



AGENDA

FINANCE COMMITTEE Meeting Notice

Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2016; 11:00 a.m.
Location: Committee Room 263, City Hall
Commissioners: Mar (Chair), Cohen (Vice Chair), Campos, Kim, Yee and Wiener (Ex Officio)

Clerk: Steve Stamos

- | | Page |
|--|-------------|
| 1. Roll Call | |
| 2. Approve the Minutes of the March 8, 2016 Meeting – ACTION* | 3 |
| 3. State and Federal Legislative Update – ACTION* | 5 |
| <p>Every month, we provide an update on state and federal legislation and, when appropriate, seek recommendations to adopt new positions on active legislation. The attached matrix tracks the latest activity on state bills and the positions previously adopted by the Transportation Authority. We are recommending the following new positions this month: a seek amendment position on Assembly Bill 1851 (Gray); and an oppose position on Senate Bill 885 (Wolk).</p> | |
| 4. Recommend Awarding Three-Year Consultant Contracts, with an Option to Extend for Two Additional One-Year Periods, to Arup North America Ltd., Iteris, Inc., Nelson\Nygaard Consulting Associates, Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. and WSP Parsons Brinckerhoff, for a Combined Amount Not to Exceed \$2,000,000 for On-Call Transportation Planning Services and Authorize the Executive Director to Negotiate Contract Payment Terms and Non-Material Contract Terms and Conditions – ACTION* | 49 |
| <p>On January 27, 2016, the Transportation Authority issued a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for on-call transportation planning services to support the Transportation Authority's work program over the next three years, up to a maximum of five years. The establishment of contracts with one or more consultant teams will enable the Transportation Authority to enlist the services of a broad range of transportation planning specialists on an on-call, task order basis. By the due date of March 3, 2016, the Transportation Authority received six Statements of Qualifications in response to the RFQ. Interviews were held between March 16 and 17, 2016. Based on this competitive selection process, the review panel, with participation from the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency and the Transportation Authority, recommends the award of consultant contracts to the five top-ranked teams: Arup North America Ltd., Iteris, Inc., Nelson\Nygaard Consulting Associates, Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. and WSP Parsons Brinckerhoff.</p> | |
| 5. Recommend Amendment of the Adopted Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget to Decrease Revenues by \$3,616,773 and Increase Expenditures by \$23,347,827 for a Total Net Decrease in Fund Balance of \$26,964,600 – ACTION* | 59 |

Finance Committee Meeting Agenda

Every year between January and April, we present the Board with any adjustments to the annual budget adopted the previous June. This revision is an opportunity to take stock of changes in revenue trends, recognize grants or other funds that are obtained subsequent to the original approval of the annual budget, and adjust for unforeseen expenditures. In June 2015, through Resolution 15-60, the Transportation Authority adopted the Fiscal Year (FY) 2015/16 Annual Budget and Work Program. Revenue and expenditure figures pertaining to several capital projects need to be updated from the original estimates contained in the adopted FY 2015/16 Budget. The Transportation Authority's Fiscal Policy allows for the amendment of the adopted budget during the fiscal year to reflect actual revenues and expenditures incurred. We propose that the adopted FY 2015/16 Budget be amended as shown in Attachment A.

6. Introduction of New Items – INFORMATION

During this segment of the meeting, Committee members may make comments on items not specifically listed above, or introduce or request items for future consideration.

7. Public Comment

8. Adjournment

* Additional materials

Please note that the meeting proceedings can be viewed live or on demand after the meeting at www.sfgovtv.org. To know the exact cablecast times for weekend viewing, please call SFGovTV at (415) 554-4188 on Friday when the cablecast times have been determined.

The Legislative Chamber (Room 250) and the Committee Room (Room 263) in City Hall are wheelchair accessible. Meetings are real-time captioned and are cablecast open-captioned on SFGovTV, the Government Channel 26. Assistive listening devices for the Legislative Chamber and the Committee Room are available upon request at the Clerk of the Board's Office, Room 244. To request sign language interpreters, readers, large print agendas or other accommodations, please contact the Clerk of the Authority at (415) 522-4800. Requests made at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting will help to ensure availability.

The nearest accessible BART station is Civic Center (Market/Grove/Hyde Streets). Accessible MUNI Metro lines are the F, J, K, L, M, N, T (exit at Civic Center or Van Ness Stations). MUNI bus lines also serving the area are the 5, 6, 7, 9, 19, 21, 47, and 49. For more information about MUNI accessible services, call (415) 701-4485.

There is accessible parking in the vicinity of City Hall at Civic Center Plaza and adjacent to Davies Hall and the War Memorial Complex. Accessible curbside parking is available on Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place and Grove Street.

In order to assist the Transportation Authority's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illnesses, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at all public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical-based products. Please help the Transportation Authority accommodate these individuals.

If any materials related to an item on this agenda have been distributed to the Finance Committee after distribution of the meeting packet, those materials are available for public inspection at the Transportation Authority at 1455 Market Street, Floor 22, San Francisco, CA 94103, during normal office hours.

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102; telephone (415) 252-3100; fax (415) 252-3112; website www.sfethics.org.



DRAFT MINUTES

FINANCE COMMITTEE

Tuesday, March 8, 2016

1. Roll Call

Vice Chair Breed called the meeting to order at 11:12 a.m.

Present at Roll Call: Commissioners Breed, Kim and Yee (3)

Absent at Roll Call: Commissioners Campos and Mar (entered during Item 3) (2)

2. Approve the Minutes of the February 9, 2016 Meeting – ACTION

There was no public comment.

The minutes were approved without objection by the following vote:

Ayes: Commissioners Breed, Kim and Yee (3)

Absent: Commissioners Campos and Mar (2)

3. State and Federal Legislative Update – ACTION

Mark Watts, State Legislative Advocate for the Transportation Authority, presented the item per the legislation matrix.

Mr. Watts reported that the legislature had a new Assembly Speaker, Assemblymember Anthony Rendon. He said that the last day to introduce measures was at the end of February, which was reflected by the approximately 40 bills included in the legislation matrix. He said that the next major legislative deadline was April 22, when bills would need to clear their first committee. He said that since there was a spring legislative recess from March 17-28, committee hearings for the remaining bills would have heavy agendas to get through before the deadline. Mr. Watts said regarding the ongoing effort to raise state revenues for transportation, Senator Beall intended to amend his special session bill to include significant funding for transit projects, though the balance of the bill focused on state and local road repairs. He noted that Governor Brown's administration could elect to move forward with a more streamlined \$2 billion proposal, which would not require a two-thirds vote of the legislature, since there could be a preference to have a small amount for state and local road repairs rather than the larger amounts represented in the bills from Senator Beall and Assemblymember Frazier.

Mr. Watts reviewed the recommendation to amend the position on Assembly Bill (AB) 1550 from oppose to watch to reflect an evolved view on how to seek disadvantaged communities in the state. Chair Mar said he was grateful for the sensitivity around low-income communities, whether they be in San Francisco or Los Angeles, and for taking a regional and even statewide approach to transportation issues.

Chair Mar commented that Senate Bill 1128 would make permanent the Bay Area Air Quality District's and the Metropolitan Transportation Commission's Commuter Benefits Program, which was important in meeting the city's goals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions and vehicle trips.

He acknowledged the Vision Zero Coalition for its work in seeking authorization for automated speed enforcement even though it wasn't ultimately successful, but said he was hopeful that the legislation would advance in a future legislative session.

Commissioner Yee said that the Board of Supervisors had taken a support position on AB 1677 and that his office was working with Assemblymember Ting on the bill.

Commissioner Yee moved to change the position on AB 1677 from watch to support, seconded by Commissioner Breed.

There was no public comment.

The amendment to the item was approved without objection by the following vote:

Ayes: Commissioners Breed, Kim, Mar and Yee (4)

Absent: Commissioner Campos (1)

The amended item was approved without objection by the following vote:

Ayes: Commissioners Breed, Kim, Mar and Yee (4)

Absent: Commissioner Campos (1)

4. Introduction of New Items – INFORMATION

There was no public comment.

5. Public Comment

During public comment, Andrew Yip spoke about the meaning of self-actualization.

6. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 11:35 a.m.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority
April 2016

New Bills

To view documents associated with the bill, click the bill number link.

Additional detail on new bills are highlighted in the attached state legislative matrix.

Recommended Positions	Bill # Author	Keywords and Comments
Seek Amendment	AB 1851 Gray D	Vehicular air pollution: reduction incentives. This bill would make technical changes to revise the incentives for consumers seeking to purchase zero-emission vehicles. We would seek an amendment to eliminate the removal of the cap on green decals. Access to high occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes dilutes the effectiveness of these lanes.
Watch	AB 1908 Harper R	High-occupancy vehicle lanes. Would prohibit HOV lanes from being established in southern California except as rush-hour-only HOV lanes.
Watch	AB 2094 Obernolte R	Transportation: Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund (GGRF): state and local transportation funds. This bill, beginning in FY 2016/17, would transfer \$1 billion annually from the GGRF (funded by cap and trade revenues) to the Retail Sales Tax Fund (Transportation Development Act fund) in order to free up an equivalent amount of sales tax to fund state highway and local road programs.
Watch	AB 2292 Gordon D	California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: disadvantaged communities. This bill would require the California Environmental Protection Agency to update the California Communities Environmental Health Screening to include additional factors when identifying disadvantaged communities (DAC) for investment opportunities, namely areas in the state with high poverty rates, rent burden and cost of living. This moves in the right direction for San Francisco, but isn't expected to materially change the DAC definition to capture those areas that are commonly considered DACs in the City or Bay Area.
Watch	AB 2542 Gatto D	Streets and highways: reversible lanes. This bill would require Caltrans or a regional transportation planning agency, when submitting a capacity-increasing project or a major street or highway lane realignment project to the CTC for approval, to demonstrate that reversible lanes were considered for the project.
Watch	AB 2722 Burke D	Transformative Climate Communities Program. This bill would appropriate \$250 million in cap and trade funds for a new competitive grant program for transformative climate community plans in disadvantaged communities.
Oppose	SB 885 Wolk D	Construction contracts: indemnity. This bill would effectively require public agencies and other project owners to defend design professionals' interests and then, after a legal determination, attempt to secure reimbursement for those legal costs and fault.

Total New Bills in Tracking: 7

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bills of Interest

To view documents associated with the bill, click the bill number link. To view the bill text, click the PDF or HTML link.

Staff is recommending a new seek amendment position on Assembly Bill 1851 (Gray), and a new oppose position on Senate Bill 885 (Wolk).

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
AB 318 Amended: 6/11/2015 pdf html	Chau D (Dist 49)	Lost money and goods: bicycles: restoration to owner. Would, until December 31, 2020, provide that if that lost property is found on a vehicle of public conveyance or on public transit property, that it instead be turned in to the public transit agency, and would provide 90 days for the owner to return and claim the property, as specified. The bill, until December 31, 2020, also would require the public transit agency to cause notice of the property to be published under specified circumstances.	Senate 2 year	Watch	This bill establishes a pilot program, until, 2021, under which a public transit agency may donate to charity a portion of lost or unclaimed bicycles after 45 days. L.A Metro is sponsoring this bill because holding bicycles for 90 days is impartial and costly.
AB 516 Amended: 7/16/2015 pdf html	Mullin D (Dist 22)	Vehicles: temporary license plates. Would require the DMV to develop an operational system, no later than January 1, 2018, that allows a dealer or lessor-retailer to electronically report the sale of a vehicle and provide a temporary license plate, as specified. The bill would, commencing January 1, 2017, authorize the department to assess specified administrative fees on processing agencies to support the administration of this system. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.	Senate Third Reading	Support	This bill requires development of a statewide temporary license plate (TLP) system to ensure new and used purchased vehicles are identifiable to law enforcement and toll operators during the period between the point of sale and when permanent license plates are received by the purchaser. MTC has adopted a support position on this bill.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
AB 620 Amended: 1/27/2016 pdf html	Hernández, Roger D (Dist 48)	High-occupancy toll lanes: exemptions from tolls. Would require Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority to take additional steps, beyond the previous implementation of a low-income assistance program, to increase enrollment and participation in the low-income assistance program, as specified, through advertising and work with community organizations and social service agencies. The bill would also require LACMTA and the Department of Transportation to report to the Legislature by December 31, 2018, on efforts to improve the HOT lane program, including efforts to increase participation in the low-income assistance program. This bill contains other existing laws.	Senate Transportation and Housing	Watch	Expands LA Metro authority relative to HOT Lanes in their jurisdiction, requiring the agency to provide assistance to transit users and commuters of law and moderate income. Amended to allow LACMTA flexibility in providing low income assistance.
AB 779 Amended: 8/19/2015 pdf html	García, Cristina D (Dist 58)	Transportation: congestion management program. Would revise the definition of "infill opportunity zone" to not require that it be within a specified distance of a major transit stop or high-quality transit corridor. The bill would revise the requirements for a congestion management program by removing traffic level of service standards established for a system of highways and roadways as a required element and instead requiring measures of effectiveness for a system of highways and roadways.	Senate Appropriations	Support, Work with Author	Latest amendment eliminates LOS as an element of a congestion management plan.
AB 828 Amended: 7/14/2015 pdf html	Low D (Dist 28)	Vehicles: transportation services. Would require the Public Utilities Commission to conduct an investigation to consider whether existing statutes and regulations relating to transportation services serve the public interest, encourage innovation, and create a fair and competitive transportation market between companies that provide regulated transportation services. The bill would require the commission to complete the investigation and report its conclusions and recommendations to the Legislature on or before January 1, 2017. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.	Senate 2 year	Watch	Amended to exclude TNC vehicles from "commercial vehicle" definition under certain conditions. MTC has adopted a support position on this bill.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
AB 869 Amended: 6/18/2015 pdf html	Cooper D (Dist 9)	Public transportation agencies: fare evasion and prohibited conduct. Current law authorizes a public transportation agency to adopt and enforce an ordinance to impose and enforce civil administrative penalties for fare evasion or other passenger misconduct, other than by minors, on or in a transit facility or vehicle in lieu of the criminal penalties otherwise applicable, with specified administrative procedures for the imposition and enforcement of the administrative penalties, including an initial review and opportunity for a subsequent administrative hearing. This bill would provide that a person who fails to pay the administrative penalty when due or successfully complete the administrative process to dismiss the notice of fare evasion or passenger conduct violation may be subject to those criminal penalties.	Senate 2 year	Watch	Provides additional flexibility to transit agencies that seek to use the administrative adjudication process (transit court).
AB 1030 Amended: 7/7/2015 pdf html	Ridley-Thomas D (Dist 54)	California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund. Current law requires moneys in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund to be used to facilitate the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions and, where applicable and to the extent feasible, to foster job creation by promoting in-state greenhouse gas emissions reduction projects carried out by California workers and businesses. This bill would require priority be given to projects involving hiring that support the targeted training and hiring of workers from disadvantaged communities for career-track jobs.	Senate 2 year	Watch	Requires priority for cap and trade - funded projects by state grant agencies for projects with partnerships with training entities with proven record of placing disadvantaged workers.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
<p>AB 1176</p> <p>Amended: 8/18/2015 pdf html</p>	<p>Perez D (Dist 31)</p>	<p>Vehicular air pollution. Would establish the Advanced Low-Carbon Diesel Fuels Access Program, to be administered by the State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission, in consultation with the State Air Resources Board, for the purpose of reducing the greenhouse gas emissions of diesel motor vehicles by providing capital assistance for projects that expand advanced low-carbon diesel fueling infrastructure in communities that are disproportionately impacted by environmental hazards and additionally where the greatest air quality impacts can be identified. This bill contains other related provisions.</p>	<p>Senate Appropriations</p>	<p>Watch</p>	<p>Creates the Advanced Low-Carbon Diesel Fuels Access Program, administered by the Energy Commission to fund advanced low-carbon diesel fueling infrastructure projects in disadvantaged communities. MTC opposes this and other bills to dedicate cap and trade funds to disadvantaged communities on the basis that the methodology to determine "disadvantaged communities" is flawed.</p>
<p>AB 1360</p> <p>Amended: 7/2/2015 pdf html</p>	<p>Ting D (Dist 19)</p>	<p>Charter-party carriers of passengers: individual fare exemption. Would exempt from specified provisions relating to the Passenger Charter-Party Carriers' Act a service operated by a transportation network company or a charter-party carrier of passengers that prearranges a ride among multiple passengers who share the ride in whole or in part, provided that the vehicle seats no more than 7 passengers, not including the driver, is operated by a participating driver, as defined, is not used to provide public transit services or carry passengers over a fixed route, is not used to provide pupil transportation services or public paratransit services, and the fare for each passenger is less than the fare that would be charged to a passenger traveling alone.</p>	<p>Senate 2 year</p>	<p>Watch</p>	<p>Transportation Network Companies (TNCs) have recently started services to allow riders to be picked up at similar locations and share a driver and carpool at reduced fares. This bill would permit a TNC to operate a rideshare program and charge individual fares, provided that the individual fare is less than for the same ride it would be for a single passenger riding alone. MTC has adopted a support position on this bill.</p>

