Figure 2);
- Reopening crosswalks to improve accessibility and reduce pedestrian conflict points;
- PProtected left turn signals to reduce conflicts involving turning traffic;
- Improvingssignal visibility with mast-arms, larger signal heads, and signal heads in additional locations; and
- Wayfinding signage to reduce confusion where there are several lanes allowing turns in multiple directions.

Figure 1: Example of a curb bulb-out


Figure 2: Example of leading pedestrian interval (LPI) signal timing


For each intersection, the study developed design concept drawings to illustrate proposed improvements. At all intersections, the recommendations include pedestrian curb bulb-outs and traffic signal and street lighting updates. Other recommended improvements at specific intersections include:

- $8^{\text {th }}$ Street and Harrison Street: Eliminating one of three freeway off-ramp lanes to accommodate a pedestrian bulb-out. This recommendation will require further evaluation and detailed traffic analysis, as well as approval from Caltrans;
- $10^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Street: Reducing pedestrian conflict points by reconfiguring lanes, implementing a new crosswalk, and installing lane wayfinding signage;
- $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Street: Changing signal timing to reduce turn conflicts between people walking and driving, and installing wayfinding signage;
- $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and Harrison Street: Changing signal timing to reduce left turn conflicts between people walking and driving, implementing a new crosswalk, and installing a temporary median for better driver navigation; and
- $9^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Street: Reducing conflict points between people walking and driving with LPIs.

The study team presented drafts of the improvement plans to advocacy groups, neighborhood groups, and other stakeholders near the Study intersections to solicit their feedback. Stakeholders expressed strong interest in improving freeway ramp safety, particularly for pedestrians and bicyclists. Community groups were also in support of the proposed improvements and provided additional enhancement ideas to add to the plans.

Planning-level cost estimates for design and construction, developed with the SFMTA, range from $\$ 455,000$ to $\$ 825,000$ per intersection, including a contingency and allowance for other potential enhancements. The expected total project cost is $\$ 4,400,000$.
SFMTA will be the lead agency to complete design and construct the proposed improvements. The next steps will include completing design of the recommended improvements and seeking approval from Caltrans (encroachment permits), in addition to SFMTA's legislation process.

SFMTA is including the improvements proposed at $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and Harrison Street and at $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Street in its $5^{\text {th }}$ Street Improvement Project, with construction slated to begin in 2018. SFMTA included the recommendations of the other three ramp intersections in its draft Capital Improvement Program (CIP) update for fiscal years 2019 to 2023. The CIP will be finalized upon approval by the SFMTA Board (MTAB), expected in summer 2018. The recommendations are expected to be implemented within three to five years.

The study team identified multiple potential funding sources to design and implement the recommended improvements. Potential funding sources include Prop K sales tax, Prop A General Obligation Bond, Prop B general fund set-aside, and Interagency Plan Implementation Committee (IPIC). In addition, the project would likely be competitive for several grant programs. SFMTA is developing a funding plan for the recommendations as part of its CIP update.

## 2. INTRODUCTION

The first phase of the Vision Zero Ramp Intersection Study (Study) is a Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Program (NTIP) planning study led by the Transportation Authority, in partnership with the office of (District 6 City Supervisor and) Transportation Authority Board Commissioner Jane Kim and the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA). Commissioner Kim recommended the use of Prop K local transportation sales tax funds from the NTIP program to fund the study. The NTIP is intended to strengthen project pipelines and advance the delivery of community-supported neighborhood-scale projects, especially in Communities of Concern (CoCs) and other underserved neighborhoods and areas with at-risk populations (e.g., seniors, children, and/or people with disabilities).

## A. Study Purpose

The Vision Zero Ramp Intersection Study is focused on addressing safety issues at freeway ramp intersections in the San Francisco South of Market (SoMa) neighborhood by proposing design improvements for near-term implementation. The SoMa neighborhood includes approximately twenty locations where freeway on- or off-ramps intersect city streets, many of which are in and around the SoMa Youth and Family Special Use District (SUD). The neighborhood contains several schools, single room occupancy hotels, and senior centers, all of which attract populations at high risk of injury from traffic collisions.

In 2014, San Francisco adopted its Vision Zero policy to end traffic-related deaths by 2024. To achieve this goal, city agencies are working closely with communities and advocates to identify and prioritize improvement needs and propose solutions. In addition to infrastructure redesigns, the Vision Zero effort includes education and enforcement initiatives to improve street safety. Many SoMa ramp intersections have particularly high frequencies of traffic injuries and fatalities, and addressing these collisions is critical in order to meet San Francisco's Vision Zero goal.

For the first phase of the Vision Zero Ramp Intersection Study, the study team selected five study intersections:

- I-80 westbound off-ramp at 5th and Harrison streets;
- I-80 eastbound on-ramp at 5th and Bryant streets;
- U.S. 101 southbound on-ramp at 10th and Bryant streets;
- U.S. 101 northbound off-ramp at 9th and Bryant streets; and
- I-80 westbound off-ramp at 8th Street.

To improve safety at the target intersections quickly, the study team focused on identifying improvements that could be implemented at these locations in the near term (less than five years), such as curb bulb-outs, leading pedestrian intervals (LPIs) for pedestrian crossings, and signal upgrades to improve visibility. The study did not include longer-term or corridor-wide analyses and recommendations.

## B. Study Process

The study team first selected five study intersections in and near the Youth and Family Special Use District (SUD) in the SoMa neighborhood based on their collision histories and other factors. An existing conditions analysis at each of the intersections included a detailed analysis of collisions that occurred over a five-year period, to identify patterns that improvements could address. The study team applied a Caltrans-provided toolbox of near-term safety improvement methods, as well as other best-practice treatments, to develop proposed design concepts that would address identified issues at each intersection. As part of an initial feasibility evaluation of each proposal, the study team met with agency and community stakeholders to seek input on the designs. After finalizing the recommendations, the Transportation Authority worked with the SFMTA to coordinate next steps for funding and implementation of each recommendation.

## C. Study Location Selection

The study scope allowed for study of up to five ramp intersections. The study team selected the study locations based on three factors:

1. Location in or near the SoMa Youth and Family SUD, an area characterized by high concentrations of senior centers, single-room occupancy hotels, and schools.
2. A high number and severity of traffic collisions. The study team obtained traffic collision data for the period from 2008 to 2014 and ranked the number of collisions at ramp intersections in or near the Youth and Family SUD to identify those most in urgent need of improvement. A Killed or Seriously Injured (KSI) metric was used as part of the ranking process to give greater weight to collisions with severe injuries and fatalities. Note that the analysis did not include collisions on the ramps themselves, only at ramp intersections.
3. No planned improvements or other study already existing or underway that would result in similar safety treatments at the location. The team screened intersections in SoMa and coordinated with other agencies to determine which were already under study, had recently been improved, or would be studied or improved soon.

A memo included as Appendix A of this report provides more detail on the study intersection selection process.

## 3. EXISTING CONDITIONS

## A. Land Use

SoMa is characterized by a grid of wide, multi-lane arterial streets and a mix of residential, light industrial, and office land uses. It is San Francisco's fastest-growing neighborhood and is programmed to receive almost 20,000 new residents and 50,000 new jobs by $2040^{1}$ - more than any other San Francisco priority development area - together representing 20 percent of all growth in San Francisco by 2040. This growth, and the resulting increase in travel via all modes, could increase the number of traffic collisions occurring in SoMa and at ramp intersections. As of early 2016, the San Francisco Planning Department (Planning Department) estimated that new development, already in the permit pipeline, could result in a total of nearly 5,000 new residents and an additional 22,000 jobs within a quarter mile of the five intersections. Figure 1: shows that while this residential growth is projected around all study intersections, the vast majority of new employees would be located near the intersections of $5^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant and $5^{\text {th }}$ and Harrison.

Figure 1: Development Pipeline - Estimated New Residents and Employees within $1 / 4$ Mile of Study Intersections


Source: San Francisco Transportation Sustainability Fee (Tsf) Nexus Study, San Francisco Development Pipeline 2016 Quarter 1.
Table A-4: Service Population, Building Space, and Trip Generation Rates: Sq.ft per employee: 498 Avg. residents per unit: 2.32

[^0]
## B. Pedestrian

All of the study intersections present opportunities to improve conditions for pedestrians. San Francisco's 2017 High Injury Network (HIN) - using the San Francisco Department of Public Health's (SFDPH) Transportation Injury Surveillance System (TISS), which compiles data from San Francisco General Hospital medical records and San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) incident reports - shows that all five intersections are along at least one HIN corridor. At the $5^{\text {th }}$ and Harrison, $9^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant, and $10^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant intersections, two streets are included in the 2017 HIN, whereas the $5^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant and $8^{\text {th }}$ and Harrison intersections consist of only one HIN street ( $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and Harrison Street, respectively).

Issues affecting pedestrian access and comfort at multiple study intersections include:

- Wide streets with high vehicle volumes and travel speeds;
- Long pedestrian crossing distances;
- Narrow sidewalks;
- Two closed crosswalks: one at Harrison Street and 5th Street and another at Bryant Street and 10th Street; and
- Vehicles queuing at freeway on-ramps frequently block crosswalks.

The Planning Department's draft Central SoMa Plan (revised version published 2016, final pending) recommends improvements to similarly narrow sidewalks in its plan area (which includes the two of this study's intersections along $5^{\text {th }}$ Street), including widening them to a minimum of 12 feet. The draft plan calls for several new midblock crossings on Harrison and Bryant Streets, between 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Street and 6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street, as well as opening a previously closed crosswalk at $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and Harrison Street.

## C. Bicycle

Limited bicycle infrastructure currently exists at the study intersections. Figure 2 illustrates bicycle routes in the study area along with the infrastructure currently available in each intersection. A mix of buffered and Class II bike lanes exist along Howard, Folsom, $7^{\text {th }}$, and $8^{\text {th }}$ Streets. $5^{\text {th }}$ Street has green-backed sharrows only. Harrison, Bryant, $9^{\text {th }}$, and $10^{\text {th }}$ streets generally have no bicycle infrastructure.

Figure 2 Existing Bicycle Network Map


Source: Mapzen, Leaflet, OpenStreetMap, OpenStreetMap contributors, and San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

Figure 3 shows the results of SFMTA's 2013 study of "Level of Traffic Stress" (LTS) for bicyclists and the white dots illustrate that the bicycle routes in the study area are very stressful for most bicyclists, especially when compared to other parts of the city. The intersections of $5^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant and $5^{\text {th }}$ and Harrison are along street segments rated LTS 4, or "tolerated only by the strong and fearless." Also, $5^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ streets are part of the SFMTA's primary bicycle network ${ }^{2}$ and will be prioritized for safety improvements in conjunction with other transportation and development projects in the area.

Figure 3 Level of Traffic Stress for Bicyclists


## Level of Traffic Stress

1 Comfortable for everyone<br>2 Comfortable for most intermediate adults and experienced children<br>3 Comfortable for intermediate and experienced adults<br>4 Tolerated only by the "strong and fearless"

[^1]
## D. Transit

Currently, 10 Muni routes serve the study area, of which the $8,8 \mathrm{AX}, 30$, and 47 all have service headways of less than 10 minutes during the day on weekdays. These frequent routes encompass 5th Street and/or Harrison and Bryant streets through the study intersections. 8th Street and $9^{\text {th }}$ Street serve less-frequent transit routes through study intersections. Figure 4 shows Muni service in and around the study intersections.

Figure 4: Muni Routes- at Study Intersection Ramps


Source: Muni bus routes and stops, https://www.sfmta.com/getting-around/transit/routes-stops

## E. Automobile traffic

SoMa's roadway network includes the elevated I-80, I-280, and U.S. 101 freeways above a grid of arterial streets and local streets all with 25 mph speed limits. Many of the arterials are one-way, multi-lane (typically 4-5 lane) streets designed primarily to accommodate large flows of vehicular traffic. Each study intersection has five legs, four to serve the grid of city streets and an additional on- or off-ramp leg. Many of the approach legs have multiple lanes serving one or more turning movements, with some lanes requiring turns while others allowing optional turning movements, resulting in complicated traffic patterns.

To obtain a general picture of traffic congestion levels, the study team reviewed SoMa streets with Google Maps' Typical Traffic feature, which collects speed and location data from users' mobile phones to create an index for vehicle speeds on any given road. illustrates traffic conditions during the a.m. peak (7-9 a.m.) and p.m. peak ( $4--6$ p.m.) periods in SoMa (ranging from green for uncongested to dark red
for slow/congested). On freeways, traffic is relatively freeflowing on westbound I-80, southbound I-280, and southbound U.S. 101 during the morning commute, as indicated by Typical Traffic's green and orange ratings. However, northbound U.S. 101 is congested on the Central Freeway and eastbound I-80 experiences moderate to high congestion, especially between $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and $7^{\text {th }}$ Street approaching the Bay Bridge. Traffic congestion in the p.m. peak period is significantly worse than in the morning throughout the SoMa freeway network, particularly on eastbound I-80. Congestion begins on both I-80 E and U.S. 101 N as early as 1:30 p.m.

Typical Traffic indicates that congestion on the street network exhibits similar patterns, with morning congestion primarily on northbound streets in the one or two blocks approaching Market Street, while the p.m. peak has more widespread congestion across the SoMa street network. P.m. peak congestion is especially high on streets approaching freeway on-ramps. Freeway congestion, especially during the p.m. peak, frequently results in on-ramp queues spilling back across study intersections to upstream blocks. Both the study team and community stakeholders frequently observed these queues resulting in blocked crosswalks and intersections.

Figure 5: Typical traffic in SoMa, a.m. and p.m. peak


Source: Google maps, typical traffic conditions, accessed June 2016.

Figure 6 illustrates how congestion affects ramps at the study intersections. The I-80 eastbound on-ramp from $5^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant streets and the U.S. 101 southbound on-ramp from $10^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant streets experience the most severe traffic congestion during the p.m. peak period, while the remaining ramps have moderate traffic congestion throughout the day.

Figure 6 Typical traffic conditions on study intersection ramps

| Traffic conditions on study ramps | AM Peak (7-9am) | Off-peak (12-2pm) | PM Peak (4-6pm) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I-80 WB off-ramp to 5th/Harrison Streets |  |  |  |
| I-80 EB on-ramp from 5th/Bryant Streets |  |  |  |
| US-101 SB on-ramp from 10th/Bryant Streets |  |  |  |
| US-101 NB off-ramp to 9th/Bryant Streets |  |  |  |
| I-80 WB off-ramp at 8th Street |  |  |  |
| Fast Slow |  |  |  |

## F. Related Planned Projects

Many other projects and planning efforts are underway to improve streets in the SoMa neighborhood, as illustrated in

Figure 7 Study Streets - Planned Capital Projects
SFMTA
has two planned capital projects - the $5^{\text {th }}$ Street Improvement Project and the $7^{\text {th }}$ Street and $8^{\text {th }}$ Street Near-Term Safety Project - that include three of the five study intersections. The 5th Street Improvement Project will improve safety along the corridor between Townsend and Market streets and is considering potential pedestrian, bicycle, transit, and loading parking improvements. SFMTA plans to install these improvements between late 2018 and late 2019. The $7^{\text {th }}$ Street and $8^{\text {th }}$ Street project is implementing protected bikeways, transit boarding islands, a traffic lane reduction, traffic signal upgrades, and other safety improvements along 7th Street between Market Street and Folsom Street and along 8th Street between Market Street to Townsend Street. Project implementation is phased, with improvements in some portions of the corridors already implemented and the remainder of the upgrades slated for construction in 2018. The study team is coordinating with SFMTA to make sure that recommended improvements are integrated with respective planned capital projects. For more details, refer to Appendix D.

Figure 7 Study Streets - Planned Capital Projects

SoMa Neighborhood Project Coordination Map


## 4. COLLISION ANALYSIS

## A. Overview

The study team analyzed the traffic collision history at each of the study intersections in the five-year period from 2011 to 2015 to identify patterns that improvements could address. At least 72 collisions occurred at the study intersections over this period, including two severe injuries and five fatalities. Table 1 shows these collisions by intersection. The intersections of $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Street, $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and Harrison Street, and $10^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Street had the highest numbers of collisions. This dataset includes San Francisco Police Department-reported collisions and all fatal collisions. It does not include any non-fatal California Highway Patrol-reported collisions between 2013 and 20153, which are likely to be fewer in number than the SFPD-reported collisions. Overall observations based on the available collision data include:

- Most collisions occurred on city street right-of-way. All recorded injury collisions occurred on city streets except for the five fatal collisions that all occurred on state right-of-way. Some state-reported collisions may be missing from the dataset as noted above.
- All five fatal collisions appear to involve a vehicle losing control on or near a ramp, and most involved impact with a median or guardrail. The ramp geometries or design may have been a contributing factor in these cases.
- Most injury collisions involved two vehicles or a vehicle and motorcycle. About $60 \%$ of the injury collisions involved two vehicles (including motorcycles); 11 percent involved a vehicle and bicyclist and 9 percent involved a vehicle and a pedestrian.
- About a third of the collisions occurred at dusk or nighttime.
- Collisions involving a turning vehicle were the most common collision type overall.

Table 8: Study Intersections by number of collisions during five-year study period (2011-2015)

| Intersection and Freeway Ramp | Collisions | Severe <br> Collisions | Fatal <br> Collisions |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. 101 southbound on-ramp from $10^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant <br> Street | 17 | - | 1 |
| I-80 eastbound on-ramp from 5 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Street | 16 | 1 | - |
| I-80 westbound off-ramp to $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and Harrison Street | 20 | 1 | 3 |
| U.S. 101 off-ramp to 9th Street and Bryant Street | 10 | - | 1 |
| I-80 westbound off-ramp to $8^{\text {th }}$ Street and Harrison Street | 9 | - | - |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |

[^2]
## B. Collision Characteristics

The study team analyzed police reports to determine which parties were involved in collisions (Figure 9). Overall, collisions at the intersection of 8 th Street and Harrison Street were more evenly distributed across modes, whereas collisions between vehicles were much more frequent at $10^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Street. The team also analyzed which types of traffic violations or behaviors were most frequent causes of each collision (Figure 10). Speeding and cell-phone use may be under-reported since police may not be present to observe these behaviors prior to the collision.

Figure 9: Parties involved in collisions by intersection


Figure 10: Collision causes by intersection


Figure 11: Collisions by time of day


The study team also examined the time of day when collisions occurred. As shown in Figure 11, certain intersections such as $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Street experienced more collisions during the a.m. period, whereas $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and Harrison Street experienced more collisions during the night time.

## C. Collision Diagrams

This section provides diagrams of all collisions for which the study team had access to a police report. Appendix D contains short narrative summaries of all the collisions.

8TH STREET AND HARRISON STREET
The fewest collisions occurred at the intersection of $8^{\text {th }}$ Street and Harrison Street. The most common collision types involved stopped vehicles and turning movements.

