

DRAFT MINUTES

VISION ZERO COMMITTEE

Thursday, June 30, 2016

1. Roll Call

Vice Chair Kim called the meeting to order at 2:11 p.m. The following members were:

Present at Roll Call: Commissioners Kim and Weiner (2)

Absent at Roll Call: Commissioners Avalos and Yee (entered during Item 5) (2)

2. Approve the Minutes of the March 31, 2016 Meeting – ACTION

There was no public comment.

The minutes were approved without objection by the following vote:

Ayes: Commissioners Kim and Weiner (2)

Absent: Commissioners Avalos and Yee (2)

Vice Chair Kim called Item 5 prior to Item 3. Vice Chair Kim stated that Commissioner Avalos had been temporarily appointed to the Vision Zero Committee in place of Commissioner Campos.

3. [Item 5] Update on Vision Zero Projects and Initiatives – INFORMATION

Commissioner Kim and Commissioner Weiner made opening remarks regarding the recent deaths of two bicyclists, Kate Slattery and Heather Miller, in hit-and-run collisions.

Commissioner Kim said that many City departments, including the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), San Francisco Public Works, San Francisco Department of Public Health, and the Transportation Authority were committed to working towards Vision Zero, but that as tragedies continued to happen the work became all the more urgent. She said that she called a hearing earlier in the week to review the city's bike lane safety and enforcement, and was also working to introduce a resolution that would direct the SFMTA to expedite the design and construction of protected bike lanes.

Commissioner Weiner said that he would continue to insist on the importance and urgency of street safety and demand that City departments move in a quicker and more coordinated manner to implement projects. He said there had been some improvement in departmental coordination but that more was needed, and noted that he had championed more funding for street improvements and authored legislation to change the fire code to accommodate safer street design, whereby new trucks had to be more agile for narrower city streets.

Tom Maguire, Director of Sustainable Streets at the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), presented the item.

The Vision Zero Committee meeting went into recess at 2:23 p.m. The meeting was resumed at 2:28 p.m.

Commissioner Kim asked if the full list of Vision Zero projects was posted on the Vision Zero website, to which Mr. Maguire responded that it was posted under the resources section. Commissioner Kim stated that the list should be posted in an obvious location for the public to find.

Commissioner Kim asked how many hours were dedicated to enforcement of moving violations in order to assess the magnitude of the additional enforcement planned through the upcoming Safe Speeds campaign. Commander O'Sullivan with the San Francisco Police Department responded that the hours of enforcement varied by week and by district, but that he would follow up with an estimate of hours.

Commissioner Kim asked for a commitment to increase the use of parking control officers (PCOs) for safety-related enforcement, including double-parking in bicycle lanes. Mr. Maguire replied that PCOs already supported safety-related enforcement, such as when PCOs recently worked with police officers to support removal of double-parked vehicles and issued 74 moving violation citations on Golden Gate Avenue.

Commissioner Kim asked about progress towards obtaining state legislation to authorize automated speed enforcement, and suggested issuing automated notices to speeding drivers rather than citations. Mr. Maguire responded that he would look into this option, but noted that it could be hampered by the same privacy concerns as traditional automated speed enforcement without delivering commensurate benefits.

Commissioner Kim asked how SFMTA could ensure that projects would be completed before fatal or severe traffic injuries occurred. Mr. Maguire said that the speed of project implementation depended in part on the availability of resources, but also on the amount of time required to engage communities. He said the recent California Environmental Quality Act reform should allow projects to be implemented more quickly, which could equate to several months for capital projects.

During public comment, Cathy DeLuca, Policy Director at Walk San Francisco, said she was excited about the Vision Zero project map and requested that a full, detailed list of projects be posted online so that Walk SF could determine how substantial the improvements were and how many were located in communities of concern. She asked that the Committee members keep pushing the SFMTA to implement projects more quickly.

Alice Rogers with the Vision Zero Coalition expressed concern that safety improvements were a zero-sum game, where if one area received improvements another did not, and added that safety improvements were leading drivers to reroute to slower residential streets.

Nicole Ferrara, Executive Director at Walk San Francisco, said that the city was a quarter of the way into its ten-year goal of zero deaths but had made no progress in reducing fatalities, and noted that nineteen people had been killed so far this year. She said that crashes were preventable and that the city could reshape streets in addition to painting them. She said that automated speed enforcement should be adopted and asked the audience to sign a petition at bit.ly/safetynotspeed.