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
AB 1364 Introduced: 2/27/2015 pdf html	Linder R (Dist 60)	California Transportation Commission. Current law vests the California Transportation Commission with specified powers, duties, and functions relative to transportation matters. Current law requires the commission to retain independent authority to perform the duties and functions prescribed to it under any provision of law. This bill would exclude the California Transportation Commission from the Transportation Agency and establish it as an entity in the state government. The bill would also make conforming changes.	Senate Transportation and Housing	Watch	Removes CTC from jurisdiction under Transportation Agency and re-establishes its autonomy. This bill is similar to ABX1 19 (Linder), SB 1320 (Runner) and SBX1 12 (Runner).
AB 1550 Amended: 3/28/2016 pdf html	Gomez D (Dist 51)	Greenhouse gases: investment plan: disadvantaged communities. Current law requires the Department of Finance, in consultation with the state board and any other relevant state agency, to develop, as specified, a 3-year investment plan for the moneys deposited in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund. This bill would require the investment plan to allocate a minimum of 25% of the available moneys in the fund to projects located within disadvantaged communities and a separate and additional 25% to projects that benefit low-income households which earn less than 200% of the federal poverty level.	Assembly Natural Resources	Watch	Increases requirement from 10% to 25% of GGRF to benefit disadvantaged communities (DAC); also adds new requirement that 25% also must benefit low-income households. We previously opposed this legislation because the state's definition of DAC does not adequately reflect the disadvantaged communities of San Francisco. We continue to work with the state to try and amend the DAC definition.
AB 1555 Amended: 3/28/2016 pdf html	Gomez D (Dist 51)	Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund. Would appropriate \$800,000,000 from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund (GGRF) for the 2016-17 fiscal year to various state agencies in specified amounts for various purposes including, among other things, low carbon transportation and infrastructure, clean energy communities, wetland and watershed restoration, and carbon sequestration. The bill would state the intent of the Legislature to reserve \$150,000,000 from the fund to fund future legislative priorities.	Assembly Natural Resources	Watch	This bill is intended to be a vehicle for negotiating GGRF funds expenditures left over from 2015.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
<p>AB 1569 Amended: 3/28/2016 pdf html</p>	<p>Steinorth R (Dist 40)</p>	<p>California Environmental Quality Act: exemption: existing transportation infrastructure. Would exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act a project, or the issuance of a permit for a project, that consists of the inspection, maintenance, repair, rehabilitation, replacement, or removal of, or the addition of an auxiliary lane or bikeway to, existing transportation infrastructure and that meets certain requirements. The bill would require the public agency carrying out the project to take certain actions.</p>	<p>Assembly Natural Resources</p>	<p>Watch</p>	<p>Would exempt minor road repair projects from CEQA if carried out within existing right-of-way.</p>
<p>AB 1574 Introduced: 1/4/2016 pdf html</p>	<p>Chiu D (Dist 17)</p>	<p>Vehicles of charter-party carriers of passengers and passenger stage corporations. Would require the Department of Motor Vehicles to notify the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) when a charter-party carrier of passengers or a passenger stage corporation first registers a bus, limousine, or modified limousine with the department, and to provide information to the PUC that will allow the PUC to identify the vehicle. The bill would require the PUC, with respect to those newly registered buses, limousines, or modified limousines, to ensure that the vehicles meet all statutory and regulatory requirements for safe operation. The bill, upon the PUC becoming aware of a bus, limousine, or modified limousine of a charter-party carrier of passengers or a passenger stage corporation that has not been reported to the commission by the carrier or corporation, would require the PUC to immediately take steps to require the carrier or corporation to update its reporting of vehicles to the PUC and to request the Department of the California Highway Patrol (CHP) to conduct a safety inspection of the vehicle. The bill would prohibit use of such a bus, limousine, or modified limousine to transport passengers in the absence of securing a satisfactory rating from the CHP812, and would authorize a law enforcement agency to impound a bus, limousine, or modified limousine operated in violation of this provision.</p>	<p>Assembly Transportation</p>	<p>Support</p>	<p>The bill seeks to ensure that buses are inspected under safe criteria by requiring DMV to notify the PUC when a bus company first registers the vehicle. In the event there is not a satisfactory rating, the bill prohibits the use of the bus.</p>

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
AB 1591 Introduced: 1/6/2016 pdf html	Frazier D (Dist 11)	Transportation funding. Would create the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program to address deferred maintenance on the state highway system and the local street and road system. The bill would require the California Transportation Commission to adopt performance criteria to ensure efficient use of the funds available for the program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.	Assembly Transportation	Support	New major revenue bill (\$7.3 billion in new taxes and loan repayments over 10 years) for road repair and trade corridors. Also commits new cap and trade revenues to the Transit Inter-city Rail Capacity Program which the SFMTA and BART are targeting for significant core capacity investments such as vehicles and train control.
AB 1592 Amended: 3/28/2016 pdf html	Bonilla D (Dist 14)	Autonomous vehicles: pilot project. This bill would authorize the Contra Costa Transportation Authority to conduct a pilot project for the testing of autonomous vehicles that do not have an operator and are not equipped with a steering wheel, a brake pedal, or an accelerator if the testing is conducted only at specified locations and the autonomous vehicle operates at speeds of less than 35 miles per hour. This bill contains other related provisions.	Assembly Transportation	Watch	MTC has adopted a support position on this bill. The Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA) is working with a local business park to implement a pilot program that would rely on a driverless shuttle service to provide internal circulation. Due to incidental crossing of public streets, the pilot program requires relief from the state's autonomous vehicle laws and regulations, which require a driver in the vehicle. CCTA has developed a test bed for connected and autonomous vehicles at the Concord Naval Weapons Station (the GoMentum Station). This bill would permit CCTA to operate driverless vehicles there.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
AB 1641 Introduced: 1/11/2016 pdf html	Allen, Travis R (Dist 72)	Shuttle services: loading and unloading of passengers. Under current law, a person may not stop, park, or leave a vehicle standing alongside a curb space authorized for the loading or unloading of passengers of a bus engaged as a common carrier in local transportation when indicated by a sign or red paint on the curb, except that existing law allows local authorities to permit school buses to stop alongside these curb spaces upon agreement between a transit system operating buses as common carriers in local transportation and a public school district or private school. This bill would also allow local authorities to permit shuttle service vehicles, as defined, to stop for the loading or unloading of passengers.	Assembly Transportation	Oppose	This bill replaces AB61 by same author to address the shuttle services' use of transit stops.
AB 1659 Introduced: 1/13/2016 pdf html	Rodriguez D (Dist 52)	Vehicles: prima facie speed limits: schools. Would allow a city or county to establish in a residence district, on a highway with a posted speed limit of 30 miles per hour or slower, a 15 miles per hour prima facie speed limit when approaching, at a distance of less than 1,320 feet from, or passing, a school building or grounds thereof, contiguous of to a highway and posted with a school warning sign that indicates a speed limit of 15 miles per hour, while children are going to or leaving the school, either during school hours or during the noon recess period. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.	Assembly Transportation	Watch	This bill expands limited speed (15 mph) zone to 1/4 mile of a school.
AB 1677 Introduced: 1/19/2016 pdf html	Ting D (Dist 19)	Vehicles: tour buses: safety inspections. Would require the Department of the California Highway Patrol to develop protocols, in consultation with representatives of local government, to allow for the inspection of tour buses by a designated local agency of the local jurisdiction in which the tour bus operates, and would require these protocols to include, at a minimum, a requirement that, upon completion of an inspection of a tour bus, the designated local agency report its findings to the Public Utilities Commission. The bill would provide that any inspection conducted by a designated local agency pursuant to these protocols shall be in addition to, and not in lieu of, any other inspection requirements imposed under law.	Assembly Transportation	Support	Expands on present California Highway Patrol (CHP) authority for regulating safe operation of tour buses by permitting local agency inspection under guidance provided by CHP.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
AB 1725 Amended: 3/7/2016 pdf html	Wagner R (Dist 68)	Vehicles: automated traffic enforcement systems. Current law defines an "official traffic control signal" as any device, whether manually, electrically, or mechanically operated, by which traffic is alternately directed to stop and proceed and which is erected by authority of a public body or official having jurisdiction. This bill would expressly state that a stop is required to be made at an official traffic control signal erected and maintained at a freeway or highway on ramp. This bill would also make technical, non-substantive changes to that provision. This bill contains other current laws.	Senate Rules	Watch	Adds new requirement for drivers to stop at freeway or highway onramp signal until authorized to proceed.
AB 1746 Amended: 3/30/2016 pdf html	Stone, Mark D (Dist 29)	Transit buses. Current law creates the Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District, the Central Contra Costa Transit Authority, Livermore-Alameda Valley Transit, the North County Transit District, the San Diego Association of Governments, the San Diego Metropolitan Transit System, and the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) with various powers and duties relative to the operation of public transit. This bill would additionally authorize the operation of transit buses on the shoulder of a segment of a state highway designated under the transit bus-only program within the areas served by the transit services of the 7 entities described above, subject to the same conditions and requirements.	Assembly Appropriations	Watch	Expands current authority for transit buses to operate on highway shoulders to 7 entities including AC Transit, County Connection (Contra Costa County), Livermore-Alameda Valley Transit and VTA. MTC staff is recommending a support position on this bill.
AB 1768 Amended: 2/25/2016 pdf html	Gallagher R (Dist 3)	Bonds: transportation. Would provide that no further bonds shall be sold for high-speed rail purposes pursuant to the Safe, Reliable High-Speed Passenger Train Bond Act for the 21st Century, except as specifically provided with respect to an existing appropriation for high-speed rail purposes for early improvement projects in the Phase 1 blended system. The bill, subject to the above exception, would require redirection of the unspent proceeds received from outstanding bonds issued and sold for other high-speed rail purposes prior to the effective date of these provisions, upon appropriation, for use in retiring the debt incurred from the issuance and sale of those outstanding bonds.	Assembly Transportation	Oppose	Would effectively terminate state bond funding for high speed rail.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
<p>AB 1780</p> <p>Amended: 3/28/2016 pdf html</p>	<p>Medina D (Dist 61)</p>	<p>Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund: trade corridors. Would, beginning in the 2016-17 fiscal year, continuously appropriate 20% of the annual proceeds of the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund to the California Transportation Commission for the Sustainable Trade Corridors Program, which the bill would establish, thereby making an appropriation.</p>	<p>Assembly Transportation</p>	<p>Watch</p>	<p>Establishes a new dedication of cap and trade funding to a new trade corridor program.</p> <p>Amended from 25% to 20% of cap and trade funds and to align with AB 2107 (Frazier) pertaining to trade corridors.</p> <p>MTC staff are recommending a support position on this bill with the amendment to lower the amount to 20% of cap and trade funds. MTC's adopted cap and trade framework includes a line item for goods movement projects, and this funding could provide matching funds for the new federal competitive freight program FASTLANE.</p>
<p>AB 1813</p> <p>Introduced: 2/8/2016 pdf html</p>	<p>Frazier D (Dist 11)</p>	<p>High-Speed Rail Authority: membership. Would provide for appointment of one Member of the Senate by the Senate Committee on Rules and one Member of the Assembly by the Speaker of the Assembly to serve as ex officio members of the High-Speed Rail Authority. The bill would provide that the ex officio members shall participate in the activities of the authority to the extent that participation is not incompatible with their positions as Members of the Legislature.</p>	<p>Assembly Appropriations</p>	<p>Watch</p>	<p>Adds 2 new appointees to serve in an ex officio capacity on the high speed rail authority.</p>

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
AB 1815 Amended: 3/28/2016 pdf html	Alejo D (Dist 30)	California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: disadvantaged communities. Current law requires the California Environmental Protection Agency to identify disadvantaged communities and requires the Department of Finance, in consultation with the State Air Resources Board (ARB) and any other relevant state agency, to develop, as specified, a 3-year investment plan for the moneys deposited in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund. Current law requires the 3-year investment plan to allocate a minimum of 25% of the available moneys in the fund to projects that provide benefits to disadvantaged communities. Would require the agency to prepare and post on its Internet Web site a specified report on the projects funded to benefit disadvantaged communities.	Assembly Natural Resources	Watch	Requires the ARB to post on the internet the listing of cap and trade projects funded to benefit disadvantaged communities. Amendments delete the web posting requirement for ARB to provide technical assistance to communities to better compete for cap and trade funds.
AB 1818 Introduced: 2/8/2016 pdf html	Melendez R (Dist 67)	Transportation funds. Current law establishes a policy for expenditure of certain state and federal funds available to the state for transportation purposes. Under this policy, the Department of Transportation and the California Transportation Commission are required to develop a fund estimate of available funds for purposes of adopting the state transportation improvement program, which is a listing of capital improvement projects. This bill would make a non-substantive change to this provision.	Assembly Print	Watch	This spot bill appears to address future changes to the State's STIP development process.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
AB 1833 Amended: 3/16/2016 pdf html	Linder R (Dist 60)	Transportation projects: environmental mitigation. Would create the Advanced Mitigation Program in the Department of Transportation to implement environmental mitigation measures in advance of future transportation projects. The bill, by February 1, 2017, would require the department to establish a steering committee to advise the department in that regard.	Assembly Transportation	Watch	This bill is similar to a provision in the Governor's Transportation Plan that will facilitate approval of transportation projects in the CECQA analysis phase. While the Governor's plan contains a funding commitment for the Advanced Mitigation Program (AMP), this bill does not. While most local Conservation Plans have been developed utilizing local resources to fund implementation, there remains value to the concept in the bill as it compels coordination by state agencies to assist in the necessary approvals.
AB 1851 Amended: 3/18/2016 pdf html	Gray D (Dist 21)	Vehicular air pollution: reduction incentives This bill, as part of the Clean Vehicle Rebate Project, would require the state board to provide specified rebate amounts for battery electric vehicles, fuel-cell vehicles, and plug-in hybrid electric vehicles; to limit rebates to vehicles with a manufacturer's suggested retail price of \$60,000 or less; and to implement a process to allow eligible applicants to obtain prompt pre-approval from the state board prior to purchasing an eligible vehicle, as specified. The bill would authorize moneys from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund (GGRF) to be available, upon appropriation, for allocation under those provisions and would authorize moneys available for allocation to disadvantaged communities to be available, upon appropriation, for specified allocations. This bill also would require the state board to issue specified rebates for the installation of an electric vehicle charging station to a property owner or lessee, as specified. The bill would authorize moneys from the GGRF to be available, upon appropriation, for allocation for those rebates.	Assembly Transportation	New – Recommend Seek Amendment	Revises the incentives for consumers seeking to purchase zero-emission vehicles, including (1) a new rebate program, limited to vehicles that cost less than \$60,000; (2) elimination of the value of a trade-in for sales tax purposes if purchasing a qualified ZEV, and (3) elimination of the cap on Green Stickers for access to HOV lanes. We propose to seek amendment to remove the elimination of the cap on Green Stickers for access to the HOV lanes as it dilutes the effectiveness of those lanes.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
AB 1866 Introduced: 2/10/2016 pdf html	Wilk R (Dist 38)	High-speed rail bond proceeds: redirection: water projects. Would provide that no further bonds shall be sold for high-speed rail purposes pursuant to the Safe, Reliable High-Speed Passenger Train Bond Act for the 21st Century, except as specifically provided with respect to an existing appropriation for high-speed rail purposes for early improvement projects in the Phase 1 blended system. The bill, subject to the above exception, would require redirection of the unspent proceeds received from outstanding bonds issued and sold for other high-speed rail purposes prior to the effective date of these provisions, upon appropriation, for use in retiring the debt incurred from the issuance and sale of those outstanding bonds.	Assembly Transportation	Oppose	Essentially brings the High-Speed Rail Project to an end by transferring remaining bond funds to pay off bond debt.
AB 1886 Introduced: 2/11/2016 pdf html	McCarty D (Dist 7)	California Environmental Quality Act: transit priority projects. CEQA exempts from its requirements transit priority projects meeting certain requirements, including the requirement that the project be within 1/2 mile of a major transit stop or high-quality transit corridor included in a regional transportation plan. CEQA specifies that a project is considered to be within 1/2 mile of a major transit stop or high-quality transit corridor if, among other things, all parcels within the project have no more than 25% of their area farther than 1/2 mile from the stop or corridor. This bill would increase that percentage to 50%.	Assembly Natural Resources	Support	The bill relaxes the CEQA exemption for Transit Priority projects within 1/2 mile of a transit stop by expanding the requirement that the subject parcel may have 50% of its property at a distance greater than 1/2 mile, rather than 25% of its property.
AB 1889 Amended: 3/17/2016 pdf html	Mullin D (Dist 22)	Peninsula Rail Transit District. Current law, operative under certain conditions, re-designates the Peninsula Corridor Study Joint Powers Board as the Peninsula Rail Transit District, comprised of 9 members appointed from various governing bodies situated in the City and County of San Francisco and the Counties of San Mateo and Santa Clara, with specified powers. This bill would repeal obsolete provisions relating to the Peninsula Rail Transit District.	Assembly Local Government	Watch	This is a technical bill eliminating an obsolete body of law referring to the Peninsula Rail District.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
AB 1908 Amended: 3/17/2016 pdf html	Harper R (Dist 74)	High-occupancy vehicle lanes. This bill would prohibit, commencing July 1, 2017, a high-occupancy vehicle lane from being established on a state highway in southern California, unless that lane is established as a high-occupancy vehicle lane only during the hours of heavy commuter traffic, as determined by the department. The bill would require any existing high-occupancy vehicle lane in southern California to be modified to conform to those requirements. The bill would authorize the department, on or after May 1, 2018, to reinstate 24-hour high-occupancy vehicle lanes in southern California if the department makes a specified determination, and would require the department to report to the Legislature on the impact on traffic of limiting the use of high-occupancy lanes only during the hours of heavy commuter traffic, as provided in the bill.	Pending hearing	New – Recommend Watch	Restricts the establishment of an HOV lane in southern California unless the facility would only be able to be operated during hours of high commute demand.
AB 1938 Introduced: 2/12/2016 pdf html	Baker R (Dist 16)	Toll facilities: Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC). Current law authorizes the Bay Area Toll Authority (BATA) to make direct contributions to MTC in furtherance of the exercise of the authority's powers, including contributions in the form of personnel services, office space, overhead, and other funding necessary to carry out the function of the authority, with those contributions not to exceed 1% of the gross annual bridge revenues. This bill would require this limitation to apply to any revenues derived from bridge tolls, fees, or taxes, regardless of classification.	Assembly Transportation	Watch	Under current law, BATA may provide toll revenues and other direct contributions (e.g. personnel service, office space) to MTC to carry out BATA's functions consistent with certain limitations. This bill expands those limitations to any BATA revenues derived from bridge tolls, fees, or taxes.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
AB 1964 Amended: 3/28/2016 pdf html	Bloom D (Dist 50)	High-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes: vehicle exceptions. Existing federal law authorizes, until September 30, 2025, a state to allow alternative fuel vehicles, as defined, and new qualified plug-in electric drive motor vehicles, as defined, to use HOV lanes. This bill would extend the operation of the provisions allowing specified vehicles to use HOV lanes until January 1, 2029, or until the date federal authorization expires, or until the Secretary of State receives a specified notice, whichever occurs first.	Assembly Transportation	Oppose	This bill extends the privilege of white sticker vehicles to access HOV lanes by 10 years to 2029. White stickers apply to pure electric and natural gas vehicles only. We feel the most effective way to incentivize clean vehicle usage is at the point of purchase. Access to HOV lanes dilutes the effectiveness of these lanes. New amendments are pending that may limit access stickers. MTC staff are recommending an oppose unless amended position, unless the number of stickers is capped, with a sunset date no later than the end of 2021.
AB 1982 Introduced: 2/16/2016 pdf html	Bloom D (Dist 50)	Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund: traffic signal synchronization. Current law authorizes the allocation of moneys in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund for investment in a traffic signal synchronization project as a sustainable infrastructure project if the project is designed and implemented to achieve cost-effective reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and includes specific reduction targets and metrics to evaluate the project's effect. This bill would additionally require that an eligible traffic signal synchronization project be timed to move vehicles at an average speed of 12 to 15 miles per hour.	Assembly Transportation	Watch	Specifically authorizes that cap and trade funds may be expended on traffic signal synchronization projects. SFMTA is actively working with the author and may seek an amendment to eliminate the language requiring eligible projects to be timed to move vehicles at an average speed of 12 to 15 miles per hour. We anticipate recommending a support or support and seek amendment position next month.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
AB 2014 Introduced: 2/16/2016 pdf html	Melendez R (Dist 67)	Freeway Service Patrol Act: workload study. Would require, no later than June 30, 2017, and every 2 years thereafter, the Department of the California Highway Patrol, in coordination with the Department of Transportation and in consultation with regional and local entities, to complete a workload study to assess resource needs to supervise existing and expanded freeway service patrols identified by regional and local entities and to submit the study to the Senate Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review and the Assembly Committee on Budget.	Assembly Transportation	Watch	Provides a process for determining Freeway Service Patrol (FSP) needs on an ongoing basis.
AB 2034 Amended: 3/17/2016 pdf html	Salas D (Dist 32)	Department of Transportation: environmental review process: federal program. Current law, until January 1, 2017, provides that the State of California consents to the jurisdiction of the federal courts with regard to the compliance, discharge, or enforcement of the responsibilities the Department of Transportation assumed as a participant in the surface transportation project delivery program. This bill would delete the January 1, 2017 repeal date and thereby extend these provisions indefinitely.	Assembly Transportation	Support	Since 2007 Caltrans has assumed federal responsibility for NEPA actions under a pilot program administered by FHWA/USDOT. This bill extends the acceptance of the delegation of authority indefinitely.
AB 2049 Introduced: 2/17/2016 pdf html	Melendez R (Dist 67)	Bonds: transportation. Would provide that no further bonds shall be sold for high-speed rail purposes pursuant to the Safe, Reliable High-Speed Passenger Train Bond Act for the 21st Century, except as specifically provided with respect to an existing appropriation for high-speed rail purposes for early improvement projects in the Phase I blended system.	Assembly Transportation	Oppose	Effectively brings the high speed rail program to an end.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
<p>AB 2090 Introduced: 2/17/2016 pdf html</p>	<p>Alejo D (Dist 30)</p>	<p>Low Carbon Transit Operations Program. Current law continuously appropriates specified portions of the annual proceeds in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund to various programs, including 5% for the Low Carbon Transit Operations Program, which provides operating and capital assistance for transit agencies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improve mobility, with a priority on serving disadvantaged communities. This bill would additionally authorize moneys appropriated to the program to be expended to support the operation of existing bus or rail service if the governing board of the requesting transit agency declares a fiscal emergency and other criteria are met, thereby expanding the scope of an existing continuous appropriation.</p>	<p>Assembly Transportation</p>	<p>Watch</p>	<p>Expands the use by transit agencies of cap and trade funds for bus or rail service in the face of declared fiscal emergency; the agency board must state that the funds are for use in the calendar year requested, make a finding that the reduction of transit service would increase GHG reductions due to mode shift, and state that the board would reduce or eliminate service if the funding were not provided.</p>
<p>AB 2094 Amended: 3/18/2016 pdf html</p>	<p>Obermole R (Dist 33)</p>	<p>Transportation: Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund (GGRF): state and local transportation funds. This bill, beginning in the 2016–17 fiscal year, would transfer \$1 billion annually from the GGRF to the Retail Sales Tax Fund (RSTF), subject to specified conditions, and would state that the transferred revenues shall be considered part of the revenues allocated to local transportation funds from the RSTF. The bill, in each fiscal year in which that transfer occurs, would also continuously appropriate \$1 billion from the RSTF for allocation to state highway and local street and road purposes.</p>	<p>Assembly Transportation</p>	<p>New – Recommend Watch</p>	<p>This is a new transportation funding approach to transfer funds between various accounts to “free up” \$1 billion for street and roads programs. Local Transportation Development Act (TDA) funds (1/4% of sales tax) would be supplemented by GGRF in the amount of \$1 billion; then, sales tax revenues would be allocated from the TDA to road programs.</p>