## Reported Injury Collisions:

2011-2015
8th and Harrison 9 total


## $10^{\text {TH }}$ STREET AND BRYANT STREET

An especially high number of turning collisions occurred at the intersection of $10^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Street, most due to vehicles negotiating turns and lane changes near other vehicles in adjacent lanes. Almost all collisions happened as vehicles proceeded from southbound 10th Street onto the U.S. 101 south on-ramp or as they made left turns onto Bryant Street. Collisions occurred throughout the day, but were concentrated during the afternoon/ early evening and night time periods.


## 5TH STREET AND BRYANT STREET

The intersection of $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Street experiences a high frequency of turning-related collisions, especially involving vehicles turning left from southbound $5^{\text {th }}$ Street onto eastbound Bryant Street colliding, with through traffic on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street, and red light running-related collisions. These scenarios often result in broadside, or " t -bone" type crashes.


## 5TH STREET AND HARRISON STREET

The intersection of 5th Street and Harrison Street had the highest numbers of turning and multipleinjury collisions. Most turn collisions happened when vehicles turned left from northbound 5th Street onto westbound Harrison Street and hit vehicles or bicyclists travelling southbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street. Three fatal collisions (involving four fatalities) also occurred, all located on or at the terminus of the off-ramp. This may be related to the fact that the ramp has a $25-\mathrm{mph}$ curve at the end. A higher number of collisions also occurred during night time compared to the other study intersections.


## 9TH STREET AND BRYANT STREET

The intersection of $9^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Street had the most vehicle/pedestrian conflicts of all five study intersections, mostly resulting from a failure of vehicles to yield to pedestrians in the northern crosswalk on 9th Street. More rear-end collisions (most on eastbound Bryant Street before 9th Street) occurred here than in other intersections. One fatality also occurred on the freeway off-ramp near this intersection.


## 5. DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS AND EVALUATION

## A. Safety Improvement Toolbox

For this study, the study team developed a toolbox of short-term safety treatments that can be used for the study intersections as well as others with similar collision patterns and lane geometry. The study team applied this toolbox to the selected intersections and recommended applicable safety improvements at each location. The safety toolbox is comprised of a Caltrans-provided list of short-term treatments and additional best practice treatments commonly used in the City and County of San Francisco.

The toolbox includes improvements focused on all modes that improve street safety without major construction. The treatments in the toolbox (see Appendix F) include:

- Curb extensions (bulb-outs) to shorten the pedestrian/bicycle crossing distance and increase visibility of pedestrians/bicyclists;
- Leading pedestrian intervals to give pedestrians a head start and to reduce conflicts between drivers and pedestrians;
- Protected left turn signals to reduce conflicts between left-turning vehicles and oncoming traffic and pedestrians;
- Street-lighting to increase visibility, especially of pedestrians and bicyclists; and
- Advance stop lines to reduce crosswalk encroachment by drivers, and to provide improved sightlines at multilane approaches.

Along with this list, the study team also observed collision patterns and proposed additional best practice safety treatments to improve each intersection. The additional treatments are:

- New traffic signal mast-arms and larger traffic signal heads to improve signal visibility;
- Wayfinding signage to reduce driver and bicyclist confusion and weaving; and
- Open closed crosswalks to improve accessibility and reduce conflict points between vehicles and pedestrians, who may otherwise need to cross multiple legs of an intersection.


## B. Study Recommendation Diagrams

Based on the collision analyses and using the toolbox of safety treatments described in Section 5, the study team proposed design improvements at each intersection to address observed collision types.

## $8^{\text {th }}$ Street and Harrison Street

At this intersection, two types of collisions occurred most frequently: vehicles rear-ending stopped vehicles at the traffic light and turning vehicles colliding with pedestrians and bicyclists. These two types of collisions indicate that traffic signal visibility, as well as pedestrian and bicycle visibility, may be key contributing factors. In addition, the left lane of the off-ramp directs traffic very close to pedestrians on the sidewalk at the southwest corner of the intersection. The proposed improvements shown in include signal upgrades to improve their visibility. The study team also recommends further consideration of eliminating one of the three off-ramp lanes to direct traffic exiting the freeway farther from the southwest corner of the intersection. Implementation of this lane reduction would also enable construction of a bulb-out at the southwest corner to reduce pedestrian crossing distances.

Figure 12: 8th Street and Harrison Street Improvements
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## $10^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Street

At this intersection, most of the collisions occur in the southbound direction as $10^{\text {th }}$ Street (5-lane wide) directs traffic in three different directions (continuing down $10^{\text {th }}$ Street, to eastbound Bryant Street, and to the southbound U.S. 101 on-ramp) with multiple lane options for each direction. This lane configuration, combined with minimal advance signage, creates a challenging navigation situation for all modes of transportation and likely results in the large number of observed turning and weaving collisions.

Figure 13: 10th Street and Bryant Street Improvements
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The recommended improvements include:

- Improve intersection wayfinding and signage:
- SFMTA should consider closing the southbound left turn tow-away lane to simplify lane configuration and eliminate double left turn conflicts with pedestrians.
- Install a cantilevered overhead lane sign for the southbound intersection approach to reduce confusion regarding possible movements from each lane.
- Refresh lane line delineators to improve navigation.
- Improve visibility:
- Upgrade and add new traffic signal heads for better visibility.
- Upgrade street lighting to improve visibility at the intersection.
- Re-stripe high-visibility crosswalk markings.
- Add leading pedestrian interval phases to improve pedestrian visibility.
- Improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities:
- Open a new crosswalk at the southeast corner of the intersection.
- Add pedestrian bulb-outs to shorten crossing distances.
- Consider adding a bike box at the southwest corner to facilitate two-stage southbound left turns.


## 5th Street and Bryant Street

Many of the collisions at this intersection involve turning vehicles and/or red light-running, resulting in broadside or "t-bone" crashes.

Figure 14: 5th Street and Bryant Street Improvements


Recommendations include:

- Reduce turning conflicts:
- Add a protected or lagging left turn signal from southbound onto I-80 westbound offramp and Bryant Street to reduce conflicts with pedestrians and northbound vehicles.
- Improve visibility:
- Upgrade traffic signals for better visibility.
- Upgrade crosswalks with high-visibility striping.
- Improve wayfinding:
- Install a cantilevered overhead sign for the eastbound intersection approach to reduce confusion regarding possible movements from each lane.
- Refresh pavement marking and lane delineator lines.
- Improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities:
- Install pedestrian bulb-outs to shorten crossing distances. The bulb-out extending into $5^{\text {th }}$ Street would be temporary until the $5^{\text {th }}$ Street Streetscape project design is finalized.
- Add advance traffic stop bars to encourage drivers to stop in advance of the crosswalk.


## 5th Street and Harrison Street

This intersection had the highest number of collisions among the five study intersections. Many of the collisions involved vehicles making northbound left turning movements and westbound I-80 off-ramp through movements. This intersection also had a disproportionate number of night-time collisions. The proposed improvements include:

Figure 15: 5th Street and Harrison Street Improvements
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- Reduce turning conflicts:
- Add a protected or lagging left turn signal from northbound $5^{\text {th }}$ Street onto Harrison Street to reduce conflicts with pedestrians and southbound vehicles.
- Improve visibility:
- Upgrade traffic signals with new mast-arms and larger signal heads for better visibility.
- Improve street lighting at the intersection.
- Add leading pedestrian interval phases to improve pedestrian visibility.
- Improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities:
- Install pedestrian curb bulb-outs to shorten crossing distances. The bulb-out extending into $5^{\text {th }}$ Street would be temporary until the $5^{\text {th }}$ Street Streetscape project design is finalized.
- Add a temporary median at the north leg of the intersection to more clearly demarcate the travel lanes and provide a pedestrian refuge. The median would be temporary until the $5^{\text {th }}$ Street Streetscape project design is finalized.
- Open a new crosswalk across the south leg of the intersection, which may require an exclusive pedestrian signal phase. Add advance traffic stop bars to encourage drivers to stop in advance of the crosswalk.


## 9th Street and Bryant Street

This intersection had the highest number of vehicle-pedestrian conflicts of the study intersections. These collisions occurred at the north leg of the intersection where eastbound left turning vehicles collided with pedestrians. Improvements to pedestrian visibility could potentially prevent these conflicts. Improvement recommendations include:

Figure 16: 9th and Bryant Improvements
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- Provide leading pedestrian interval phasing across the north leg of the intersection.
- New pedestrian bulb-outs to shorten pedestrian crossing distances.
- Advanced traffic stop-bars to provide space and visibility to pedestrians.
- Upgrade traffic signals with new mast-arms and larger signal heads for better visibility.


## C. Evaluation of Recommended Designs

## Planning-Level Cost Estimates

The study team developed planning-level cost estimates for the five study intersections, shown in Figure 17, projecting that the recommended improvements to all five intersections would cost approximately $\$ 4.4$ million. The cost estimates are based on typical city costs for the proposed types of improvements, and assume concurrent implementation of similar improvements (e.g., implementing signal upgrades at multiple intersections at once). They include design and construction costs, as well as a 30 percent contingency. In addition, the $\$ 4.4$ million estimate includes a placeholder for potential enhancements that could be incorporated into one or more of the intersections, in response to feedback received during public outreach. The placeholder amount is approximately equivalent to the cost of adding three additional pedestrian bulb-outs. For more detailed cost estimates, refer to Appendix G.

Figure 17: Planning-level Cost Estimates

| Study Intersections | Design* | Construction* | Total Cost by Phase* |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5th Street and Harrison Street | $\$ 116,000$ | $\$ 580,000$ | $\$ 696,000$ |
| 5th Street and Bryant Street | $\$ 78,000$ | $\$ 385,000$ | $\$ 463,000$ |
| 8th Street and Harrison Street | $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 495,000$ | $\$ 595,000$ |
| 9th Street and Bryant Street | $\$ 138,000$ | $\$ 685,000$ | $\$ 823,000$ |
| 10th Street and Harrison Street | $\$ 76,000$ | $\$ 377,000$ | $\$ 453,000$ |
|  | Potential Enhancement Cost |  | $\$ 360,000$ |
|  | Contingency $30 \%$ | $\$ 1,017,000$ |  |
|  | Total Cost | $\$ 4,407,000$ |  |

*Cost estimates are rounded to nearest 1000

## Effects on traffic

Most of the proposed safety improvements would not directly affect traffic capacity at the study intersections, and this study did not include a traffic analysis. However, certain proposed or potential changes would affect traffic capacity and necessitate a traffic analysis in the next phase of work. In particular, modifications to lane configurations or signal timing that reduce the capacity of freeway offramps - such as this study's recommendation to consider reducing the number of lanes on the westbound I-80 off-ramp at $8^{\text {th }}$ Street - would necessitate completion of a detailed traffic and queueing analysis, in close coordination with Caltrans to identify how freeway off-ramp queues would be affected.

## Potential parking loss

The proposed intersection safety measures will need additional street space to accommodate curb extension bulb-outs and advance stop bars. Improvements at four of the five study intersections combined will require approximately 13 on-street parking spaces be removed. The 5th Street and Harrison Street intersection will need the removal of two on-street parking spaces for curb extension bulb-outs on the northwest and southeast corners of the intersection. The 5th Street and Bryant Street intersection will need four on-street parking spaces removed for bulb-outs and advance stop bars on the southwest and southeast corners of the intersection. The $9^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Street location will need five on-street parking spaces removed for bulb-outs on the north, west, and east corners of the
intersection, and $10^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Street will need two spaces removed for bulb-outs on the northeast and southeast intersection corners. Safety measures proposed at the $8^{\text {th }}$ Street and Harrison Street intersection will not require any on-street parking removal. To see detailed diagrams of each intersection's potential parking loss locations, see Appendix E.

## 6. INTERAGENCY COORDINATION AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

## A. Interagency Coordination

This study was led by the Transportation Authority, in close partnership with SFMTA. SFMTA staff was part of the study team and met bi-weekly to provide, discuss project updates, review deliverables, and provide input. Both agencies worked together to identify study locations, existing conditions, recommendations, funding, and implementation strategies. The study team also shared drafts of the study recommendations with Caltrans, the San Francisco Planning Department, and the San Francisco Police Department, all of which provided feedback that is either incorporated into the current recommendations or will inform the design phase of work.

## B. Community Engagement

## Outreach Activities

The study intersections are in a vibrant neighborhood with diverse residents, several nearby business districts, and multiple public institutions, including schools and community centers. The study team reached out to community groups and other stakeholders in the spring and summer of 2017 to share information and gather feedback on the study intersections and proposed improvements. The study team reached out to the following organizations to share information and present recommendations. The team met with organizations marked with an asterisk $\left(^{*}\right)$ on the list below:

- Walk SF*
- San Francisco Bicycle Coalition*
- Vision Zero Task Force*
- Vision Zero D6 Quarterly Meeting*
- Yerba Buena CBD*
- Senior and Disability Action*
- Pedestrian Safety Advisory Committee (PSAC)*
- Western SoMa Voice*
- South of Market Community Action Network
- United Playas
- Bessie Carmichael
- West Bay Pilipino Center

From community outreach, the study team received valuable feedback on proposed improvements. Community feedback is separated into two categories:

- Refinement of proposed improvements in design and construction phase. The study team will pass this feedback to SFMTA and other appropriate agencies to address in the design and/or construction phase. The cost estimates include additional budget assumptions to incorporate these and/or other enhancements during design. Suggested design improvements included:
- Additional pedestrian bulbs at intersection corners;
- Enforcement to stop vehicles from blocking the pedestrian crosswalks;
- Additional advanced stop bars; and
- Greening in large pedestrian bulb-out areas.
- Feedback to be considered in other study or new projects. There were also suggestions that are not part of this study scope but related to improving the pedestrian and bicyclist experience throughout SoMa. These suggestions included:
- Sidewalk widening to provide more space for pedestrians;
- Bus stop amenities such as shelter, benches, and maps for transit riders;
- Enforcement to keep the pedestrian bulbs clear of blockages (e.g., street vendors and encampments);
- Additional intersections to be studied; and
- The need to generally address traffic congestion in SoMa.


## 7. NEXT STEPS

The next steps toward implementation of the recommended safety improvements include design, traffic analysis, project approvals, funding, and construction.

## A. Implementation Approach

SFMTA will lead design and implementation of the recommendations in coordination with San Francisco Public Works and Caltrans, with the intent to implement the improvements within three to five years. Some changes could be implemented more quickly, such as simple striping and signal timing modifications.

SFMTA plans to incorporate recommendations at all five of the study intersections into larger corridor improvement projects or as part of its traffic signal upgrades program. The intersections at 5th Street and Harrison Street and at 5th Street and Bryant Street will be included in the 5th Street Improvement Project, which will implement pedestrian, bicycle, transit, and loading/parking improvements along 5th Street, between Townsend Street and Market Street. The project timeline calls for beginning construction of near-term project elements such as painted treatments in late 2018, with construction of longer-term treatments such as curb changes and signal upgrades to follow in 2019.

SFMTA plans to implement the remaining improvements together with similar street safety treatments at other locations in San Francisco. SFMTA has included programmatic lines for improvements on $8^{\text {th }}$, $9^{\text {th }}$, and $10^{\text {th }}$ streets in its draft Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) for fiscal years 2019 through 2023. The CIP will be finalized upon approval by the SFMTA Board, expected in July 2018.

## B. Design and Approvals Process

Since the study intersections involve both city streets and Caltrans freeway ramps, the proposed improvements will require Caltrans approvals in addition to the typical SFMTA legislation process for street design changes. Caltrans requires encroachment permits for projects with construction costs below $\$ 1$ million. The proposed modifications to each of the study intersections would fall under that threshold.

Some of the proposed improvements will also require additional analysis during the design phase, particularly to determine their effects on traffic circulation. For any change that would reduce the capacity of freeway off-ramps, Caltrans requires a traffic analysis to determine whether the change would extend traffic queues onto the mainline of the freeway and create any traffic safety issues. The study has recommended further study of one change that would affect off-ramp capacity: a reduction in the number of lanes on the I-80 westbound off-ramp at the intersection of 8th Street and Harrison Street from three to two. In addition, the study's recommended changes to signal timing, including where new crosswalks, protected turn phases, and leading pedestrian intervals are proposed, could affect off-ramp signal timing and thereby reduce off-ramp capacity. SFMTA will conduct traffic analysis in coordination with Caltrans, where needed, as part of the design phase of work.

Also, during the design process, the study team recommends consideration of potential enhancements to the study recommendations, based on community input received to date. As discussed in Section 6, these could include new trees or other green infrastructure and additional safety upgrades.

## C. Funding

The study team identified a range of potential funding sources for the proposed safety improvements, shown in Figure 18. Potential local funding sources include the Prop K sales tax, Prop A General Obligation Bond, Prop B general fund set-aside, and Interagency Plan Implementation Committee (IPIC). In addition, the projects would likely be competitive for several other discretionary state and regional grant programs that local sources could leverage.