Elizabeth Snider said that her children now knew what a "ghost bicycle" was because they passed the one for Heather Miller every day. She expressed concern that drivers in Golden Gate Park did not give bicyclists the right of way and asked for more speed enforcement in parks.

Davi Ottenheimer said that he used cameras to monitor vehicle speeds and recently had to increase the camera frame rate because vehicles were driving faster. He called for greater enforcement, especially of vehicles blocking bicycle lanes.

Devon Warner, a member of the Bicycle Advisory Committee who lived in District 1, said there was a perceived lack of enforcement for automobile moving violations such as running through red lights and speeding. He asked the Committee and the SFMTA to consider bicycle-mounted enforcement. He added that he thought San Francisco Public Works had been removing ghost bicycles, which were important to family members of people killed in fatal bicycle accidents, and asked that this be stopped.

Brad McManus questioned whether 2024 was a realistic date to reach the Vision Zero goal, and said that witnessing a crash was a life-changing experience.

Brian Cohen, a Mission District resident, said that Vision Zero was important to achieve even at the cost of slower driving and more difficulty finding parking. He said that he recognized that this would be politically difficult and asked the Committee to continue to be leaders in safety and changing the streets.

Margaret McCarthy, Interim Executive Director at the San Francisco Bicycle Coalition (SFBC), said that over 1,000 people had written emails to Mayor Lee demanding safer streets and that at a recent event, over 200 people had stopped to write postcards. She said the Mayor's recent press release had presented the Vision Zero bicycle projects as new when they were in fact existing projects, which she said was misleading. She said that she would like urgent action and noted that SFBC members were heartbroken regarding the recent bicyclist fatalities.

Marty Combs said that he wanted efforts to be concentrated on well-used bicycle routes, as well as on addressing pot holes on existing bicycle lanes. He said more speed humps were needed to slow traffic, but that they should be designed to avoid impacting emergency vehicles. He also said that repair crews often left dangerous conditions on the bicycle lanes, and that the week prior he had lost control of his bicycle due to a pothole left by a repair crew, which was located 20 feet from a bicycle medallion.

Mark Sullivan called for greater enforcement to reduce vehicle parking in bicycle lanes. He said bicyclists could not be expected to enforce traffic rules on their own and that police enforcement was needed.

Ruskin Landreth said that safety concerns, particularly among women, were causing a decline in interest in bicycling even though it was an inexpensive way to get around the city.

Lisa Lin, a youth leader in the Chinatown Community Development Center (CCDC) Campaign Academy, said that cyclist and pedestrian safety was important and asked the Committee to make streets safer.

David Yi, a youth leader with the CCDC Campaign Academy, said he had been working on pedestrian safety on Kearny Street and expressed hope that the city would achieve Vision Zero.

Andrew Yip said he thought accidents were caused by speeding, poor vision and people driving out of control.

Randall, who worked as a bike messenger, said that on Howard Street from the Embarcadero to the intersection of Division Street, 13th Street, and Duboce Avenue, there were only four 25 milesper-hour speed limit signs and that three of them were obstructed by trees and not visible to

drivers. He said that he thought people were not aware of the speed limit in the South of Market area, and added that funding should be available for visible educational and outreach activities on the streets.

Madeleine Savit of Folks for Polk said that traffic collisions cost the city at least \$23 million annually in emergency response costs. She said she had been assisting in a citizen-led effort to put up orange cones on Golden Gate Avenue to protect the new bicycle lane, and noted that one of the people involved was assaulted in the process.

Commissioner Avalos said that the city had put additional funding into safety education in the previous year's budget, and that he would have expected to see more evidence of the education campaign by now. He said he was concerned that there was not enough enforcement on the highest injury corridors, and wanted to see more enforcement to protect bicyclists, particularly to reduce the number of cars parked in bicycle lanes. He expressed frustration regarding the lack of real progress towards Vision Zero and called for City departments to improve coordination and increase their level of effort.

Chair Yee thanked the public for their comments and encouraged those in attendance to submit their ideas for legislation that Committee members could sponsor to improve progress towards Vision Zero. He requested more information on progress towards implementing safety education campaigns at the next Vision Zero Committee meeting, including timelines, evaluation approach, and outreach to non-English speakers.