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
AB 2126 Introduced: 2/17/2016 pdf html	Mullin D (Dist 22)	Public contracts: Construction Manager/General Contractor contracts. Current law authorizes the Department of Transportation to use the Construction Manager/General Contractor method on no more than 6 projects, and requires 4 out of the 6 projects to use department employees or consultants under contract with the department to perform all project design and engineering services, as specified. This bill would authorize the department to use this method on 12 projects and would require 8 out of the 12 projects to use department employees or consultants under contract with the department to perform all project design and engineering services.	Assembly Transportation	Support	Expands the authority for Caltrans to use CM/GC procurements from 6-12 projects on the state highway system.
AB 2170 Amended: 3/15/2016 pdf html	Frazier D (Dist 11)	Trade Corridors Improvement Fund: federal funds. Would require revenues apportioned to the state from the National Highway Freight Program established by the federal Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act to be allocated for trade corridor improvement projects approved pursuant to specified provisions. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.	Assembly Transportation	Watch	Directs the new federal aid funds in the national freight program to the Trade Corridors account. MTC staff are recommending a support position on this bill. MTC's adopted cap and trade framework includes a line item for goods movement projects, and this funding could provide matching funds for the new federal competitive freight program FASTLANE.
AB 2222 Introduced: 2/18/2016 pdf html	Holden D (Dist 41)	Transit passes. Would continuously appropriate \$50,000,000 annually from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund for the Transit Pass Program, to be administered by the Department of Transportation. The bill would provide for moneys made available for the program to be allocated by the Controller, as directed by the department, to support transit pass programs of public agencies that provide free or reduced-fare transit passes to public school students and community college, California State University, and University of California students.	Assembly Transportation	Watch	Requires \$50 million in cap and trade to be allocated annually to Caltrans to fund transit passes.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
AB 2289 Introduced: 2/18/2016 pdf html	Frazier D (Dist 11)	Department of Transportation: capital improvement projects. Current law requires the Department of Transportation to prepare a state highway operation and protection program for the expenditure of transportation funds for major capital improvements that are necessary to preserve and protect the state highway system and that include capital projects relative to maintenance, safety, and rehabilitation of state highways and bridges that do not add a new traffic lane to the system. This bill would add to the program capital projects relative to the operation of those state highways and bridges.	Assembly Transportation	Support	This bill is based on a recent recommendation by the CTC in its annual report. The bill would add capital projects to improve highway operations as eligible for use of state highway funds. Examples include: lane management systems, changeable message signs, ramp meters, and similar devices and facilities specifically focused on improving operations.
AB 2292 Amended: 4/4/2016 pdf html	Gordon D (Dist 24)	California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: disadvantaged communities. This bill would require the California Environmental Protection Agency (CalEPA), no later than July 1, 2017, to update the California Communities Environmental Health Screening to include specified factors when identifying disadvantaged communities for investment opportunities related to the 3-year investment plan.	Assembly Environmental Safety and Toxic Materials	New – Recommend Watch	The bill attempts to address perceived weaknesses in the present identification of disadvantaged communities (DACs) for use in allocating cap and trade funding. This is achieved by broadening the factors used by CalEPA to also include areas in the state with high poverty rates, rent burden and cost of living. It moves in the right direction, but isn't expected to materially change the methodology such that it better aligns with areas commonly known as DACs in the Bay Area.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
AB 2332 Introduced: 2/18/2016 pdf html	Garcia, Eduardo D (Dist 56)	Transportation. Would, by January 1, 2018, require the California Transportation Commission (CTC) to establish a process whereby the department and local agencies receiving funding for highway capital improvements from the State Highway Operation and Protection Program or the State Transportation Improvement Program prioritize projects that provide meaningful benefits to the mobility and safety needs of disadvantaged community (DAC) residents, as specified.	Assembly Transportation	Watch	Compels the CTC to prioritize scarce state highway funds for meeting mobility and safety needs of disadvantaged communities. We will continue to work with the state to change the definition of DACs to better match what we commonly consider to be DACs in San Francisco. Spot bill. Directs 10% of cap and trade funds to an unspecified community.
AB 2343 Introduced: 2/18/2016 pdf html	Garcia, Cristina D (Dist 58)	Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund: 3-year investment plan: disadvantaged communities. Current law requires the California Environmental Protection Agency to identify disadvantaged communities and requires the Department of Finance, in consultation with the state board and any other relevant state agency, to develop, as specified, a 3-year investment plan for the moneys deposited in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund. Current law requires the 3-year investment plan to allocate a minimum of 10% of the available moneys in the fund to projects located within disadvantaged communities. This bill instead would require a minimum of 10% of the moneys in fund to be allocated to projects located in a city of an unspecified population within a disadvantaged community.	Assembly Natural Resources	Watch	
AB 2355 Introduced: 2/18/2016 pdf html	Dababneh D (Dist 45)	Intercity rail services: mitigation. Would require the Department of Transportation to develop a program for the reasonable mitigation of noise and vibration levels in residential neighborhoods along railroad lines where the department contracts for state-funded intercity rail passenger service. The bill would require the department to determine what constitutes a reasonable level of mitigation. The bill would provide that funding for the mitigation program shall be made available from funds appropriated by the Legislature for this purpose.	Assembly Transportation	Watch	Requires Caltrans to mitigate noise along intercity rail passenger lines.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
AB 2374 Introduced: 2/18/2016 pdf html	Chiu D (Dist 17)	Construction Manager/General Contractor method: regional transportation agencies: ramps. Current law authorizes regional transportation agencies to use the Construction Manager/General Contractor project delivery method, as specified, to design and construct certain expressways that are not on the state highway system if: (1) the expressways are developed in accordance with an expenditure plan approved by voters, (2) there is an evaluation of the traditional design-bid-build method of construction and of the Construction Manager/General Contractor method, and (3) the board of the regional transportation agency adopts the method in a public meeting. This bill would authorize regional transportation agencies also to use this authority on ramps that are not on the state highway system, as specified.	Assembly Transportation	Sponsor/ Support	Amends current law that allows local transportation agencies to use CM/GC to specifically permit CM/GC use on "ramps". This would apply to the Yerba Buena Island phase II, which the SFCFTA is leading on behalf of the Treasure Island Development Authority.
AB 2411 Introduced: 2/19/2016 pdf html	Frazier D (Dist 11)	Transportation revenues. Current law requires certain miscellaneous revenues deposited in the State Highway Account that are not restricted as to expenditure by Article XIX of the California Constitution to be transferred to the Transportation Debt Service Fund in the State Transportation Fund, as specified, and requires the Controller to transfer from the fund to the General Fund an amount of those revenues necessary to offset the current year debt service made from the General Fund on general obligation transportation bonds issued pursuant to Proposition 116 of 1990. This bill would delete the transfer of these miscellaneous revenues to the Transportation Debt Service Fund, thereby eliminating the offsetting transfer to the General Fund for debt service on general obligation transportation bonds issued pursuant to Proposition 116 of 1990.	Assembly Transportation	Watch	Recaptures approximately \$45 million annually that has been dedicated to the GF to instead be directed to highways. The source is miscellaneous revenues generated by Caltrans.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
AB 2452 Introduced: 2/19/2016 pdf html	Quirk D (Dist 20)	California Environmental Quality Act: judicial remedies: emissions of greenhouse gases. CEQA authorizes a court, in an action or proceeding brought challenging the decision of a public agency on the ground of noncompliance with CEQA, to enter an order to suspend any specific project activity if the court finds that the activity will prejudice the consideration and implementation of particular mitigation measures or alternatives to the project. This bill would, in an action or proceeding under CEQA, prohibit a court from staying or enjoining transportation infrastructure projects, as defined, based solely on the project's potential contribution to the emissions of greenhouse gases.	Assembly Natural Resources	Watch	The bill prohibits a court from imposing a stay or from enjoining a transportation project, if the project is included within a Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) or Alternate Planning Strategy (APS) for which a metropolitan planning organization (MPO) has included in a certified SCS or APS certified Environmental Impact Report.
AB 2509 Introduced: 2/19/2016 pdf html	Ling D (Dist 19)	Operation of bicycles: speed. Current law requires a person operating a bicycle upon a roadway at a speed less than the normal speed of traffic moving in the same direction at that time to ride as close as practicable to the right-hand curb or edge of the roadway except in specified situations. This bill would require a person operating a bicycle, as described above, to ride as close as is either safe or practicable to the specified curb or roadway edge. The bill would expand the exceptions to riding as close as safe or practicable to the right-hand curb or roadway edge to include, among others, when riding in class I, class II, or class IV bikeways, as specified.	Assembly Transportation	Watch	Provides bike riders with more flexibility to the requirement that they ride as close to curb or roadway edge when traveling class, I, II, or IV bikeways.
AB 2542 Amended: 3/15/2016 pdf html	Gatto D (Dist 43)	Streets and highways: reversible lanes. This bill would require the department or a regional transportation-planning agency, when submitting a capacity-increasing project or a major street or highway lane realignment project to the commission for approval, to demonstrate that reversible lanes were considered for the project.	Referred to Assembly Transportation pending hearing	New – Recommend Watch	This author is attempting to compel transportation agencies to consider “reversible lanes” as when developing a capacity-increasing roadway project.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
AB 2722 Introduced: 2/19/2016 pdf html	Burke D (Dist 62)	Transformative Climate Communities Program. This bill would create the Transformative Climate Communities Program, to be administered by the Strategic Growth Council (SGC). The bill would appropriate \$250,000,000 from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund to administer the program. The bill would require the SGC, in coordination with the California Environmental Protection Agency Assistant Secretary for Environmental Justice and Tribal Affairs, to award competitive grants to specified eligible entities for transformative climate community plans in disadvantaged communities, as defined. The bill would require the council to award at least 5 grants to execute existing, and at least 5 grants to undertake the development of, neighborhood-level transformative climate community plans that contribute to the reduction of emissions of greenhouse gases and address specified project areas.	Assembly Natural Resources	New – Recommend Watch	This bill would appropriate \$250 million in cap and trade funds for a new competitive grant program for transformative climate community plans in disadvantaged communities. We will continue to work with the state to change the definition of DACs to better match what we commonly consider to be DACs in San Francisco.
AB 2742 Introduced: 2/19/2016 pdf html	Nazarian D (Dist 46)	Transportation projects: comprehensive development lease agreements. Current law authorizes the Department of Transportation and regional transportation agencies, as defined, to enter into comprehensive development lease agreements with public and private entities, or consortia of those entities, for certain transportation projects that may charge certain users of those projects tolls and user fees, subject to various terms and requirements. These arrangements are commonly known as public-private partnerships. Current law prohibits a lease agreement from being entered into under these provisions on or after January 1, 2017. This bill would allow a lease agreement to be entered into under these provisions until January 1, 2030.	Assembly Transportation	Watch	Extends P3 authority to 2030 from 2017.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
<p>AB 2783</p> <p>Amended: 3/28/2016 pdf html</p>	<p>Garcia, Eduardo D (Dist 56)</p>	<p>Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program. Current law requires the Strategic Growth Council to develop guidelines and selection criteria for the Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program. This bill would require the Strategic Growth Council to revise the guidelines and selection criteria with respect to density requirements, as specified, and to include specified factors, including energy efficiency, in its greenhouse gas quantification methodology.</p>	<p>Assembly Housing and Community Development</p>	<p>Watch</p>	<p>Directs the SGC to amend its cap and trade allocation guidelines related to density requirements for affordable housing. Bill leaves intact existing density requirements, but for rural innovation program areas directs a loosening of the density to permit the projects to qualify for AHSC.</p> <p>MTC staff is recommending an oppose position on this bill, given that lowering density requirements undermines the goal of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by boosting the availability of affordable housing near transit.</p>
<p>AB 2796</p> <p>Introduced: 2/19/2016 pdf html</p>	<p>Low D (Dist 28)</p>	<p>Active Transportation Program. Current law creates the Active Transportation Program in the Department of Transportation for the purpose of encouraging increased use of active modes of transportation. Current law requires the California Transportation Commission to award 50% and 10% of available funds to projects statewide and to projects in small urban and rural regions, respectively, with the remaining 40% of available funds to be awarded to projects by metropolitan planning organizations, with the funds available for distribution by each metropolitan planning organization based on its relative population. This bill would require a minimum of 5% of available funds in each of the 3 distribution categories to be awarded for planning and community engagement for active transportation in disadvantaged communities.</p>	<p>Assembly Transportation</p>	<p>Watch</p>	<p>Dedicates 5% of current funding for distributing Active Transportation Program allocation for award to DACs for planning and community engagement. This bill will likely be amended to increase the minimum percentage awarded to non-infrastructure projects from 10 to 15%, and will include a new minimum award requirement of 5% of funds for planning projects.</p>