Figure 18: Potential Funding Sources

| Funding Source | Potential Funding Available | Timeline |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prop K | Approx. $\$ 5.6 \mathrm{M}$ available in eligible categories in FY 2018/19 (includes approximately $\$ 1.2 \mathrm{M}$ reserved for matching a possible Active Transportation Program grant. Approximately \$500,000 represents remaining District 6 Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Program [NTIP] Capital capacity.) | Funds available through FY 2018/19. New five-year prioritization program (covering FY 2019/20 through FY 2023/24) under development. |
| Prop B General Fund set-aside | Pending SFMTA Board approval of FY 2019-23 CIP |  |
| Prop A General Obligation bond | Pending SFMTA Board approval of FY 2019-23 CIP |  |
| Interagency Plan Implementation Committee (IPIC) | Total in FY 2019/20 in eligible categories: $\$ 5,488,000$. IPIC funds appropriated to SFMTA may be available pending SFMTA Board approval of FY 2019-23 CIP. | Summer 2018 call for FY 2019/20 funds |
| Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) | \$10M maximum for a project, or $\$ 250,000$ for a set-aside category | Call for projects every two years, with next expected in spring 2018 |
| Active Transportation Program (ATP) | Funds available in both a state competitive process and a regional competitive process. Anticipated \$220M statewide plus $\$ 37 \mathrm{M}$ at regional level available over four-year period. Average of past grants about $\$ 1.8 \mathrm{M}$. | Funding will be available for FY 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22 and 2022/23 in the ATP Cycle 4 call for projects in Spring 2018. Additional ATP funds from other sources, including cancelled projects, may result in additional and earlier funding availability. |
| SFMTA has included the proposed improvements in its draft CIP for fiscal years 2019 through 2023 and identified likely funding sources. Figure 19 lists the study intersections and the CIP projects they are included within. |  |  |

Figure 19: Status of Proposed Improvements in SFMTA CIP

| Ramp Intersection recommendations | Project in SFMTA draft FY 2019-23 CIP | Status in SFMTA draft FY 2019-23 CIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 5th and Harrison <br> - 5th and Bryant | $5^{\text {th }}$ Street Improvement Project | - Initiated as part of the SFMTA CIP for FY 2017-21. <br> - Scope and budget for the 5th Street Improvement Project as included in the draft SFMTA CIP for FY 2019-23 includes detail design and construction funding for proposed ramp intersection improvements. |
| - 8th and Harrison | Bicycle Traffic Signal Upgrades Program | - Intersection identified as proposed project location in the Bicycle Traffic Signal Upgrades Program as included in the draft SFMTA CIP for FY 2019-23. <br> - Proposed curb work (e.g. bulb-outs) may be included as part of Street Coordination Improvements as proposed in the draft SFMTA CIP for FY 2019-23. <br> - Transportation Authority staff will coordinate with SFMTA on Bicycle Traffic Signal Upgrades Program development following SFMTA Board approval of CIP to work toward implementation of proposed improvements. |
| - 9th and Bryant <br> - 10th and Bryant | Traffic Signal <br> Modifications Contract 36/Streets Coordination Improvements | - Design funding for citywide signal upgrades through Contract 36 as included in the draft SFMTA CIP for FY 2019-23. <br> - Proposed curb work (e.g. bulb-outs) may be included as part of Street Coordination Improvements as proposed in the draft SFMTA CIP for FY 2019-23. <br> - Transportation Authority staff will coordinate with SFMTA on Contract 36 scope and Streets Coordination Improvements program development following SFMTA Board approval of CIP to work toward implementation of proposed improvements, specifically to identify construction phase funding for Contract 36 . |
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## Memorandum

## Date: 04.25.2016

To: Britt Tanner, Chava Kronenberg, SFMTA; person TBD, DPW
From: Ryan Greene-Roesel, SFCTA; James Shahimiri, SFMTA
Subject: Proposed study locations for Vision Zero freeway ramp intersections

## SUMMARY

SFMTA and SFCTA are collaborating on improving safety at ramp intersections to support progress towards Vision Zero. This memorandum provides initial draft recommended study intersections.
The first set of intersections would be studied this year as part of Supervisor Kim's Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Project (NTIP). They all fall within the South of Market Youth and Family Zone, which she has identified as her top priority. They include:

- I-80 WB off-ramp to 5th/Harrison Streets
- I-80 EB on-ramp from 5th/Bryant Streets
- US-101 SB on-ramp from 10th/Bryant Streets
- US-101 off-ramp to 9th/Bryant Streets
- I-80 WB off-ramp at $8^{\text {th }}$ Street

Only 3-5 of these intersections would be included in the first phase of study being funded through the NTIP grant. Another set of intersections, including several that do not fall within the Youth and Family Zone, could be studied if additional funding is received through a Caltrans Planning Grant. Potential candidates may include:

- US-101 NB off-ramp to Otis/Mission/13th Streets and Duboce Avenue
- US 101 SB Onramp 13th SVN
- I-280 ramps at 6th and Brannan


## background

To eliminate traffic fatalities, collisions on San Francisco's freeway ramps must be addressed along with fatalities on surface streets. Our desired approach is to create a collaborative state/local partnership Vision Zero Freeway Ramp Safety and Access program that would address collisions on freeway ramps and ramp intersections while improving bicycle, pedestrian, and disabled safety and access at high-priority ramp intersections with local streets, typically within Caltrans' right-of-way. The intent is to deploy and pilot new ways of doing business in partnership among local and state agencies to expedite this work.
The purpose of this memorandum is to define an initial proposed list of study locations that could benefit from additional planning work to address safety issues. Our process for identifying candidate intersections is described below.

## SELECTING A GEOGRAPHIC FOCUS AREA

We identified an initial list of candidate study intersections by ranking ramp intersections by number of injury collisions 2008-2014 and selecting the top twenty citywide (Table 1), which fall into six geographic groupings:

- East SOMA ( $5{ }^{\text {th }}$ Street and East): Six intersections
- West SOMA (West of $5^{\text {th }}$ Street): Seven intersections
- Cesar Chavez / Hairball: One intersection.
- Alemany Maze: Two intersections.
- Balboa Park: Two intersections
- Other: Two intersections.

We decided to set aside study of any intersections in the Cesar Chavez/Hairball, Alemany Maze, and at Balboa Park, because all have either been recently studied or are under study. Specifically:

- The Cesar Chavez-Potrero-Bayshore-US 101 Interchange Study, completed in 2012 by the San Francisco Planning Department, identified short term solutions to improve pedestrian and bicycle safety and personal safety at the interchange. Not all proposals from the study have been implemented, but some were recently funded through the Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Program Capital Projects fund.
- The SFCTA's Alemany Maze Interchange Improvement Study (underway) is examining options for improving pedestrian and bicycle safety and access at the Alemany Maze, including the two listed in Table 1.
- The SFCTA Balboa Park Station Area Circulation Study (2014) developed strategies for reducing multimodal conflicts and improving safety at the I-280 freeway ramps around Balboa Park.
It is possible that these studies did not or will not fully address all safety issues at these interchanges. However, because they have been recently studied, we judged them to be lower priority for initiating new planning effort.

The majority of the remaining intersections are in the West and East SOMA areas, so we recommend focusing study there. Additionally, when preparing the Caltrans Planning Grant application for this work, Caltrans recommended focusing the study on a specific neighborhood to maximize the competitiveness of the grant application. Due to its rapidly growing population and employment base, and high number of ramp intersections with a poor safety record, SOMA is the best candidate for this initial phase of work.

Note that we did not consider collisions on the ramps themselves at this stage, but may do so in a later phase of study.

Table 1. Top Ranked Ramp Intersections

| Location | Geographic Area | Injury Collisions (2008-2014) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I-80 WB Onramp 4th/Harrison | E Soma | 61 |
| US 101 NB Offramp Market/Octavia | W Soma | 59 |
| US 101 NB Offramp 13th/Mission/Otis | W Soma | 54 |
| US 101 SB Onramp 13th/South Van Ness | W Soma | 49 |
| US 101 SB Offramp Alemany/Putnam | Alemany Maze | 26 |
| US 101 SB Onramp 10th/Bryant | W Soma | 25 |
| I-80 EB Onramp Essex/Harrison | E Soma | 24 |
| I-80 EB Onramp 5th/Bryant | W Soma | 23 |
| I-280 Ramps 6th/Brannan | W Soma | 22 |
| I-80 WB Offramp 5th/Harrison | E Soma | 21 |
| I-280 SB Ramps/Geneva | Balboa Park | 20 |
| I-280 NB Ramps/Geneva | Balboa Park | 18 |
| I-80 WB Offramp Fremont/Harrison | E Soma | 18 |
| US 101 NB Offramps Bayshore/Jerrold | Cesar Chavez Hairball | 17 |
| I-80 EB Onramp 1st/Harrison | E Soma | 17 |
| I-80 EB Offramp 4th/Bryant | E Soma | 16 |
| I-280 NB Onramp Alemany/San Jose | Alemany Maze | 16 |
| US-101 SB Offramp Bayshore/Hester | Bayview/Candlestick Park | 15 |
| US-101 NB Offramp 9th/Bryant | W Soma | 12 |
| I-280 SB Offramp Circular/Monterey | Glen Park BART | 11 |

## SCREENING INTERSECTIONS IN SOMA

We screened individual intersections in SOMA to determine if they are already under study; have recently been improved, or will be studied or improved in the near future. We researched intersections by consulting Envista, MyStreetSF, and by speaking with relevant project managers. Tables 2 and 3 list intersections recommended and not recommended for further study, pending final review.

Table 2. SOMA Intersections Recommended for Further Study Pending Final Review

| Ramp | Relevant projects and studies and their status |
| :---: | :---: |
| Eligible for NTIP Funding |  |
| US-101 SB on-ramp from $10^{\text {th }} /$ Bryant Streets* | This intersection does not appear to have been studied. However, Bryant Street was repaved in 2015 , so any recommended capital treatments may need to wait five years for implementation. |
| US-101 off-ramp to $9^{\text {th }} /$ Bryant Streets* | This intersection does not appear to have been recently studied. However, both Bryant and $9^{\text {th }}$ Streets so any recommended capital treatments may need to wait five years for implementation |
| I-80 WB off-ramp to $5^{\text {th }} /$ Harrison Streets* | Some short term treatments are planned at this intersection (including re-opening closed crosswalks, upgraded curb ramps, and bicycle sharrows), but they do not appear to fully address safety issues. Need to consult with transit team to confirm need for collaboration with MuniFWD. |
| I-80 EB on-ramp from $5^{\text {th }} /$ Bryant Streets* | This intersection does not appear to have been recently studied for safety improvement, other than for bicyclists. Buffered bike lanes have been proposed, as well as green-backed sharrows. Need to consult with transit team to confirm need for collaboration with MuniFWD. |
| Not Eligible for NTIP Funding |  |
| US-101 NB off-ramp to Otis/Mission/13 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Streets | Some short term improvements are proposed for this intersections (including transit improvements, Walkfirst improvements, and intersection improvements at Mission/Duboce/Erie), but do not appear to fully address safety issues (particularly bicycle collisions and vehicle sideswipes). |
| US 101 SB Onramp 13 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ SVN | Envista indicates that the SF Planning Department may have initiated study of improvements in the vicinity of this intersection but they do not appear to be active. Note that South Van Ness Avenue is slated for pavement renovation. |
| I-280 ramps at $6^{\text {th }}$ and Brannan | SFMTA has done some work to update this intersection to improve safety (specifically by squaring off and signalizing the right turn), however technical challenges have put these changes on hold. Further work is needed to define and confirm the changes. Note that Brannan Street was recently repaved, so any recommended capital treatments may need to wait five years for implementation. |

*Intersections are eligible for Neighborbood Transportation Improvement Program funding because they are located with the SOMA Youth and Family Zone, which Supervisor Jane Kim has indicated is her priority

In addition to the intersections listed in Table 2, we also recommended including the intersection of $8^{\text {th }}$ Street with the I-80 West bound off-ramps. This intersection has had significantly fewer serious injuries and fatalities than those listed in Table 1, but is less complex and potentially easier to improve. We recommend including this intersection as a fallback location if one of those on the initial list proves too difficult to address.

Table 3. Intersections Not Recommended for Further Study

| Ramp | Rationale for Recommending Not Pursuing Additional Study |
| :--- | :--- |
| I-80 WB on-ramp from <br> $4^{\text {th }}$ /Harrison Streets | The Central Subway project will be making some improvements at this intersection, <br> and SFMTA Traffic Engineering is already actively working on additional <br> improvements, including a signal upgrade to address broadside and sideswipe <br> collisions, as well as reopening the crosswalk crossing the onramp. Livable Streets is <br> working on incorporating bulbouts into the signal upgrade. |
| US-101 NB/SB ramp at <br> Market/Octavia Streets | Multiple projects are underway at this intersection and will address safety including the <br> Better Market Street Project, Market/Octavia safety spot improvements (proposed), <br> 17th to Van Ness protected bike lane (proposed), raised cycletrack pilot on Market (bid <br> and award, construction complete by 9/2016), and the Octavia Boulevard <br> enhancement project (construction complete by 6/2016 - Octavia from Hayes to <br> Market). |
| I-80 EB on-ramp from <br> Essex/Harrison Streets | The Harrison Streetscape Project (DPW led) is developing designs to improve safety at <br> this intersection, beginning in January, 2016. Construction is expected mid-2017. <br> Opportunities may exist to implement short term improvements in the interim. |
| I-80 EB on-ramp from <br> st/Harrison Streets | The Harrison Streetscape Project (DPW led) is developing designs to improve safety at <br> this intersection, beginning in January, 2016. Construction is expected mid-2017. <br> Opportunities may exist to implement short term improvements in the interim. |
| I-80 EB off-ramp to <br> $4^{\text {th }}$ /Bryant Streets | The Central Subway project will be making some improvements at this intersection, <br> and SFMTA Traffic Engineering is already actively working on additional <br> improvements. Livable Streets is also working on improvements for this intersection. |
| I-80 WB off-ramp to <br> Fremont/Harrison/Folsom <br> Streets | This intersection was recently reconstructed through the Fremont-Folsom Street off <br> ramp realignment project. We believe a plan for re-opening a closed crosswalk at this <br> location is in the works - but need to confirm. |

## Appendix B: Traffic Counts

File Name : 5-bryant-p Site Code : 1
Latitude: 37.777816
Longitude: -122.400311
Start Date : 12/6/2016
Page No : 1

| Groups Printed- Vehicles Only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5th STSouthbound |  |  |  |  | BRYANT ST <br> Westbound |  |  |  | 5th STNorthbound |  |  |  |  | BRYANT ST Eastbound |  |  |  |  | I-80 EB ON-RAMP <br> Southwestbound |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | to I-80 | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | to I-80 | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | to I-80 | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 16:00 | 0 | 112 | 17 | 45 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 71 | 40 | 0 | 144 | 18 | 125 | 72 | 6 | 221 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 539 |
| 16:15 | 0 | 115 | 15 | 48 | 178 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 59 | 15 | 0 | 91 | 14 | 192 | 59 | 5 | 270 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 539 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 118 | 17 | 58 | 193 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 85 | 23 | 0 | 122 | 22 | 153 | 93 | 10 | 278 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 593 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 96 | 13 | 60 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 64 | 36 | 0 | 120 | 16 | 167 | 111 | 14 | 308 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 597 |
| Total | 0 | 441 | 62 | 211 | 714 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 279 | 114 | 0 | 477 | 70 | 637 | 335 | 35 | 1077 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2268 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 122 | 24 | 59 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 76 | 37 | 0 | 151 | 11 | 150 | 84 | 9 | 254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 610 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 131 | 33 | 60 | 224 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 79 | 44 | 0 | 147 | 15 | 196 | 104 | 15 | 330 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 701 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 140 | 28 | 55 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 68 | 46 | 0 | 150 | 18 | 199 | 107 | 17 | 341 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 714 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 134 | 27 | 44 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 70 | 41 | 0 | 146 | 9 | 221 | 110 | 16 | 356 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 707 |
| Total | 0 | 527 | 112 | 218 | 857 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 293 | 168 | 0 | 594 | 53 | 766 | 405 | 57 | 1281 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2732 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 968 | 174 | 429 | 1571 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 572 | 282 | 0 | 1071 | 123 | 1403 | 740 | 92 | 2358 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5000 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 61.6 | 11.1 | 27.3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 20.3 | 53.4 | 26.3 | 0 |  | 5.2 | 59.5 | 31.4 | 3.9 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 19.4 | 3.5 | 8.6 | 31.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.3 | 11.4 | 5.6 | 0 | 21.4 | 2.5 | 28.1 | 14.8 | 1.8 | 47.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


|  | 5th ST <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | BRYANT ST <br> Westbound |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 5th ST } \\ \text { Northbound } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | BRYANT ST <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | I-80 EB ON-RAMP <br> Southwestbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | RT | TH | LT | to 1-80 | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | to I-80 | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | to I-80 | LT | App. Toal | RT | TH | LT | App. Toal | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 16:00 to 17:45-Peak 1 of 1

| Peak Hour fo |  | ersec | Beg | at |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:00 | 0 | 122 | 24 | 59 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 76 | 37 | 0 | 151 | 11 | 150 | 84 | 9 | 254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 610 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 131 | 33 | 60 | 224 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 79 | 44 | 0 | 147 | 15 | 196 | 104 | 15 | 330 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 701 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 140 | 28 | 55 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 68 | 46 | 0 | 150 | 18 | 199 | 107 | 17 | 341 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 714 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 134 | 27 | 44 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 70 | 41 | 0 | 146 | 9 | 221 | 110 | 16 | 356 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 707 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 527 | 112 | 218 | 857 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 293 | 168 | 0 | 594 | 53 | 766 | 405 | 57 | 1281 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2732 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 61.5 | 13.1 | 25.4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 22.4 | 49.3 | 28.3 | 0 |  | 4.1 | 59.8 | 31.6 | 4.4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 941 | . 848 | . 908 | . 956 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 875 | . 927 | . 913 | . 000 | . 983 | . 736 | . 867 | . 920 | 838 | . 900 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 957 |



File Name : 5-bryant-a Site Code : 1
Latitude: 37.777816
Longitude: -122.400311
Start Date : 12/6/2016
Page No : 1

| Groups Printed- Vehicles Only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5th STSouthbound |  |  |  |  | BRYANT ST <br> Westbound |  |  |  | 5th STNorthbound |  |  |  |  | BRYANT ST Eastbound |  |  |  |  | I-80 EB ON-RAMP <br> Southwestbound |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | to I-80 | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | to I-80 | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | to I-80 | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 | 0 | 119 | 8 | 37 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 53 | 38 | 0 | 106 | 21 | 56 | 71 | 6 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 424 |
| 07:15 | 0 | 112 | 24 | 25 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 57 | 48 | 0 | 121 | 13 | 70 | 69 | 17 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 451 |
| 07:30 | 0 | 131 | 13 | 29 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 55 | 55 | 0 | 128 | 27 | 81 | 57 | 11 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 477 |
| 07:45 | 0 | 138 | 25 | 30 | 193 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 45 | 59 | 0 | 128 | 18 | 113 | 49 | 14 | 194 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 515 |
| Total | 0 | 500 | 70 | 121 | 691 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 210 | 200 | 0 | 483 | 79 | 320 | 246 | 48 | 693 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1867 |
| 08:00 | 0 | 140 | 23 | 48 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 43 | 62 | 0 | 144 | 24 | 120 | 64 | 20 | 228 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 583 |
| 08:15 | 0 | 150 | 25 | 47 | 222 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 38 | 74 | 0 | 154 | 24 | 132 | 66 | 15 | 237 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 613 |
| 08:30 | 0 | 133 | 34 | 58 | 225 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 48 | 65 | 0 | 151 | 24 | 170 | 61 | 28 | 283 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 659 |
| 08:45 | 0 | 137 | 32 | 41 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 48 | 76 | 0 | 186 | 38 | 159 | 52 | 37 | 286 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 682 |
| Total | 0 | 560 | 114 | 194 | 868 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 177 | 277 | 0 | 635 | 110 | 581 | 243 | 100 | 1034 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2537 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 1060 | 184 | 315 | 1559 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 254 | 387 | 477 | 0 | 1118 | 189 | 901 | 489 | 148 | 1727 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4404 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 68 | 11.8 | 20.2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 22.7 | 34.6 | 42.7 | 0 |  | 10.9 | 52.2 | 28.3 | 8.6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 24.1 | 4.2 | 7.2 | 35.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.8 | 8.8 | 10.8 | 0 | 25.4 | 4.3 | 20.5 | 11.1 | 3.4 | 39.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


|  | 5th ST Southbound |  |  |  |  | BRYANT ST <br> Westbound |  |  |  | 5th ST <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | BRYANT ST <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | I-80 EB ON-RAMP <br> Southwestbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | RT | TH | LT | tol-80 | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Toal | RT | to 1-80 | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | to I-80 | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Toal | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 to 08:45-Peak 1 of 1

| Peak Hour fo |  | ersect | Be | at |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:00 | 0 | 140 | 23 | 48 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 43 | 62 | 0 | 144 | 24 | 120 | 64 | 20 | 228 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 583 |
| 08:15 | 0 | 150 | 25 | 47 | 222 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 38 | 74 | 0 | 154 | 24 | 132 | 66 | 15 | 237 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 613 |
| 08:30 | 0 | 133 | 34 | 58 | 225 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 48 | 65 | 0 | 151 | 24 | 170 | 61 | 28 | 283 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 659 |
| 08:45 | 0 | 137 | 32 | 41 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 48 | 76 | 0 | 186 | 38 | 159 | 52 | 37 | 286 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 682 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 560 | 114 | 194 | 868 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 177 | 277 | 0 | 635 | 110 | 581 | 243 | 100 | 1034 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2537 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 64.5 | 13.1 | 22.4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 28.5 | 27.9 | 43.6 | 0 |  | 10.6 | 56.2 | 23.5 | 9.7 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 933 | . 838 | . 836 | . 964 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 730 | . 922 | . 911 | . 000 | . 853 | . 724 | . 854 | . 920 | . 676 | . 904 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 930 |