Commissioner Kim requested that before the next Committee meeting, City departments provide steps for implementing additional protected bicycle lanes throughout the city. She said more people would bicycle if they felt safe, and that the city could not ask its residents to bicycle more without providing adequate protection, including protected bicycle lanes and increased traffic enforcement. She expressed concern that the 57 Vision Zero projects were presented as new in the Mayor's recent press release, when most had already been in development. She encouraged those in attendance to continue posting on social media regarding traffic safety issues affecting bicyclists.

4. [Item 3] Update on the Vision Zero Two-Year Action Strategy – INFORMATION

Megan Wier, Program Director at the San Francisco Department of Public Health and Mari Hunter, Senior Transportation Planner at the SFMTA, presented the item.

During public comment, Mark Sullivan, referring to a slide during the presentation, asked why making progress towards a goal counted as completion. Ms. Hunter replied that the goal was for the San Francisco Police Department to commit to focusing enforcement on the top five collision areas, and noted that they had made that commitment.

Cathy DeLuca, Policy Director at Walk San Francisco, said she was surprised that the Two-Year Action Strategy report was not released to the Vision Zero community directly. She also said she was disappointed by the lack of urgent tone in the report and requested that this be corrected in future reports.

Commissioner Kim asked if there were updates about which types of safety messages were most effective in changing driver behavior, and also asked for an update on the large vehicle training program.

John Knox White, Transportation Planner at the SFMTA, replied the SFMTA had conducted a statistically significant survey about how people thought about speeding in San Francisco and that

the report would be completed in about six weeks He said he expected that the Safe Speeds Campaign would highlight safety as a problem that required everyone's participation to fix, and would include personal stories of communities impacted by traffic injuries and radio promotions aimed at persuading drivers that Vision Zero was attainable. Mr. Knox White said that the SFMTA was working with Interethnica, a multicultural and multilingual marketing and outreach firm, to convey street safety messages in different languages in the Crocker Amazon and South of Market neighborhoods and to conduct a speakers' bureau training in July to bring about 110 community leaders into the Vision Zero conversation.

Mr. Knox White said a grant from the Office of Traffic Safety would help launch the City's first motorcycle safety campaign in October. He said that motorcyclist deaths occurred more frequently than bicyclist or motorist fatalities and therefore must be addressed as part of the Vision Zero campaign. He said that the SFMTA would be committing \$1 million per year over the next two years to support expanded Vision Zero safety education and communication, including community outreach. He said that Vision Zero radio advertising would begin in August and that the Safe Speeding campaign messages would begin in September and would continue throughout the fall, but that the SFMTA would avoid messaging during the height of the election season.

Mr. Knox White said that the SFMTA was finalizing the Training-for-Trainers program of the large vehicle safety education campaign. Commissioner Kim asked if the SFMTA tracked how many people viewed the video, to which Mr. Knox White replied that it would be challenging to get an accurate count because one view of a video did not necessarily capture the number of people that could be watching together. Chair Yee stated that he thought showing a video was not an effective approach to training and that an interactive approach could be better. Mr. Knox White replied that the SFMTA had worked with stakeholders to improve the curriculum and that there would be some interactive lessons in addition to video.

5. [Item 4] Update on Leading Pedestrian Intervals – INFORMATION

James Shahamiri, Associate Engineer at the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), presented the item.

Chair Yee asked if there was technology available for people to push a button to request additional time to cross the street, which would help elderly people using walkers. Mr. Shahamiri replied that the SFMTA preferred to add more crossing time to the default signal timing setting at the intersection. Chair Yee asked if crossing time could be increased at the intersection of Portola and Vicente Streets, due to a complaint he had received from an elderly person regarding inadequate crossing time. Mr. Shahamiri responded that he would look into the signal timing at that intersection.

During public comment, Cathy DeLuca, Policy Director of Walk San Francisco, said that leading pedestrian intervals (LPIs) reduced crashes and that she supported them. She said she wanted the final guidance on LPIs to include that LPIs would be standard on street sections with a forced right turn pocket.

6. Introduction of New Items – INFORMATION

There was no public comment.

7. Public Comment

During public comment, Andrew Yip spoke about prosperity.

8. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 4:08 p.m.