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
AB 2847 Introduced: 2/19/2016 pdf html	Patterson R (Dist 23)	State highways: transfer to local agencies: pilot program. Would require the Department of Transportation to participate in a pilot program over a 5-year period under which 3 counties, one in northern California, one in southern California, and one in the central valley, are selected to operate, maintain, and make improvements to all state highways, including freeways, in the affected county.	Assembly Transportation	Watch	Would authorize Caltrans to do a pilot program that transfers responsibility for operating and maintaining state highways to 3 counties.
AB 2857 Introduced: 2/19/2016 pdf html	Chu D (Dist 25)	Transportation network companies: delivery of commodities. Current law creates the Public Utilities Commission with various powers and duties relative to transportation, including, among other responsibilities, regulation of transportation network companies and those engaged in the private transportation of persons or property. This bill would declare the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that promotes public safety and accountability for transportation network companies utilizing peer-to-peer mobile services to deliver commodities such as food or clothing.	Assembly Print	Watch	This is an "intent bill" to later draft legislation related to TNC companies that deliver commodities.
ABX1 1 Introduced: 6/23/2015 pdf html	Alejo D (Dist 30)	Transportation funding. Current law provides for loans of revenues from various transportation funds and accounts to the General Fund, with various repayment dates specified. This bill, with respect to any loans made to the General Fund from specified transportation funds and accounts with a repayment date of January 1, 2019, or later, would require the loans to be repaid by December 31, 2018. This bill contains other related provisions and other current laws.	Assembly Print	Support	This bill mandates that State General Fund loans from transportation revenues be repaid.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
<p>ABX1 2</p> <p>Introduced: 6/25/2015 pdf html</p>	<p>Perez D (Dist 31)</p>	<p>Transportation projects: comprehensive development lease agreements. Current law authorizes the Department of Transportation and regional transportation agencies, as defined, to enter into comprehensive development lease agreements with public and private entities, or consortia of those entities, for certain transportation projects that may charge certain users of those projects tolls and user fees, subject to various terms and requirements. Current law provides that a lease agreement may not be entered into under these provisions on or after January 1, 2017. This bill would extend this authorization indefinitely and would include within the definition of "regional transportation agency" the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority, thereby authorizing the authority to enter into public-private partnerships under these provisions.</p>	<p>Assembly Print</p>	<p>Support</p>	<p>Extends public-private partnership law indefinitely. Similar to SBX 1 14 (Cannella).</p>
<p>ABX1 3</p> <p>Amended: 9/3/2015 pdf html</p>	<p>Frazier D (Dist 11)</p>	<p>Transportation funding. Current law requires the Department of Transportation to improve and maintain the state's highways, and establishes various programs to fund the development, construction, and repair of local roads, bridges, and other critical transportation infrastructure in the state. This bill would declare the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation to establish permanent, sustainable sources of transportation funding to maintain and repair highways, local roads, bridges, and other critical infrastructure.</p>	<p>Assembly Conference Committee</p>	<p>Watch</p>	<p>Special session spot bill.</p>
<p>ABX1 4</p> <p>Introduced: 7/9/2015 pdf html</p>	<p>Frazier D (Dist 11)</p>	<p>Transportation funding. Current law establishes various programs to fund the development, construction, and repair of local roads, bridges, and other critical transportation infrastructure in the state. This bill would declare the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation to establish permanent, sustainable sources of transportation funding to improve the state's key trade corridors and support efforts by local governments to repair and improve local transportation infrastructure.</p>	<p>Senate Rules</p>	<p>Watch</p>	<p>Special session spot bill.</p>

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
ABX1 6 Introduced: 7/16/2015 pdf html	Hernández, Roger D (Dist 48)	Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program. Current law continuously appropriates 20% of the annual proceeds of the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund to the Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program, administered by the Strategic Growth Council, to reduce greenhouse gas emissions through projects that implement land use, housing, transportation, and agricultural land preservation practices to support infill and compact development and that support other related and coordinated public policy objectives. This bill would require 20% of moneys available for allocation under the program to be allocated to eligible projects in rural areas, as defined.	Assembly Print	Oppose	The bill would require 20% of the affordable housing program under cap and trade go to projects in rural areas.
ABX1 7 Introduced: 7/16/2015 pdf html	Nazarian D (Dist 46)	Public transit: funding. Current law requires all moneys, except for fines and penalties, collected by the State Air Resources Board from the auction or sale of allowances as part of a market-based compliance mechanism relative to reduction of greenhouse gas emissions to be deposited in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund. This bill would instead continuously appropriate 20% of those annual proceeds to the Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program, and 10% of those annual proceeds to the Low Carbon Transit Operations Program, thereby making an appropriation. This bill contains other current laws.	Assembly Print	Support	The bill would seek to increase cap and trade revenues to 2 transit programs (1) rail capital and (2) transit operations. This bill is the same as SBX1 8 (Hill)
ABX1 8 Introduced: 7/16/2015 pdf html	Chiu D (Dist 17)	Diesel sales and use tax. Would, effective July 1, 2016, increase the additional sales and use tax rate on diesel fuel to 5.25%. By increasing the revenues deposited in a continuously appropriated fund, the bill would thereby make an appropriation. This bill contains other related provisions.	Assembly Print	Support	The bill seeks to increase State transit assistance funds by increasing the sales tax rate and diesel. This bill is the same as SBX1 7 (Allen).

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
ABX1 9 Introduced: 8/17/2015 pdf _html	Levine D (Dist 10)	Richmond-San Rafael Bridge. Would require the Department of Transportation, immediately, or as soon as practically feasible, but no later than September 30, 2015, to implement an operational improvement project that temporarily restores the third eastbound lane on State Highway Route 580 from the beginning of the Richmond-San Rafael Bridge in the County of Marin to Marine Street in the County of Contra Costa to automobile traffic and that temporarily converts a specified portion of an existing one-way bicycle lane along the north side of State Highway Route 580 in the County of Contra Costa into a bidirectional bicycle and pedestrian lane.	Assembly Print	Watch	This author is addressing a congestion issue afflicting Marin and Contra Costa Counties by mandatory Caltrans to restore a 3rd lane on Richmond bridge.
ABX1 10 Introduced: 8/19/2015 pdf _html	Levine D (Dist 10)	Public works: contracts: extra compensation. Would provide that a state entity in a mega-infrastructure project contract, as defined, may not provide for the payment of extra compensation to the contractor until the mega-infrastructure project, as defined, has been completed and an independent third party has verified that the mega-infrastructure project meets all architectural or engineering plans and safety specifications of the contract. This bill would apply to contracts entered into or amended on or after the effective date of this bill.	Assembly Print	Watch	Would restrict state agencies from providing extra payments to contractors on mega-infrastructure projects.
ABX1 13 Introduced: 8/31/2015 pdf _html	Grove R (Dist 34)	Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund: streets and highways. Would reduce the continuous appropriation to the Strategic Growth Council for the Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program by half. This bill contains other related provisions.	Assembly Print	Oppose	The bill would reduce funds from the cap and trade for the Affordable housing and Sustainable Communities competitive grant program by half and dedicate the savings to road repair.
ABX1 14 Introduced: 8/31/2015 pdf _html	Waldron R (Dist 75)	State Highway Operation and Protection Program: local streets and roads: appropriation. Would continuously appropriate \$1 billion from the General Fund, with 50% to be made available to the Department of Transportation for maintenance of the state highway system or for purposes of the State Highway Operation and Protection Program, and 50% to be made available to the Controller for apportionment to cities and counties by a specified formula for street and road purposes.	Assembly Print	Watch	This bill reflects an evolving concept by Assembly Republicans to seek road improvement funding from existing state resources, obviating the need for new taxes.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
ABX1 15 Introduced: 8/31/2015 pdf html	Patterson R (Dist 23)	State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP): local streets and roads: appropriation. Would reduce the \$663,287,000 appropriation for Capital Outlay Support by \$500 million, and would appropriate \$500 million from the State Highway Account for the 2015-16 fiscal year, with 50% to be made available to the Department of Transportation for maintenance of the state highway system or for purposes of the SHOPP, and 50% to be made available to the Controller for apportionment to cities and counties by formula for street and road purposes. This bill contains other existing laws.	Assembly Print	Watch	This bill reflects an evolving concept by Assembly Republicans to seek road improvement funding from existing state resources, obviating the need for new taxes. Would reduce Caltrans staff costs by \$500 million and seek a like amount from State Highway Account to be dedicated for state and local road repairs.
ABX1 16 Introduced: 8/31/2015 pdf html	Patterson R (Dist 23)	State highways: transfer to local agencies: pilot program. Would require the Department of Transportation to participate in a pilot program over a 5-year period under which 2 counties, one in northern California and one in southern California, are selected to operate, maintain, and make improvements to all state highways, including freeways, in the affected county. The bill would require the department, with respect to those counties, for the duration of the pilot program, to convey all of its authority and responsibility over state highways in the county to a county, or a regional transportation agency that has jurisdiction in the county.	Assembly Print	Watch	This bill is intended to test the efficiency of Caltrans by authorizing a pilot program in which two counties would be able to assume Caltrans' responsibility for operating and maintaining highways with the county.
ABX1 17 Introduced: 8/31/2015 pdf html	Achadjian R (Dist 35)	Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund: state highway operation and protection program. Current law continuously appropriates 60% of the annual proceeds of the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund for transit, affordable housing, sustainable communities, and high-speed rail purposes. This bill, beginning in the 2016-17 fiscal year, would continuously appropriate 25% of the annual proceeds of the fund to fund projects in the state highway operation and protection program.	Assembly Print	Oppose	This measure would seek to supplement state rehabilitation program with 25% of cap and trade resources.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
ABX1 18 Introduced: 8/31/2015 pdf html	Linder R (Dist 60)	Vehicle weight fees: transportation bond debt service. Would, notwithstanding these provisions or any other law, effective January 1, 2016, prohibit weight fee revenue from being transferred from the State Highway Account to the Transportation Debt Service Fund or to the Transportation Bond Direct Payment Account, and from being used to pay the debt service on transportation general obligation bonds.	Assembly Print	Oppose	This measure would seek the return of truck weight fees to state highway rehabilitation purposes.
ABX1 19 Introduced: 8/31/2015 pdf html	Linder R (Dist 60)	California Transportation Commission (CTC). Would exclude the CTC from the Transportation Agency and establish it as an entity in the state government. The bill would also make conforming changes.	Assembly Print	Watch	The bill re-establishes the independence of the CTC from the Administration Transportation Agency. This bill is similar to AB 1364 (Linder), SB 1320 (Runner) and SBX1 12 (Runner).
ABX1 20 Introduced: 8/31/2015 pdf html	Gaines, Beth R (Dist 6)	State government: elimination of vacant positions: transportation: appropriation. Current law establishes the Department of Human Resources in state government to operate the state civil service system. This bill would require the department to eliminate 25% of the vacant positions in state government that are funded by the General Fund. This bill contains other related provisions and other current laws.	Assembly Print	Watch	This Republican Caucus measure would seek the elimination of vacant positions in state government and dedicate the funds that are freed to road repairs.
ABX1 21 Introduced: 8/31/2015 pdf html	Obernolte R (Dist 33)	Environmental quality: highway projects. Would prohibit a court in a judicial action or proceeding under California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) from staying or enjoining the construction or improvement of a highway unless it makes specified findings.	Assembly Print	Watch	Extends to highway projects judicial relief from a CEQA challenge in certain cases.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
ABX1 25 Introduced: 1/11/2016 pdf html	Allen, Travis R (Dist 72)	Shuttle services: loading and unloading of passengers. Under current law, a person may not stop, park, or leave a vehicle standing alongside a curb space authorized for the loading or unloading of passengers of a bus engaged as a common carrier in local transportation when indicated by a sign or red paint on the curb, except that current law allows local authorities to permit school buses to stop alongside these curb spaces upon agreement between a transit system operating buses as common carriers in local transportation and a public school district or private school. This bill would also allow local authorities to permit shuttle service vehicles, as defined, to stop for the loading or unloading of passengers alongside these curb spaces upon agreement between a transit system operating buses.	Assembly Print	Oppose	A 2nd bill by the author to replace AB 61 related to shuttle service buses. Bill is also same as AB 1641 (Allen, Travis).
ACA 4 Amended: 8 /17/2015 pdf html	Frazier D (Dist 11)	Local government transportation projects: special taxes: voter approval. Would provide that the imposition, extension, or increase of a sales and use tax imposed pursuant to the Bradley-Burns Uniform Local Sales and Use Tax Law or a transactions and use tax imposed in accordance with the Transactions and Use Tax Law by a county, city, and county, or special district for the purpose of providing funding for local transportation projects, as defined, requires the approval of 55% of its voters voting on the proposition. The measure would also make conforming and technical, non-substantive changes.	Assembly Appropriations Suspense File	Support	This bill would provide voters the opportunity to reduce the requirement for approval of future special taxes for transportation purposes with a 55% majority.
SB 39 Amended: 4/8/2015 pdf html	Pavley D (Dist 27)	Vehicles: high-occupancy vehicle lanes. Current federal law, until September 30, 2017, authorizes a state to allow specified labeled vehicles to use lanes designated for high-occupancy vehicles (HOVs). Current law authorizes the DMV to issue no more than 70,000 of those identifiers. This bill would increase the number of those identifiers that the DMV is authorized to issue to an unspecified amount. This bill contains other related provisions and other current laws.	Assembly Transportation	Oppose	The bill would expand the amount of HOV lane access decals for clean vehicles. 2014 saw the number of decals permitted increase from 40,000 to 70,000. Budget trailer bill was approved to accomplish this.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
SB 254 Amended: 3/3/2016 pdf html	Allen D (Dist 26)	Campaign finance: advisory election. Would call a special election to be consolidated with the November 8, 2016, statewide general election. The bill would require the Secretary of State to submit to the voters at the November 8, 2016, consolidated election an advisory question asking whether the Congress of the United States should propose, and the California Legislature should ratify, an amendment or amendments to the United States Constitution to overturn Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010) 558 U.S. 310, and other applicable judicial precedents, as specified.	Assembly Rules	New – Recommend Delete from Matrix	The original bill would streamline the current highway relinquish that process for state route segments NOT on the Interstate system. A budget trailer bill was approved to accomplish the goals of this bill. Amended to apply to an election code policy matter; no longer transportation-related.
SB 321 Amended: 8/18/2015 pdf html	Beall D (Dist 15)	Motor vehicle fuel taxes: rates: adjustments. Would, for the 2016- 17 fiscal year and each fiscal year thereafter, require the State Board of Equalization on March 1 of the fiscal year immediately preceding the applicable fiscal year, as specified, to adjust the rate in a manner as to generate an amount of revenue equal to the amount of revenue loss attributable to the exemption, based on estimates made by the board that reflect the combined average of the actual fuel price over the previous 4 fiscal years and the estimated fuel price for the current fiscal year, and continuing to take into account adjustments required by existing law to maintain revenue neutrality for each year. This bill contains other existing laws.	Senate Inactive File	Support	This bill would provide more flexibility to the Board of Equalization in establishing annual gas excise tax rates by extending the period from 3 to 5 years to ensure "revenue neutrality". This would address the volatility now observed in the annual tax-rate-setting process.
SB 344 Amended: 6/23/2015 pdf html	Monning D (Dist 17)	Commercial driver's license: education. Would, commencing January 1, 2018, require a person to successfully complete a course of instruction from a commercial driver training institution or program offered by an employer with an approved course of instruction that has been certified by the Department of Motor Vehicles before he or she is issued a commercial driver's license, except as specified. The bill would require the course of instruction to include, at a minimum, standards necessary to ensure a driver is proficient in safely operating a commercial vehicle.	Retained on Assembly Appropriations Suspense file	Watch	MTC has adopted a support position on this bill. MTC has adopted a support position on this bill.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
SB 433 Amended: 5/7/2015 pdf html	Berryhill R (Dist 8)	Motor vehicle fuel taxes: diesel fuel taxes: rates: adjustments. Would, for the 2016-17 fiscal year to the 2020 -21 fiscal year, inclusive, on or before May 15 of the fiscal year immediately preceding the applicable fiscal year, instead require the Department of Finance to adjust the motor vehicle fuel tax rate as described above, and would require the department to notify the board of the rate adjustment effective for the state's next fiscal year, as provided. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.	Assembly 2 year	Watch	Shifts responsibility from Board of Equalization to Department of Finance for annual gas tax rate.
SB 564 Introduced: 2/26/2015 pdf html	Cannella R (Dist 12)	Vehicles: school zone fines. Current law, in the case of specified violations relating to rules of the road and driving under the influence, doubles the fine in the case of misdemeanors, and increases the fine, as specified, in the case of infractions, if the violation is committed by the driver of a vehicle within a highway construction or maintenance area during any time when traffic is regulated or restricted by the Department of Transportation or local authorities pursuant to existing law or is committed within a designated Safety Enhancement-Double Fine Zone. This bill would also require that an additional fine of \$35 be imposed if the violation occurred when passing a school building or school grounds, as specified.	Assembly 2 year	Support	Increases fines for traffic violations near schools. Similar bill passed last year, but was vetoed by Governor. SFMTA has also adopted a support position on this bill.
SB 773 Amended: 6/23/2015 pdf html	Allen D (Dist 26)	Vehicles: registration fraud: study. Would, until January 1, 2020, request the University of California to conduct a study on motor vehicle registration fraud and failure to register a motor vehicle, and would require the study to include specified information, including quantification of the magnitude of the problem, the costs to the state and local governments in lost revenues, and recommended strategies for increasing compliance with registration requirements.	Assembly 2 year	Watch	MTC has adopted a support position on this bill.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
SB 812 Amended: 3/17/2016 pdf html	Hill D (Dist 13)	Charter-party carriers of passengers and passenger stage corporations. Would authorize the Department of the California Highway Patrol to inspect a maintenance facility or terminal that receives 2 or more successive satisfactory ratings once every 26 months. The bill would also require the department to inspect a maintenance facility or terminal that receives an unsatisfactory rating every 6 months until the operator achieves a satisfactory rating, unless the satisfactory rating is the result of a re-inspection, as specified. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.	Senate Transportation and Housing	Support	This is a major overhaul of the statutes that govern tour bus safety. The bill imposes more direct fee-setting authority based on costs to administer the safety program; gives new priority to inspections to prioritize new buses at companies with history of noncompliance and requires 25% of bus inspection to be unannounced. The SFMTA has taken a support position on this bill.
SB 824 Amended: 3/15/2016 pdf html	Beall D (Dist 15)	Low Carbon Transit Operations Program (LCTOP). Would authorize a recipient transit agency that does not submit a project for funding under the LCTOP in a particular fiscal year to retain its funding share for expenditure in a subsequent fiscal year. This bill contains other existing laws.	Senate Transportation and Housing	Support	The bill is intended to permit transit agencies more flexible use of formula transit funds from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund. MTC staff are recommending a support and seek amendment position on this bill, to broaden the definition of disadvantaged communities.
SB 874 Amended: 3/15/2016 pdf html	Gaines R (Dist 1)	Personal Income Tax Law: exemption credit: dependents. The Personal Income Tax law authorizes a credit of \$227 for each dependent of a taxpayer for each taxable year beginning on or after January 1, 1999, as adjusted for inflation, which may be reduced if a taxpayer's federal adjusted gross income exceeds a threshold amount. The credit for the 2015 taxable year is \$337. This bill would increase that credit to \$422 for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2016, which would be adjusted for inflation in taxable years thereafter. This bill contains other related provisions.	Senate Rules	New - Recommend Delete from Matrix	Original bill was a spot bill related to transportation network companies. Amended to pertain to income tax law.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
SB 882 Introduced: 1/15/2016 pdf html	Hertzberg D (Dist 18)	Crimes: public transportation: minors. Current law makes it an infraction or a misdemeanor to evade the payment of a fare on a public transit system, to misuse a transfer, pass, ticket, or token with the intent to evade the payment of a fare, or to use a discount ticket without authorization or fail to present, upon request from a transit system representative, acceptable proof of eligibility to use a discount ticket. This bill would prohibit the minor from being charged with an infraction or a misdemeanor for those acts.	Senate Public Safety	Watch	This bill would prohibit minors from being charged with either an infraction or misdemeanor for a transit fare violation.
SB 885 Introduced: 1-19-2016 pdf html	Wolk D (Dist 3)	Construction contracts: indemnity. This bill would specify, for construction contracts entered into on or after January 1, 2017, that a design professional, as defined, only has the duty to defend claims that arise out of, or pertain or relate to, negligence, recklessness, or willful misconduct of the design professional. Under the bill, a design professional would not have a duty to defend claims against any other person or entity arising from a construction project, except that person or entity's reasonable defense costs arising out of the design professional's degree of fault, as specified. The bill would prohibit waiver of these provisions and would provide that any clause in a contract that requires a design professional to defend claims against other persons or entities is void and unenforceable.	Assembly Judiciary	New – Recommend Oppose	This bill would effectively require public agencies and other project owners to defend design professionals' interests and then, after a legal determination, attempt to secure reimbursement for those legal costs and fault. SB 885 seeks to restrict the obligation of design professionals to defend public agencies requiring public resources be spent to determine a design professionals' liability.
SB 901 Introduced: 1/21/2016 pdf html	Bates R (Dist 36)	Transportation projects: Advanced Mitigation Program. Would create the Advanced Mitigation Program in the Department of Transportation to implement environmental mitigation measures in advance of future transportation projects. The bill would require the department to set aside certain amounts of future appropriations for this purpose.	Senate Transportation and Housing	Watch	Provides direction to Caltrans to expand advanced mitigation for transportation projects.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
<p>SB 902</p> <p>Introduced: 1/21/2016 pdf html</p>	<p>Cannella R (Dist 12)</p>	<p>Department of Transportation: environmental review process: federal program. Current law, until January 1, 2017, provides that the State of California consents to the jurisdiction of the federal courts with regard to the compliance, discharge, or enforcement of the responsibilities the Department of Transportation assumed as a participant in the surface transportation project delivery program. Current law requires the department, no later than January 1, 2016, to submit a report to the Legislature that includes specified elements. This bill would require the department to instead submit that report to the Legislature commencing January 1, 2021, and every 5 years thereafter.</p>	Senate Transportation and Housing	Watch	Amends the state law that permits Caltrans to oversee NEPA process for projects in the state by extending the period of time for a report on implementation of this oversight.
<p>SB 903</p> <p>Introduced: 1/21/2016 pdf html</p>	<p>Nguyen R (Dist 34)</p>	<p>Transportation funds: loan repayment. Would acknowledge, as of June 30, 2015, \$879,000,000 in outstanding loans of certain transportation revenues, and would require this amount to be repaid from the General Fund by June 30, 2016, to the Traffic Congestion Relief Fund for allocation to the Traffic Congestion Relief Program, the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund, the Public Transportation Account, and the State Highway Account, as specified. The bill would thereby make an appropriation. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.</p>	Senate Transportation and Housing	Watch	<p>The bill adapts a provision from the Governor's Transportation Plan that establishes a requirement that outstanding General Fund loans be repaid, but by 6/30/16.</p> <p>This loan repayment was proposed by the Governor on a longer timeline.</p>
<p>SB 986</p> <p>Introduced: 2/10/2016 pdf html</p>	<p>Hill D (Dist 13)</p>	<p>Vehicles: right turn violations. Current law requires a driver facing a steady circular red signal alone to stop at a marked limit line, but if none, before entering the crosswalk on the near side of the intersection or, if none, then before entering the intersection, and to remain stopped until an indication to proceed is shown, except as specified. A violation of this provision is an infraction punishable by a fine of \$100. This bill would recast those provisions, and instead would require that a violation of this provision for a right turn or a left turn from a one-way street onto a one-way street is punishable by a fine of \$35.</p>	Senate Public Safety	Watch	<p>This bill would lower the fines for right turn and left turn from a one-way street onto a one-way street violations of red light stopping law from \$100 to \$35.</p>