File Name : 5-harrison-a Site Code : 2
Latitude: 37.779056
Start Date : 12/6/2016
Longitude: -122.401850
Page No : 1

|  | 5th ST Southbound |  |  |  | HARRISON ST <br> Westbound |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { 5th ST } \\ \text { Northbound } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | HARRISON ST <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | I-80 WB OFF-RAMP <br> Northwestbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 | 30 | 109 | 0 | 139 | 15 | 88 | 13 | 116 | 0 | 46 | 6 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 149 | 48 | 258 | 565 |
| 07:15 | 36 | 98 | 0 | 134 | 17 | 107 | 10 | 134 | 0 | 55 | 8 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 143 | 50 | 252 | 583 |
| 07:30 | 43 | 114 | 0 | 157 | 18 | 135 | 16 | 169 | 0 | 58 | 9 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 146 | 41 | 258 | 651 |
| 07:45 | 50 | 143 | 0 | 193 | 17 | 125 | 14 | 156 | 0 | 58 | 10 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 159 | 46 | 264 | 681 |
| Total | 159 | 464 | 0 | 623 | 67 | 455 | 53 | 575 | 0 | 217 | 33 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 597 | 185 | 1032 | 2480 |
| 08:00 | 52 | 156 | 0 | 208 | 25 | 140 | 17 | 182 | 0 | 71 | 14 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 149 | 47 | 276 | 751 |
| 08:15 | 38 | 146 | 0 | 184 | 17 | 143 | 21 | 181 | 0 | 78 | 10 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 136 | 52 | 247 | 700 |
| 08:30 | 51 | 168 | 0 | 219 | 26 | 156 | 16 | 198 | 0 | 89 | 9 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 116 | 39 | 214 | 729 |
| 08:45 | 42 | 139 | 0 | 181 | 25 | 139 | 34 | 198 | 0 | 94 | 15 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 135 | 49 | 262 | 750 |
| Total | 183 | 609 | 0 | 792 | 93 | 578 | 88 | 759 | 0 | 332 | 48 | 380 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 276 | 536 | 187 | 999 | 2930 |
| Grand Total | 342 | 1073 | 0 | 1415 | 160 | 1033 | 141 | 1334 | 0 | 549 | 81 | 630 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 526 | 1133 | 372 | 2031 | 5410 |
| Apprch \% | 24.2 | 75.8 | 0 |  | 12 | 77.4 | 10.6 |  | 0 | 87.1 | 12.9 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 25.9 | 55.8 | 18.3 |  |  |
| Total \% | 6.3 | 19.8 | 0 | 26.2 | 3 | 19.1 | 2.6 | 24.7 | 0 | 10.1 | 1.5 | 11.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9.7 | 20.9 | 6.9 | 37.5 |  |


|  | 5th ST Southbound |  |  |  | HARRISON ST <br> Westbound |  |  |  | 5th ST <br> Northbound |  |  |  | HARRISON ST Eastbound |  |  |  | I-80 WB OFF-RAMP <br> Northwestbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 to 08:45-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 08:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 | 52 | 156 | 0 | 208 | 25 | 140 | 17 | 182 | 0 | 71 | 14 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 149 | 47 | 276 | 751 |
| 08:15 | 38 | 146 | 0 | 184 | 17 | 143 | 21 | 181 | 0 | 78 | 10 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 136 | 52 | 247 | 700 |
| 08:30 | 51 | 168 | 0 | 219 | 26 | 156 | 16 | 198 | 0 | 89 | 9 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 116 | 39 | 214 | 729 |
| 08:45 | 42 | 139 | 0 | 181 | 25 | 139 | 34 | 198 | 0 | 94 | 15 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 135 | 49 | 262 | 750 |
| Total Volume | 183 | 609 | 0 | 792 | 93 | 578 | 88 | 759 | 0 | 332 | 48 | 380 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 276 | 536 | 187 | 999 | 2930 |
| \% App. Total | 23.1 | 76.9 | 0 |  | 12.3 | 76.2 | 11.6 |  | 0 | 87.4 | 12.6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 27.6 | 53.7 | 18.7 |  |  |
| PHF | . 880 | . 906 | . 000 | . 904 | . 894 | . 926 | . 647 | . 958 | . 000 | . 883 | . 800 | . 872 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 863 | . 899 | . 899 | . 905 | . 975 |



File Name : 5-harrison-p Site Code : 2
Latitude: 37.779056
Start Date : 12/6/2016
Longitude: -122.401850
Page No : 1

| Groups Printed- Vehicles Only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5th ST Southbound |  |  |  | HARRISON ST <br> Westbound |  |  |  | 5th ST <br> Northbound |  |  |  | HARRISON ST Eastbound |  |  |  | I-80 WB OFF-RAMP <br> Northwestbound |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 16:00 | 35 | 118 | 0 | 153 | 15 | 186 | 21 | 222 | 0 | 36 | 7 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 165 | 38 | 254 | 672 |
| 16:15 | 65 | 118 | 0 | 183 | 20 | 153 | 14 | 187 | 0 | 18 | 6 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 197 | 51 | 294 | 688 |
| 16:30 | 47 | 127 | 0 | 174 | 31 | 215 | 11 | 257 | 0 | 28 | 5 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 167 | 44 | 264 | 728 |
| 16:45 | 62 | 110 | 0 | 172 | 19 | 223 | 23 | 265 | 0 | 45 | 6 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 184 | 27 | 263 | 751 |
| Total | 209 | 473 | 0 | 682 | 85 | 777 | 69 | 931 | 0 | 127 | 24 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 202 | 713 | 160 | 1075 | 2839 |
| 17:00 | 50 | 113 | 0 | 163 | 37 | 287 | 35 | 359 | 0 | 34 | 9 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 200 | 42 | 302 | 867 |
| 17:15 | 55 | 142 | 0 | 197 | 38 | 265 | 37 | 340 | 0 | 56 | 8 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 192 | 41 | 318 | 919 |
| 17:30 | 58 | 137 | 0 | 195 | 42 | 282 | 27 | 351 | 0 | 57 | 7 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 190 | 46 | 317 | 927 |
| 17:45 | 53 | 119 | 0 | 172 | 42 | 296 | 29 | 367 | 0 | 55 | 8 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 192 | 43 | 306 | 908 |
| Total | 216 | 511 | 0 | 727 | 159 | 1130 | 128 | 1417 | 0 | 202 | 32 | 234 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 297 | 774 | 172 | 1243 | 3621 |
| Grand Total | 425 | 984 | 0 | 1409 | 244 | 1907 | 197 | 2348 | 0 | 329 | 56 | 385 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 499 | 1487 | 332 | 2318 | 6460 |
| Apprch \% | 30.2 | 69.8 | 0 |  | 10.4 | 81.2 | 8.4 |  | 0 | 85.5 | 14.5 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 21.5 | 64.2 | 14.3 |  |  |
| Total \% | 6.6 | 15.2 | 0 | 21.8 | 3.8 | 29.5 | 3 | 36.3 | 0 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.7 | 23 | 5.1 | 35.9 |  |


|  | 5th ST Southbound |  |  |  | HARRISON ST <br> Westbound |  |  |  | 5th ST <br> Northbound |  |  |  | HARRISON ST <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | I-80 WB OFF-RAMP <br> Northwestbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 16:00 to 17:45-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 17:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 | 50 | 113 | 0 | 163 | 37 | 287 | 35 | 359 | 0 | 34 | 9 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 200 | 42 | 302 | 867 |
| 17:15 | 55 | 142 | 0 | 197 | 38 | 265 | 37 | 340 | 0 | 56 | 8 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 192 | 41 | 318 | 919 |
| 17:30 | 58 | 137 | 0 | 195 | 42 | 282 | 27 | 351 | 0 | 57 | 7 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 190 | 46 | 317 | 927 |
| 17:45 | 53 | 119 | 0 | 172 | 42 | 296 | 29 | 367 | 0 | 55 | 8 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 192 | 43 | 306 | 908 |
| Total Volume | 216 | 511 | 0 | 727 | 159 | 1130 | 128 | 1417 | 0 | 202 | 32 | 234 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 297 | 774 | 172 | 1243 | 3621 |
| \% App. Total | 29.7 | 70.3 | 0 |  | 11.2 | 79.7 | 9 |  | 0 | 86.3 | 13.7 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 23.9 | 62.3 | 13.8 |  |  |
| PHF | . 931 | . 900 | . 000 | . 923 | . 946 | . 954 | . 865 | . 965 | . 000 | . 886 | . 889 | . 914 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 874 | . 968 | . 935 | . 977 | . 977 |



File Name : 10-bryant-a Site Code : 3
Latitude: 37.770355
Start Date : 12/6/2016
Longitude: -122.409726

| Groups Printed- Vehicles Only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10th STSouthbound |  |  |  |  | BRYANT ST <br> Westbound |  |  |  | 10th ST <br> Northbound |  |  |  | BRYANT ST Eastbound |  |  |  |  | US 101 SB ON-RAMP <br> Northwestbound |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | RT | TH | to 101 | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | to 101 | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 | 0 | 126 | 221 | 83 | 430 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 58 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 506 |
| 07:15 | 0 | 143 | 162 | 108 | 413 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 79 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 |
| 07:30 | 0 | 150 | 172 | 113 | 435 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 112 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 565 |
| 07:45 | 0 | 174 | 172 | 129 | 475 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 110 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 602 |
| Total | 0 | 593 | 727 | 433 | 1753 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 50 | 359 | 0 | 420 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2173 |
| 08:00 | 0 | 194 | 182 | 141 | 517 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 107 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 637 |
| 08:15 | 0 | 196 | 155 | 134 | 485 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 130 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 627 |
| 08:30 | 0 | 202 | 168 | 155 | 525 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 156 | 0 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 694 |
| 08:45 | 0 | 214 | 184 | 159 | 557 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 17 | 145 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 725 |
| Total | 0 | 806 | 689 | 589 | 2084 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 47 | 538 | 0 | 599 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2683 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 1399 | 1416 | 1022 | 3837 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 97 | 897 | 0 | 1019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4856 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 36.5 | 36.9 | 26.6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2.5 | 9.5 | 88 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 28.8 | 29.2 | 21 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 2 | 18.5 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


|  | 10th ST Southbound |  |  |  |  | BRYANT ST Westbound |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10th ST } \\ \text { Northbound } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | BRYANT ST <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | US 101 SB ON-RAMP <br> Northwestbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | RT | TH | to 101 | LT | App. Toal | RT | TH | LT | App. Toal | RT | TH | LT | App. Toal | RT | to 101 | TH | LT | App. Toal | RT | TH | LT | App. Toal | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 to 08:45-Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 08:00

| 08:00 | 0 | 194 | 182 | 141 | 517 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 107 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 637 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:15 | 0 | 196 | 155 | 134 | 485 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 130 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 627 |
| 08:30 | 0 | 202 | 168 | 155 | 525 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 156 | 0 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 694 |
| 08:45 | 0 | 214 | 184 | 159 | 557 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 17 | 145 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 725 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 806 | 689 | 589 | 2084 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 47 | 538 | 0 | 599 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2683 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 38.7 | 33.1 | 28.3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2.3 | 7.8 | 89.8 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 942 | . 936 | . 926 | . 935 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 583 | . 691 | . 862 | . 000 | . 886 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 925 |



File Name : 10-bryant-p Site Code : 3
Latitude: 37.770355
Start Date : 12/6/2016
Longitude: -122.409726

| Groups Printed- Vehicles Only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10th STSouthbound |  |  |  |  | BRYANT ST <br> Westbound |  |  |  | 10th STNorthbound |  |  |  | BRYANT ST <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | US 101 SB ON-RAMP <br> Northwestbound |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | RT | TH | to 101 | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | to 101 | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 16:00 | 0 | 136 | 157 | 113 | 406 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 25 | 138 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 583 |
| 16:15 | 0 | 185 | 172 | 125 | 482 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 22 | 119 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 635 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 171 | 172 | 133 | 476 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 26 | 127 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 640 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 155 | 165 | 112 | 432 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 20 | 149 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 617 |
| Total | 0 | 647 | 666 | 483 | 1796 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 93 | 533 | 0 | 679 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2475 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 174 | 172 | 103 | 449 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 21 | 122 | 0 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 606 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 148 | 137 | 113 | 398 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 23 | 143 | 0 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 574 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 180 | 143 | 115 | 438 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 25 | 132 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 602 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 167 | 125 | 120 | 412 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 27 | 147 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 594 |
| Total | 0 | 669 | 577 | 451 | 1697 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 96 | 544 | 0 | 679 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2376 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 1316 | 1243 | 934 | 3493 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 189 | 1077 | 0 | 1358 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4851 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 37.7 | 35.6 | 26.7 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 6.8 | 13.9 | 79.3 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 27.1 | 25.6 | 19.3 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.9 | 3.9 | 22.2 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


|  | 10th ST Southbound |  |  |  |  | BRYANT ST Westbound |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10th ST } \\ \text { Northbound } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | BRYANT ST <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | US 101 SB ON-RAMP <br> Northwestbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | RT | TH | to 101 | LT | App. Toal | RT | TH | LT | App. Toal | RT | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | to 101 | TH | LT | App. Total | RT | TH | LT | App. Toal | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 16:00 to 17:45-Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 16:15

| 16:15 | 0 | 185 | 172 | 125 | 482 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 22 | 119 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 635 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:30 | 0 | 171 | 172 | 133 | 476 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 26 | 127 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 640 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 155 | 165 | 112 | 432 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 20 | 149 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 617 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 174 | 172 | 103 | 449 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 21 | 122 | 0 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 606 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 685 | 681 | 473 | 1839 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 89 | 517 | 0 | 659 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2498 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 37.2 | 37 | 25.7 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 8 | 13.5 | 78.5 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 926 | . 990 | . 889 | . 954 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 828 | . 856 | . 867 | . 000 | . 891 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | 976 |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Data <br> Peak Hour Begins at 16:15 <br> Vehicles Only |  |
|  |  |  |
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# Appendix C: <br> Recommended Concept Improvements 

Attachment 1

## VISION ZERO SF <br> RAMP INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT CONCEPTS




## HARRISON STREET / 5TH STREET

| \# | Location | Type of Improvement | Safety Purpose | Collision Type Addressed | Proposed Project Completion Timeline | Proposed Implementation and Next Steps | Draft Planning Cost Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | NW corner (SB 5th St approach) | Signal upgrade - nearside traffic signal | Improve signal visibility | Rear end and T-bone | 3-5 years | Funding and design for intersection signal upgrade. | \$375,000 |
| 4 | NE \& SW corner (NB \& SB 5th St approach) | Signal upgrade - traffic signal mast arm poles | Improve signal visibility | Rear end and T-bone |  |  |  |
| 6 | NW, NE, SE, and south corner | Upgrade signal heads from 8" to 12" | Improve signal visibility | Rear end and T-bone |  |  |  |
| 8 | NB 5th St approach | Convert permissive left turn to pro tected lagging left turn | Reduce left turn collisions | Reduce left turn collisions |  |  |  |
| 10 | Entire intersection | Improve street lighting | Improve overall visibility at intersection | All types |  |  |  |
| 5 | Pedestrian phases crossing Harrison and SB 5th St | Program a leading pedestrian interval | Improve pedestrian visibility in intersection | Pedestrian crash in crosswalk | within 1 year | Re-time signal. | \$5,000 |
| Civil Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | NE corner | Install corner bulb-out | Shorten pedestrian crossing distance | Pedestrian crash in crosswalk | $3-5$ years | Coordination with other civil projects. Design and funding. | \$150,000 |
| 9 | NB 5th St approach | Install new pedestrian crossing | Improve pedestrian access |  |  |  |  |
| Signing / Striping Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | WB Harrison approach | Install advance stop bar | Reduce instances of crosswalk blocking | Pedestrian crash in crosswalk | 1-3 years | Funding and design. | \$50,000 |
| 2 | SW corner (SB 5th approach) | Install temporary bulb-out | Encourage slower vehicular turning | Pedestrian crash in crosswalk |  |  |  |
| 2 | SB 5th Street approach | Install temporary median | Encourage slower vehicular turning | Pedestrian crash in crosswalk |  |  |  |
| Other Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Entire intersection | Install bicycle network improvements | Improve bicycle access | All types | Complete 5th Street corridor planning. | Funding and design. | TBD |
| HARRISON STREET / 5TH STREET |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal | \$580,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Planning \& Outreach (5\%) | \$29,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Design (15\%) | \$87,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 30\% Contingency | \$208,800 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \$904,800 |



EXISTING CONDITIONS
IMPROVEMENT CONCEPTS：：
（1）INSTALL NEARSIDE TRAFFIC SIGNAL
2）INSTALL FARSIDE TRAFFIC SIGNAL．CO PROVISION OF PROTECTED PHASING．
INSTALL PEDESTRIAN BULB
（3）INSTALL PEDESTRIAN BULB
4）UPGRADE 8＂TRAFFIC SIGNAL HEADS TO 12＂
（5）INSTALL HIGH－VISIBILITY STAGGERED CROSSWALK MARKINGS AND STOP BARS

とOLVヨNITヨロ ヨN甘า $\alpha N \forall$ SONIXY LINES
（7）INSTALL CANTILEVERED OVERHEAD SIGN TO DESIGNATE LANE ASSIGNMENTS

8）CONSIDER TEMPORARY INSTALLATION OF BULB UNTIL

5TH STREET STREETSCAPE PROJECT PLANNING IS

足
IMPROVEMENTS ON 5TH STREET DURING NEXT DESIGN
 ＊ALL PHYSICAL IMPROVEMENTS WILL REQUIRE CALTRANS APPROVAL $\infty$（o）


BRYANT STREET／5TH STREET


EXISTING CONDITIONS
IMPROVEMENT CONCEPTS: (1) INSTALL NEARSIDE TRAFFIC SIGNAL
(2) INSTALL TRAFFIC SIGNAL MAST ARM POLE
(3) UPGRADE 8" TRAFFIC SIGNAL HEADS TO 12"
(4) CONSIDER OFF-RAMP STRIPING CHANGE
PENDING ON ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC ANAYSIS AND
CALTRANS REVIEW
(5) CONSIDER POTENTIAL INSTALLATION OF A
PEDESTRIAN BULB PENDING OFF-RAMP STRIPING
CHANGE
*ALL PHYSICAL IMPROVEMENTS WILL REQUIRE CALTRANS APPROVAL


HARRISON STREET / 8TH STREET

| \# | Location | Type of Improvement | Safety Purpose | Collision Type Addressed | Proposed Project Completion Timeline | Proposed Implementation and Next Steps | Draft Planning Cost Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | NE \& SE corners (EB Harrison approach) | Signal upgrade - nearside traffic signal | Improve signal visibility | Rear end and T-bone | 3-5 Years | Funding and design for intersection signal upgrade. | \$375,000 |
| 2 | NW corner (WB Harrison approach) | Signal upgrade - traffic signal mast arm poles | Improve signal visibility | Rear end and T-bone |  |  |  |
| 3 | SE corner (WB I-80 off-ramp approach) | Upgrade signal heads from 8" to 12" | Improve signal visibility | Rear end and T-bone |  |  |  |
| Civil Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | SW corner | Install corner bulb-out | Shorten pedestrian crossing distance | Ped crash in Xwalk | 3-5 Years | Coordination with westbound I-80 offramp project (\#4) | \$100,000 |
| Other Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | WB I-80 off-ramp approach | Consider lane striping change to eliminate one off-ramp lane | Calm traffic from off-ramp approach | All types | 3-5 Years | Planning, analysis and coordination with Caltrans D4. Funding and design. | \$20,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal | \$495,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Planning \& Outreach (5\%) | \$25,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Design (15\%) | \$74,250 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 30\% Contingency | \$178,275 |
| HARRISON STREET / 8TH STREET |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \$772,525 |


EXISTING CONDITIONS
IMPROVEMENT CONCEPTS:


©



BRYANT STREET / 10TH STREET
*All physcial improvements will require Caltrans approval


## Contact Us

Colin Dentel-Post Senior Transportation Planner colin.dentel-post@sfcta.org
415.522 .4836
Priyoti Ahmed
ıәuиeld uoḷeนodsueл」
priyoti.ahmed@sfcta.org
415.522.4819
www.sfcta.org/NTIP-vision-zero-ramp-intersection-study
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## EXISTING CONDITIONS REPORT

## 1. Executive Summary

## 1.1 | Introduction

The Vision Zero Ramp Intersection Study seeks to improve safety for all road users in the South of Market, especially the most vulnerable populations, to support progress towards the city's Vision Zero goal. This report summarizes existing conditions for the five study intersections, which are the focus of the first phase of work. It includes information on land use development and planned projects in the study area; conditions for bicycling, walking, transit, and an analysis of collisions at each of the study intersections.