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
SB 998 Introduced: 2/10/2016 pdf html	Wickowski D (Dist 10)	Vehicles: mass transit guideways. Would prohibit a person from operating a motor vehicle, or stopping, parking, or leaving a vehicle standing, on a public mass transit guideway, subject to specified exceptions. Because a violation of these provisions would be a crime, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.	Senate Transportation and Housing	Watch	Expands restrictions on vehicles from stopping at certain locations to include transit guideways.
SB 1066 Introduced: 2/16/2016 pdf html	Beall D (Dist 15)	Transportation funds: fund estimates. Current law requires the Department of Transportation to submit to the California Transportation Commission an estimate of state and federal funds reasonably expected to be available for future programming over the 5-year period in each state transportation improvement program, and requires the California Transportation Commission to adopt a fund estimate in that regard. This bill would require the fund estimates prepared by the department and the commission to identify and include federal funds derived from apportionments made to the state under the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act of 2015.	Senate Transportation and Housing	Support	Adds new Federal transportation funds to revenues that must be included revenues estimate for the STIP.
SB 1128 Introduced: 2/17/2016 pdf html	Glazer D (Dist 7)	Commute benefit policies. Current law authorizes the Metropolitan Transportation Commission and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District to jointly adopt a commute benefit ordinance that requires covered employers operating within the common area of the 2 agencies with a specified number of covered employees to offer those employees certain commute benefits through a pilot program. Current law requires that the ordinance specify certain matters, including any consequences for noncompliance, and imposes a specified reporting requirement. Current law makes these provisions inoperative on January 1, 2017. This bill would extend these provisions indefinitely, thereby establishing the pilot program permanently.	Senate Transportation and Housing	Support	Extends the commute benefits ordinance authority for MTC/ABAG indefinitely. MTC has taken a support position on this bill.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
SB 1141 Introduced: 2/18/2016 pdf html	Moorlach R (Dist 37)	State highways: transfer to local agencies: pilot program. Would require the Department of Transportation to participate in a pilot program over a 5-year period under which 2 counties, one in northern California and one in southern California, are selected to operate, maintain, and make improvements to all state highways, including freeways, in the affected county. The bill would require the department, with respect to those counties, for the duration of the pilot program, to convey all of its authority and responsibility over state highways in the county to the county or to a regional transportation agency that has jurisdiction in the county.	Senate Transportation and Housing	Watch	Creates a pilot program for Caltrans to turn over its operation and maintenance of state highways in 2 counties for a period of 5 years. This bill is similar to ABX1 16.
SB 1208 Introduced: 2/18/2016 pdf html	Bates R (Dist 36)	California Transportation Commission (CTC). Current law creates the CTC, with specified powers and duties relative to programming of transportation capital improvement projects and other related matters. Current law authorizes the commission to request and review reports of the Department of Transportation and other entities pertaining to transportation issues and concerns that the commission determines need special study. This bill would make a non-substantive change to this provision.	Senate Rules	Watch	Spot bill related to the CTC.
SB 1259 Introduced: 2/18/2016 pdf html	Runner R (Dist 21)	Vehicles: toll payment: veterans. Would exempt vehicles occupied by a veteran and displaying a specialized veterans license plate, as specified, from payment of a toll or related fines on a toll road, high-occupancy toll (HOT) lane, toll bridge, toll highway, a vehicular crossing, or any other toll facility. The bill would also make conforming changes.	Senate Transportation and Housing	Oppose	Addresses vehicles operated by a veteran with a special decal to the exemption of requirement to pay tolls. Recommending an oppose position because of possibility of fraud (seen in other jurisdictions) and associated decrease in toll revenue. MTC staff are recommending an oppose position on this bill.
SB 1320 Introduced: 2/19/2016 pdf html	Runner R (Dist 21)	California Transportation Commission (CTC). Would exclude the CTC from the Transportation Agency, establish it as an entity in state government, and require it to act in an independent oversight role. The bill would also make conforming changes. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.	Senate Transportation and Housing	Watch	Provides for the independence of the CTC. This bill is similar to AB 1364 (Linder), ABX 19 (Linder) and SBX1 12 (Runner).

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
SBX1.1 Amended: 9/1/2015 pdf html	Beall D (Dist 15)	Transportation funding. Would create the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program to address deferred maintenance on the state highway system and the local street and road system and for other specified purposes. The bill would provide for the deposit of various funds for the program in the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account, which the bill would create in the State Transportation Fund.	Senate Appropriations	Support/ Seek Amendments	Latest amendments reflect a major new state/local transportation funding bill. It would provide \$3-4 billion +, annually, for 5 years, to fund state and local road repair. Raises gas tax .10 cents per gal., diesel .12 cents per gal., VRF by \$70. Bill is similar but not identical to SB 16 (Beall).
SBX1.2 Introduced: 6/30/2015 pdf html	Huff R (Dist 29)	Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund. Would provide that those annual proceeds shall be appropriated by the Legislature for transportation infrastructure, including public streets and highways, but excluding high-speed rail. This bill contains other existing laws.	Senate Transportation and Infrastructure Development	Oppose	The bill seeks to transfer from current cap and trade permanent allocations for High Speed Rail, rail and transit programs the amount of revenues attributable to the transportation fuels sector and make them available for public streets and highways. The fuels sector is estimated to provide an amount of auction revenues estimated to be equal to a fuel tax of 10 cents per gallon. The effect of this would be to greatly reduce the amount of revenues available for programs like the Transit Intercity Rail Capital program in which SFMTA was successful in competing, receiving \$41 million for its Light Rail Vehicle Expansion project.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
SBX14 Amended: 9/4/2015 pdf html	Beall D (Dist 15)	Transportation funding. Current law requires the Department of Transportation to improve and maintain the state's highways, and establishes various programs to fund the development, construction, and repair of local roads, bridges, and other critical transportation infrastructure in the state. This bill would declare the intent of the Legislature to enact statutory changes to establish permanent, sustainable sources of transportation funding to maintain and repair the state's highways, local roads, bridges, and other critical transportation infrastructure.	Senate Conference Committee	Watch	This is a spot bill intended to serve as a vehicle for a transportation funding resolution, should one be reached in Special Session.
SBX15 Introduced: 7/7/2015 pdf html	Beall D (Dist 15)	Transportation funding. Current law establishes various programs to fund the development, construction, and repair of local roads, bridges, and other critical transportation infrastructure in the state. This bill would declare the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation to establish permanent, sustainable sources of transportation funding to improve the state's key trade corridors and support efforts by local governments to repair and improve local transportation infrastructure.	Assembly Desk	Watch	Special session spot bill intended to serve as a vehicle for a transportation funding resolution, should one be reached in Special Session.
SBX17 Amended: 9/3/2015 pdf html	Allen D (Dist 26)	Diesel sales and use tax. Would restrict expenditures of revenues from the July 1, 2016, increase in the sales and use tax on diesel fuel to transit capital purposes and certain transit services. The bill would require an existing required audit of transit operator finances to verify that these new revenues have been expended in conformance with these specific restrictions and all other generally applicable requirements. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.	Senate Appropriations	Support	The bill seeks to increase transit funds by increasing the diesel sales tax rate. Bill is the same as ABX1 8 (Chiu).

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
SBX1 8 Introduced: 7/16/2015 pdf html	Hill D (Dist 13)	Public transit: funding. Current law requires all moneys, except for fines and penalties, collected by the State Air Resources Board from the auction or sale of allowances as part of a market-based compliance mechanism relative to reduction of greenhouse gas emissions to be deposited in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund. This bill would instead continuously appropriate 20% of those annual proceeds to the Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program, and 10% of those annual proceeds to the Low Carbon Transit Operations Program, thereby making an appropriation. This bill contains other current laws.	Senate Appropriations	Support	The bill would increase cap and trade funding dedicated to (1) transit capitol (2) transit operation. Bill is the same as ABX1 7 (Nazarian).
SBX1 10 Introduced: 7/16/2015 pdf html	Bates R (Dist 36)	Regional transportation capital improvement funds. Current law requires funds available for regional projects to be programmed by the California Transportation Commission pursuant to the county shares formula, under which a certain amount of funding is available for programming in each county, based on population and miles of state highway. Current law specifies the various types of projects that may be funded with the regional share of funds to include state highways, local roads, transit, and others. This bill would revise the process for programming and allocating the 75% share of state and federal funds available for regional transportation improvement projects.	Senate Transportation and Infrastructure Development	Watch	This bill would transfer regional State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) funds directly to Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) for allocation to county projects.
SBX1 11 Amended: 9/4/2015 pdf html	Berryhill R (Dist 8)	Environmental quality: transportation infrastructure. The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of, an environmental impact report (EIR) on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. This bill would exempt from these CEQA provisions a project that consists of the inspection, maintenance, repair, restoration, reconditioning, relocation, replacement, or removal of existing transportation infrastructure if certain conditions are met, and would require the person undertaking these projects to take certain actions.	Senate Transportation and Infrastructure Development	Watch	Broadens current law to provide CEQA exemption for safety and repairs on roadways that is within the road footprint.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
<p>SBX112 Amended: 8/20/2015 pdf html</p>	<p>Runner R (Dist 21)</p>	<p>California Transportation Commission (CTC). Would exclude the CTC from the Transportation Agency, establish it as an entity in state government, and require it to act in an independent oversight role. The bill would also make conforming changes. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.</p>	<p>Senate Appropriations</p>	<p>Watch</p>	<p>Re-establishes independence of CTC from the Transportation Agency. This bill is similar to AB 1364 (Linder), ABX1 19 (Linder), and SB 1320 (Runner).</p>
<p>SBX113 Amended: 9/3/2015 pdf html</p>	<p>Vidak R (Dist 14)</p>	<p>Office of the Transportation Inspector General. Would create the Office of the Transportation Inspector General in state government, as an independent office that would not be a subdivision of any other government entity, to build capacity for self-correction into the government itself and to ensure that all state agencies expending state transportation funds are operating efficiently, effectively, and in compliance with federal and state laws.</p>	<p>Senate Appropriations</p>	<p>Watch</p>	<p>Creates a new Inspector General office to oversee effectiveness of Caltrans and High-Speed Rail Authority.</p>
<p>SBX114 Introduced: 7/16/2015 pdf html</p>	<p>Cannella R (Dist 12)</p>	<p>Transportation projects: comprehensive development lease agreements. Current law authorizes the Department of Transportation and regional transportation agencies, as defined, to enter into comprehensive development lease agreements with public and private entities, or consortia of those entities, for certain transportation projects that may charge certain users of those projects tolls and user fees, subject to various terms and requirements. This bill would extend this authorization indefinitely and would include within the definition of "regional transportation agency" the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority, thereby authorizing the authority to enter into public-private partnerships under these provisions.</p>	<p>Senate Transportation and Infrastructure Development</p>	<p>Watch</p>	<p>Extends current public-private partnership law indefinitely.</p>

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

April 2016

Bill #	Author	Description	Status	Position	Comments
SCA 5 Amended: 7/16/2015 pdf html	Hancock D (Dist 9)	Local government finance. Would exempt from taxation for each taxpayer an amount up to \$500,000 of tangible personal property used for business purposes. This measure would prohibit the Legislature from lowering this exemption amount or from changing its application, but would authorize it to be increased consistent with the authority described above. This measure would provide that this provision shall become operative on January 1, 2019. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.	Senate Governance and Finance	Support	Would specify that the voter approval requirement is 55% for local government special taxes.
SCAX11 Introduced: 6/19/2015 pdf html	Huff R (Dist 29)	Motor vehicle fees and taxes: restriction on expenditures. Would prohibit the Legislature from borrowing revenues from fees and taxes imposed by the state on vehicles or their use or operation, and from using those revenues other than as specifically permitted by Article XIX. The measure would also prohibit those revenues from being pledged or used for the payment of principal and interest on bonds or other indebtedness. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.	Senate Appropriations	Support	Intended to protect new revenues generated by new transportation taxes or fees.