Figure 1 Study Intersections - Collisions; Land Use Context; Traffic Conditions

| TOTAL INJ URY COLLISIONS 2011-2015 ${ }^{1}$ | TOP COLLISION TYPES | EST. EXPECTED NEW RESIDENTS, EMPLOYEES WITHIN $1 / 4$ MILE $^{2}$ | OTHER NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8th and Harrison off ramp |  |  |  |
| 9 | Mix of collision types; none dominant | 1500 |  |
| 10th and Bryant on ramp |  |  |  |
| 17 | Most involve vehicles traveling southbound on 10th and turning left onto the freeway or Bryant Streets and colliding with one another while turning. | 1,400 | Severe P.M. peak traffic congestion on this ramp |
| 5th and Bryant on ramp |  |  |  |
| 16 | Most involve vehicles turning left from 5th onto Bryant colliding with through traffic on 5th Street, and red light running -related collisions resulting in broadside, or " t -bone" type crashes. High share of bicycleinvolved collisions. | 12,200 | Severe P.M. peak traffic congestion on this ramp |
| 5th and Harrison off ramp |  |  |  |
| 20 | Most involve vehicles turning left from 5th onto Harrison and colliding vehicles or bicyclists travelling southbound on 5th Street. Three fatal collisions (involving four fatalities) also occurred at this intersection, all located on the off-ramp. High share of bicyclist-involved and nighttime collisions. | 11,200 | Ramp has a tight curve at the end. |
| 9th and Bryant off ramp |  |  |  |
| 10 | Most involve vehicles failing to yield to pedestrians in the northern crosswalk on 9th Street, or vehicles being rear-ended on eastbound Bryant. | 1,100 |  |

[^3] Departments Crossroads database, which does not include California Highway Patrol reported collisions that occur on state facilities, with the exception of fatalities which are tracked by the San Francisco Department of Public Health. The dataset is missing any non-fatal CHPreported collisions 2011-2013.
2 - San Francisco Development Pipeline 2016 Quarter 1, San Francisco Transportation Sustainability Fee (Tsf) Nexus Study.

Figure 2 summarizes the characteristics of the study streets, including whether they make up part of the city's modal priority network or high injury corridor, and whether they have been identified for capital project improvement.

Figure 2 Study Streets - Multimodal Network Designation \& Planned Capital Projects

| STUDY STREET NAME | MODAL NETWORKS \& HIGH INJ URY CORRIDORS ${ }^{4}$ | POTENTIAL OR PLANNED CAPITAL PROJ ECTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harrison Street | Priority street for transit (other primary), streetscape (between $\left.4^{\text {th }} \& 6^{\text {th }}\right)$; vehicle high injury corridor | Project to implement Central SoMa Plan recommendations (e.g. reconfiguration to add transit only lane, widened sidewalks) [start expected 2016] ${ }^{1,2}$ <br> 27-Bryant: Tenderloin Transit Reliability Enhancements- install up to ten transit bulbs along the route at approximately 20 intersections [start expected fall 2016]. |
| Bryant Street | Priority street for transit (other primary), streetscape (between $\left.4^{\text {th }} \& 6^{\text {th }}\right)$ | Project to implement Central SoMa Plan recommendations (e.g. reconfiguration to add transit only lane, widened sidewalks) [start expected 2016] ${ }^{1,2}$ |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Street | Priority street for transit (other primary), bicycle; bicycle high injury corridor | $5^{\text {th }}$ Street Bicycle Project [start expected 2016]. ${ }^{2}$ |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Street | Priority street for transit (other primary), bicycle | $7^{\text {th }} / 8^{\text {th }}$ Street Streetscape Project/Vision Zero Priority Project ${ }^{2,3}$ - design expected by fall 2017. Safety measures to include design of buffered and/ or protected bike lanes, concrete boarding islands, sidewalk bulbs, and traffic signal modifications. |

[^4]
## 2. Introduction

## 2.1 | Background

The Vision Zero Ramp Intersection Study seeks to improve safety for all road users in the South of Market, especially the most vulnerable populations, to support progress towards the city's Vision Zero goal. Ramp intersections are the study focus because they have higher than average concentrations of traffic injuries and fatalities. Addressing safety issues at ramp intersections requires a unique approach due to the need to coordinate between the state highway agencies that own and operate the freeway ramps and the city agencies that manage city streets.

The Study is proceeding in two phases, with the first phase focusing developing short-term safety improvements for up to five intersections within the South of Market Youth and Family Special Use District (SUD), an area characterized by high concentrations of senior centers, single-room occupancy hotels, and schools. The second phase will look at up to ten intersections throughout the entire South of Market area. This document presents existing conditions at the Phase I study intersections, focusing on analysis of traffic collisions. The study intersections are:

- I-80 Eastbound on-ramp from $5^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant Streets
- I-80 Westbound off-ramp to $5^{\text {th }}$ and Harrison Streets
- I-80 Westbound off-ramp to $8^{\text {th }} \&$ Harrison Streets
- US 101 Northbound off-ramp to $9^{\text {th }} \&$ Bryant Streets
- US 101 Southbound on-ramp from $10^{\text {th }} \&$ Bryant Streets

The study team selected these intersections based on the frequency of traffic injuries and fatalities and other considerations - see study Memorandum 1 for detail (available on request).

## 2.2 | Report organization

This report is organized as follows:

- Chapter 3 describes the land use context around the study intersections, including the amount of new development expected.
- Chapter 4 describes the transit, bicycle, pedestrian and vehicular traffic networks in the study area.
- Chapter 5 describes previous planning work and capital projects relevant to the study area.
- Chapter 6 provides a detailed analysis of previous collisions at each of the study intersections.


## 3. Land use context

The South of Market (SoMa) is characterized by a grid of wide, multi-lane arterial streets and a mix of residential, light industrial and office land uses. It is San Francisco's fastest-growing neighborhood, and is programmed to receive almost 20,000 new residents and 50,000 new jobs by $2040^{1}$ - more than any other San Francisco priority development area, and together representing 20 percent of all growth in San Francisco by 2040. This growth could increase the number of traffic collisions occurring in SoMa and at ramp intersections. Figure $\mathbf{3}$ provides an estimate of the new employees and residents expected within a quarter mile of each of the study intersections, according to data from the SF Planning Department's development pipeline, ${ }^{2}$ and shows that the number of new residents/employees expected is far greater around the intersections of $5^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant and $5^{\text {th }}$ and Harrison than other study intersections.

Figure 3 Development Pipeline - Estimated New Residents and Employees within $1 / 4$ Mile of Study Intersection

| Intersection | Residential <br> Units in 1/4 <br> mile | Est. new <br> residents | Commercial Sq. Ft. <br> in 1/4 mile | Est. new <br> employees | Total est. residents <br> and employees |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8th Street and <br> Harrison Street | 578 | 1,341 | 30,031 | 132 | 1,473 |
| 10th Street and <br> Bryant Street | 546 | 1,267 | 41,989 | 129 | 1,396 |
| 5th Street and <br> Bryant Street | 345 | 800 | $2,781,571$ | 11,394 | 12,194 |
| 5th Street and <br> Harrison Street | 235 | 545 | $2,917,416$ | 10,665 | 11,210 |
| 9th Street and <br> Bryant Street | 448 | 1,039 | 26,961 | 91 | 1,130 |

Source: San Francisco Transportation Sustainability Fee (Tsf) Nexus Study, San Francisco Development Pipeline 2016 Quarter 1.
Table A-4: Service Population, Building Space, and Trip Generation Rates:
Sq.ft per employee: 498
Avg. residents per unit: 2.32
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## 4. Bicycle, Pedestrian, Transit, and Traffic Conditions

This chapter discusses conditions for bicycle, pedestrian, transit, and automobile traffic within the study area and on the study streets in particular.

## 4.1 | Bicycle

Figure 4 illustrates bicycle routes in the study area along with the infrastructure currently available on each. A mix of buffered and Class II bike lanes exist along Howard, Folsom, $7^{\text {th }}$, and $8^{\text {th }}$ Streets. $5^{\text {th }}$ Street has greenbacked sharrows only.

Figure 4 Bicycle Network Map
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## Other



[^6]Figure 5 shows the results of SFMTA's 2013 study of "Level of Traffic Stress" for bicyclists, and illustrates that most of the bicycle routes in the study area are very stressful for most bicyclists, especially when compared to other parts of the city. $5^{\text {th }}$ Street, which is also a Vision Zero high injury corridor for bicyclists, is rated LTS 4, or "tolerated only by the strong and fearless." $5^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ Streets are also part of the "Primary" bicycle network ${ }^{3}$, and will be prioritized for safety improvements in conjunction with other transportation and development projects.

Figure 5 Level of Traffic Stress


## Level of Traffic Stress

## 1 Comfortable for everyone

2 Comfortable for most intermediate adults and experienced children
3 Comfortable for intermediate and experienced adults
4 Tolerated only by the "strong and fearless"

## 4.2 | Pedestrian

All of the study intersections have poor pedestrian conditions and are in need of improvements. San Francisco's 2017 High Injury Network (HIN) - compiled using the San Francisco Department of Public Health's (SFDPH) Transportation Injury Surveillance System (TISS), which compiles data from San Francisco General Hospital medical records and San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) incident

[^7]reports - shows that all five intersections are along at least one HIN corridor. At the $5^{\text {th }}$ and Harrison, $9^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant, and $10^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant intersections, both streets are included in the 2017 HIN, whereas the $5^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant and $8^{\text {th }}$ and Harrison intersections consist of only one HIN street (5 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street and Harrison Street, respectively).

Issues affecting pedestrian access and comfort at multiple study intersections include:

- Wide streets with high vehicle volumes and travel speeds;
- Long pedestrian crossing distances;
- Narrow sidewalks;
- Two closed crosswalks: one at Harrison Street and 5th Street and another at Bryant Street and 10th Street; and
- Vehicles queuing at freeway on-ramps frequently block crosswalks.

The Planning Department's draft Central SoMa Plan (revised version published 2016, final pending) recommends improvements to similarly narrow sidewalks in its plan area (which includes the two of this study's intersections along 5th Street), including widening them to a minimum of 12 feet. The draft Plan calls for several new midblock crossings on Harrison and Bryant Streets between 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Street and 6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street, as well as opening a previously closed crosswalk at $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and Harrison Street.

## 4.3 | Transit

Currently, 10 Muni routes serve the study area, of which the $8,8 \mathrm{AX}, 30$, and 47 , all have service headways of less than 10 minutes during the day on weekdays. These frequent routes travel along 5th Street and/or Harrison and Bryant streets through the study intersections. 8th Street and $9^{\text {th }}$ Street serve less-frequent transit routes through study intersections. Figure 6 shows Muni service in and around the study intersections.

Figure 6: Municipal Transportation Services at Study Intersection Ramps


Source: Muni bus routes and stops, https:// www.sfmta.com/ getting-around/transit/routes-stops

## 4.4 | Automobile traffic

SoMa's roadway network includes the elevated I-80, I-280, and US-101 freeways above a grid of arterial streets and local streets all with 25 m. p.h. speed limits. Many of the arterials are one-way, multi-lane (typically 4-5 lane) streets designed primarily to accommodate large flows of vehicular traffic. Each study intersection has five legs, four to serve the grid of city streets and an additional on- or off-ramp leg. Many of the approach legs have multiple lanes serving one or more turning movements, with some lanes requiring turns while others allow optional turning movements, resulting in complicated traffic patterns.

To obtain a general picture of traffic congestion levels, the project team reviewed SoMa streets with Google Maps' Typical Traffic feature, which collects speed and location data from users' mobile phones to create an index for vehicle speeds on any given road. Figure 7 illustrates traffic conditions during the AM peak ( $7 \mathrm{am}-9 \mathrm{am}$ ) and PM peak ( $4 \mathrm{pm}-6 \mathrm{pm}$ ) periods in SoMa (ranging from green for uncongested and dark red for slow/congested). On freeways, traffic is relatively free-flowing on westbound I-80, southbound I-280, and southbound US-101 during the morning commute as indicated by Typical Traffic's green to orange rating. However, northbound U.S. 101 is congested on the Central Freeway and eastbound I-80 experiences moderate to high congestion, especially between 5th Street and 7th Street approaching the Bay Bridge. Traffic congestion in the PM peak period is significantly worse than in the morning throughout the SoMa freeway network, particularly on eastbound I-80. Congestion begins on both I-80 E and US-101 N as early as 1:30pm.

Typical Traffic indicates that congestion on the street network exhibits similar patterns, with morning congestion primarily on northbound streets in the one or two blocks approaching Market Street, while the PM peak has more widespread congestion across the SoMa street network. PM peak congestion is especially high on streets approaching freeway on-ramps. Freeway congestion, especially during the PM
peak, frequently results in on-ramp queues spilling back across study intersections to upstream blocks. Both the study team and community stakeholders frequently observed these queues resulting in blocked crosswalks and intersections.

Figure 7 Typical traffic in the SoMa- AM and PM Peak


Source: Google maps, typical traffic conditions, accessed J une 2016.

Figure 8 illustrates how congestion affects ramps at the study intersections. The I-80 eastbound on-ramp from $5^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant streets and the US-101 southbound on-ramp from $10^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant streets experience the most severe traffic congestion during the PM peak period, while the remaining ramps have moderate traffic congestion throughout the day.

Figure 8 Typical traffic conditions on study intersection ramps

| Traffic conditions on study ramps | AM Peak (7-9am) | Off-peak (12-2pm) | PM Peak (4-6pm) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I-80 WB off-ramp to 5th/Harrison Streets |  |  |  |
| I-80 EB on-ramp from 5th/Bryant Streets |  |  |  |
| US-101 SB on-ramp from 10th/Bryant Streets |  |  |  |
| US-101 NB off-ramp to 9th/Bryant Streets |  |  |  |
| I-80 WB off-ramp at 8th Street |  |  |  |
| Fast |  |  |  |

Figure 9 Observed AM and PM period vehicle queues


## FEHRケPEERS

## OBSERVED AM AND PM PEAK PERIOD VEHICLE QUEUES

Figure 9 shows the observed vehicle queue during AM and PM peak hour in SoMa. This figure is developed for Central SoMa EIR in which the SF Planning Department is proposing to rezone the area (southern portion of upcoming central subway transit line) to increase the allowable mixed-uses developments in SoMa. To estimate the existing traffic condition, the City collected 80 intersections traffic counts in April 2013. This queuing figure is generated from the collected traffic counts.

During PM peak hour, there is observed queue on north and south side of I-80 on/off ramp (5th Street and Bryant Street). The queue of I-80 on/off ramp would extend from 5th Street and Folsom Street to 5th Street and Brannan Street. The observed queue on I-80 westbound off ramp at 8th Street and Bryant Street would extend to 10th Street and Bryant Street. The study area does not include on/off ramps of US-101 at 10th Street/Bryant Street.

## 5. Multi-modal network \& previous projects

## 5.1 | Overview

This chapter summarizes previous projects and planning efforts relevant to the study streets and study area. Figure 10 provides an overview summary for each of the study streets, including whether the street is included on a priority modal network (as discussed in the previous chapter), a Vision Zero high injury corridor, and whether any major capital projects are planned for the street. More detail on the projects is provided below.

Figure 10 Study Streets - Multimodal Network Designation \& Planned Capital Projects

| STUDY STREET NAME | MODAL NETWORKS | HIGH INJ URY CORRIDORS | POTENTIAL OR PLANNED CAPITAL PROJ ECTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harrison Street | Transit (other primary), streetscape (between $4^{\text {th }} \& 6^{\text {th }}$ ), | Vehicle | Project to implement Central SoMa Plan recommendations (e.g. reconfiguration to add transit only lane, widened sidewalks) [start expected 2016] ${ }^{1,2}$ <br> 27-Bryant: Tenderloin Transit Reliability Enhancements- install up to ten transit bulbs along the route at approximately 20 intersections [start expected fall 2016]. |
| Bryant Street | Transit (other primary), streetscape (between $4^{\text {th }} \& 6^{\text {th }}$ ) |  | Project to implement Central SoMa Plan recommendations (e.g. reconfiguration to add transit only lane, widened sidewalks) [start expected 2016] ${ }^{1,2}$ <br> 27-Bryant: Tenderloin Transit Reliability Enhancements- install up to ten transit bulbs along the route at approximately 20 intersections [start expected fall 2016]. |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Street | Transit (other primary), bicycle | Bicycle | $5^{\text {th }}$ Street Bicycle Project [start expected 2016]. ${ }^{2}$ <br> 27-Bryant: Tenderloin Transit Reliability Enhancements- install up to ten transit bulbs along the route at approximately 20 intersections [start expected fall 2016]. |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Street | Transit (other primary), bicycle |  | $7^{\text {th }} / 8^{\text {th }}$ Street Streetscape Project/Vision Zero Priority Project ${ }^{2,3}$ [design expected by fall 2017]. Safety measures to include design of buffered and/ or protected bike lanes, concrete boarding islands, sidewalk bulbs, and traffic signal modifications. |
| $9^{\text {th }}$ Street | Transit (secondary) | Pedestrian (between Market and Bryant Streets) |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { Street } \end{aligned}$ | N/A |  |  |

1 - Source: Central SoMa Plan. SFMTA's 2017-2021 Capital Plan also identifies a project to implement the recommendations of the Central SoMa Plan. Project start expected in 2016.
2 - SFMTA 2017-2021 Capital Improvement Program.
3 - Vision Zero Priority Project list.

## 5.2 | Summary of Relevant Planning Efforts and Major Capital Projects

We reviewed several sources, including the SFMTA 2017-2021 Capital Improvement Program, the SFMTA Vision Zero priority projects lists, the SF Public Works' Envista Database, the SFCTA's Web Site and mystreetSF project portal, and other sources to identify planning studies and capital projects relevant to the study area. These are summarized below:

## PLANNED OR POTENTIAL CAPITAL PROJECTS

- Central SoMa Plan \& Plan Implementation Project. The Central SoMa Plan, led by the San Francisco Planning Department, seeks to improve safety and livability along and around 4th St. between Townsend and Market streets in anticipation of projected job and population growth, and the arrival of the Central Subway. It recommends adding more signalized mid-block crossings on all major streets in the plan area and adding wider sidewalks and other upgrades to streets throughout the study area, including Harrison and Byrant Streets. It also recommended study of consolidating study certain on-ramps and off-ramps in the SoMa area and vicinity to improve pedestrian and bicycle safety, enhance transit performance, facilitate local vehicular access, and allow for improved land use and urban design treatments. The Central SoMa Draft Plan is expected to be completed in 2016. SFMTA's 2017-2024 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) includes a project to develop an implementation plan for transportation projects in the plan. Locations to be studied include 4th Street (Market Street to Harrison Street), 3rd Street (Market Street to Townsend Street), Harrison Street (2nd Street to 6th Street), Bryant Street (2nd Street to 6th Street), and Brannan Street (2nd Street to 6th Street). Potential projects may include road diets, parking modifications, sidewalk widening, midblock crossings, bike facilities, transit-only lanes, and other safety treatments and transportation enhancements.
- $5^{\text {th }}$ Street bicycle strategy: This project may include installing dedicated bicycle facilities in both directions on 5th Street between Mission and Townsend Streets. The project will upgrade the existing green-back sharrows with increased bicycle separation, which may include cycle tracks. The project could be ready for implementation with the completion of the Central Subway and the relocation of Muni service to 4th Street.
- $7^{\text {th }}$ Street and $8^{\text {th }}$ Street Streetcape project: This project will implement bicycle and pedestrian improvements along 7th and 8th Street between Harrison Street and Market Street, aligned with the Eastern Neighborhoods Transportation Implementing Planning Study (ENTRIPS). The scope will include a concrete buffered bike lane, concrete boarding islands, potential alley traffic signals, sidewalk bulbs, new striping, traffic lane reduction, safe hit posts, and possibly a limited amount of paving. The project is expected to be initiated in 2016.
- $\mathbf{N o M a} /$ SoMa Signal Retiming \& Upgrades: This project will upgrade and retime up to 345 signalized intersections in the northeast quadrant of San Francisco, which includes 251 intersections in the North of Market ( NoMa ) neighborhood and 94 intersections in the South of Market (SoMa) neighborhood. This project will also replace aging controllers that are approaching the end of their service life cycles. Newer controllers will provide much-improved reliability and require less maintenance. This project will also allow the Agency to retire older controllers that are prone to "clock drift", causing them to lose coordination with adjacent signals on the network, which typically leads to increased delays and congestion. The project is expected to begin in 2017.
- Bicycle and Pedestrian Spot Improvements. Numerous bicycle and pedestrian safety spot improvements are underway or recently completed in the study area, including pedestrian countdown signals at multiple locations, spot improvements around Bessie Carmichael Elementary School, and green-backed sharrows on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street.


## PLANNING STUDIES

- Eastern Neighborhoods Transportation Implementation Planning Study: Led by SFMTA, the Eastern Neighborhoods Transportation Implementation Planning Study (EN TRIPS) begins
to implement the transportation vision established in the Eastern Neighborhoods area plans. Under the Folsom and Howard streets between 5th and 11th streets have been prioritized for analysis and investment because of expected residential and employment growth and community priority. These segments were identified as an area of need by participants in the EN TRIPS community workshops, Eastern Neighborhoods area plans process, and Western SoMa Community Task Force. This plan identifies Folsom St. as the highest priority for pedestrian improvements, and recommends several alternatives for reconfiguring the street to better accommodate transit, bicycles, and pedestrians.
- Western SoMa Comnunity Plan: This plan created a special use district in the western portion of the SoMa neighborhood in 2008 (see projects map for boundaries). Last updated in 2013, this plan enacts a set of amendments to the city's zoning code to maintain and enhance the neighborhood quality of life. It recommends reducing traffic speeds, restoring two-way streets, and installing mid-block crosswalks and sidewalk bulb outs where appropriate.
- Western SoMa Neighborhood Transportation Plan: The Western SoMa Neighborhood Transportation Plan supports the implementation of the Western SoMa Community Plan's transportation policy recommendations. Adopted in March 2012, the plan calls for signalized mid-block crossings, traffic calming measures, and streetscape improvements along Minna, Natoma, and Ringold streets.
- Core Circulation Study. As part of developing the 2014 San Francisco Transportation Plan, the SFCTA completed a study of traffic circulation in the downtown and South of Market Neighborhoods. The study examined several approaches to reducing future congestion, including conversion of freeway lanes and ramps from general purpose lanes to transit/carpool lanes. The study found that "a strategy that would close or re-purpose some ramps or travel lanes for use by transit- or carpool-only may both increase the competitiveness of non-single occupant vehicle modes and improve the throughput of those facilities that may be degraded by closely spaced interchanges (which are about 0.5-0.7 miles apart throughout SoMa).


## OTHER RELEVANT PROJECTS

Other major projects in the vicinity that do not intersect with study streets but simultaneous constructions of all projects may cause traffic congestion.

- Central Subway \& 4th Street pedestrian bulbouts: The Central Subway Project is a light rail line that will direct transit link between the Bayshore and Mission Bay areas to SoMa, downtown, and Chinatown. SFMTA's CIP calls for improving the intersections of 4th Street at Bryant Street and Harrison in coordination with construction of the Central Subway. Bulb-outs are not currently in the Central Subway scope of work, but the contract plans call for the opening of the crosswalk across the I-80 on-ramp and for the installation of signal equipment to support this new crosswalk. The inclusion of bulb-outs, particularly at the I-80 on-and off-ramps at Harrison and Bryant Streets, respectively, will improve pedestrian safety by opening the closed crosswalk across the I-80 on ramp, providing pedestrian space through sidewalk extensions, and decreasing the overall crossing distances for pedestrians.
- 6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street Streetscape project: This project aims to improve street safety and create a more inviting pedestrian environment on 6th Street from Market Street to Brannan Street by removing one lane of vehicle travel in each direction. A broad scope of streetscape improvements will be implemented, including: sidewalk widening, pedestrian safety bulb-outs, raised crosswalks at alleyways, new traffic signals, landscaping, and other improvements to the pedestrian
environment. This project will also remove peak-hour tow-away lanes on 6th Street, and install a class II bike lane on 6th Street from Market Street to Folsom Street to connect to the existing bike network. The project is currently in environmental review.
- Folsom \& Howard Streetscape project: This project involves developing conceptual designs, conduct public outreach, develop detail design plans and initiating construction of streetscape improvements on Folsom Street between The Embarcadero and 11th Street. Streetscape improvements may include: improved bicycle facilities, new corner bulbs and bus bulbs at intersections to reduce pedestrian crossing distances and improve Muni service, transit-only lanes, new signals at midblock locations or alleyways, traffic circulation changes, and construction of raised crosswalks at alleyways. Additional details are outlined in the Central SoMa Environmental Impact Report (EIR). Construction is expected to begin in Spring 2019.
- 27 Bryant: Tenderloin Transit Reliability Enhancements: This project will install up to ten transit bulbs for the 27 Bryant and 31 Balboa in the Tenderloin and through SoMa. Transit signal priority would also be added at approximately 20 intersections. Improvements will reduce travel times and improve reliability for Muni riders. The project is expected to start in 2016.
- 7th Street and Bryant Street Ramp Reconfiguration. SF Public Works is studying the feasibility of relocating the I-80 off ramp at 7th and Bryant Street to create a large site for an office building immediately Northwest of the intersection of 7th Street and Bryant Streets.


# 6. Safety Analysis of Study Intersections and Corridors 

## $6.1 \mid$ Overview

This section analyzes the traffic collision history at the study intersections between 2011-2015. At least 72 injury collisions occurred at study intersections over this period including two severe injuries and five fatalities. The intersections of $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Streets, $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and Harrison Streets, and $10^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Streets had the highest number of collisions. This dataset does not include any non-fatal California-Highway Patrol-reported injuries during 2013-2015.4 The study team believe these collisions are likely to be few in number. Keeping in mind this limitation, the following overall observations can be drawn:

- Most collisions occurred on city street right-ofway. All recorded injury collisions occurred on city streets with the exception of the five fatal collisions that all occurred on state right of way. Some state reported collisions may be missing from the dataset as noted above.
- All five fatal collisions appear to involve a vehicle losing control on or near a ramp, and most involved impact with a median or guardrail. The ramp geometries or design may have been a contributing factor in these cases.
- Most injury collisions involved two vehicles or a vehicle and motorcycle. About $60 \%$ of the injury collisions involved two vehicles (including motorcycles); 11 percent involved a vehicle and bicyclist and 9 percent involved a vehicle and a pedestrian.
- About a third of the collisions occurred at dusk or nighttime. This is likely higher than the share of traffic occurring during the night.
- Collisions involving a turning vehicle were the most common collision type overall.


## Summary of Fatal Collisions

Five fatal collisions occurred at or near the study intersections between 2011-2015. Two involved a single vehicle. One occurred when a scooter lost control while making a left turn onto northbound US-101 south of the 9th Street exit, hit a guardrail, and fell to his death, and another occurred when a motorcyclist heading soutbound on US 101 near 10th street lost control, hit the guardrail, and fell to the street below.

The three remaining fatal collisions all occurred at the 5th Street off-ramp on Harrison Street. One involved a high-speed chase with a stolen car that hit a median on the ramp, rolled over, and burst into flames. The second occurred when an alleged drunk driver missed the ramp's 25 mph right curve, lost control, and ran over a homeless man in a clearing near the ramp. The final incident involved a driver who lost control of her vehicle near the bottom of the ramp and hit the concrete divider, causing the vehicle to roll over. Several occupants were injured and one who had not been wearing a seatbelt was ejected and killed.
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## 6.2 | Collision Analysis

We analyzed police reports to determine which parties were involved in collisions (Figure 11). Overall, collisions at the intersection of $8^{\text {th }}$ and Harrison were more evenly distributed across modes, whereas collisions between vehicles were much more frequent at $10^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Street. We also analyzed which types of traffic violations or behaviors were most frequent causes of each collision (Figure 12). Speeding and cell-phone use may be under-reported since police may not have been presented to observe these behaviors prior to the collision.

Figure 11: 5 Parties involved in collisions by intersection


Figure 12: Collision types by intersection


Figure 13: Collisions by time of day


We also examined the time of day when collisions occurred. As shown in Figure 13, certain intersections such as $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Street experienced more collisions during the AM period, whereas $5^{\text {th }}$ and Harrison experienced more collisions during the night time period.

## 6.3 | Collision Diagrams

This section provides diagrams of all collisions for which we had access to a police report. Appendix B contains short narrative summaries of all the collisions.

## 8TH AND HARRISON

The fewest number of collisions occurred at the intersection of $8^{\text {th }}$ and Harrison. The most common collision types involved stopped vehicles and turning movements.

Reported Injury Collisions:
2011-2015
8th and Harrison 9 total 8th Street $-S / B$ one-way


## 10 ${ }^{\text {TH }}$ STREET AND BRYANT STREET

An especially high number of turning collisions occurred at the intersection of $10^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Street, most due to vehicles negotiating turns and lane changes near other vehicles in adjacent lanes. Almost all collisions happened as vehicles proceeded from $10^{\text {th }}$ Street onto the US-101S ramp or as they made left turns onto Bryant Street. A consistent number of collisions occurred throughout the day, but more collisions were concentrated during the PM and night time periods.


## 5TH STREET AND BRYANT STREET

The intersection of $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Street experiences a high frequency of turning (especially involving vehicles turning left from $5^{\text {th }}$ Street onto Bryant Street colliding with through traffic on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street) and red light running -related collisions resulting in broadside, or "t-bone" type crashes.


## 5TH AND HARRISON

The intersection of 5th and Harrison had the highest number of turning and multiple-injury collisions. Most turn collisions happened when vehicles turned left from $5^{\text {th }}$ onto Harrison and hit vehicles or bicyclists travelling southbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street. Three fatal collisions (involving four fatalities) also occurred at this intersection, all located at the terminus of the off-ramp. This may be may be related to the fact that the ramp has a 25 mph curve at the end. A higher number of collisions also occurred during night time compared to the other study intersections.


## 9TH STREET AND BRYANT STREET

The intersection of 9 th and Bryant Street had the most vehicle/pedestrian conflicts of all five study intersections, mostly resulting from a failure of vehicles to yield to pedestrians in the northern crosswalk on 土 $^{\text {th }}$ Street. More rear-end collisions (most on eastbound Bryant Street before 9th) occurred here than in other intersections. One fatality also occurred near this intersection where a motorcycle lost control, hit the divider of the US 101S Freeway, and fell off the edge.


## Appendix A: Supporting Tables

Summary of Injury collisions 2011-2015* - parties involved, time, severity, and sobriety

|  |  | Parties Involved |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Time | Severity | Sobriety |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Total | Vehped | \% | Veh/b ike | \% | VehVeh ${ }^{a}$ | \% | Other ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \% | Dusk/ ni ghttim e | Severe/f atal | Alcoholinvolved |
| 8th and Harrison | 9 | 2 | 22 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 44 | 2 | 22 | 3 | 0 | oc |
| 10th and Bryant | 17 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 13 | 76 | 1 |  | 7 | 1 | oc |
| 5th and Bryant | 16 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 25 | 10 | 63 |  |  | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| 5th and Harrison | 20 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 30 | 9 | 45 | 4 | 20 | 7 | 4 | $0^{c}$ |
| 9th and Bryant | 10 | 3 | 30 |  |  | 3 | 30 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 1 | $0^{c}$ |
| Total | 72 | 10 |  | 12 |  | 39 |  | 11 |  |  | 7 |  |

[^9]Summary of injury collisions 2011-2015* - collision type

| Intersection | Total | Turning | Speeding | Red light running | Rear- <br> end | Failure to yield to pedestrian | Broadside | Sideswipe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8th and Harrison | 9 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 10th and Bryant | 17 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5th and Bryant | 16 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 2 |
| 5th and Harrison | 20 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| 9th and Bryant | 10 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
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## Appendix A: Supporting Tables

Summary of Injury collisions 2011-2015* - parties involved, time, severity, and sobriety

|  |  | Parties Involved |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Time | Severity | Sobriety |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Total | Vehped | \% | Veh/b ike | \% | VehVeh ${ }^{a}$ | \% | Other ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \% | Dusk/ ni ghttim e | Severe/f atal | Alcoholinvolved |
| 8th and Harrison | 9 | 2 | 22 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 44 | 2 | 22 | 3 | 0 | oc |
| 10th and Bryant | 17 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 13 | 76 | 1 |  | 7 | 1 | oc |
| 5th and Bryant | 16 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 25 | 10 | 63 |  |  | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| 5th and Harrison | 20 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 30 | 9 | 45 | 4 | 20 | 7 | 4 | $0^{c}$ |
| 9th and Bryant | 10 | 3 | 30 |  |  | 3 | 30 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 1 | $0^{c}$ |
| Total | 72 | 10 |  | 12 |  | 39 |  | 11 |  |  | 7 |  |

[^11]Summary of injury collisions 2011-2015* - collision type

| Intersection | Total | Turning | Speeding | Red light running | Rear- <br> end | Failure to yield to pedestrian | Broadside | Sideswipe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8th and Harrison | 9 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 10th and Bryant | 17 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5th and Bryant | 16 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 2 |
| 5th and Harrison | 20 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| 9th and Bryant | 10 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
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# Appendix B Police Report Summaries 

## $8^{\text {th }}$ and Harrison

## 120045906

On $1 / 17 / 2012$ at $12: 30 \mathrm{pm}$, a pedestrian entered the crosswalk starting from the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{W}$ corner of $8^{\text {th }}$ and Harrison on a red light, and was struck by a truck making a left turn onto Harrison. "P1 told me that he saw the green light and started to cross $n / b$ in the crosswalk said he then saw the red hand light and realized the green round light was for the off ramp traffic." The pedestrian was found to be at fault.

## 120115468

On 2/10/2012 at 4:18 pm, a motorcycle stopped on Harrison Street facing West was revving its engine, lost control, and fell over into the intersection.

## 120766920

On 9/23/2012 at 2:00 pm, a bicyclist was "doored" by a parked vehicle facing Westbound on the North side of Harrison Street. The bicyclist was slowing down as the light turned red.

## 121021793

On 12/19/2012 at 5:30 pm , a pedestrian was hit by a vehicle making a right turn from $8^{\text {th }}$ Street onto Harrison. The pedestrian had the right of way when entering the intersection from the Southwest corner, and the driver was found to be at fault for making an unsafe turn, and failing to yield.

## 130125071

On 2/13/2013 at 5:57 pm, a motorcycle had weaved between vehicles on Harrison Street to enter the front of the intersection. The motorcycle and vehicle to its left were stopped on the red light, and as the light turned green, the vehicle ran over the motorcyclist's foot, and as he fell over, his bike caught the rear bumper of the vehicle. The motorcycle was found to be at fault for passing other in the wrong situation.

## 130161376

Single vehicle: "Party 1 stated that he was pedaling Westbound Harrison Street when he did not see the curb in front of him. As he approached the curb line he proceeded to go over the curb as though it were a flat surface When he did this his bike flipped and he flew off the bike."

## 130754335

On 9/9/2013 at 9:01 am, a vehicle rear-ended another vehicle stopped facing Westbound on Harrison Street, and this impact caused the second vehicle to rear-end a bicyclist who was also stopped at the light. Case of unsafe speed.

## 150282532

On 3/31/15 at 11:41 am, a vehicle traveling Southbound on $8^{\text {th }}$ Street ran a red light and hit an SFPD patrol car that was traveling Westbound on Harrison Street in the intersection. The patrol car had a green light at the time and the vehicle was found to be speeding.

## 150792149

On 9/9/2015 at 4:10 pm, a bicyclist traveling Southbound on 8th Street moved to the left side of the number 1 lane to overtake a motorcycle which had slowed to execute a right turn onto westbound Harrison. As he maneuvered to the left side of the lane, he checked over his left shoulder to see if any vehicles were close enough to him to constitute a safety hazard. There, saw the V1- Smart Car that was
traveling southbound on 8th St, in the number 2 lane. As continued into the intersection of 8th and Harrison, his bicycle was hit on the rear left side, knocking him from the bicycle and onto the ground.