Total Measures: 115**Total Tracking Forms: 115**



Memorandum

Date: 04.05.16 **RE:** Finance Committee
April 12, 2016

To: Finance Committee: Commissioners Mar (Chair), Cohen (Vice Chair), Campos, Kim, Yee and Wiener (Ex Officio)

From: Rachel Hiatt – Interim Deputy Director for Planning *RH*

Through: Tilly Chang – Executive Director *TC*

Subject: **ACTION** – Recommend Awarding Three-Year Consultant Contracts, with an Option to Extend for Two Additional One-Year Periods, to Arup North America Ltd., Iteris, Inc., Nelson\Nygaard Consulting Associates, Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. and WSP Parsons Brinckerhoff, for a Combined Amount Not to Exceed \$2,000,000 for On-Call Transportation Planning Services and Authorize the Executive Director to Negotiate Contract Payment Terms and Non-Material Contract Terms and Conditions

Summary

On January 27, 2016, the Transportation Authority issued a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for on-call transportation planning services to support the Transportation Authority's work program over the next three years, up to a maximum of five years. The establishment of contracts with one or more consultant teams will enable the Transportation Authority to enlist the services of a broad range of transportation planning specialists on an on-call, task order basis. By the due date of March 3, 2016, the Transportation Authority received six Statements of Qualifications in response to the RFQ. Interviews were held between March 16 and 17, 2016. Based on this competitive selection process, the review panel, with participation from the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency and the Transportation Authority, recommends the award of consultant contracts to the five top-ranked teams: Arup North America Ltd., Iteris, Inc., Nelson\Nygaard Consulting Associates, Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. and WSP Parsons Brinckerhoff.

BACKGROUND

The Transportation Authority is seeking on-call transportation planning services to support its work program over the next three years. The establishment of contracts with one or more consultant teams will enable the Transportation Authority to enlist the services of a broad range of transportation planning specialists on an on-call, task order basis.

The Transportation Authority has long-range countywide, neighborhood-scale, and project-level planning and policy advisory capabilities that stem from its multiple roles.

As Congestion Management Agency (CMA), the Transportation Authority conducts local and regional planning studies addressing congestion management and transportation system development issues. The agency also conducts pilot projects and research studies in coordination with public agencies and non-government entities. As sales tax administrator, the Transportation Authority leads project development planning and design studies to advance key Transportation Authority Board or Prop K priorities,

particularly where multi-jurisdictional conditions exist, and conducts oversight to ensure timely delivery of other Prop K planning projects.

These and other planning activities led by the Transportation Authority require varying types and levels of project management and project development support in the following areas:

San Francisco Transportation Plan (SFTP): The Transportation Authority adopts a long-range countywide transportation plan, the SFTP, which serves as the city's blueprint for transportation system development and investment over the next 30 years. The SFTP identifies key transportation needs, through an analysis of future trends, and aligns these needs with projected available funding. The SFTP includes background papers and studies and strategic policy initiatives to support the investments in the transportation system.

The Transportation Authority intends to adopt a minor update to the 2013 SFTP in 2016. This update may recommend follow-on studies or reports to be conducted using on-call planning support.

Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Program (NTIP) Planning Studies: The purpose of the NTIP is to build community awareness of – and capacity to provide input to – the transportation planning process, and to advance delivery of community-supported neighborhood-scale projects. The NTIP helps accomplish the latter through strengthening project pipelines or helping move individual projects more quickly toward implementation, especially in Communities of Concern (COC) or other neighborhoods with high unmet needs. All NTIP efforts are prioritized by the district Supervisor to address one or more of the following Prop K eligible activities:

- Improve pedestrian and/or bicycle safety;
- Encourage walking and/or biking;
- Improve transit accessibility;
- Improve mobility for COC or other underserved neighborhoods and vulnerable populations (e.g., seniors, children, and/or people with disabilities).

Policy Studies and Strategic Analysis Reports (SARs): Policy studies address a range of topics from parking to congestion pricing to transportation demand management. SARs are brief, but comprehensive reports requested by the Transportation Authority Board to help evaluate and develop policy recommendations on specific transportation issues. The Transportation Authority prepares one to two reports per year and each of these typically involves some research, data collection, analysis and report writing.

Corridor and Area Planning Studies: The Transportation Authority prepares and reviews transportation planning studies. The Transportation Authority is leading several transportation studies, including the Freeway Corridor Management Study; the BART Smart Travel Rewards Pilot Project; and service planning for the Late Night Transportation Study. Several new studies are undertaken each year. The Transportation Authority also leads deliverables, reviews, and provides input to other local, regional, and statewide transportation plans, such as the Transit Core Capacity Study, led by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, and the Transportation Sustainability Program, led by San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA).

Treasure Island Mobility Management: As the Treasure Island Mobility Management Agency, the Transportation Authority carries out planning for the implementation of a new transportation system on Treasure and Yerba Buena Islands. Planning for the mobility program includes toll policy analysis; transit demand and fare study; development of a multi-operator mandatory transit pass; Travel Demand Management programs; and transportation programs for low-income residents and workers, such as a program to earn toll credits through transit travel. The Transportation Authority also coordinates the transportation plans

with the overall development program; with island parking policy development, led by SFMTA; and with the San-Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge toll policy.

DISCUSSION

The Transportation Authority seeks transportation planning teams with expertise in multimodal transportation planning and conceptual design, outreach, and program management. General areas of expertise sought in prospective teams include:

- Project/Program Management
- Transportation Planning and Design
- Community Involvement and Community Based Planning
- Design and Cost Estimation
- Modeling/Data Analysis
- Policy and Economic Analysis
- Technology-Enabled Transportation
- Transportation Systems Engineering Design and Operations

Procurement Process: We issued a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for on-call transportation planning services on January 27, 2016. We held a pre-response conference on February 2, 2016, which provided opportunities for small businesses and larger firms to meet and form partnerships. 37 firms attended the conference.

We took steps to encourage participation from small and disadvantaged business enterprises, including advertising in six local newspapers: the San Francisco Chronicle, the San Francisco Examiner, the San Francisco Bay View, Nichi Bei, the Small Business Exchange, and the Western Edition. We also distributed the RFQ, sign-in sheets for the pre-response conference, and periodic updates on the RFQ to certified small, disadvantaged and local businesses, Bay Area and cultural Chambers of Commerce, and the Small Business Councils.

By the due date of March 3, 2016, we received six Statements of Qualifications (SOQs) in response to the RFQ. Interviews were held with all six qualified teams on March 16 and 17, 2016. Interviews were conducted by a selection panel comprised of representatives from SFMTA and Transportation Authority staff. The selection panel evaluated the SOQs based on qualifications and other criteria identified in the RFQ, with an emphasis on bidders' responses to hypothetical scenarios as well as their capabilities and experience. Based on the competitive process defined in the evaluation criteria of the RFQ document, the selection panel recommends awarding contracts to the five highest-ranked firms: Arup North America Limited (Arup), Iteris, Inc. (Iteris), Nelson\Nygaard Consulting Associates (Nelson\Nygaard), Stantec Consulting Services Inc. (Stantec) and WSP Parsons Brinckerhoff.

Each of the five highest-ranked teams provides a unique set of skills, technical specialists, and relevant project experience, particularly in program management. In particular, Arup demonstrates strong capabilities in transit and highway operations analysis and other requested task areas, Iteris provides the ability to draw travel and performance insights from big data, Nelson\Nygaard builds upon a proven track record of performance in a majority of on-call services task areas including NTIP studies, Stantec brings relevant experience in toll system design and delivery, and WSP Parsons Brinckerhoff supports a number of emerging efforts in TDM, travel incentives, and ridematching.

Given the wide range of desired proficiencies and experience, the amount and complexity of the Transportation Authority's work program, and occasional conflicts of interest or availability that arise for specific efforts, there is a need for broad and deep access to transportation planning skills in the on-call planning contract. We propose to contract with multiple consultant teams with whom the Transportation Authority may call upon on a task order basis. Such an arrangement is currently in place through the Transportation Authority's current on-call transportation planning contracts, which has proved beneficial to the Transportation Authority's Planning Division's work program.

Consultants selected for a contract will remain eligible for consideration for task order negotiation on an as-needed basis for the initial three-year term. While the Transportation Authority intends to engage pre-qualified firms based on capabilities, experience and availability, no selected team is guaranteed a task order. In addition, transportation planning services tasks valued above \$150,000 will be bid under a separate procurement process.

We will receive federal financing assistance to fund a portion of this contract, and will adhere to federal procurement regulations. For this contract, we established a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) goal of 10%, accepting certifications by the California Unified Certification Program. SOQs from all five teams met or exceeded the DBE goal. Details of each team's proposed DBE participation is included in Attachment 2.

ALTERNATIVES

1. Recommend awarding three-year consultant contracts, with an option to extend for two additional one-year periods, to Arup, Iteris, Nelson\Nygaard, Stantec and WSP Parsons Brinckerhoff, for a combined amount not to exceed \$2,000,000 for on-call transportation planning services and authorize the Executive Director to negotiate contract payment terms and non-material contract terms and conditions, as requested.
2. Recommend awarding three-year consultant contracts, with an option to extend for two additional one-year periods, to Arup, Iteris, Nelson\Nygaard, Stantec and WSP Parsons Brinckerhoff, for a combined amount not to exceed \$2,000,000 for on-call transportation planning services and authorize the Executive Director to negotiate contract payment terms and non-material contract terms and conditions, with modifications.
3. Defer action, pending additional information or further staff analysis.

CAC POSITION

The subject request was not presented to the CAC at its March 23, 2016 meeting since proposal interviews and evaluations were still underway.

FINANCIAL IMPACTS

The scope of work described in the RFQ is anticipated in the Transportation Authority's adopted Fiscal Year 2015/16 work program and budget through relevant projects and studies, including the San Francisco Transportation Plan. Budget for these activities will be funded by a combination of federal Surface Transportation Planning grants, federal grants from Caltrans and the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, local contributions from the Treasure Island Development Authority and Prop K sales tax funds. The first year's activities are included in the Transportation Authority's mid-year budget amendment, which is the subject of a separate request. Sufficient funds will be included in future fiscal year budgets to cover the cost of these contracts.

RECOMMENDATION

Recommend awarding three-year consultant contracts, with an option to extend for two additional one-year periods, to Arup, Iteris, Nelson\Nygaard, Stantec and WSP Parsons Brinckerhoff, for a combined amount not to exceed \$2,000,000 for on-call transportation planning services and authorize the Executive Director to negotiate contract payment terms and non-material contract terms and conditions.

Attachments (2):

1. On-Call Planning Services Scope of Work
2. Proposed Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Firms

Attachment 1
On-Call Transportation Planning Services
Scope of Work

Transportation Planning Technical Assistance Required: The Transportation Authority seeks transportation planning teams with expertise in multimodal transportation planning and design, outreach and program management. A list of eight general areas of expertise sought in prospective teams is provided below, lettered *A* through *H*. All teams must demonstrate skills and experience in *A* and in four additional expertise areas, from *B* through *H*. Teams must declare which capabilities they are qualified to support.

A. Project/Program Management

- a. Scope, schedule, budget management
- b. Workplan management

B. Transportation Planning and Design

- a. Network/modal planning and design
 - i. Pedestrian, bicycle network
 - ii. Transit network and/or service planning
 - iii. Traffic engineering, circulation studies
 - iv. On- and off-street parking management
- b. Land use/transportation planning
 - i. Land use/housing policy
 - ii. Station-area, transit-oriented development planning and plan reviews
- c. TDM
 - i. Development conditions/approval policy
 - ii. Strategies for managing existing development, including pricing and incentives
 - iii. Information/marketing/education
- d. Coordination with state and federal agencies (e.g., the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans); Federal Transit Administration (FTA), or Federal Highways Administration (FHWA))
- e. California Environmental Quality Act/National Environmental Policy Act
 - i. Environmental Justice/Title VI

C. Community Involvement and Community Based Planning

- a. Outreach
 - i. Community organizing
 - ii. Facilitation
 - iii. Multi-media (electronic and non-electronic) outreach methods
- b. Translation, interpretation
- c. Visualization

D. Design and Cost Estimation

- a. Conceptual design through 30% design engineering
- b. Street/streetscape design
- c. Planning level cost estimation

E. Modeling/Data Analysis

- a. Data Collection
- b. Data analysis (e.g., of model output, or of third-party cellular or GPS data)
- c. Multimodal micro-simulation
- d. Mapping

F. Policy and Economic Analysis

- a. Economic impact analysis
- b. Fiscal and financial analysis
- c. Market analysis and/or transportation business plan development
- d. Climate/sustainability
- e. Equity analysis

G. Technology-Enabled Transportation

- a. Innovative technology assessment
- b. Mobile application design and development
- c. Connected and autonomous transportation technologies

H. Transportation Systems Engineering Design and Operations

- a. Systems management and integration oversight
- b. Payment technology design and implementation
- c. Traveler information systems

The Transportation Authority anticipates needing on-call transportation planning assistance in the following areas in Fiscal Years (FY) 2015/16 through FY 2017/2018:

1. **NTIP Program:** The Transportation Authority's NTIP Program is a community-based approach for identifying high-priority transportation needs and developing conceptual designs and pilot concepts for transportation improvements in neighborhoods throughout San Francisco. Each NTIP seeks to address both community concerns regarding neighborhood transportation conditions and to engage District and community leadership in the planning process.
2. **SFTP Background Papers and/or Follow-On Studies:** The Transportation Authority is preparing a minor update to the 2013 SFTP and plans to seek Board approval in summer 2016. The SFTP update is anticipated to produce recommendations for follow-on studies that may require assistance from the on-call planning contract. In particular, we anticipate that the SFTP will continue initiatives from the 2013 SFTP as well as address emerging sector needs. Consultant support may be needed for the planning, design, and/or pilot/operation and evaluation of strategies in the following areas:
 - Specialized travel markets: A significant number of trips in San Francisco occur outside of the standard morning and evening peak periods. The Transportation Authority may seek consultant assistance in developing specialized policies or service solutions to address the needs of these travelers and trips, including those related to paratransit, school, trips occurring in the late night and early morning, trips by visitors and tourists, and goods/freight movement, among others.
 - New/Emerging Transportation Technology/Solutions:
 - a. Shared Mobility and For-Hire Transportation: The rise of privately-provided mobility services, or technology-enabled mobility services, requires policy

response to help the public sector evaluate the benefits and impacts and promote the application and integration of these technologies to support transportation goals. The Transportation Authority may seek consultant support to establish a working policy framework, targets, and metrics to assess whether and how new transportation technologies are helping San Francisco meet its transportation, goals related to safety, mobility, the environment, economic vitality, and equity.

- b. **Connected Vehicles and Autonomous Vehicles:** Consultant may be asked to support scoping, planning, and project development related to connected vehicles and/or automated vehicle technology.
 - c. **Payment Technology and Traveler Information:** The Transportation Authority may seek Consultant support for the planning, design, and/or deployment of software development related to mobile application and application-based payment technologies in support of programs such as travel incentives and rebates, travel behavior monitoring, and user information.
3. **Freeway Corridor Management Studies:** The Transportation Authority is currently leading the FCMS Phase 2, which will examine US 101/I-80 and a portion of I-280 for opportunities to provide a managed lane. Consultant may be asked to support the Caltrans project development process, such as through preparation of Project Initiation Documents.
 4. **Regional Transit Service Analysis and Planning:** Consultant may be asked to support transit service planning efforts, such as to close regional and local transit service gaps or meet unmet market demands, through coordination with and planning for both public, private, fixed, and variable route transit service providers. For example, the Transportation Authority is leading an analysis to refresh and consider expansion of all-night local and regional bus service through the Late Night Transportation Study Phase II.
 5. **Transit Core Capacity Study Follow-On Project Development:** Led by MTC, with support from the Transportation Authority, the Transit Core Capacity Study is a two-year effort to will identify major transit capacity improvements for the Transbay corridor and provide a conceptual phasing of individual projects. Consultant may be asked to support follow-on project evaluation and development of Transit Core Capacity Study recommendations.
 6. **BART Smart Travel Rewards Pilot:** The Transportation Authority is currently co-leading this pilot with BART to address crowding by incentivizing riders to shift travel to the shoulders of the peak period or to other stations and routes. The incentive involves a BART loyalty program whereby riders receive rewards for using BART during off-peak periods. Consultant may support aspects of this pilot, or of follow-on studies and evaluations.
 7. **Transit Corridor/Station or Area Plans:** The Transportation Authority is actively engaged with multiple agencies in planning activities at or near several stations/multi-modal hubs including the Balboa Park BART/Muni Station and the Bayshore Caltrain Station. We also provide technical assistance on corridor or area plans such as the Better Market Street project, the Railyard/Boulevard Study, and the 19th Avenue/M-Ocean View Project. Several of these studies currently have grant funding with dedicated consultant support, but may have supplemental needs or require specialized technical support in the future.
 8. **TDM Initiatives:** Consultant may be asked to provide TDM policy analysis, stakeholder facilitation, program design, implementation support, and/or project evaluation services.

9. **SAR/Policy Analysis Support:** SARs are carried out at the request of the Transportation Authority Board, to frame current issues of concern and to inform policy development regarding specific transportation issues which may not be adequately addressed by existing regulations or policy. Occasionally, the Transportation Authority Board or other agency requests assistance in transportation planning, or Transportation Authority-led projects require supplemental analysis. Consultant may be asked to provide research, data analysis, and report writing in support of Transportation Authority policy analyses.

The above mentioned tasks are representative of needs in the coming three years – additional tasks to be determined are anticipated to be needed and not all tasks listed above will necessarily be produced under this contract in the next three years.