## $10^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant

## 110348130

On 4/29/2011 at 5:10 pm, a bicycle was driving down 10th Street in the number two lane when a vehicle in front of the bicyclist was making a left turn onto Bryant Street. The bicyclist couldn't stop as the vehicle made the turn and slid into the car. The bicyclist was found to be at fault for maintaining an unsafe speed.

## 120079030

On 1/28/2012 at 8:40 pm, a skateboarder was riding across $10^{\text {th }}$ street on the South side of the intersection against a red light because he thought the car was turning left onto the freeway. The skateboarder had caused the collision but the vehicle was found to be at fault - unclear explanation on the report.

## 120205514

On 3/12/2012 at 4:39 pm, a vehicle driving Southbound on $10^{\text {th }}$ Street in the number 2 lane made a left turn onto Eastbound Bryant Street in the number 2 lane, and hit another vehicle making the same turn but from the number one lane.

## 120241637

On 3/25/2012 at 12:41 pm, a pedestrian walking Northbound on $10^{\text {th }}$ Street in the crosswalk and was hit by a vehicle making a left turn from the number 2 lane on $10^{\text {th }}$ Street onto Bryant Street. The vehicle was found to be at fault.

## 120370010

On 5/9/2012 at 8:23 pm, a motorcycle was driving Southbound on $10^{\text {th }}$ Street and making a left turn onto Bryant Street in the number two lane when a vehicle traveling in the number 3 lane (FWY only lane) turned left into the number 2 lane, cut off the motorcycle, causing them to swerve, lose control and crash. No contact was made and the motorcyclist had pain in his left thumb and a scratch on his knee.

## 120610026

On 8/2/2012 at 12:10 pm, a tow truck traveling on Bryant Street was rear-ended by another vehicle as they both approached the red light. The person driving the vehicle was found to be at fault for driving at an unsafe speed, as well as for using his cell phone. The officer found the cell phone in the front passenger area with viewed text messages at the approximate time of the collision.

## 120799567

On 10/5/2012 at 3:22 pm, a vehicle turning left onto the freeway was clipped by a motorcycle that was turning left onto Bryant Street. Both were in the number two lane and the motorcycle was found to be at fault for following too closely.

## 120877577

On 10/30/2012 at 7:28 pm, a bicycle making a left turn collided with a vehicle going onto the freeway in the number three lane. "I was riding my bike south in that middle lane which is the third one over from the east curb. I saw that the cars passing me on the left were all turning left on to Bryant St so I thought I could do the same. As I started to turn I ran in to the passenger side of this Prius and fell to the ground thought she was going to turn left also."

On 1/7/2013 at 2:46 pm, a truck in the number three lane was traveling East on Bryant Street, attempted to make a right turn onto the on-ramp, and hit another vehicle in the number four lane.

## 130536707

On 6/30/2013 at 10:28 am, a vehicle traveling Southbound on $10^{\text {th }}$ Street was hit by a vehicle traveling Eastbound on Bryant Street. The Southbound vehicle was t-boned by the Eastbound vehicle that had proceeded on a red light.

## 130550224

On 8/24/2013 at 11:42 pm, two vehicles were traveling Southbound on $10^{\text {th }}$ Street. One vehicle was making a left turn onto Bryant Street and another was attempting to make a left onto the freeway. No fault was attributed due to conflicting statements.

## 130752113

On 9/8/2013 at 12:30 pm, a vehicle traveling Southbound on $10^{\text {th }}$ Street and was cut off and hit by another vehicle as they were trying to merge out of the freeway only lane to continue onto $10^{\text {th }}$ Street. The second vehicle was found to be at fault for making an unsafe lane change.

## 140085409

On 1/29/2014 at 10:10 am, a motorcycle traveling Southbound on $10^{\text {th }}$ Street in the number two lane was approaching the freeway ramp and was behind a large truck. The truck began to turn left from the number three lane and put on his brakes after he heard an acceleration from behind. The motorcycle couldn't stop in time and collided with the truck. The motorcyclist was found to be at fault for not proceeding at a safe distance behind vehicles in front of him.

## 140481001

On 6/10/2014 at 9:40 pm, a motorcycle traveling Southbound on $10^{\text {th }}$ Street in the number two lane attempting to proceed straight in a lane marked for turning. Another vehicle in the same lane was turning left and the motorcycle hit this vehicle when passing it and fell down.

150182590
On 2/28/2015 at 3:12 am, a vehicle driving Eastbound in the number two lane on Bryant Street on a green light and a vehicle traveling Southbound on $10^{\text {th }}$ Street collided as they both entered the intersection. The vehicle traveling Southbound was found to be at fault for running a red light and for failing to yield.

## 5th and Bryant

110627291
A hit and run collision between two cars took place at the Northeast corner of 5th and Bryant Streets at 2:10 am on $8 / 6 / 2011$. A taxi cab driving North-bound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street (on a green light) was hit on the driver's door by a Honda Civic turning left. The arrow in the diagram indicates the passenger of the Honda walking over to the taxi, returning, and driving off onto the freeway.
"We were driving straight and all of a sudden we got hit. We got out of the cab and the female passenger of the other car came over to us and asked if we were all ok. The female driver of the other car then came over and started yelling at the female passenger to get back in the car and then they drove off onto the freeway."

110765471
On 9/22/11 at 6:46 pm , a pedestrian was walking south on $5^{\text {th }}$ street was in the crosswalk when driver in a Volvo pulled forward on a green light and made contact with a pedestrian on the driver's side. According to the Officer, the pedestrian was disoriented, had an unsteady gait, and appeared to be under the influence of alcohol.

## 120009623

On 1/4/2012 at 1:34 pm, a person driving a city vehicle was traveling Northbound on 5th St approaching Bryant St. They changed lanes before the intersection but did not stop before the limit, entered the intersection on a red light, and hit an Eastbound vehicle that had proceeded into the intersection on a green light.

## 130203417

On 3/11/2013 at 8:38 am a car heading Eastbound on Bryant stopped on a yellow light before entering the intersection. A big rig following this car smashed into its rear end as the light turned from yellow to red.

## 130984037

On 11/20/2013 at 4:04 pm a vehicle heading Northbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street stopped in the intersection of $5^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant Streets just before the I-80 E onramp. A bicyclist also traveling Northbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street hit the rear end of the vehicle and sustained a serious head injury. The bicyclist was not wearing a helmet, and was found to be at fault for unsafe speed, but no citation was issued.

## 140333098

On 4/22/2014 at 9:20 am, a bicyclist was heading eastbound on Bryant Street in lane five approaching $5^{\text {th }}$ Street when she accidentally hit the passenger side mirror of one vehicle, lost balance, and went through the window of a vehicle stopped in front of the first vehicle.

## 140516783

On 6/22/2014 at 1:59 am Vehicle \#2 was traveling Northbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and approached a red light at Bryant Street. As the light turned green, vehicle \#1 traveling Southbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street turned left, and went directly into the path of Vehicle \#2. Vehicle \#2 hit the rear passenger quarter panel of Vehicle \#1. Vehicle \#1 was found at fault for failing to yield to Vehicle \#2.

## 140658335

On 8/7/2014 at 8:35 pm, a pedestrian heading North from the Southeast corner of $5^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant took several steps into the crosswalk when he was struck by the side of a van, leaving him with a twisted ankle.

The driver of the van claimed that he looked at the pedestrian and yelled, "Are you going to cross?" The driver was found to be at fault for failing to yield to pedestrians crossing the roadway.

## 140753606

On 9/11/2014 at 10:50 am, a vehicle (\#1) headed Eastbound on Bryant Street was hit on its left side, or "t-boned" by the front end of another vehicle (\#2) traveling Southbound on 5th Street. Vehicle (\#2) had just exited the freeway and had turned left onto southbound $5^{\text {th }}$ street with a green light. Vehicle \#1 was found to be at fault for failing to stop at a red light.

## 150042881

On 1/14/2015 at 10:30 pm , a vehicle traveling South on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street was attempting to make a left turn and claimed that he did not see a motorcycle driving North on 5 th Street in the opposite direction when he was making the turn. The two collided in the northern part of the intersection just past the crosswalk, and the vehicle was found to be at fault for failing to yield to oncoming traffic.

## 150121601

On 2/9/2015 at 9:07 am a vehicle was making a left turn onto Bryant Street from 5th Street and hit a bicyclist traveling Northbound with its front bumper. The vehicle claimed to have had a green light arrow. Other pages from the report are not available, but the first page indicates that the vehicle involved was "school bus related."

## 150823578

On 9/19/2015 at 6:50 pm, a hit-and-run collision occurred in the southern portion of the intersection at $5^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant Streets. A vehicle rear-ended another vehicle that was stopped in the northbound lane, causing whiplash and back pain for the driver and passenger, but not the two children in the back seat. The suspect vehicle made a U-turn and fled the scene. A witness recorded the license plate of the suspect vehicle, which was later found to be stolen. Speeding and failure to yield.

## 150951862

On 10/31/2015 at 6:00 pm , a vehicle traveling Southbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street rear-ended a parking enforcement vehicle that had pulled over to the curb on the north side of the intersection after hearing sirens. The vehicle also hit the right side of another car crossing Bryant Street, and sped away with police cars in pursuit. It was not caught.

## 151081319

On 12/15/15 at 9:20 am, a bicyclist traveling Southbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street at Bryant Street rode through a red light and hit the left front corner panel of a vehicle traveling Eastbound on Bryant Street. The bicyclist was found to be at fault for running a red light, and had complaints of pain in his head, shoulder, and left hand. The report does not indicate whether or not he was wearing a helmet.

## 5th and Harrison

## 110691252

On 8/28/2011 at $4: 54 \mathrm{pm}$, two vehicles were exiting the I-80 off-ramp at $5^{\text {th }}$ Street, and turned in on each other in the intersection. Each vehicle driver claimed that the other vehicle turned into their lane. No fault was determined due to conflicting claims.

## 110802045

On 10/4/2011 at 11:40 pm , a vehicle proceeding Northbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street entered the intersection and began to make a left turn, and collided with another vehicle traveling Southbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street that was going straight through the intersection. The Southbound vehicle was traveling on a green light.

## 120013862

On 1/5/2012 at 9:44 pm, vehicle \#1 traveling Northbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street made a left turn onto Harrison Street when it was struck by another vehicle traveling Southbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street. Vehicle \#1 was talking to her daughter on her blue tooth cell phone, and was driving with a suspended license. They were found to be at fault for failing to yield to oncoming traffic.

## 120108059

On $2 / 8 / 2012$ at $6: 30$ am, a bicyclist was traveling Southbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and was struck by a truck turning left from $5^{\text {th }}$ Street onto Harrison Street. The driver claimed to have never seen the bicyclist, and the bicyclist claimed that the truck turned right in front of him. The truck driver was found to be at fault for failing to yield to oncoming traffic.

## 120189645

On 3/7/2012 at 12:02 pm, there were two vehicles in the first lane of $5^{\text {th }}$ Street facing Northbound. As the light turned from red to green, the first vehicle was rear-ended by the vehicle behind it. The second vehicle claimed that the first vehicle accelerated and abruptly came to a stop, which did not leave enough time to react and stop in time. There was no damage to either vehicle.

## 120335406

On 4/27/2012 at 10:23 pm, a bicyclist traveling Southbound on 5th Street was hit by a motorcycle traveling Westbound exiting the I-80 off-ramp. The bicyclist had entered the intersection on a red light, believing that it would turn green. The motorcycle could not see the bicyclist, who was not using a light, and struck them. The bicyclist was found to be at fault for entering the intersection on a red light and for failing to use a forward light during darkness.

## 121045442

On 12/28/2012 at 11:16 pm, a vehicle traveling Northbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street was making a left turn onto Harrison Street and collided with another vehicle traveling South on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street. It was raining at the time. The vehicle making a left was found to be at fault for failing to yield to oncoming traffic.

## 130653715

On 8/8/2013 at 8:20 am, a truck was making a right turn from Harrison Street onto North $5^{\text {th }}$ Street, hit a bicyclist traveling West on Harrison Street and fled the scene. The bicyclist claimed that he proceeded into the intersection on a green light, he jumped off the bike and the truck ran over the bike's front wheel.

## 130692785

On 8/20/2013 at 1:34 pm, a vehicle traveling Northbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street was making a left turn onto Harrison Street and struck a bicyclist traveling Southbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street. According to witness \#1 - "I
looked up at the intersection and saw a bicyclist yelling at the driver of a Subaru. The Subaru was making a left turn and going fast as it passed in front of the bicyclist. The bicyclist then yelled once again at the white van who was also making a left turn, I believed he yelled "Hey" then he was struck by the van. I believe it happened in the street on the other side of the crosswalk." The vehicle was found to be at fault for failing to yield to oncoming traffic.

## 140238238

On 3/21/2014 at 2:32 am, a vehicle had exited the I-80 off-ramp and was traveling Northbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and collided with the front left fender of another vehicle that was stopped facing Eastbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street in the number 1 lane. The first driver had driven into an opposing traffic lane. The driver of the second vehicle had complaint of back pain and was transported to the hospital. The first vehicle was found to be at fault for driving on the wrong side of the roadway.

## 140723364

On 8/28/2014 at 7:15 am, a vehicle traveling Southbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street made a right turn heading Westbound onto Harrison Street and hit the rear wheel of a bicyclist that was traveling Southbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street in the number 3 lane. A witness W1 stated that she was in the intersection headed W/B on Harrison St. when the vehicle went around her vehicle on the left side, cut her off, and made contact with the bicyclist's rear wheel. The vehicle fled the scene.

## 140724685

On $8 / 28 / 14$ at $4: 31 \mathrm{pm}$, a bicyclist traveling Southbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street entered the intersection on a red light and collided with a vehicle traveling Westbound on Harrison that had entered the intersection on a green light. The bicyclist had a laceration from falling to ground (specifics not told) and was found to be at fault for failing to yield at the limit line.

## 140960500

On 11/12/2014 at 9:01 pm , a vehicle traveling Southbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street rear-ended another vehicle that was stopped at a red light in the number two lane. The first vehicle fled the scene after exiting his vehicle and talking briefly with the driver of the other vehicle.

## 150822401

On 9/19/2015 at 11:11 am, a vehicle traveling Southbound on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street in the number two lane made an unsafe lane change in the intersection in front of another vehicle, and was hit by that vehicle. Both parties had exchanged verbal insults claiming that the other did not know how to drive. The lane-changing vehicle was found to be at fault.

## 9th and Bryant

110678307
On 8/24/2011 at 9:05 am, a pedestrian crossing the north side of Bryant Street walking Eastbound was hit by a vehicle making a left turn from Bryant onto 9th Street. Both parties had a green light, but the vehicle failed to yield.

## 120687031

On 8/28/2012 at 5:40 pm, two vehicles were exiting the US-101 freeway. "Party 2 was on the 3 lane and the suspect vehicle was on the 4 lane According to Party 2, Party 1 was supposed to turn eastbound on Bryant Street but instead Party 1 turned northbound on 9 and contacted her vehicle. Party 1 fled prior to making contact and exchanging information."

## 120714565

On 9/6/2012 at 5:42 pm , a pedestrian's feet were run over by a vehicle making a left turn. "Party 1 stated: I was making a left turn from Bryant onto 9th Street. I was distracted by another vehicle making the same turn in front of me. I didn't see the pedestrian at all. Party 2: I was walking in the crosswalk when the Jeep ran over both of my feet."

## 130410929

On 5/19/2013 at 6:30 am, three vehicles were involved in a collision. The first vehicle was traveling Eastbound on Bryant Street towards a red light where the two other cars were stopped; one in front of the other. The first vehicle accidentally accelerated rather than stopped and rear ended the second car, which then rear-ended the third car. The first vehicle was found to be speeding.

## 140035573

On 1/13/2014 at 1:40 pm, a pedestrian had entered the crosswalk on the North side of Bryant Street and was heading Westbound across $9^{\text {th }}$ Street when she was hit by a bicyclist heading Northbound on $9^{\text {th }}$ Street. The bicyclist had sped up to avoid a vehicle traveling Eastbound on Bryant Street while the light had turned green for the crosswalk, and was not able to stop in time before hitting the pedestrian.

## 140248180

On 3/24/2014 at $2: 50 \mathrm{pm}$, three vehicles were involved in a collision. A vehicle facing Eastbound on Bryant Street rear-ended the car in front of them, which in turn caused that vehicle to rear-end the vehicle in front of them, which had just started to accelerate as the light turned green.

## 140428871

On 5/22/2014 at 3:58 pm, a pedestrian was walking Eastbound on Bryant Street in the crosswalk was hit by a vehicle turning left from the number two lane of Bryant Street onto the number two lane on $9^{\text {th }}$ Street. The vehicle had a green light but failed to yield.

## 150319420

On 4/12/2015 at 1:01 pm, a vehicle traveling Eastbound on Bryant Street approaching 9th Street stopped upon seeing a yellow light, and was hit from behind by another vehicle. The first vehicle was found to be at fault for failing to signal when stopping.

Appendix E:
Potential
Parking Loss

## Potential Parking Loss

The proposed intersection safety measures will need additional street space to accommodate curb extension bulb-outs and advance stop bars. Improvements at four of the five study intersections combined will require approximately 13 on-street parking spaces be removed. Average car-sized rectangles were digitally overlaid at the approximate location of proposed curb bulb-outs and advance stop bars at each location where on-street parking currently exists to determine parking removal metrics. The 5th Street and Harrison Street intersection will need the removal of two on-street parking spaces for curb extension bulb-outs on the northwest and southeast corners of the intersection. The 5th Street and Bryant Street intersection will need four on-street parking spaces removed for bulb-outs and advance stop bars on the southwest and southeast corners of the intersection. The 9th Street and Bryant Street location will need five on-street parking spaces removed for bulb-outs on the north, west, and east corners of the intersection, and $10^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bryant Street will need two spaces removed for bulb-outs on the northeast and southeast intersection corners. Safety measures proposed at the $8^{\text {th }}$ Street and Harrison Street intersection will not require any on-street parking removal.

## 10th Street and Bryant Street

The $10^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant intersection will likely require two (2) on-street parking spaces to be removed on Bryant Street, on the northeast and southeast corners of the intersection, to create space for the construction of raised concrete bulb-out curb extensions.

Figure 1: Parking Removal - 10th and Bryant Street


## 5th Street and Bryant Street

$5^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant will likely require four (4) on-street parking spaces to be removed to accommodate for both curb extension bulb-outs and advance stop bars. Two spaces on the northwest corner of $5^{\text {th }}$ and

Bryant streets (one on $5^{\text {th }}$ and one on Bryant closest to the intersection), and two spaces on the southwest corner of the intersection, one on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and the other on Bryant. Other bulb-out curb extensions improvements recommended for this intersection are located where there are no on-street parking spaces.

Figure 2: Parking Removal - 5th and Bryant Street


PARKING TO BE POSSIBLY REMOVED
$\square$ POTENTIAL ON-STREET PARKING SPACE REMOVAL DUE TO BULB-OUT ANDJOR ADVANCE STOP BAR

## 5th Street and Harrison Street

$5^{\text {th }}$ and Harrison will likely necessitate the removal of two (2) on-street parking spaces to accommodate for the installation of two advance stop bars. One space slated for removal is located on the southeast corner of Harrison and the I-80 westbound off ramp (on Harrison Street), and the other space likely for removal is located on the northwest corner of $5^{\text {th }}$ and Harrison (on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street). Although this intersection

design recommendation calls for one raised curb extension bulb-out, and one painted bulb-out, these safety measures happen not to conflict with any existing parking.

## 9th Street and Bryant Street

The $9^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant intersection will likely require five (5) on-street parking spaces to be removed from three of the intersection's corners. The five parking spaces likely necessitated for removal will make way for the installation of permanent raised curb extension bulb-outs. Two advance stop bars recommended for installation on the northbound 101 off ramp do not impinge on any existing parking areas. Spaces likely for removal are located on the northeast corner of 9th and Bryant streets (two spaces, one on each street), the northwest corner of $9^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant streets (two spaces, one on each street), and the southeast corner of $9^{\text {th }}$ and Bryant (on 9th Street).

Figure 4: Parking Removal: 9th and Bryant Street


# Appendix F: <br> Caltrans Short-term <br> Improvement List 

# Status of Caltrans Approvals of Treatments Related to Pedestrian \& Bicycle Travel 

Geometric Design Elements and Geometric Cross-Section
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { Caltrans has approved one of these through the } \\
\text { encroachment permit process at the NB 101 entrance in } \\
\text { Windsor. Future installations would be evaluated on a } \\
\text { case-by-case basis. If doing a set of these at freeway } \\
\text { entrances or conventional (surface) route intersections } \\
\text { is desired, then developing a pilot program is } \\
\text { advisable. On State Routes, they could be applicable if } \\
\text { placed parallel to the route, rather than across it, for } \\
\text { slowing turns onto minor (particularly residential) streets, } \\
\text { and if placed where pedestrians cross the entrance to } \\
\text { uncontrolled loop or curving slip lane freeway onramps, or }\end{array} \\
\text { at freeway entrances meeting an uncontrolled T- } \\
\text { intersection. On curves, they would need to be oriented } \\
\text { perpendicular to the traffic crossing them, rather than } \\
\text { diagonally, to avoid causing motorcyclists to lose control. }\end{array}
$$\left|, $$
\begin{array}{l}\text { These may be appropriate to warn of a crosswalk ahead at } \\
\text { the entrance to uncontrolled loop or curving slip lane }\end{array}
$$\right| \begin{array}{l}freeway onramps, or at freeway entrances meeting an <br>
uncontrolled T-intersection. Caltrans would probably not <br>
approve these where bicyclists are legal roadway users. <br>

Installations would be evaluated on a case-by-case basis.\end{array}\right\}\)| If doing a set of these at freeway entrances, then |
| :--- |
| developing a pilot program is advisable. |


|  | North Carolina and a few locations in Oregon. If doing a <br> set of these at freeway entrances or conventional <br> (surface) route intersections is desired, then developing <br> a pilot program is advisable. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pedestrian refuge islands | CT has standards \& guidance for ped refuge islands in <br> Index 405.4 of the HDM. This section has an advisory <br> standard encouraging providing a ped refuge island at <br> unsignalized intersections in urbanized areas where <br> pedestrians cross two or more through-lanes having the <br> same direction of travel, with or without a marked <br> crosswalk. An advisory design exception fact sheet is <br> required if the ped refuge island is not provided. |
| Traffic circles | These are generally more applicable on streets that are <br> more minor than state highway routes. |
| Roundabouts | These are required to be analyzed as an alternative <br> wherever a new signal is proposed per the Caltrans <br> Intersection Control Evaluation (ICE) policy (Traffic |
| Operations Policy Directive 13-02); |  |
| Trucks are accommodated through the use of a truck apron |  |
| on the perimeter of the center island. Guidance and |  |
| standards for roundabouts are provided in Index 405.10 of |  |
| the HDM. |  |

## Traffic Control Devices \& Operational Strategies

|  | These have been discouraged in the past due to concerns <br> about maintenance when flexible posts are damaged and <br> effectiveness once the posts are compromised. (Note that |
| :--- | :--- |
| Temporary painted safety zones <br> (e.g. bulbouts) with safe-hit posts <br> Caltrans refers to safe-hit posts as flexible channelizers <br> per the California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control <br> Devices (CA MUTCD) terminology). This treatment <br> would thus likely require a maintenance agreement <br> wherein the local agency takes this responsibility. A <br> pilot program may also be necessary if concerns are raised <br> about pedestrians waiting on the striped bulbout. A report <br> documenting the local positive safety results with these <br> would help. |  |
| Pedestrian Hybrid Beacons (formerly |  |
| HAWKs) | These are approved and covered in Chapter 4F of the CA <br> MUTCD. Caltrans may require that these be <br> interconnected with the signals along the corridor, but this <br> can be done through wireless interconnect if the project |
| will not be reconstructing the street. |  |


| Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons | FHWA has granted blanket approval for use of these in CA based on the federal interim approval (IA 11): http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/traffops/engineering/mutcd/pdf/i nterim/RRFB-IA-11-83_REPLY_CA_Statewide.pdf. <br> The guidance for their use can be found in the FHWA IA 16 Memo: <br> http://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/resources/interim_approval/ia1 1/fhwamemo.htm. <br> D4 has been requiring that these be hard-wired, rather than solar powered, on Caltrans-sponsored projects, causing them to lose much of their cost-competitiveness with PHBs and resulting in the use of PHBs instead. However, some locally-sponsored solar-powered RRFB installations have been approved through the encroachment permit process. If the reliability of the power source becomes an issue of concern, documentation regarding improvements in battery life over recent years may be necessary. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ped countdown signals | These are standard per the CA MUTCD Section 4E.07. D4 consistently employs them where new ped signal heads are installed and has a project to retrofit existing per signal heads. |
| Lengthen time for pedestrian crossing | The default walking speed that Caltrans uses is 3.5 feet per second per the CA MUTCD Section 4E. 06 (07) (reduced from the old 4 feet per second). If the local agency wishes to use a further reduced walking speed at a particular location, the CA MUTCD Section 4E. 06 has guidance stating that "Where pedestrians who walk slower than 3.5 feet per second, or pedestrians who use wheelchairs, routinely use the crosswalk, a walking speed of less than 3.5 feet per second should be considered" and "where older or disabled pedestrians routinely use the crosswalk, a walking speed of 2.8 feet per second should be considered". |
| Leading pedestrian intervals | These can be done per CA MUTCD Section 4E. 06 (19). Per the guidance, they should be timed to provide a head start equal to the time it takes to cross the first lane of traffic - typically 4 seconds and no less than 3 seconds. Although an interagency review team may raise concerns regarding impacts on signal progression, a 4 second delay is too small for traffic impacts to be modeled and is thus not a significant impact. In other words, the actual traffic volume may be more different from the level modeled than the degree to which the extra 4 seconds differs from the cycle length without it. |
| Turn prohibitions | A traffic study would need to be performed to analyze the impact on traffic operations at other nearby, alternative |


|  | route intersections relative to the safety benefit and so <br> that, if the turn prohibition is approved, the timing of the <br> signal phases can be adjusted to optimize traffic flow. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Protected left turns | These are provided at all new signalized intersections and <br> when ramp terminal intersections are reconstructed. At <br> already signalized locations not undergoing <br> reconstruction, a traffic study would need to be performed <br> to determine if the turn pocket needs to be lengthened to <br> provide more storage and to adjust the timing of the signal <br> phases to optimize traffic flow. |
| Lighting | Installation of safety lighting is encouraged. Lighting has a <br> proven safety benefit and a very high benefit to cost ratio. |
| Advance stop lines (signalized <br> intersections) | Approved for use per CA MUTCD Section 3B.16. |
| Advance yield lines ("sharks' teeth") | Approved for use per CA MUTCD Section 3B.16. District <br> (uncontrolled crossings) <br> locations (where traffic is not controlled by a signal or <br> stop sign) and is developing projects to start retrofitting <br> these. |
| Lane narrowing | 11 foot lane widths are the standard minimum on <br> conventional routes in urbanized areas where the posted <br> speed limit is 40 mph or less and the truck volume is less |
| than 250 per lane per day per the HDM Index 301.1. |  |
| Reducing lane widths to 10 feet on routes meeting these |  |
| conditions would require a design exception. If doing this |  |
| at multiple locations within Caltrans right-of-way is |  |
| desired, then developing a pilot program is advisable. |  |$|$


| Bicycle Lanes | These are supported by the Caltrans Complete Streets <br> Policy (Deputy Directive 64-R-2). The challenge is <br> finding enough space within the street cross-section. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Buffered Bicycle Lanes | Standards and guidance for these can now be found in the <br> CA MUTCD Section 9C.04 (42-47). District 4 installed <br> buffered bike lanes as part of the Caltrans-sponsored road <br> diet project on Sloat Blvd (SR 35) and approved their use <br> through an encroachment permit for a locally-sponsored <br> project on Alpine Rd at I-280 in unincorporated San <br> Mateo County. |
|  | FHWA has granted blanket approval for use of these in <br> CA based on the federal interim approval (IA 14): <br> http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/traffops/engineering/mutcd/pdf/i <br> nterim/2011-08-2_Ltr_to_CADOT_ColoredBikeLane.pdf |
|  | The guidance for their use can be found in the FHWA IA <br> 16 Memo: <br> http://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/resources/interim_approval/ia1 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| permits for locally-sponsored projects on Alpine Rd at I- |  |
| 280 in unincorporated San Mateo County, on Rohnert |  |
| Park Expressway at US 101 in Rohnert Park, and on Old |  |
| Redwood Highway at US 101 in Windsor. |  |


Total
904,800
601,900
773,500
$1,069,900$
588,900
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HARRISON STREET / 5TH STREET
VISION ZERO RAMP RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS

BRYANT STREET / 5TH STREET

| \# | Location | Type of Improvement | Safety Purpose | Collision Type Addressed |  | Planning Cost Estimate | Implementation Next Steps and Timeline |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | SW corner (EB Bryant approach) | Signal upgrade - nearside traffic signal | Improve signal visibility | Rear end and T-bone |  |  | Funding and design for intersection |
| 2 | East corner (SB 5th St approach) | Signal upgrade - far side traffic signal | Improve signal visibility | Rear end and left turn | \$ | 40,000 | signal upgrade |
| 4 | NW, NE and SW corners | Upgrade signal heads from 8" to 12 " | Improve signal visibility | Rear end and $T$-bone |  |  | ( $3-5$ years) |
| 7 | EB Bryant approach | Install cantilevered wayfinding sign | Improve vehicular wayfinding for proper lane assignments | Sideswipes |  | 30,000 | Outreach, planning, funding and design. ( $1-3$ years). |
| Civil Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | NE, SW and East corners | Install corner bulb-out | Shorten ped Xing distance | Ped crash in Xwalk | \$ | 300,000 | Coordination with other civil projects. Design and funding. (3-5 years) |
| Signing / Striping Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | All Xwalks | Upgrade Xwalk to high-visibility type | Reduce instances of Xwalk blocking | Ped crash in Xwalk |  |  |  |
| 5 | EB Bryant \& NB 5th St approaches | Install advance stop bar | Reduce instances of X walk blocking | Ped crash in Xwalk |  |  |  |
| 6 | Entire intersection | Refresh pavement striping and markings | Maintenance | All types | \$ | 15,000 | (1-3 years) |
| 8 | SW corner | Install temporary bulb-out | Encourage slower vehicular turning | Ped crash in Xwalk |  |  |  |
| Other Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Entire intersection | Install bicycle network improvements | Improve bicycle access | All types |  | TBD | Complete 5th Street corridor planning. Funding and design (3-5 years) |
|  |  |  |  | Subtotal | \$ | 385,000 |  |
| All directions consider numbered streets as running in the $N$-S direction and named streets in the E-W direction |  |  |  | Planning \& Outreach (5\%) | \$ | 20,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Design (15\%) | \$ | 58,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 30\% Contingency | \$ | 138,900 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | \$ | 601,900 |  |

HARRISON STREET / 8TH STREET
VISION ZERO RAMP RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS

| \# | Location | Type of Improvement | Safety Purpose | Collision Type Addressed |  | ing Cost imate | Implementation Next Steps and Timeline |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | NE \& SE corners (EB Harrison approach) | Signal upgrade - nearside traffic signal | Improve signal visibility | Rear end and T-bone |  |  | Funding and design for intersection |
| 2 | NW corner (WB Harrison approach) | Signal upgrade - traffic signal mast arm poles | Improve signal visibility | Rear end and T-bone | \$ | 375,000 | signal upgrade <br> (3-5 years) |
| 3 | SE corner (WB I-80 off-ramp approach) | Upgrade signal heads from 8" to 12" | Improve signal visibility | Rear end and T-bone |  |  |  |
| Civil Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | SW corner | Install corner bulb-out | Shorten ped Xing distance | Ped crash in Xwalk | \$ | 100,000 | Coordination with WB I-80 off-ramp project (\#5) (3-5 years) |
| Other Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | WB I-80 off-ramp approach | Close one lane on the off-ramp | Calm traffic from off-ramp approach | All types | \$ | 20,000 | Planning, analysis and coordination with Caltrans D4. Funding and design. (3-5 years) |
| All directions consider numbered streets as running in the $N$-S direction and named streets in the $E$ - $W$ direction |  |  |  | Subtotal | \$ | 495,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Planning \& Outreach (5\%) | \$ | 25,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Design (15\%) | \$ | 75,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 30\% Contingency | \$ | 178,500 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | \$ | 773,500 |  |

BRYANT STREET / 9TH STREET

BRYANT STREET / 10TH STREET

| \# | Location | Type of Improvement | Safety Purpose | Collision Type Addressed | Planning Cost Estimate |  | Implementation Next Steps and Timeline |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | South corner (SB 10th St approach) | Signal upgrade - far side traffic signal | Improve signal visibility | Rear end and T-bone |  |  | Funding and design for intersection |
| 4 | All corners | Upgrade signal heads from 8" to 12 " | Improve signal visibility | Rear end and T-bone | \$ | 22,000 | signal upgrade (3-5 years) |
| 3 | NE and SE corners (Xing Bryant East leg) | Program a leading ped interval | Improve ped visibility in intersection | Ped crash in Xwalk | \$ | 5,000 | Re-time signal (within 1 year) |
| 1 | SB 10th St approach | Install cantilevered wayfinding sign | Improve vehicular wayfinding for proper lane assignments | Vehicular right-turn |  | 30,000 | Outreach, planning, funding and design. (1-3 years). |
| Civil Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | NE, SE and South corners | Install corner bulb-out | Shorten ped Xing distance | Ped crash in Xwalk |  | 300,000 | Coordination with other civil projects. Design and funding. <br> (3-5 years) |
|  | Entire Intersection | Improve street lighting | Improve overall visibility at intersection | All types |  |  |  |
| 9 | SE and South corners (crossing SB 101 on-ramp) | Install new ped Xing | Improve ped access | NA |  |  |  |
| Signing / Striping Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Entire intersection | Refresh pavement striping and markings | Maintenance | Vehicular right-turn |  | 20,000 | Funding and design. (1-3 years) |
| 8 | Entire intersection | Restripe channelizing lines | Maintenance | Vehicular right-turn |  |  |  |
|  | SB 10th St approach | Consider alternative lane arrangements | Reduce driver confusion at intersection | Vehicular right-turn |  |  | Analysis, funding and design. (1-3 years) |
| All directions consider numbered streets as running in the $N$-S direction and named streets in the E - W direction |  |  |  | Subtotal | 377,000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Planning \& Outreach (5\%) | \$ | 19,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Design (15\%) | \$ | 57,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 30\% Contingency | \$ | 135,900 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | \$ | 588,900 |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Source: San Francisco Planning Department, 2014.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ SFMTA Bike Map, July 2016, transbasesf.org

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Collisions from this period were not yet available from the Statewide Integrated Traffic Records Systems Database.

[^3]:    1 - Source: State Integrated Traffic Records System for data 2011-2013; data from 2013-2015 comes from the San Francisco Police

[^4]:    1 - Source: Central SoMa Plan. SFMTA's 2017-2021 Capital Plan also identifies a project to implement the recommendations of the Central SoMa Plan. Project start expected in 2016.

    2 - SFMTA 2017-2021 Capital Improvement Program.
    3 - Vision Zero Priority Project list.
    4- Source: SFMTA March 2016- Multimodal Hierarchy Draft Policy Memorandum, transbasesf.org

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Source: San Francisco Planning Department, 2014.
    ${ }^{2}$ Source: 2016 Q1 Development Pipeline Report - San Francisco Planning Department. The pipeline includes only those projects with a land use or building permit application. It does not include projects undergoing preliminary Planning Department project review or projections based on area plan analysis. Projects vary in size from single units to larger multi-year development programs undergoing environmental review.

[^6]:    Source: Mapzen, Leaflet, OpenStreetMap, OpenStreetMap contributors, and San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

[^7]:    ${ }^{3}$ According to the SFMTA March 2016- Multimodal Hierarchy Draft Policy memorandum, bicycle routes that are connected to areas with high Demand Index and Hilly Score are designated as Primary Routes, transbasesf.org

[^8]:    ${ }^{4}$ Collisions from this period are not yet available from the Statewide Integrated Traffic Records Systems Database. San Francisco obtains SFPD-reported collisions from this period from the city-owned Crossroads database. CHP-reported collisions are not included in crossroads. However, the SF Department of Health tracks all fatal collisions in the city, so those are included.

[^9]:    * Data from 2013-2015 comes from the San Francisco Police Departments Crossroads database, which does not include California Highway Patrol reported collisions that occur on state facilities, with the exception of fatalities which are tracked by the San Francisco Department of Public Health and are included in the table.
    a includes: veh-motorcycle, veh-bus, veh-truck
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ includes: Veh-emergency veh, veh only, bike-ped
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Includes sobriety not stated, impairment not known

[^10]:    * Data from 2013-2015 comes from the San Francisco Police Departments Crossroads database, which does not include California Highway Patrol reported collisions that occur on state facilities, with the exception of fatalities which are tracked by the San Francisco Department of Public Health and are included in the table.

[^11]:    * Data from 2013-2015 comes from the San Francisco Police Departments Crossroads database, which does not include California Highway Patrol reported collisions that occur on state facilities, with the exception of fatalities which are tracked by the San Francisco Department of Public Health and are included in the table.
    a includes: veh-motorcycle, veh-bus, veh-truck
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ includes: Veh-emergency veh, veh only, bike-ped
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Includes sobriety not stated, impairment not known

[^12]:    * Data from 2013-2015 comes from the San Francisco Police Departments Crossroads database, which does not include California Highway Patrol reported collisions that occur on state facilities, with the exception of fatalities which are tracked by the San Francisco Department of Public Health and are included in the table.