Attachment 2

Proposed Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Firms

Prime Consultant	Sub-consultant	DBE Certification	Location
Arup	Eisen Letunic	Women	Berkeley, CA
	Strategic Economics	Women	Berkeley, CA
	TJKM	Asian Subcontinent	Pleasanton, CA
	Transportation Analytics	Women	Oakland, CA
Iteris	Transportation Mobility Solutions, LLC	Women, Asian Subcontinent	San Marino, CA
Nelson\Nygaard	M Lee Corporation	Asian Pacific	San Francisco, CA
	Strategic Economics	Women	Berkeley, CA
	Ann Carey Consulting	Women	San Francisco, CA
	Daniller Consulting, Inc.	Women	San Francisco, CA
Stantec	Ann Carey Consulting	Women	San Francisco, CA
	Baymetrics	Women, Asian Pacific	El Cerrito, CA
	Dyett & Bhatia, Urban and Regional Planners	Asian Subcontinent	San Francisco, CA
	Silicon Transportation Consultants	Asian Pacific	Belmont, CA
	Strategic Economics	Women	Berkeley, CA
	Toole Design Group	Women	Silver Spring, MD
	W&S Solutions	Asian Pacific	Pleasanton, CA
WSP Parsons Brinckerhoff	Cambria Solutions	Hispanic	Sacramento, CA
	Convey	Women	Emeryville, CA
	Strategic Cities	Women	Oakland, CA
	Transportation Analytics	Women	Oakland, CA



Memorandum

Date: 04.05.16 **RE:** Finance Committee
April 12, 2016

To: Finance Committee: Commissioners Mar (Chair), Cohen (Vice Chair), Campos, Kim, Yee and Wiener (Ex Officio)

From: Cynthia Fong – Deputy Director for Finance and Administration *CF*

Through: Tilly Chang – Executive Director *TC*

Subject: **ACTION** – Recommend Amendment of the Adopted Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget to Decrease Revenues by \$3,616,773 and Increase Expenditures by \$23,347,827 for a Total Net Decrease in Fund Balance of \$26,964,600

Summary

Every year between January and April, we present the Board with any adjustments to the annual budget adopted the previous June. This revision is an opportunity to take stock of changes in revenue trends, recognize grants or other funds that are obtained subsequent to the original approval of the annual budget, and adjust for unforeseen expenditures. In June 2015, through Resolution 15-60, the Transportation Authority adopted the Fiscal Year (FY) 2015/16 Annual Budget and Work Program. Revenue and expenditure figures pertaining to several capital projects need to be updated from the original estimates contained in the adopted FY 2015/16 Budget. The Transportation Authority's Fiscal Policy allows for the amendment of the adopted budget during the fiscal year to reflect actual revenues and expenditures incurred. We propose that the adopted FY 2015/16 Budget be amended as shown in Attachment A.

BACKGROUND

In June 2015, through approval of Resolution 15-60, the Transportation Authority adopted the Fiscal Year (FY) 2015/16 Annual Budget and Work Program. The Transportation Authority's Fiscal Policy allows for the amendment of the adopted budget during the fiscal year to reflect actual revenues and expenditures incurred. Every year between January and April, we present the Board with any adjustments to the annual budget adopted the previous year. The budget revision is an opportunity to take stock of changes in revenue trends, recognize grants or other funds that are obtained subsequent to the original budget approval, and adjust for unforeseen expenditures. Also at that time, revenue projections and expenditure line items are revised to reflect new information or requirements identified in the months elapsed since the adoption of the annual budget. The revisions typically take place after completion of the annual fiscal audit, which certifies actual expenditures and carryover revenues.

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this memorandum is to brief the Finance Committee on the proposed FY 2015/16 budget revisions and to seek a recommendation for the amended budget. The budget revision reflects a decrease of \$3,616,773 in revenues and increase of \$23,347,827 in expenditures for a total net decrease of \$26,964,600 in fund balance. These revisions include carryover expenditures from the prior period.

The effect of the amendment on the adopted FY 2015/16 Budget (in the aggregate line item format specified in the Transportation Authority's Fiscal Policy) is shown in Attachments A and B. The detailed budget explanations by line item are included in Attachment C.

Revenue and expenditure revisions are related to several capital project costs, administrative operating costs, and debt service reported in the Sales Tax Program (Prop K), Congestion Management Agency Programs, Transportation Fund For Clean Air Program, Vehicle Registration Fee for Transportation Improvements Program (Prop AA) and Treasure Island Mobility Management Agency Program and impacted the following projects: Interstate 80/Yerba Buena Island Ramps Interchange Improvement and Bridge Structures projects; Geary Corridor Bus Rapid Transit Project; I-280 Balboa Park Interchange Modifications Initiation Phase; Bay Area Rapid Transit Travel Incentives Program, eFleet Carsharing Electrified project; 19th Avenue/M-Ocean View Project Pre-Environmental Study Phase; San Francisco Long-Range Transportation Planning Program; Phase 2 of the Late Night Transportation Plan; Geneva-Harney BRT Feasibility Study; Treasure Island Mobility Management Agency; Travel Demand Modeling Assistance; Transit Reliability Research study; Lombard Street Corridor (US 101); Bayview Moves Van Sharing Pilot study; Alemany Interchange Improvement Study; San Francisco Freeway Corridor Management Study; and other revenues and expenditures need to be updated from the original estimates contained in the adopted FY 2015/16 budget.

We propose that the adopted FY 2015/16 Budget be amended as shown in Attachment A.

ALTERNATIVES

1. Recommend amendment of the adopted FY 2015/16 budget to decrease revenues by \$3,616,773, increase expenditures by \$23,347,827 for a total net decrease in fund balance of \$26,964,600, as requested.
2. Recommend amendment of the adopted FY 2015/16 budget to decrease revenues by \$3,616,773, increase expenditures by \$23,347,827 for a total net decrease in fund balance of \$26,964,600, with modifications.
3. Defer action, pending additional information or further staff analysis.

CAC POSITION

The CAC was briefed on this item at its March 23, 2016 meeting and unanimously adopted a motion of support for the staff recommendation.

FINANCIAL IMPACTS

If approved, the proposed amendment to the FY 2015/16 Budget would decrease \$3,616,773 in revenues and increase \$23,347,827 in expenditures for a net decrease of \$26,964,600 in fund balance as described above.

RECOMMENDATION

Recommend amendment of the adopted FY 2015/16 budget to decrease revenues by \$3,616,773, increase expenditures by \$23,347,827 for a total net decrease in fund balance of \$26,964,600.

Attachments (3):

- A. Proposed Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget Amendment
- B. Proposed Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget Amendment Line Item Detail
- C. Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget Amendment Explanations

San Francisco County Transportation Authority
Attachment A
Proposed Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget Amendment



	Proposed Budget Amendment by Fund						Increase/ (Decrease)	Adopted Budget Fiscal Year 2015/16
	Sales Tax Program	Congestion Management Agency Programs	Transportation Fund For Clean Air Program	Registration Fee For Transportation Improvements Program	Treasure Island Mobility Management Agency Program	Proposed Budget Amendment Fiscal Year 2015/16		
Revenues:								
Sales Tax Revenues	\$ 101,293,575	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 101,293,575	\$ 101,293,575
Vehicle Registration Fee	-	-	-	4,776,540	-	-	4,776,540	4,776,540
Interest Income	328,196	-	2,140	4,370	-	-	334,706	334,706
Federal/State/Regional Revenues	75,000,000	28,191,276	772,398	-	750,000	-	104,713,674	105,463,614
Other Revenues	47,384	1,873	-	-	-	-	49,257	2,916,090
Total Revenues	176,669,155	28,193,149	774,538	4,780,910	750,000	750,000	211,167,752	214,784,525
Expenditures:								
Capital Project Costs	222,147,229	29,582,343	1,225,593	7,112,584	492,444	492,444	260,560,193	241,369,483
Administrative Operating Costs	6,600,863	2,207,457	38,515	228,830	357,022	357,022	9,432,687	9,951,543
Debt Service	20,960,000	-	-	-	-	-	20,960,000	21,760,000
Prior Year Expenditure Carryover	281,637	5,089,500	-	-	104,836	104,836	5,475,973	-
Total Expenditures	249,989,729	36,879,300	1,264,108	7,341,414	954,302	954,302	296,428,853	273,081,026
Other Financing Sources (Uses):								
	(8,890,453)	8,686,151	-	-	204,302	-	-	-
Net Change in Fund Balance	\$ (82,211,027)	\$ -	\$ (489,570)	\$ (2,560,504)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (85,261,101)	\$ (58,296,501)
Budgetary Fund Balance, as of July 1	\$ 99,592,152	\$ -	\$ 1,107,504	\$ 7,311,391	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 108,011,047	\$ (82,359,986)
Budgetary Fund Balance, as of June 30	\$ 17,381,125	\$ -	\$ 617,934	\$ 4,750,887	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 22,749,946	\$ (140,656,487)

**San Francisco County Transportation Authority
Attachment B
Proposed Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget Amendment
Line Item Detail**



	Proposed Budget Amendment by Fund										Increase/ (Decrease)	Adopted Budget Fiscal Year 2015/16	
	Sales Tax Program	Congestion Management Agency Programs	Transportation Fund For Clean Air Program	Vehicle Registration Fee For Transportation Improvements Program	Treasure Island Mobility Management Agency Program	Proposed Budget Amendment Fiscal Year 2015/16							
Revenues:													
Sales Tax Revenues	\$ 101,293,575	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 101,293,575	\$ -	\$ 101,293,575
Vehicle Registration Fee	-	-	-	4,776,540	-	4,776,540	-	-	-	-	4,776,540	-	4,776,540
Interest Income	328,196	-	2,140	4,370	-	334,706	-	-	-	-	334,706	-	334,706
Federal/State/Regional Revenues													
Federal BART Travel Incentives Program	-	278,400	-	-	-	278,400	-	-	-	-	278,400	278,400	-
Federal CMAQ Program: eFleet Carsharing Electrified Project	-	110,962	-	-	-	110,962	-	-	-	-	110,962	(256,661)	367,623
Federal FHWA Transit Reliability Research	-	41,500	-	-	-	41,500	-	-	-	-	41,500	41,500	-
Federal Highway Bridge Program - I-80/Yerba Buena Island Interchange Improvement Project	-	20,875,671	-	-	-	20,875,671	-	-	-	-	20,875,671	(2,354,435)	23,230,106
Federal Highway Bridge Program - Yerba Buena Island Bridge Structures	-	1,934,656	-	-	-	1,934,656	-	-	-	-	1,934,656	1,352,129	582,527
Federal San Francisco Freeway Performance Initiative Study	-	278,048	-	-	-	278,048	-	-	-	-	278,048	59,048	219,000
Federal Strategic Highway Research Program	-	123,947	-	-	-	123,947	-	-	-	-	123,947	-	123,947
Federal Surface Transportation Program 3% Revenue	-	911,683	-	-	-	911,683	-	-	-	-	911,683	(343,424)	1,255,107
State Seismic Retrofit Proposition IB - I/80 YBI Interchange Improvement Project	-	2,704,665	-	-	-	2,704,665	-	-	-	-	2,704,665	(305,042)	3,009,707
Regional Agency Contributions - Model Service Bureau	-	85,964	-	-	-	85,964	-	-	-	-	85,964	85,964	-
Regional BART - Travel Incentives Program	-	53,800	-	-	-	53,800	-	-	-	-	53,800	53,800	-
Regional Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation District - Presidio Parkway	75,000,000	-	-	-	-	75,000,000	-	-	-	-	75,000,000	-	75,000,000
Regional San Francisco (OEWD) - Late Night Transportation	-	125,000	-	-	-	125,000	-	-	-	-	125,000	125,000	-
Regional San Francisco (Planning) - Long-Range Transportation Planning Program	-	179,588	-	-	-	179,588	-	-	-	-	179,588	179,588	-
Regional San Francisco (Planning, SFMTA) - Travel Demand Modeling Assistance	-	200,000	-	-	-	200,000	-	-	-	-	200,000	-	200,000
Regional San Francisco (SFMTA) - 19th Avenue M-Ocean View	-	287,392	-	-	-	287,392	-	-	-	-	287,392	234,193	53,199
Regional TIDA - Treasure Island Mobility Management Agency	-	-	-	-	750,000	750,000	-	-	-	-	750,000	100,000	650,000
Regional Vehicle Registration Fee Revenues (TFCA)	-	-	772,398	-	-	772,398	-	-	-	-	772,398	-	772,398
Other Revenues													
Local Match: City CarShare eFleet Carsharing Electrified	-	1,873	-	-	-	1,873	-	-	-	-	1,873	(4,337)	6,210
San Francisco Dept of Environment - Bicycle Racks/Shower Facilities	2,000	-	-	-	-	2,000	-	-	-	-	2,000	-	2,000
Sublease of Office Space	45,384	-	-	-	-	45,384	-	-	-	-	45,384	-	45,384
TIDA Loan Reimbursement - YBI Interchange Improvement Project	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,862,496)	2,862,496
Total Revenues	176,669,155	28,193,149	774,538	4,780,910	750,000	211,167,752	4,780,910	774,538	750,000	211,167,752	214,784,525	(3,616,773)	214,784,525

San Francisco County Transportation Authority
Attachment B
Proposed Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget Amendment
Line Item Detail



	Proposed Budget Amendment by Fund						Increase/ (Decrease)	Adopted Budget Fiscal Year 2015/16
	Sales Tax Program	Congestion Management Agency Programs	Transportation Fund For Clean Air Program	Registration Fee For Transportation Improvements Program	Treasure Island Mobility Management Agency Program	Proposed Budget Amendment Fiscal Year 2015/16		
Expenditures:								
Capital Project Costs								
Individual Project Grants, Programs & Initiatives	145,000,000	-	1,225,593	7,087,584	-	153,313,177	18,003,626	135,309,551
Technical Professional Services	77,147,229	29,582,343	-	25,000	492,444	107,247,016	1,187,084	106,059,932
Administrative Operating Costs								
Personnel Expenditures								
Salaries	2,852,566	1,454,011	26,190	104,194	203,294	4,640,255	-	4,640,255
Fringe Benefits	1,337,762	684,240	12,325	49,032	98,228	2,181,587	-	2,181,587
Pay for Performance	194,965	-	-	-	-	194,965	-	194,965
Non-personnel Expenditures								
Administrative Operations	2,000,470	69,206	-	75,604	51,300	2,196,580	(518,856)	2,715,436
Equipment, Furniture & Fixtures	144,700	-	-	-	-	144,700	-	144,700
Commissioner-Related Expenses	70,400	-	-	-	4,200	74,600	-	74,600
Debt Service								
Principal Retirement	20,000,000	-	-	-	-	20,000,000	-	20,000,000
Interest and Fiscal Charges	960,000	-	-	-	-	960,000	(800,000)	1,760,000
Prior Year Expenditure Carryover	281,637	5,089,500	-	-	104,836	5,475,973	5,475,973	-
Total Expenditures	249,989,729	36,879,300	1,264,108	7,341,414	954,302	296,428,853	23,347,827	273,081,026
Other Financing Sources (Uses):								
Transfers in - Prop K Match to Grant Funding	-	8,686,151	-	-	204,302	8,890,453	10,952,342	(2,061,889)
Transfers out - Prop K Match to Grant Funding	(8,890,453)	-	-	-	-	(8,890,453)	(10,952,342)	2,061,889
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	(8,890,453)	8,686,151	-	-	204,302	-	-	-
Net Change in Fund Balance	\$ (82,211,027)	\$ -	\$ (489,570)	\$ (2,560,504)	\$ -	\$ (85,261,101)	\$ (26,964,600)	\$ (58,296,501)
Budgetary Fund Balance, as of July 1	\$ 99,592,152	\$ -	\$ 1,107,504	\$ 7,311,391	\$ -	\$ 108,011,047	N/A	\$ (82,359,986)
Budgetary Fund Balance, as of June 30	\$ 17,381,125	\$ -	\$ 617,934	\$ 4,750,887	\$ -	\$ 22,749,946	N/A	\$ (140,656,487)

Includes Sales Tax and Vehicle Registration Fee For Transportation Improvements Reserved for Program and Operating Contingency \$ 10,129,358 \$ - \$ 77,240 \$ 477,654 \$ - \$ 10,684,251
Fund Reserved for Program and Operating Contingency

Attachment C
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget Amendment Explanations

No.	Description	Adopted Budget FY2015/16	Proposed Amendment Increase/ (Decrease)	Proposed Amended Budget FY2015/16	Explanation
1.	Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) Travel Incentives Program	-	\$348,000	\$348,000	We are partnering with the BART District on a pilot project to address train crowding in downtown San Francisco by incentivizing riders to shift their travel to the shoulders of the peak period. The pilot project will involve creating a BART loyalty program whereby riders receive rewards for using BART during off-peak periods. The three-year pilot project will be funded by a federal Value Pricing Program grant, BART operating revenues, and a Prop K sales tax appropriation (Resolution 16-12). This amendment increases Federal Revenues by \$278,400 and Regional Revenues by \$53,800. Corresponding Capital Project Expenditures will increase by \$269,000 and Administrative Operating (Personnel) Costs will shift from Sales Tax Program to CMA Programs by \$79,000 for FY 2015/16 activity.

Attachment C
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget Amendment Explanations

No.	Description	Adopted Budget FY2015/16	Proposed Amendment Increase/ (Decrease)	Proposed Amended Budget FY2015/16	Explanation
2.	eFleet Carsharing Electrified Project	373,833	(260,998)	112,835	<p>We serve as a fiscal agent to support City CarShare for deploying electric vehicles within the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Berkeley, with supportive infrastructure and operations for the eFleet: Carsharing Electrified Project. The project is funded by federal Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program funds through the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) and local match funds by City CarShare. We anticipate lower expenditures in FY 2015/16 due to project delays associated with the changes in City CarShare's organizational structure and in its marketing and educational approaches. City CarShare formed a strategic partnership with Carma in July 2015 to maximize utilization of electric vehicle (EV) technology and benefits of car sharing. Through the organizational change and assessment, City CarShare recognized the lower-than-anticipated EV usage and has proposed shifting most of the remaining funds from vehicle purchase to innovative and creative marketing and educational initiatives to alleviate barriers to the EV usage. We are currently working with City CarShare to obtain approvals from the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) and Caltrans, and pending their approval, City CarShare anticipates completing the project by December 2016. This amendment decreases Federal Revenues by \$256,661 and Other Revenues by \$4,337. Corresponding Capital Project Expenditures will decrease by \$250,134 and Administrative Operating (Personnel) Costs will shift from CMA Programs to Sales Tax Program by \$10,864 for FY 2015/16 activity.</p>

Attachment C
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget Amendment Explanations

No.	Description	Adopted Budget FY2015/16	Proposed Amendment Increase/ (Decrease)	Proposed Amended Budget FY2015/16	Explanation
3.	Transit Reliability Research	-	83,000	83,000	In November 2015, we received a federal award from the MTC to spend \$90,000 in discretionary Advanced Travel Forecasting Procedures Program funds to develop tools to analyze transit reliability and travel model research activities. This grant award has a 50% local match requirement, to be fulfilled by providing staff time. This amendment increases Federal Revenues by \$41,500. Corresponding Capital Project Expenditures will increase by \$20,000 and Administrative Operating (Personnel) Costs will shift from Sales Tax Program to CMA Programs by \$63,000 for FY 2015/16 activity.
4.	I-80/YBI Improvement Project	26,239,813	(2,659,477)	23,580,336	We are working jointly with the Treasure Island Development Authority (TIDA) on the development of the I-80/YBI Ramps Improvement Project. In July 2013, Caltrans approved a \$77.5-million construction phase funding request, consisting of a combination of Federal Highway Bridge Program (HBP) and State Proposition 1B Seismic Retrofit funds. Construction activities for the I-80/YBI Ramps Improvement Project began in February 2014 is anticipated to be completed by August 2016. Some of the construction activities have been pushed to the following fiscal year due to the wet winter season. This amendment decreases Federal Revenues by \$2,354,435 and State Revenues by \$305,042. Corresponding Capital Project Expenditures will decrease by \$2,663,072 and Administrative Operating (Personnel) Costs will shift from Sales Tax Program to CMA Programs by \$3,595 for FY 2015/16 activity.

Attachment C
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget Amendment Explanations

No.	Description	Adopted Budget FY2015/16	Proposed Amendment Increase/ (Decrease)	Proposed Amended Budget FY2015/16	Explanation
5.	YBI Bridge Structures	658,000	1,527,312	2,185,312	We are also working jointly with TIDA on the seismic retrofit of the YBI Bridge Structures on the west side of the island. In May 2015, the Transportation Authority received an additional \$5.78 million of federal HBP funds from Caltrans for the reimbursement of engineering and environmental analysis to implement the Value Engineering Analysis Alternative, which will also improve seismic performance, simplify construction efforts, minimize maintenance cost and is preferred by TIDA and San Francisco Public Works (SFPW). Local matching funds to the federal HBP funds are fulfilled by TIDA through a loan agreement with the Transportation Authority. This amendment increases Federal Revenues by \$1,352,129 and the outstanding TIDA loan by \$175,183. Corresponding Capital Project Expenditures will increase by \$1,503,112 and Administrative Operating (Personnel) Costs will shift from Sales Tax Program to CMA Programs by \$24,200 for FY 2015/16 activity.
6.	San Francisco Freeway Corridor Management Study (FCMS)	521,854	10,787	532,641	For the FCMS project, we are reallocating the utilization of funding sources to maximize federal funds awarded to the project. This amendment increases Federal Revenues by \$59,048. Corresponding Capital Project Expenditures will decrease by \$31,741, and Administrative Operating (Personnel) Costs will shift from Sales Tax Program to CMA Program by \$42,528 for a net increase of \$10,787 in expenditures for FY 2015/16 activity.

Attachment C
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget Amendment Explanations

No.	Description	Adopted Budget FY2015/16	Proposed Amendment Increase/ (Decrease)	Proposed Amended Budget FY2015/16	Explanation
7.	San Francisco Long-Range Transportation Planning Program (LRTPP)	486,639	(185,063)	301,576	The LRTPP is a long range, comprehensive multiagency effort to define the desired and achievable transportation future for San Francisco. The effort will produce a roadmap to arrive at that future, including policies, planning, project development, and funding strategies. Our schedule anticipates completion of the vision document by early 2017, with an update of the SFTP anticipated in mid-2018. Due to a longer than anticipated startup and contracting process, we propose to defer \$185,063 of this year's project activities to FY 2016/17. Additional funding from SF Planning increases Regional Revenues by \$179,588 and correspondingly decreases Federal Revenues, allowing us to preserve Federal Surface Transportation Program (STP) grant funds for future project needs. Total decrease to Federal Revenues for this project is \$343,424. Corresponding Capital Project Expenditures will decrease by \$14,333 and Administrative Operating (Personnel) Costs will shift from CMA Programs to Sales Tax Program by \$170,730 for FY 2015/16 activity.
8.	Travel Demand Modeling Assistance – Model Service Bureau	-	85,964	85,964	The Transportation Authority maintains the "SF-CHAMP" San Francisco Travel Demand Forecasting Model, which is the official transportation modeling tool for San Francisco and is certified as compliant with the Regional Transportation Plan by the MTC. The City of Brisbane and SFPW agreed to provide funding of \$25,000 and \$60,964, respectively, for us to conduct travel demand forecasting analysis in support of their planning efforts. This amendment increases Regional Revenues by \$85,964. Corresponding Administrative Operating (Personnel) Costs will shift from Sales Tax Program to CMA Programs by \$85,964 for FY 2015/16 activity.

Attachment C
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget Amendment Explanations

No.	Description	Adopted Budget FY2015/16	Proposed Amendment Increase/ (Decrease)	Proposed Amended Budget FY2015/16	Explanation
9.	Late Night Transportation Plan (Phase 2)	-	125,000	125,000	<p>The Office of Economic and Workforce Development (OEWD) and the City's Entertainment Commission convened a Late Night Transportation Working Group (Working Group), which created a Late Night Integrated Transportation Plan to improve late night and early morning transportation options for San Francisco workers, residents, and visitors. Based on the recommendations identified in the report approved in February 2015, we are working with partner agencies to implement the next steps, including: beginning a process to refresh and consider expansion of all-night local and regional bus service; developing a pilot program funded by challenge grants for location-specific improvements; developing a coordinated information campaign to better communicate existing services; establishing an all-night transportation monitoring practice; and continuing to convene the Working Group. All work on the project will be fully reimbursed by OEWD. This amendment increases Regional Revenues by \$125,000. Corresponding Capital Project Expenditures will increase by \$60,000 and Administrative Operating (Personnel) Costs will shift from Sales Tax Program to CMA Programs by \$65,000 for FY 2015/16 activity.</p>

Attachment C
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget Amendment Explanations

No.	Description	Adopted Budget FY2015/16	Proposed Amendment Increase/ (Decrease)	Proposed Amended Budget FY2015/16	Explanation
10.	19 th Avenue/M-Ocean View Project Pre-Environmental Study Phase	53,199	234,193	287,392	<p>We are serving as the procuring agency for this project, which is being led by the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA). The major objectives of this phase are to advance project development to the 5-10% level of engineering and prepare a Caltrans Project Study Report-Project Development Support (PSR-PDS) documentation required given the project's location within Caltrans-owned right-of-way. The project team is anticipating submittal of the draft PSR-PDS package to Caltrans for review in early Summer 2016. During FY 2015/16, the SFMTA approved an amendment to the MOA to fully reimburse the Transportation Authority for an additional \$234,193, which will cover additional engineering costs required to carry directly into the PSR-PDS and additional conceptual engineering work to consider refinements to the southern grade-separated crossing that is essential to conduct in advance of the subsequent environmental review phase of the project. This amendment increases Regional Revenues by \$234,193. Corresponding Capital Project Expenditures will increase by \$213,593 and Administrative Operating (Personnel) Costs will shift from Sales Tax Program to CMA Programs by \$20,600 for FY 2015/16 activity.</p>

Attachment C
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget Amendment Explanations

No.	Description	Adopted Budget FY2015/16	Proposed Amendment Increase/ (Decrease)	Proposed Amended Budget FY2015/16	Explanation
11.	Treasure Island Mobility Management Agency (TIMMA)	750,000	99,466	849,466	On April 1, 2014, through Resolution No. 110-14, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors designated the Transportation Authority as the TIMMA for San Francisco to oversee the implementation of the Treasure Island Transportation Implementation Plan in accordance with the Treasure Island Transportation Management Act (AB 981), which includes congestion pricing and travel demand management on Treasure Island. Original estimated contributions from TIDA need to be updated to reflect the executed agreement of \$750,000. Prop K funds appropriated through Resolution 15-13 will cover remaining project costs. This amendment increases Regional Revenues by \$100,000. Corresponding Capital Project Expenditures will increase by \$218,044, Administrative Operating (Non-personnel) Costs will increase by \$5,073 and Administrative Operating (Personnel) Costs will shift from TIMMA Program to Sales Tax Program by \$123,651 for a net increase of \$99,466 in expenditures for FY 2015/16 activity.
12.	Other Revenues	2,916,090	(2,866,833)	49,257	The Other Revenues line item included an estimate of the second of three loan repayments from TIDA on the environmental phase of the I-80/YBI Improvement Project. During FY 2015/16, we changed our budgetary basis upon accounting for loan transactions to conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) and began recognizing payments and repayments on loans as outflows and inflows of assets and not revenues. In order to comply with GAAP, we recommend reducing Other Revenues by \$2,866,833 for FY 2015/16 activity.

Attachment C
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget Amendment Explanations

No.	Description	Adopted Budget FY2015/16	Proposed Amendment Increase/ (Decrease)	Proposed Amended Budget FY2015/16	Explanation
13.	Sales Tax Program (Prop K) Individual Project Grants, Programs & Initiatives	125,000,000	20,000,000	145,000,000	We developed the FY 2015/16 Prop K Capital Expenditures based on a review of the Prop K Strategic Plan as amended and in consultation with project sponsors, evaluated likely reimbursement needs of prior year projects expected to carryforward to the next FY, as well as of projects programmed for FY 2015/16 funds in the Strategic Plan and 5-Year Prioritization Programs. As is typical, a relatively small number of large projects and programs drive the anticipated Prop K capital expenditures, with SFMTA vehicle program as one of the major drivers. At the time of the original budget adoption, there was still a fair bit of uncertainty regarding the cash flow needs of the SFMTA vehicle procurements so we opted to take a more conservative approach to the capital budget and flagged that we would likely need to increase the capital budget mid-year, which is what we are now proposing. SFMTA vehicle cash flow needs make up about 26% of the proposed amended Prop K Capital Budget Expenditures of \$145,000,000, an increase of \$20,000,000 over the adopted budget of \$125,000,000 as shown in Attachment B. Some of the other projects with the highest expected expenditures this year include the Transbay Transit Center, Doyle Drive, SFMTA's Radio Replacement project, and paratransit.

Attachment C
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget Amendment Explanations

No.	Description	Adopted Budget FY2015/16	Proposed Amendment Increase/ (Decrease)	Proposed Amended Budget FY2015/16	Explanation
14.	Vehicle Registration Fee For Transportation Improvements Program (Prop AA) Individual Project Grants, Programs & Initiatives	9,083,958	(1,996,374)	7,087,584	Our estimate for FY 2015/16 Prop AA capital expenditures was based on a review of the Prop AA Strategic Plan as amended and in consultation with project sponsors, of prior year projects including those with multi-year schedules and projects no longer anticipated to be completed in FY 2014/15 as originally planned. For the mid-year budget revision, we followed the same process, seeking updated information and assessed whether any remaining FY 2015/16 programming would be allocated and eligible for reimbursement in the remaining months of the fiscal year. As a result of our review, we recommend decreasing the budgeted \$9,083,958 in Prop AA Capital Project Expenditures by \$1,996,374 to a total of \$7,087,584 as shown in Attachment B. The amendment is primarily attributable to three projects: SFPW's street reconstruction project on Dolores Street has advanced slower than anticipated due to necessary coordination with the work of other agencies; the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development's Hunters View Transit Connection project was delayed; and the SFMTA has over \$600,000 in FY 2015/16 Prop AA capital expenditures assumed for rapid network projects; however most of these funds have yet to be allocated as the SFMTA is using other fund sources first for Muni Forward.
15.	Geary Corridor Bus Rapid Transit Environmental Clearance	282,812	472,905	755,717	In July 2015, through Resolution 16-06, the Transportation Authority approved additional Prop K funds needed to generate a Conceptual Engineering Report for the Phase 2 (Full) Geary Bus Rapid Transit Project, bringing the engineering design of the project to 30%. Funds will provide for staff and consultant needs to complete the environmental phase. This amendment increases Capital Project Expenditures by \$359,053 and shifts Administrative Operating (Personnel) Costs from Sales Tax Program to CMA Programs by \$113,852 for FY 2015/16 activity.

Attachment C
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget Amendment Explanations

No.	Description	Adopted Budget FY2015/16	Proposed Amendment Increase/ (Decrease)	Proposed Amended Budget FY2015/16	Explanation
16.	I-280 Balboa Park Interchange Modifications Initiation Phase	750,000	(372,500)	377,500	In February 2015, through Resolution 15-41, the Transportation Authority approved Prop K funds for traffic analysis and environmental work required for the proposed realignment of the I-280 off-ramp at Ocean Avenue to make it a "T" intersection with a new signal at Ocean. The scope also includes a ramp closure analysis for the possible closure of the I-280 on-ramp at Geneva Avenue. The overall scope is now expected to be completed by December 2016, due to delays in executing a Caltrans Cooperative Agreement. Therefore, a portion of this year's project activities will be deferred to FY 2016/17. This amendment decreases Capital Project Expenditures by \$210,000, Administrative Operating (Non-personnel) Costs by \$95,000, and shifts Administrative Operating (Personnel) Costs from CMA Programs to Sales Tax Program by \$67,500 for FY 2015/16 activity.
17.	Lombard Street Corridor (US 101) - SFCFTA Support	-	75,000	75,000	In July 2015, through Resolution 16-06, the Transportation Authority approved Prop K funds to provide leadership continuity as an advisor to the SFPW project management team implementing the Lombard Street Corridor Project. The SFMTA and SFPW are coordinating this project with a San Francisco Public Utilities Commission utility project and all work would be complete prior to a Caltrans paving project in 2018. This amendment increases Capital Project Expenditures by \$67,560 and shifts Administrative Operating (Personnel) Costs from Sales Tax Program to CMA Programs by \$7,440 for FY 2015/16 activity.

Attachment C
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget Amendment Explanations

No.	Description	Adopted Budget FY2015/16	Proposed Amendment Increase/ (Decrease)	Proposed Amended Budget FY2015/16	Explanation
18.	Geneva-Harney BRT Feasibility Study	-	103,798	103,798	In April and July 2015, through Resolutions 15-52 and 16-06, respectively, the Transportation Authority approved additional Prop K funds to fulfill a commitment approved in November 2014 (Resolution 15-17) to cover City/County Association of Government of San Mateo County's and Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board's contributions to the Feasibility Study. Both agencies originally committed to contributing \$25,000 each, but subsequently withdrew their financial commitment due to concerns related to another study being led by the City (Bayshore Station Study). Additional funds also supported project management, outreach and expanded technical analysis related to the Beatty Avenue alternative. The Final Report was approved in July 2015. This amendment increases Capital Project Expenditures by \$103,798 for FY 2015/16 activity.
19.	Bayview Moves Van Sharing Pilot	-	30,150	30,150	In October 2015, through Resolution 16-18, the Transportation Authority approved Prop K funds to leverage over \$150,000 in funding from a Kaiser Permanente HEAL Zone grant and contributions from several community based organizations (CBOs) for a van sharing pilot program in the Bayview Hunters Point (BVHP) neighborhood as recommended in the Transportation Authority's BVHP Mobility Solutions Study (adopted in 2013). The van sharing pilot will allow the CBOs to pool resources to provide point-to-point transportation for their target populations at a reduced cost when compared to numerous CBOs owning, operating, and maintaining their own vehicles. This amendment reflects the appropriation and increases Capital Project Expenditures by \$30,150 for FY 2015/16 activity.

Attachment C
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget Amendment Explanations

No.	Description	Adopted Budget FY2015/16	Proposed Amendment Increase/ (Decrease)	Proposed Amended Budget FY2015/16	Explanation
20.	Alemany Interchange Improvement Study [NTIP]	-	27,264	27,264	In June 2015, through Resolution 15-61, the Transportation Authority approved Prop K Neighborhood Transportation Improvement Program funds to develop and evaluate a new north-south multimodal pathway connecting San Bruno Avenue to the Alemany Farmer's Market, and new bicycle lanes along Alemany Boulevard between Putnam Street and Bayshore Boulevard. We will lead the Study in coordination with partner agencies and the surrounding communities. This amendment increases Capital Project Expenditures by \$27,264 for FY 2015/16 activity.
21.	Administrative Operating Costs – Non-personnel Expenditures	2,715,436	(518,856)	2,196,580	Administrative Operating Costs for non-personnel expenditures also need to be updated from the original estimates contained in the adopted FY 2015/16 budget. Original estimates for temporary staff to assist throughout the year were not needed and we were able to timely fill the majority of open positions with permanent staff. In addition, audit and legal fees for individual projects have been lower than anticipated.
22.	Debt Service Expenditures – Interest and Fiscal Charges	1,760,000	(800,000)	960,000	In June 2015, we replaced the current Commercial Paper Program with a revolving credit agreement (Revolver) from State Street Public Lending Corporation. With a Revolver, we have entered into a loan agreement directly with the bank, eliminating the need to regularly re-issue commercial paper notes, which reduces costs, complexity, administrative burden and bank credit downgrade risk. This amendment decreases Debt Service Expenditures – Interest and Fiscal Charges by \$800,000 for FY 2015/16 activity.

Attachment C
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget Amendment Explanations

No.	Description	Adopted Budget FY2015/16	Proposed Amendment Increase/ (Decrease)	Proposed Amended Budget FY2015/16	Explanation
23.	Prior Year Expenditure Carryover	-	5,475,973	5,475,973	<p>For several projects, budgeted expenditures from prior year were lower than anticipated and have deferred to the current fiscal year. The major projects with carryover expenditures include I-80/YBI Interchange Improvement Project for \$3,521,028 due to delays in tree removal and foundation construction work caused by early nesting of birds in the construction area; Folsom Street Off-Ramp Realignment Construction Project for \$1,263,440 as the disposition of contaminated materials was postponed to FY 2015/16; eFleet Carsharing Electrified Project for \$391,043 due to delays in obtaining approvals for a change in scope and eligible expenditures; and Presidio Parkway for \$281,637 because the level of effort related to a one-time milestone payment to the developer upon substantial completion of construction was postponed to FY 2015/16. This carryover increases expenditures by \$5,475,973 for FY 2015/16.</p>